



Indiana Pro Bono Commission  
 230 East Ohio Street, Suite 400  
 Indianapolis, IN 46204

**COMBINED 2009 DISTRICT REPORT, 2011 PRO BONO GRANT APPLICATION, AND 2011 PLAN**

Pro Bono District 14  
 Program Name: Pro Bono Program of Indiana Judicial District 14, Inc./dba Legal Volunteers  
 Mailing Address: P.O. Box 94  
 City: New Albany, IN Zip: 47151  
 Phone: 812-949-2292 Fax: 812-949-2334  
 E-mail address: probono14@sbcglobal.net  
 Judicial Appointee: Vicki L. Carmichael  
 Plan Administrator: Amy W. Roth  
 Names of Counties Served: Clark, Crawford, Floyd, Harrison, Orange, Scott, and Washington

**Number and Percentage of volunteer attorneys (as defined on page 3) who rendered pro bono service to at least one low-income client during the year or who accepted a pro bono case in 2009 per registered attorneys in district, i.e. the district's pro bono participation rate:**

# 61 % 22.9

**Please also provide pro bono participation rates by county, if available.**

<u>County</u>	<u>Registered Attorneys</u>	<u>Volunteer Attorneys</u>	<u>Participation Rate</u>
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____

**SEE GRID ON SEPARATE PAGE: THIS GRID WOULD NOT WORK ON MY COMPUTER.**

**Number of volunteer attorneys (as defined on page 3) who provided pro bono representation for at least 50 hours during 2009:** 5  
**Number of potential clients requesting help in 2009 (limit this to actual intake done or sessions in which plan administrator or his/her delegate provided more than minimal assistance):** 453

**Number of potential clients who were actually provided with legal services (through volunteer attorney referral or assistance organized by the plan administrator) as a result of their request: 230 Please provide this information by county, if available.**

**Amount of grant received for 2010: \$110,800**

**Amount of grant (2010 and prior years) projected to be unused as of 12/31/10: \$15,000**

**Amount requested for 2011: \$95,000**

<b>2011 PLAN SUMMARY</b>
--------------------------

**Please write a brief summary of the 2011 grant request. Please include information regarding your district's planned activities including committee meetings, training, attorney recognition, newspaper or magazine articles, marketing and promotion. The grant request should cover needs to be addressed, methods, target audience, anticipated outcomes, and how past difficulties will be addressed.**

The need for low-income legal assistance is increasing in this area—no news to anyone familiar with the economic woes of the nation and the increased frustration on the part of the indigent, but heavily influenced in this area by Louisville, KY's difficulty in providing low income housing. Several housing projects have closed in the last several years, and with two smaller communities in Indiana just across the Ohio River in Indiana (one [New Albany] with a well-run public housing authority), there is a great deal of migration. They bring their relationships and their need for legal help. This program needs another staff person, a better handle on available resources, and above all, more attorneys to take cases. For some reason there is much confusion in the area about the program's relationship to ILS, and many attorneys think we either are an arm of ILS or actually work for them. And, again for some unknown reason, pro bono is a hard sell. We are doing our best one on one and by working with judges to change this, but it is slow going. The Bar Associations are neutral—not anti but certainly not eager to work with us in a collaborative way.

Our board meets quarterly, and we have several committees that work outside this schedule; a newly formed one is for donations and fund-raising. We did three foreclosure trainings in 2009, plan a CLE in the fall of 2010, and will do one in 2011. We also make available training on the TTAL material each year. This year the PA has been written up in a human interest column in the Louisville newspaper, and she was one of five area women (and the only one from Indiana) honored as Women of Distinction by the local DV advocacy and shelter provider for her collaborative work with them.

Our coaching program is becoming more refined and streamlined, and we would like to expand that eventually into a staff position. While its impact on the legal process is difficult to measure, the feedback from attorneys who have taken on coached clients is favorable.

Attorney recognition in 2011 will again be done county by county, with several of the more sparsely populated (and therefore sparsely furnished with lawyers!) being combined. District 14 writes an article annually for the Indiana Lawyer's pro bono insert. We support Talk to a Lawyer with call-ins monthly from September – May and an expanded one on MLK Day each January. We are having increasing difficulty finding attorneys to do these slots and will try some collaborative efforts with the local bar association.

