



Indiana Pro Bono Commission
 230 East Ohio Street, Suite 400
 Indianapolis, IN 46204

COMBINED 2009 DISTRICT REPORT, 2011 PRO BONO GRANT APPLICATION, AND 2011 PLAN

Pro Bono District 4

Program Name: Indiana District 4 Pro Bono Corporation

Mailing Address: 639 Columbia Street, P. O. Box 1455

City: Lafayette, IN Zip: 47902-1455

Phone: (765) 423-5327 Fax: (765) 423-2252

E-mail address: tim.peterson@ilsa.net

Judicial Appointee: Rex Kepner, Benton Circuit Court Judge

Plan Administrator: Timothy E. Peterson

Names of Counties Served: Benton, Carroll, Clinton, Fountain, Montgomery, Tippecanoe, Warren and White

Number and Percentage of volunteer attorneys (as defined on page 3) who rendered pro bono service to at least one low-income client during the year or who accepted a pro bono case in 2009 per registered attorneys in district, i.e. the district's pro bono participation rate:

48 % 11.88

Please also provide pro bono participation rates by county, if available.

<u>County</u>	<u>Registered Attorneys</u>	<u>Volunteer Attorneys</u>	<u>Participation Rate</u>
Benton	8	2	25%
Carroll	21	3	14.3%
Clinton	32	4	12.5%
Fountain	23	3	13.04%
Montgomery	42	7	16.67%
Tippecanoe	249	25	10.4%
Warren	7	2	28.6%
White	22	2	1%

Number of volunteer attorneys (as defined on page 3) who provided pro bono representation for at least 50 hours during 2009: 7

Number of potential clients requesting help in 2009 (limit this to actual intake done or sessions in which plan administrator or his/her delegate provided more than minimal assistance):1624

Number of potential clients who were actually provided with legal services (through volunteer attorney referral or assistance organized by the plan administrator) as a result of their request: 78 Please provide this information by county, if available.

Amount of grant received for 2010: \$114,889

Amount of grant (2010 and prior years) projected to be unused as of 12/31/10: \$9,926

Amount requested for 2011: \$114,889

2011 PLAN SUMMARY

Please write a brief summary of the 2011 grant request. Please include information regarding your district's planned activities including committee meetings, training, attorney recognition, newspaper or magazine articles, marketing and promotion. The grant request should cover needs to be addressed, methods, target audience, anticipated outcomes, and how past difficulties will be addressed.

Plan Administrator: In 2011, the Plan Administrator for the Indiana District 4 Pro Bono Corporation (District 4) will continue efforts to recruit more attorneys for pro bono work by meeting with local bar associations, participating in statewide administration of pro bono services, conducting CLE programs, holding Talk to a Lawyer programs, fundraising for expanded program services in foreclosure and guardianship, and administering client intake and pro se services. Difficulties encountered in the past year include the dramatic increase in the demand for pro bono services, loss of funding for guardianship programs and increasing mortgage foreclosures. These difficulties will be met by recruiting more pro bono attorneys, expanding the use of volunteers from Purdue University and IVY Tech in conducting client intake, promoting the use of pro se materials, and more fundraising efforts to hire additional staff and attorneys to handle foreclosure defense and guardianship cases.

Attorney Recruitment and Recognition: District 4 will continue to work with district bar associations to recruit attorneys and conduct recognition events through award events, press releases, and recognition of award winners. District 4 has also adopted a goal of providing twelve hours of low-cost CLE and one hour of ethics credit each year to local attorneys as an inducement to take cases. Increasing resistance to pro bono work has been encountered over the last year due to "burn out" among older, long-term volunteers and due to economic stress within practicing attorneys. This new barrier can be overcome only by better promotion of unbundled legal services and alternative dispute resolution. These issues will be addressed in CLE conferences and District 4 promotional materials.

Increasing Number of Volunteer Attorney Cases: Increasing numbers of cases will be accomplished by expanding the promotion of District 4's services through the creation of a web site, circulating press releases, parenting guidelines and other promotional material, holding increasing numbers of Talk to a Lawyer Programs, and continuing liaison with court clerks.

Montgomery County Legal Aid ("MCLA"): Organized by the Montgomery County Bar Association, county attorneys participate in a weekly rotation as the "legal aid" attorney twice per year. District 4 will continue working with MCLA to quantify the number of clients served by the MCLA.

