

03-20090775P.LOF
 03-20090776P.LOF
 03-20090777P.LOF
 03-20090778P.LOF
 03-20090779P.LOF
 03-20090780P.LOF
 03-20090781P.LOF
 03-20090782P.LOF
 03-20090783P.LOF
 03-20090784P.LOF
 03-20090785P.LOF
 03-20090786P.LOF
 03-20090787P.LOF
 03-20090788P.LOF
 03-20090789P.LOF
 03-20090790P.LOF

**Letters of Findings Number: 09-0775P; 09-0776P; 09-0777P;
 09-0778P; 09-0779P; 09-0780P; 09-0781P; 09-0782P; 09-0783P; 09-0784P;
 09-0785P; 09-0786P; 09-0787P; 09-0788P; 09-0789P; 09-0790P
 Withholding Tax-Penalty
 For the Period 2006**

NOTICE: Under IC § 4-22-7-7, this document is required to be published in the Indiana Register and is effective on its date of publication. It shall remain in effect until the date it is superseded or deleted by the publication of a new document in the Indiana Register. The publication of this document will provide the general public with information about the Department's official position concerning a specific issue.

ISSUE

I. Tax Administration–Penalty.

Authority: [IC 6-8.1-5-1](#); IC § 6-8.1-10-6.

Taxpayer protests the imposition of the penalty for late filing of information returns.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

Taxpayer is a corporation doing business in Indiana. Taxpayer filed its employees' W-2 forms and the WH-3 form after the due date for filing such returns. Taxpayer was assessed a \$10 penalty per each W-2 and WH-3 form filed after the due date. Taxpayer protested the imposition of the penalty.

I. Tax Administration–Penalty.

DISCUSSION

Taxpayer protests the imposition of the penalty on Taxpayer's failure to timely file forms W-2 and WH-3 on behalf of its employees. This penalty was imposed pursuant to IC § 6-8.1-10-6, which provides for a ten dollar (\$10) penalty for each information return submitted late. A form W-2 and a form WH-3 are informational returns within the scope of the statute.

Taxpayer contends that it did mail the W-2s and WH-3 for 2006. However, Taxpayer has no record of mailing the returns, though it does indicate that the WH-3 in the company's files is a copy rather than an original. Taxpayer further indicates that it mailed the requisite forms for all other years. The Department has verified Taxpayer's assertion for all years except 2004 and 2006.

However, for proposed assessments such as the penalty imposed against Taxpayer–IC § 6-8.1-5-1(c) provides that the assessment is presumed to be correct, and the burden of showing that the assessment was improper is on the taxpayer. While the Department recognizes Taxpayer's arguments, Taxpayer has not provided sufficient information to establish that the penalty imposition was improper.

FINDING

Taxpayer's protest is denied.

Posted: 12/23/2009 by Legislative Services Agency
 An [html](#) version of this document.