**2009 REPORT OF VOLUNTEER ATTORNEY CASES IN DISTRICT 14**

Please attach additional pages for each pro bono provider that receives IOLTA funding, whether directly or indirectly, in your district. See the sample additional pro bono provider page 3A. Please list one case per each line in the chart below. The information provided in this chart, and the charts immediately following, should be for the calendar year 2009 and not the fiscal year.

Definitions

Case: A legal matter referred to and accepted by a pro bono attorney volunteer. This includes mediation and GAL services.

Volunteer Attorney: An attorney who has rendered pro bono service to at least one low-income client during the year or accepted a pro bono referral from the identified program. This does not include attorneys who are in the list of pro bono volunteers but who have never taken a case. The case numbers do not include cases screened, only cases actually referred to a pro bono attorney. This also includes an attorney who has worked solely on a pending pro bono case that was neither opened nor closed during the reporting year. Volunteer attorneys for modest means programs may be counted, as long as they are separately identified as such.

Case Type: Please use the abbreviations listed in the Indiana Supreme Court Administrative Rule 8(B)(3) or any other defined abbreviation. Please be sure to include the mortgage foreclosure code of MF, if applicable.

**Program Name** (includes legal service provider, court, plan administrator, bar association, and other organizations or individuals): **Pro Bono Program of Indiana Judicial District 14**

**IOLTA funding accounts for 95 % of total pro bono provider budget. Please state the percentage of volunteers and cases which are attributable to IOLTA funding 95%. If this percentage is substantially more than the percentage of IOLTA funding, please explain.**

Volunteer Attorney Name	County of Case	Was case pending at beginning of 2009?	Was case opened in 2009?	Was case closed in 2009?	Number of hours worked on case if closed in 2009 (include prior years' hours)	Case type
<b>TOTAL:</b>	<i>No total needed</i>	<b>TOTAL:</b>	<b>TOTAL:</b>	<b>TOTAL:</b>	<b>TOTAL:</b>	<i>No total needed</i>







2009 REPORT
-------------

Please list your District's 2009 activities—including committee meetings, training, attorney recognition, newspaper or magazine articles, marketing and promotion—in chronological order.

Date                      Activity

Most weeks throughout the year—met with Client Coach

<u>1/19/09</u>	<u>MLK TTAL 10-4</u>
<u>2/3/09</u>	<u>Board Meeting</u>
<u>2/10/09</u>	<u>Continuation of Operations Training</u>
<u>2/17/09</u>	<u>Disaster planning committee</u>
<u>2/24/09</u>	<u>TTAL, 4-6</u>
<u>3/17/09</u>	<u>TTAL, 4-6</u>
<u>3/24/09</u>	<u>PB Commission Presentation</u>
<u>3/30/09</u>	<u>Meet w/Judge Granger to discuss ADR Program</u>
<u>4/3/09</u>	<u>Lunch with PB Attorney</u>
<u>4/7/09</u>	<u>Clark Family Court Meeting</u>
<u>4/8/09</u>	<u>DV Task Force meeting</u>
<u>4/15/09</u>	<u>Lunch with ILS attorney</u>
<u>4/21/09</u>	<u>TTAL 4-6</u>
<u>4/27/09</u>	<u>Spring PB Retreat at DePauw</u>
<u>5/11/09</u>	<u>Meet with board member</u>
<u>5/12/09-5/15/09</u>	<u>EJC in Orlando</u>
<u>5/19/09</u>	<u>TTAL 4-6</u>
<u>5/20/09</u>	<u>Floyd County Bar Meeting</u>
<u>5/22/09</u>	<u>Lunch with Judge</u>
<u>“</u>	<u>Meeting with Board Member</u>
<u>5/26/09</u>	<u>Board Meeting</u>
<u>6/1/09</u>	<u>Training at a family advocacy center (we trained)</u>
<u>6/9/09</u>	<u>Family Court meeting</u>
<u>6/19/09</u>	<u>Foreclosure Training in French Lick</u>
	<u>Lunch with pro bono attorney</u>
<u>6/23/09</u>	<u>Lunch with pro bono attorney</u>
<u>6/25/09</u>	<u>Foreclosure Training in Jeffersonville</u>
	<u>Afternoon meeting with advocacy group from DV Shelter</u>