Mortgage Foreclosure and Guardianship: District 4 activities have been heavily affected by the growth in foreclosure actions and the collapse of guardianship programs in District 4. District 4 will need to do additional fundraising to meet the foreclosure crisis and to fill the gap created by the loss of state funding for guardianship programs.

2009 REPORT OF VOLUNTEER ATTORNEY CASES IN DISTRICT 4

Please attach additional pages for each pro bono provider that receives IOLTA funding, whether directly or indirectly, in your district. See the sample additional pro bono provider page 3A. Please list one case per each line in the chart below. The information provided in this chart, and the charts immediately following, should be for the calendar year 2009 and not the fiscal year.

Definitions

Case: A legal matter referred to and accepted by a pro bono attorney volunteer. This includes mediation and GAL services.

Volunteer Attorney: An attorney who has rendered pro bono service to at least one low-income client during the year or accepted a pro bono referral from the identified program. This does not include attorneys who are in the list of pro bono volunteers but who have never taken a case. The case numbers do not include cases screened, only cases actually referred to a pro bono attorney. This also includes an attorney who has worked solely on a pending pro bono case that was neither opened nor closed during the reporting year. Volunteer attorneys for modest means programs may be counted, as long as they are separately identified as such.

Case Type: Please use the abbreviations listed in the Indiana Supreme Court Administrative Rule 8(B)(3) or any other defined abbreviation. Please be sure to include the mortgage foreclosure code of MF, if applicable.

Program Name (includes legal service provider, court, plan administrator, bar association, and other organizations or individuals): DISTRICT 4

IOLTA funding accounts for 100% of total pro bono provider budget. Please state the percentage of volunteers and cases which are attributable to IOLTA funding: 100%. If this percentage is substantially more than the percentage of IOLTA funding, please explain.

Volunteer Attorney Name	County of Case	Was case pending at beginning of 2009?	Was case opened in 2009?	Was case closed in 2009?	Number of hours worked on case if closed in 2009 (include prior years' hours)	Case type
Judson Barce	Benton	N	Y	Y	3.5	Deb/Cre.
		N	Y	Y	3.5	Estate
		Y	N	Y	1.75	Real Est.
Hunter Reece	Benton	N	Y	Y	14.25	Family
		N	Y	Y	6.0	Bank.
		N	Y	N		Family
		N	Y	Y	11.25	Family
		N	Y	Y	11.25	Family
		N	Y	Y	3.0	Estate
		Y	N	Y	5.25	Family
TOTAL:	<i>No total needed</i>	TOTAL: 2	TOTAL: 8	TOTAL: 9	TOTAL: 59.75	<i>No total needed</i>

Volunteer Attorney Name	County of Case	Was case pending at beginning of 2009?	Was case opened in 2009?	Was case closed in 2009?	Number of hours worked on case if closed in 2009 (include prior years' hours)	Case type
Miriam Robeson	Carroll	N	Y	Y	112	Non-Profit
Kathryn Cook	Clinton	N	Y	Y	.2	Probate
		N	Y	Y	.7	Real.
		N	Y	Y	3.3	Trust
		N	Y	Y	.3	Estate
		N	Y	Y	.2	Real.
		N	Y	Y	.4	Guard.
		N	Y	Y	.6	Employ.
		N	Y	Y	1.9	Estate
		N	Y	Y	4.0	Estate
		N	Y	Y	.7	Health
		N	Y	Y	.4	Real.
		N	Y	Y	.7	Estate
		N	Y	Y	.3	Adm.
		N	Y	Y	.5	Employ.
		N	Y	Y	.7	Estate
		N	Y	Y	.4	Guard.
		N	Y	Y	.7	Estate
		N	Y	Y	.3	Real.
		N	Y	Y	.5	Real.
		N	Y	Y	1.2	Guard.
		N	Y	Y	.6	Admin.
		N	Y	Y	.1	Real.
John Shoup		N	Y	Y	.4	Estate
		N	Y	Y	.2	Estate
		N	Y	Y	.5	Real.
		N	Y	Y	.4	Estate
		N	Y	Y	1.0	Real.
John Shoup	Clinton	N	Y	Y	.3	Estate
		N	Y	Y	.9	Family
		N	Y	Y	.4	Guard.
		N	Y	Y	2.0	Family
		N	Y	Y	13.0	Family
		N	Y	Y	.4	Health
		N	Y	Y	.5	Estate
TOTAL:	<i>No total needed</i>	TOTAL: 0	TOTAL: 35	TOTAL: 35	TOTAL: 150.7	<i>No total needed</i>

