

Economic Impact Statement
LSA Document #13-213

IC 4-22-2.1-5 Statement Concerning Rules Affecting Small Businesses

Estimated Number of Small Businesses Subject to this Rule:

No small businesses are subject to or will be impacted by this rule that sets boat speed restrictions in areas surrounding the Ball Wetland and a motor restriction in one portion on the west side of Ball Wetland (east end of Tippecanoe Lake). The Ball Wetland is owned by DNR Division of Nature Preserves so no private residences or small businesses are landward of where these restrictions apply. The most likely small businesses that would be impacted by a rule such as this would be marinas and lake service providers (that is, those who install and service piers, boat lifts, etc.). Since the Ball Wetland is under the ownership of Nature Preserves there are no moored boats, piers, lifts, etc. on the portion of shoreline affected by this rule so marinas and lake service providers will not be affected. One area under the present rule that does encompass a few residential lots is being removed from the rule since it was viewed by these residents as infringing on their property rights.

Estimated Average Annual Reporting, Record Keeping, and Other Administrative Costs Small Businesses Will Incur for Compliance:

Small businesses are not impacted by this rule because there is no service they would be asked to provide within the regulated areas. There is no reporting, record keeping, or other administrative costs associated with this rule.

Estimated Total Annual Economic Impact on Small Businesses to Comply:

There will be no economic impact on small businesses as a result of the rule as small businesses are not impacted by the rule.

Justification Statement of Requirement or Cost:

Not applicable.

Regulatory Flexibility Analysis of Alternative Methods:

Since small businesses are not impacted by this rule alternatives to lessen the impact to small businesses were not considered. The portion of the current rule that restricted speed within an area encompassing private residences is being removed from this rule since it was viewed by these residents as infringing on their property rights.

Posted: 08/07/2013 by Legislative Services Agency

An [html](#) version of this document.