<u>7/21/09</u>	<u>Advocacy meeting in Clarksville</u>
<u>7/24/09</u>	<u>Mortgage Foreclosure training in New Albany</u>
<u>7/29/09</u>	<u>Meeting with Judges</u>
<u>8/18/09</u>	<u>Board meeting</u>
<u>8/21/09</u>	<u>Moved office!</u>
<u>8/25/09</u>	<u>Advocacy meeting</u>
	<u>Lunch with Pro bono attorney</u>
<u>9/4/09</u>	<u>Lunch with PB attorney</u>
<u>9/11/09</u>	<u>Lunch with two PB attorneys</u>
<u>9/15/09</u>	<u>TTAL—4-6</u>
<u>9/24/09</u>	<u>Meet with Client coach</u>
<u>10/6/09</u>	<u>Meet with Recruitment committee</u>
<u>10/20/09</u>	<u>TTAL, 4-6</u>
<u>10/21/09</u>	<u>Major advocates training at DV Shelter</u>
<u>11/2/09</u>	<u>Interview of PA by local newspaper columnist</u>
<u>11/3/09</u>	<u>Personnel Committee</u>
<u>11/6/09</u>	<u>Pro Bono Retreat and Shepard Dinner</u>
<u>11/10/09</u>	<u>Board Meeting</u>
<u>11/12/09</u>	<u>Staff training with attorney on landlord/tenant issues</u>
<u>11/16/09</u>	<u>Protective Order training with advocates at DV Shelter</u>
<u>11/17/09</u>	<u>TTAL, 4-6</u>
<u>11/20/09</u>	<u>Meeting with VISTA Volunteer</u>
<u>12/09</u>	<u>Amy out much of the month after a bad fall—worked from home.</u>

## 2009 REPORT

**Please provide a short summary of how the provision of pro bono service is coordinated in your district, including the intake process, the relationships of pro bono providers in the districts, how referrals are made, and how reporting is done.**

District 14 requires a written application, available right now on the state web site but soon to be included in our own private site, with the application returning to us electronically. Clients who are not computer-savvy are mailed applications. Clients are referred from various entities: the local court staffs and judges (including prosecutors and public defenders), attorneys, advocacy organizations, word of mouth, the internet, ILS, etc. Upon receipt of an application, and unless it is immediately obvious that we cannot accept the client, he/she is sent a letter stating our receipt and outlining the time frame of the evaluation and referral process. If a woman is in an abusive relationship, she is immediately referred to an advocacy group, and we check to make sure the contact is made. We have recently developed a best practices procedure for referrals to make sure no corners are cut. When we have decided that both the client and the case fit within our guidelines and also that the client is likely to be cooperative with the legal process, we pre-qualify the case with an attorney. If we feel that the client will benefit from client coaching, that contact is also made. The actual referral follows the standard procedure, with disclaimers, set up when this program was developed. The attorney gets a copy of the application, plus a referral sheet and any other pertinent information. The client is given two weeks to contact the attorney. We ask that the attorney report to us acceptance/rejection/no-show status of the case, but this does not often happen. When the case closes, they should send us a closing form, but most often, we have to chase that down in order to get the pro bono credit hours.

There are only two legal assistance programs on the Indiana side of the Ohio River—the pro bono program and ILS. We each send the other applications, but not very many. We do not have any collaborative programs going on right now.

**Please describe any special circumstances affecting your District's 2009 implementation of its plan.**

On the adverse side, the courts of Clark and Floyd Counties are among the busiest in the state, and it is difficult to get any time with ANY of the judges. This has cut down on opportunities to do recruiting with the judges. On the positive side, our coaching program continues to prove its worth—our coached clients are much more reassured about going to see an attorney and also going to court. Plus our coach gets much more information out of them than they tell us on their applications. Above all, we get a good sense of the likelihood of the client to cooperate with an attorney, to be able to set priorities and goals, and most of the time, to be able to turn their lives around.