James Moore	Clinton	N	Y	Y	20.1	Guard.
		N	Y	Y	.5	Guard.
		N	Y	Y	.4	Health
		N	Y	Y	.1	Real.
		N	Y	Y	.4	Real.
Brad Mohler	Clinton	N	Y	N		No. Pro
		Y	N	N		Family
		N	Y	N		Family
		Y	N	N		Family
Jon McCarty	Fountain	N	Y	Y	120	Family
Elizabeth Justice	Mont.	N	Y	Y	12.5	
Heather Perkins	Mont.	N	Y	N		Guard.
Suanne Milligan	Mont.	N	Y	Y	7.9	Probate
Pat Sosbe	Mont.	N	Y	Y	2.0	Non-Pr.
		N	Y	Y	1.0	Non-Pr.
		N	Y	Y	1.2	Non-Pr.
		N	Y	Y	1.0	Family
John Capper	Mont.	Y	N	Y	4.0	Family
Kurt Homann	Mont.	N	Y	Y	15.0	Family
Patricia Truitt	Tippe.	Y	N	Y	12.9	Guard.
Stan Miller	Tippe.	N	Y	Y	1.5	Guard.
		N	Y	Y	1.5	Guard.
		N	Y	Y	10.0	Family
Jennifer Fehrenbach	Tippe.	N	Y	Y	11.0	Fore.
		N	Y	Y	7.4	Family
		N	Y	Y	2.6	Family
		N	Y	Y	1.0	Estate
Jay Seeger	Tippe.	N	Y	Y	6.0	Guard.
Linda Kampe-Houtz	Tippe.	N	Y	Y	3.0	Family
		N	Y	Y	15.0	Family
Jason Bennett	Tippe.	N	Y	Y	58.8	Admin.
Michael Stapleton	Tippe.	N	Y	Y	60.0	Non-Pr.
Paul Refior	Tippe.	N	Y	Y	150.0	Non-Pr.
Thomas L. O'Brien	Tippe.	Y	N	Y	60.0	Family
Nancy Litzenberger	Warren	N	Y	Y	16.8	Non-Pr.
Jerry P. Altman	White	N	Y	Y	10.0	Family

TOTAL:	<i>No total needed</i>	TOTAL: 5	TOTAL: 31	TOTAL: 31	TOTAL: 613	<i>No total needed</i>
		N	Y	Y	.5	Family
		N	Y	Y	5.0	Family
		N	Y	Y	4.0	Family
		N	Y	Y	5.0	Family
Rebecca Trent	White	N	Y	Y	3.0	Deb./Cr
		N	Y	Y	10.0	Non-Pr.
		N	Y	Y	7.0	Family
TOTAL:	<i>No total needed</i>	TOTAL:	TOTAL: 7	TOTAL: 7	TOTAL: 34.5	<i>No total needed</i>
<u>COUNTY TOTALS:</u>						
Benton					59.75	
Carroll					112.00	
Clinton					60.20	
Fountain					120.00	
Montgomery					44.60	
Tippecanoe					400.70	
Warren					16.80	
White					44.50	
TOTALS					858.55	

2009 REPORT OF VOLUNTEER ATTORNEY LIMITED INFORMATION

ACTIVITY IN DISTRICT 4 This limited legal information chart can include activities such as pro se clinics and call-in or walk-in informational services.

Please attach additional pages for each pro bono provider that receives IOLTA funding, whether directly or indirectly, in your district. See the sample additional pro bono provider page 4A. Please list each attorney only once in the volunteer attorney column but complete one line for each type of legal information activity for that attorney.

Program Name (includes legal service provider, court, plan administrator, bar association, and other organizations or individuals): Indiana District 4 Pro Bono Corporation

Volunteer Attorney Name	County	Type of Activity	Number of Hours
James Rowlings	Marion	Talk to a Lawyer	8
Rebecca Rouch	Tippecanoe	Talk to a Lawyer	4
Linda Kampe Houtz	Tippecanoe	Talk to a Lawyer	4
Nina Kirkpatrick	Tippecanoe	Talk to a Lawyer	4
Gregory Lloyd	Tippecanoe	Talk to a Lawyer	4
Aaron Milewshi	Marion	Talk to a Lawyer	4

