

**Letter of Findings: 65-20191083P
Indiana Oversize/Overweight Proposed Assessment
For the Year 2018**

NOTICE: IC § 6-8.1-3-3.5 and IC § 4-22-7-7 require the publication of this document in the Indiana Register. This document provides the general public with information about the Indiana Department of Revenue's (the "Department") official position concerning a specific set of facts and issues. This document is effective on its date of publication and remains in effect until the date it is superseded or deleted by the publication of another document in the Indiana Register. The "Holding" section of this document is provided for the convenience of the reader and is not part of the analysis contained in this Letter of Findings.

HOLDING

The Department sent Motor Carrier a proposed assessment for oversize/overweight civil penalties for operating while having an axle weight in excess of what is statutorily allowed. At hearing, Motor Carrier established that the proposed assessment failed to meet statutory requirements. Therefore, Motor Carrier's protest is sustained.

ISSUE

I. Motor Vehicles - Oversize/Overweight Penalty.

Authority: IC § 6-8.1-5-1; IC § 6-8.1-1-1; IC § 9-20-1-1; IC § 9-20-1-2; IC § 9-20-4-1; IC § 9-20-18-14.5; *Indiana Dept. of State Revenue v. Rent-A-Center East, Inc.*, 963 N.E.2d 463 (Ind. 2012); *Lafayette Square Amoco, Inc. v. Indiana Dept. of State Revenue*, 867 N.E.2d 289 (Ind. Tax Ct. 2007).

Motor Carrier protests the assessment of an oversize/overweight civil penalty.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

Motor Carrier is an Indiana based dump trucking business. On June 1, 2018, Motor Carrier's commercial motor vehicle was cited by the Indiana State Police ("ISP") for an overweight violation. As a result, the Department issued Motor Carrier a proposed assessment for an oversize/overweight ("OS/OW") civil penalty. Motor Carrier disagreed with the assessment of penalty and submitted a protest to that effect. At Motor Carrier's request, the Department held a hearing on this matter. This Letter of Findings results. Further facts will be supplied as necessary.

I. Motor Vehicles - Oversize/Overweight Penalty.

DISCUSSION

Motor Carrier protests the imposition of a civil penalty. The Department based its proposed assessment on a report provided by the ISP. The ISP report showed that Motor Carrier was transporting a divisible load in excess of the axle weight allowed under IC § 9-20-4-1. Motor Carrier argues that the Department does not offer a permit for stone, the divisible load being transported, and that they have already paid a summons resulting from the traffic stop.

As a threshold issue, it is Motor Carrier's responsibility to establish that the existing proposed assessment is incorrect. As stated in IC § 6-8.1-5-1(c), "The notice of proposed assessment is prima facie evidence that the [D]epartment's claim for the unpaid tax is valid. The burden of proving that the proposed assessment is wrong rests with the person against whom the proposed assessment is made." *Indiana Dept. of State Revenue v. Rent-A-Center East, Inc.*, 963 N.E.2d 463, 466 (Ind. 2012); *Lafayette Square Amoco, Inc. v. Indiana Dept. of State Revenue*, 867 N.E.2d 289, 292 (Ind. Tax Ct. 2007).

According to IC § 9-20-1-1, "[e]xcept as otherwise provided in [IC Art. 9-20], a person, including a transport operator, may not operate or move upon a highway a vehicle or combination of vehicles of a size or weight exceeding the limitations provided in [IC Art. 9-20]."

According to IC § 9-20-1-2, "an owner of a vehicle . . . may not cause or knowingly permit to be operated or moved upon a highway in Indiana a vehicle or combination of vehicles of a size or weight exceeding the

limitations provided in [IC Art. 9-20]."

IC § 9-20-18-14.5 authorizes the Department to impose civil penalties against Motor Carriers that obtain a permit under IC Art. 9-20 and violate IC Art. 9-20 ("Permit Violation Civil Penalty") or are required, but fail, to obtain a permit under IC Art. 9-20 ("No Permit Civil Penalty"). IC § 9-20-18-14.5(c) provides that a person "who transports vehicles or loads subject to this article and fails to obtain a permit required under this article is subject to a civil penalty . . ." According to IC § 9-20-18-14.5(b), the Department may subject a person to a civil penalty if the person "obtains a permit under" IC Art. 9-20 and violates IC Art. 9-20 by being overweight or oversize.

IC § 6-8.1-1-1 plainly states that fees and penalties stemming from IC Art. 9-20 violations are a "listed tax." According to IC § 9-20-18-14.5(3), these listed taxes are in addition to and separate from any arrangement or agreement made with a local court or political subdivision regarding the traffic stop.

In this case, the Department issued Motor Carrier a Permit Violation Civil Penalty for having an axle weight in excess of what is allowed under IC § 9-20-4-1. However, Motor Carrier did not possess a permit to violate and the cargo in question was not an enumerated divisible load subject to permit. Therefore, while the Department is authorized to issue assessments for overweight penalties under IC § 6-8.1-1-1 and IC § 9-20-18-14.5, Motor Carrier has met the burden imposed by IC § 6-8.1-5-1(c) of proving the proposed assessment wrong.

FINDING

Motor Carrier's protest is sustained.

August 16, 2019

Posted: 12/25/2019 by Legislative Services Agency
An [html](#) version of this document.