**2011 Budget Narrative**

Please provide descriptions of the following line items in the foregoing budget chart, by item number, in the space provided. Please explain any other budget entries that are not self-explanatory, including other sources of income and any significant deviation in requests from previous years.

Lines (B) (1), (2), (3), (4) Please indicate the number of hours per week for each personnel position, rate of pay, and all employee benefits.

(1) PA's salary frozen with 80% time and no benefits

---

(3) Assistant frozen at full time and no benefits

---

(3a) Client coach @ contracted part time as needed, \$16 an hour, no benefits

---

Line (C) (1) Please describe the occupancy cost in terms of square footage, utilities or other amenities and indicate whether the occupancy cost is above or below the market rate for that space.

(C) (1) Square footage is approximately 1200-1500 and we pay the utilities. The basic rent is the same as we were paying for less space and a non-optimal arrangement. The market rate is well above the basic \$600 a month we pay. Premises insurance is included in this figure.

---

**One supplemental, explanatory page may be added to the end of this report and plan.**

<b>ANNUAL TIMETABLE FOR IOLTA GRANT FORM SUBMISSION:</b>
--

January, April, July and October:	Quarterly IOLTA payments distributed
July 1:	Annual report, plan and grant application due to IPBC
November:	Notification of awards
December 1:	<b>IBF grant agreement due and revised budget due</b>

## **SUPPLEMENTAL PAGE**

1. Our proposed CLE for the near future is to offer GAL training to all interested attorneys in our districts and in those around us (10, 11, 12, 13). Our local judges are appointing GALs with more frequency, and our roster includes very few who have had any kind of training.
2. Because of staffing concerns for 2011 due to the anticipated loss in funding, we have developed several alternative plans to the current one of 80% time Plan Administrator and 100% time Program Assistant. Abandoning our coaching program is not an option, as it has proved valuable in several important ways.
3. Our computers have been upgraded to Windows 7 and the latest version of Microsoft Office. We have a new scanner and have a subscription to Carbonite, a well-regarded on-line backup system. Any data on our main computer is automatically transferred to Carbonite, and the scanner has been thus far mostly used to back up closed files.
4. Our requested IOLTA allocation for 2011 is \$15,800 less than that requested for 2010, which was \$16,700 less than that for 2009.