Jeff Newell	Tippecanoe	Talk to a Lawyer	4
Sandra Dukes	Tippecanoe	Talk to a Lawyer	4
Ladonna Sorenson	Tippecanoe	Talk to a Lawyer	2
Hunter Reece	Benton	Talk to a Lawyer	12
Judson Barce	Benton	Talk to a Lawyer	3
James Grieves	Tippecanoe	Talk to a Lawyer	4
Robert Ives	Carroll	Talk to a Lawyer	4
Cynthia Harmon	Carroll	Talk to a Lawyer	4
Sybil Sharvelle	Tippecanoe	Talk to a Lawyer	4
John Shambach	Fountain	Talk to a Lawyer	8
Steve McComb	Fountain	Talk to a Lawyer	2
Kent Freeman	Tippecanoe	Talk to a Lawyer	2
Joseph Bartlett	Tippecanoe	Talk to a Lawyer	2
Mark Davis	Tippecanoe	Talk to a Lawyer	8
Earl McCoy	Tippecanoe	Talk to a Lawyer	2
Monica Doerr	Tippecanoe	Talk to a Lawyer	4
Ken Weller	Tippecanoe	Talk to a Lawyer	2
Kirk Eicher – Miller	Tippecanoe	Talk to a Lawyer	4
Ann Ginda	Tippecanoe	Talk to a Lawyer	4
John Shoup	Clinton	Talk to a Lawyer	4
Ed Stachowicz	Tippecanoe	Talk to a Lawyer	16
Rebecca Trent	White	Talk to a Lawyer	4
Jim McCabe	Warren	Talk to a Lawyer	8
TOTAL			139
COUNTY TOTALS			858.55
TOTAL ALL VOLUNTEERS			997.55

2009 REPORT

Please list your District's 2009 activities—including committee meetings, training, attorney recognition, newspaper or magazine articles, marketing and promotion—in chronological order.

<u>Date</u>	<u>Activity</u>
1/3	Distribution of press release re: Talk to a Lawyer Today (TTALT) program in honor of Martin Luther King Day.
1/14	Tippecanoe County Adult Guardianship Services (TAGS) stakeholders meeting
1/18-19	Radio announcement of TTALT program for Martin Luther King
1/19	Talk to a Lawyer Today in honor of Martin Luther King Day
1/28	January board meeting
2/23	TAGS stakeholders meeting
3/11	Pro se divorce forms at the Tippecanoe County Public Library
3/17	Fountain County Bar Association, award given to Jon McCarty
3/25	March board meeting
4/2	Press release regarding TTALT program at Purdue, announcements regarding Know Your Rights Week events at Purdue distributed to press and members of District 4 bar associations
4/7	Press Release re: winners of the 2008 Pro Bono Attorneys of the Year for 2008 for District 4
4/13	Intellectual Property and the Internet, Presentation at Purdue
4/14	Indiana Court of Appeals oral argument at Purdue
4/15	Criminal Procedure and Students' Rights at Purdue
4/16	Landlord Tenant law for Purdue students
4/17	Talk to a Lawyer Day at Purdue
4/22	TAGS community meeting
4/23	Award Dinner and Spring CLE Program
4/27	Plan Administrators' Retreat
5/16-17	Equal Justice Conference, Orlando
5/24	May board meeting
5/27	TAGS stakeholders meeting
6/7	Distribution of promotional materials re: Mortgage Foreclosure training for attorneys.
6/24	June board meeting
6/24	Immigration Law training, Lafayette
6/28	Mortgage Foreclosure video replay
7/2	Bankruptcy training, Lafayette
8/5	August board meeting
8/20	TAGS stakeholders meeting
8/21	Distribution of promotional materials for Mortgage Foreclosure training.

9/9	Mortgage Foreclosure video replay
9/23	September board meeting
10/13	TAGS stakeholders meeting
10/14	Press Release distributed re: TALT at IVY Tech in honor of National Pro Bono Week and honor pro bono attorney of the year awards in District 4
10/19	TAGS guardianship training
10/27	Montgomery Co. Bar Assoc. meeting, award given to Pat Sosbe
10/28	Annual Meeting and Fall CLE program
10/28-29	Radio announcement of Pro Bono Week and TALT at IVY Tech
10/29	Talk to a Lawyer Today at IVY Tech
10/30	Northwest Indiana Guardianship Seminar, Merrillville
11/2	Benton County Transition Fair for the Developmentally Disabled
11/6	Plan Administrators' Retreat, Indianapolis
11/6	Randall Shepard Award Dinner, Indianapolis
12/2	December board meeting
12/2	TAGS guardian swearing in ceremony at the Tippecanoe Circuit Court
12/3-4	Access to Justice Conference, Indianapolis
December 11 & 18	Video Replay of Amazingly Interesting CLE for Attorneys with a Heart promotion for Talk to a Lawyer Today for Martin Luther King Day, January 19, 2010

2009 REPORT

Please provide a short summary of how the provision of pro bono service is coordinated in your district, including the intake process, the relationships of pro bono providers in the districts, how referrals are made, and how reporting is done.

