STATE WIRETAP REPORT

IC 35-33.5-2-4

(a) Not later than December 31 of each year, a prosecuting attorney who during that year:

(1) has received a warrant or an extension; or

(2) represents a county in which an arrest or a conviction has occurred as the result of the warrant or extension' shall report in an electronic format under IC 5-14-6 the information described in subsection (s) to the legislative council.

(b) A prosecuting attorney shall report the following information under subsection (a):

(1) The information required in section 5 of this chapter:

IC 35-33.5-2-5

(1) The fact that a warrant or an extension was applied for.

The following Warrant and Extension were applied for under this chapter by the Marion County Prosecutor's Office, the Indiana State Police and the Indianapolis Metropolitan Police Department from September 7, 2010, through October of 2010 (the following Warrant and Extension were sealed by the Court until November 9, 2010):.

Application for Warrant Authorizing the Interception of Electronic Communications, Affidavit in Support of Application and Warrant Authorizing the Interception of Electronic Communications
Target Subjects Deonco Franklin and Larry Resor
Target Telephone 317-717-8794
September 7, 2010

Application for Warrant Authorizing the Extension of Interception Of Electronic Communications, Affidavit in Support of Extension and Warrant Authorizing the Extension of Interception of Electronic Communication
Target Subjects Deonco Franklin and Larry Resor
Target Telephone 317-717-8794
October 7, 2010

(2) The type of warrant or extension applied for.

Each of the above described Warrants and Extensions were wiretaps.

(3) The fact that the application for a warrant or an extension was granted, modified, or denied.

Both the Application for Warrant Authorizing the Interception of Electronic Communication and Application for Warrant Authorizing the Extension of Interception of Electronic Communications were granted.

(4) The duration authorized for interception by the warrant and the number and duration of any extensions.
Monitoring began on September 8, 2010, and was authorized for thirty (30) days. An Extension was signed on October 7, 2010, and authorized an additional thirty (30) days of monitoring. Monitoring on Target Telephone 317-717-8794 was concluded on or about October 15, 2010.

(5) The designated offense for which the warrant or extension was issued or applied for.
Dealing in Cocaine (as a Class "A" or Class "B" Felony)
Possession of Cocaine (as a Class "A", Class "B" or Class "C" Felony)
Dealing in Heroin (as a Class "A" or Class "B" Felony)
Possession of Heroin (as a Class "A", Class "B" or Class "C" Felony)
Conspiracy to commit the above offenses

(6) The identity of the persons who applied for the warrant or extension.
Det. Clifton Jones of the Indianapolis Metropolitan Police Department and Deputy Prosecutor Claire South of the Marion County Prosecutor's Office (as authorized by Marion County Prosecutor Carl Brizzi).

(7) The nature and location of the place, facility or device from which communications were to be intercepted.
The device was a cellular telephone located in Marion County, Indiana.

(8) The reasons for withholding notice under IC 35-33.5-4-3, if the notice was withheld.
No Motion to Postpone Service of Inventory was filed for this Target Telephone.

(2) The number of arrest resulting from an interception made under a warrant or Extension and the designated offense for which each arrest was made.
Approximately Eighty arrests have been made to date.
Nine (09) of the defendants were charged with Conspiracy to Commit Dealing in Cocaine (Class "A" and Class "B" Felonies), Conspiracy to Commit Dealing in Heroin (Class "A" and Class "B" Felonies), Dealing in Cocaine (Class "A" and Class "B" Felonies), Dealing in Heroin (Class "A" and Class "B" Felonies), Possession of a Firearm by a Serious Violent Felon (Class "B" Felony), Possession of Cocaine and a Firearm (Class "C" Felony, Possession charges and Criminal Gang Enhancement. (Some of the above charges are the result of evidence recovered during the execution of Search Warrants). The remainder of the defendants were charged with Conspiracy to Commit Possession of Cocaine or a Narcotic Drug (Class "B" Felony) and Possession of Cocaine or a Narcotic Drug (Class "B" Felony).

(3) The number of charges filed as a result of an interception.
Approximately 184 charges have been filed to date.

(4) The number of motions to suppress made with respect to an interception and the Number of motions granted or denied.
No Motions to Suppress have been filed to date.

(5) The number of convictions resulting from an interception, the designated offense for which each conviction was obtained, and a general assessment of the importance of interception in obtaining the conviction.
No convictions have been obtained to date.

(6) A general description of the interceptions made under a warrant or an extension, Including the following:

(A) The approximate nature and frequency of incriminating communications intercepted.

Total number of intercepted calls	9630
Total number of completed calls	8734
Pertinent calls	2979
Minimized calls	25
Priviledged calls	0

The pertinent telephone conversations involved conversations about illegal narcotic distribution including the availability, price, quantity and delivery of cocaine and heroin.

(B) The approximate nature and frequency of other communications intercepted.
As indicated above 9630 calls were intercepted. Calls were received 24 hours a day. Law enforcement officers were monitoring and recording conversations approximately twelve hours per day.

(C) The approximate number of persons whose communications were intercepted.
Approximately 100

(D) The approximate nature, amount, and cost of manpower and other resources used in relation to the interceptions.
Approximately $36,300.00 was spent for equipment and manpower.