District 4 and the Lafayette Indiana Legal Services, Inc. (“ILS”) office coordinates Community Volunteer Lawyer Panels in Benton, Carroll, Clinton, Fountain, Warren and White Counties. ILS conducts intake and screens for eligibility. The Plan Administrator and Legal Assistant refer the eligible clients to panel members. The Plan Administrator and ILS staff periodically monitors case progress and hours spent, offers malpractice insurance, and litigation support. The Legal Aid Corporation of Tippecanoe County (“LACTC”) coordinates these responsibilities for Tippecanoe County. Panel members contacted directly by potential client can refer clients to ILS and LACTC for referral back to the panel members to obtain the benefits of program involvement.

Montgomery County has maintained a separate program, Montgomery County Legal Aid, for many years. Participating attorneys serve as legal aid lawyer of the week for two (2) weeks each year, seeing indigent individuals seeking pro bono legal services. Referrals are made by the Montgomery County Clerk’s office to the intake process available through ILS. Clients that contact ILS are interviewed and screened for eligibility. Eligible clients are then referred by the Plan Administrator to the lawyer of the week on the Montgomery County Legal Aid Roster.

Please describe any special circumstances affecting your District’s 2009 implementation of its plan.

The activities of District 4 have been adversely affected by the mortgage foreclosure crisis and the loss of funding for guardianship programs. The growing foreclosure demand for representation in foreclosure cases now consumes nearly half of the staff time for District 4. Similarly, the growing need for adult guardianships has made growing demands on District 4’s services. The guardianship situation has been complicated by the lack of funding for the Tippecanoe County Adult Guardianship Services program (TAGS), which has just been terminated as a result of the state budget crisis. TAGS coordinated the provision of guardianship services for disabled adults in Tippecanoe County, including the use of volunteer attorneys through District 4. District 4 will prioritize grant making activities to try to meet this growing demand.

The increase in demand for low income legal services overall will also need to be addressed. The board for District 4 has moved that new policies be developed to prioritize those cases where limited resources will have the greatest beneficial effect.

BUDGETS for 2009, 2010 and 2011 for District # 4

Income Category	Final 2009 Income	2010 Actual Income To	2010 Budget	2011 Budget	Difference between 2010 and 2011
A. INCOME					
1. IOLTA Grant Amount					
2. Previous year IOLTA grant carryover					
Other Income: <i>Explain source in narrative</i>					
3.					
4.					
5. Total Income (sum of lines A1-A4)	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Expense Category	2009 Actual Expenditures	2010 Actual Expenditures to _____	2010 Budget	2011 Budget	Difference between 2010 and 2011
B. PERSONNEL EXPENDITURES					
1. Plan Administrator (Salary & FICA)					
2. Paralegals (Salary & FICA)					
3. Support Staff					
Other – Please Explain					
4. Employee Benefits					
a. Insurance (WC, Health, Life)					
b. Retirement plans					
c. Other- Please Explain					
5. Total Personnel expenditures (sum of lines B1-B4c)	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
C. NON-PERSONNEL EXPENSES					
1. Occupancy (include utilities)					
2. Equipment Rental					
3. Office Supplies					
4. Telephone					
5. Travel					
6. Training/Conferences					
7. Library/Info. Technology					
8. Malpractice Insurance/D&O insurance					
9. Dues and Fees					
10. Marketing & promotion					
11. Attorney recognition					
12. Litigation expenses					
13. Equipment Acquisition					
14. Contract Services					
15. Grants to other pro bono providers					
16. Other- Please Explain					
17. Total Non-Personnel Expenditures (sum of lines C1-C16)					
D. TOTAL EXPENDITURES (sum of B5 & C17)					
E. ENDING FUND BALANCE (A4 less D)					

2011 Budget Narrative

Please provide descriptions of the following line items in the foregoing budget chart, by item number, in the space provided. Please explain any other budget entries that are not self-explanatory, including other sources of income and any significant deviation in requests from previous years.

Lines (B) (1), (2), (3), (4) Please indicate the number of hours per week for each personnel position, rate of pay, and all employee benefits.

B(1): Full-time (37.5 hours per week) attorney plan administrator position at rate of \$64,000 (No cost of living increase is included in 2011) annual salary (21 year legal practitioner), plus FICA. Due to a clerical error, the Plan Administrator was given a cost of living increase in 2009 that was \$2,000 greater than budgeted. To compensate for the overpayment, no cost of living increase was given to the Plan Administrator for either 2010 or 2011.

B(2): The cost of the clerical staff person is based upon one half time legal secretarial/paralegal position with three to five years experience with at a rate of \$13,224 (no cost of living increase in included for 2011) plus FICA.

Line (C) (1) Please describe the occupancy cost in terms of square footage, utilities or other amenities and indicate whether the occupancy cost is above or below the market rate for that space.

(C)(1): Occupancy cost is approximately \$10.80 per square foot and is average for downtown Lafayette based on the distance from the courthouse. Amount charged is factored on total ILSI office space square footage and footage of plan administrator's office and one half the value of the space allocated to the new clerical staff person.

LINES: Line 9, Dues and Fees includes funding for local, state and national bar association memberships and annual filing fees for the corporation. Line 14, Contractual services includes funding for a legal assistant at the Legal Aid Corporation of Tippecanoe County to assist with recruiting pro bono attorneys and assignment pro bono clients to them. Line 16 includes the funding for reprints and distribution of the Parenting Time Guidelines to county courthouses.

One supplemental, explanatory page may be added to the end of this report and plan.

ANNUAL TIMETABLE FOR IOLTA GRANT FORM SUBMISSION:
--

January, April, July and October:	Quarterly IOLTA payments distributed
July 1:	Annual report, plan and grant application due to IPBC
November:	Notification of awards
December 1:	IBF grant agreement due and revised budget due

BUDGETS FOR 2009, 2010, AND 2011 FOR DISTRICT #4					
INCOME CATEGORY	Final 2009 Income	2010 Actual Income to 6/30/2010	2010 Budget	2011 Budget	Difference Between 2011 Original and Revised
A. INCOME					
1. IOLTA Grant Amount	125,529	114,889	114,889	114,889	-
2. Previous year IOLTA grant carryover	10,640	18,316	12,140	9,926	(2,214)
3. Equal Access Conference Grant		3,153	1,500	1,500	
Other Income: <i>Explain source in narrative</i>					
4. Gross CLE Registrations, Refunds	1,647	600	1,000	1,000	-
5. Interest Income	1,097	496	500	200	(300)
5. Total Income (sum of lines A1 - A4)	\$ 138,913	\$ 137,454	\$ 130,029	\$ 127,515	(2,514)
EXPENSE CATEGORY	2009 Actual Expenditures	2010 Actual Expenditures to 6/30/2010	2010 Budget	2011 Budget	Difference Between 2010 and 2011
B. PERSONNEL EXPENDITURES					
1. Plan Administrator (Salary and FICA)	66,600	33,448	68,896	66,600	(2,296)
2. Paralegals (Salary and FICA)	14,608	6,711	13,442	13,224	(218)
3. Support Staff (Salary and FICA)					-
4. Employee benefits					-
a. Insurance (WC, Health, Life)	9,677	7,899	11,154	11,154	-
b. Retirement plans			1,523		(1,523)
c. Other - Please Explain				1,523	1,523
5. Total Personnel Exp. (Sum of lines B1 - B4c)	\$ 90,885	\$ 48,058	\$ 95,015	\$ 92,501	(2,514)
C. NON-PERSONNEL EXPENDITURES					
1. Occupancy (Incl utilities)	4,500	2,000	4,000	4,000	-
2. Equipment Rental	450	200	400	400	-
3. Office Supplies	3,427	1,154	1,100	1,100	-
4. Telephone	4,092	1,225	4,500	4,500	-
5. Travel	1,008	1,125	3,000	3,000	-
6. Training/Conferences	857	550	1,500	1,500	-
7. Library/Information Technology	124	278	500	500	-
8. Malpractice/Directors Insurance		1,930	2,000	2,000	-
9. Dues and Fees	275	12	500	500	-
10. Marketing and promotion	3,677	1,465	1,500	1,500	-
11. Attorney recognition	1,563	2,121	3,000	3,000	-
12. Litigation Expenses	2,672	335	2,000	2,000	-
13. Equipment Acquisition			2,450	2,450	-
14. Contract Services	7,067	2,970	7,200	7,200	-
15. Grants to other pro bono providers			-	-	-
16. Other - Please explain			-	-	-
17. Other - Please explain			1,364	1,364	-
18. Total Non-Personnel Exp. (sum of lines C1 - C17)	\$ 29,712	\$ 15,365	\$ 35,014	\$ 35,014	-
D. TOTAL EXPENDITURES (sum of B5 & C18)	\$ 120,597	\$ 63,423	\$ 130,029	\$ 127,515	(2,514)
E. ENDING FUND BALANCE (A5 less D)	\$ 18,316	\$ 74,031	\$ -	\$ -	-

ATTORNEY NAME	COUNTY	ACTIVITY	HRS
Mark Calloway	Jasper	Talk to a Lawyer 2009	2.0
Richard Comingore	Jasper		2.0
Samantha Joslyn	Jasper		2.0
Robert Monfort	Jasper		2.0
Todd Sammons	Jasper		2.0
Emily Waddle	Jasper		2.0
Karen Antink	Lake		2.0
Carrie Castro	Lake		2.0
Judge WM. E. Davis	Lake		2.0
April Etheridge	Lake		2.0
Dan Gioia	Lake		2.0
George Glendenning	Lake		2.0
Craig Hanson	Lake		2.0
Jennifer Irons	Lake		2.0
Derrick Julkes	Lake		2.0
Elmer Marshall	Lake		2.0
Michael Massa	Lake		2.0
Thomas K. Parry	Lake		2.0
Karen Pulliam	Lake		2.0
Bridget Repay	Lake		2.0
Katherine Rowberg	Lake		2.0
Kris Sakelaris	Lake		2.0
Steve Sersic	Lake		2.0
C.Jerome Smith	Lake		2.0
Judith Stanton	Lake		2.0
Richard E. Vawter	Lake		2.0
W. Anthony Walker	Lake		2.0
Matthew Warring	Lake		2.0
Dave Westland	Lake		2.0
Scott Yahne	Lake		2.0
Brad Adamsky	LaPorte		2.0
Lawrence Arness	LaPorte		2.0
Hugo Bamberth	LaPorte		2.0
Mike Bergerson	LaPorte		2.0
Mike Burns	LaPorte		2.0
Gary Davis	LaPorte		2.0
Jonathan Forker	LaPorte		2.0
Bill Hedge	LaPorte		2.0
William Herrbach	LaPorte		2.0
Ed Janes	LaPorte		2.0
Amber Lapaich	LaPorte		2.0
James I. McCafferty	LaPorte		2.0
Kevin McGrath	LaPorte		2.0
Nicholas T. Otis	LaPorte		2.0
David Payne	LaPorte		2.0
Mike Riley	LaPorte		2.0
Michelle Shirk	LaPorte		2.0
Jeff Thorne	LaPorte		2.0
Martin Ulferts	LaPorte		2.0
Andrew Wolf	LaPorte		2.0
Mark Woodcox	LaPorte		2.0
Daniel Blaney	Newton		2.0
Jeff Drinski	Newton		2.0
Jessica Hoover	newton		2.0
Jos Morrison	Newton		2.0
James J. Schenher	Newton		2.0
Brian L. Bennett	Porter		2.0
Julie Dugan	Porter		2.0
Marcia Gienap	Porter		2.0
John M. Lyons	Porter		2.0
Douglas C. McMillan	Porter		2.0
Ruth Norris	Porter		2.0
Jeff Shaw	Porter		2.0
Lea Shelemey	Porter		2.0
Matthew Soliday	Porter		2.0
Jamie L. Turley	Porter		2.0
Francis Veltri	Porter		2.0
Timothy E. Vojslavek	Porter		2.0
Betsy Walits	Porter		2.0
Stephanie Wicke	Porter		2.0
Jeffrey Wrage	Porter		2.0
Al Hizer	Pulaski		2.0
Tim Murray	Pulaski		2.0
Kevin Tankersley	Pulaski		2.0
Dale J. Starkes	Starke		2.0
David M. Geisler	Starke		2.0
Leroy Gudeman	Starke		2.0
Cassandra Hine	Starke		2.0