<b>BUDGETS FOR 2009, 2010, and 2011 FOR DISTRICT # 14</b>					
<b>INCOME CATEGORY</b>	<b>Final 2009 Income</b>	<b>2010 Actual Income To 6/30/2010</b>	<b>2010 Budget</b>	<b>2011 Budget</b>	<b>Difference Between 2010 and 2011</b>
<b>A. INCOME</b>					
1. IOLTA Grant Amount	\$127,500.00	\$110,800.00	\$110,800.00	\$95,000.00	-\$15,800.00
2. Previous year IOLTA grant carryover		\$14,900.00	\$6,000.00	\$15,000.00	\$9,000.00
Other Income: <i>Explain source in narrative</i>					\$0.00
3. Interest on held funds	\$793.20	\$280.28	\$800.00	\$500.00	-\$300.00
4. Donations	\$1,250.00	\$0.00	\$450.00	\$1,000.00	\$550.00
5. Processing Clark ADR mediations	\$650.00	\$200.00	\$500.00	\$200.00	-\$300.00
<b>5. Total Income (sum of lines A1 - A4)</b>	<b>\$130,193.20</b>	<b>\$126,180.28</b>	<b>\$118,550.00</b>	<b>\$111,700.00</b>	<b>-\$6,550.00</b>
<b>EXPENSE CATEGORY</b>	<b>2009 Actual Expenditures</b>	<b>2010 Actual Expenditures to 6/30/10</b>	<b>2010 Budget</b>	<b>2011 Budget</b>	<b>Difference Between 2010 and 2011</b>
<b>B. PERSONNEL EXPENDITURES</b>					
1. Plan Administrator (Salary and FICA)	\$55,439.75	\$23,683.00	\$47,366.00	\$47,366.00	\$0.00
2. Paralegals (Salary and FICA)				\$0.00	\$0.00
3. Support Staff (Salary and FICA) Other-- <b>Please Explain</b>	\$31,433.80	\$17,224.00	\$34,448.00	\$34,448.00	\$0.00
3a. Client Coach	\$643.00	\$500.00	\$12,000.00	\$2,000.00	-\$10,000.00
4. Employee benefits	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
a. Insurance (WC, Health, Life)			\$0.00		\$0.00
b. Retirement plans					\$0.00
c. Other - Please Explain					\$0.00
<b>5. Total Personnel Expenditures (sum of lines B1 - B4c)</b>	<b>\$87,516.55</b>	<b>\$41,407.00</b>	<b>\$93,814.00</b>	<b>\$83,814.00</b>	<b>-\$10,000.00</b>
<b>C. NON-PERSONNEL EXPENDITURES</b>					
1. Occupancy (include utilities)	\$8,764.78	\$5,526.14	\$9,400.00	\$11,800.00	\$2,400.00
2. Equipment Rental	\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00
3. Office Supplies	\$1,508.82	\$534.00	\$1,811.00	\$1,500.00	-\$311.00
4. Telephone	\$2,763.57	\$1,332.13	\$2,500.00	\$2,800.00	\$300.00
5. Travel	\$1,035.59	\$308.00	\$1,600.00	\$1,200.00	-\$400.00
6. Training/Conferences	\$854.22	\$42.45	\$800.00	\$400.00	-\$400.00
7. Library/Information Technology	\$2,470.00	\$1,480.38	\$2,850.00	\$2,011.00	-\$839.00
8. Malpractice Insurance/D&O Insurance	\$2,350.00	\$2,205.00	\$2,150.00	\$2,400.00	\$250.00
9. Dues and Fees	\$285.00	\$250.00	\$250.00	\$285.00	\$35.00
10. Marketing and promotion	\$232.84	\$611.00	\$1,000.00	\$1,200.00	\$200.00
11. Attorney recognition	\$500.00	\$0.00	\$1,000.00	\$1,000.00	\$0.00
12. Litigation Expenses	\$0.00	\$70.22	\$750.00	\$750.00	\$0.00
13. Equipment Acquisition	\$3,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
14. Contract Services	\$2,000.00	\$400.00	\$0.00	\$2,340.00	\$2,340.00
15. Grants to other pro bono providers	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
16. Other - <b>Please explain Moving Off.</b>	\$2,000.00	\$7.50	\$25.00	\$200.00	\$175.00
<b>17. Total Non-Personnel Exp. (sum of lines C1 - C16)</b>	<b>\$27,764.82</b>	<b>\$12,766.82</b>	<b>\$24,136.00</b>	<b>\$27,886.00</b>	<b>\$3,750.00</b>
<b>D. TOTAL EXPENDITURES (sum of B5 &amp; C17)</b>	<b>\$115,281.37</b>	<b>\$54,173.82</b>	<b>\$117,950.00</b>	<b>\$111,700.00</b>	<b>-\$6,250.00</b>
<b>E. ENDING FUND BALANCE (A4 less D)</b>	<b>\$14,911.83</b>	<b>\$72,006.46</b>	<b>\$600.00</b>	<b>\$0.00</b>	<b>-\$9,550.00</b>

Volunteer Attorney Name	County of Case	Was case pending at beginning of 2009?	Was case opened in 2009?	Was case closed in 2009?	Number of hours worked on case if closed in 2009 (include prior years' hours)	CASE TYPE
Austin, Maryland	Floyd	1		1	4.5	32
Austin, Maryland	Orange	1		1	22.5	32
Austin, Maryland	Crawford	1		1	12	32/CC
Austin, Maryland	Crawford		1		0	31/CC
Austin, Maryland	Harrison	1		1	7	32/CC
Austin, Maryland	Harrison		1		0	32
Austin, Maryland	Harrison		1	1	6	34
Bailen, Neal	Scott	1		1	16	33/CC
Bailen, Neal	Floyd		1		0	36
Bailen, Neal	Floyd		1	1	8.5	33
Beardsley, Stephen	Scott	1		1	4	33
Bertrand, Jennifer	Floyd	1		1	30	31
Bird, Thomas	Floyd		1		0	32
Briscoe, Darlene	Floyd	1		1	13	32
Briscoe, Darlene	Orange		1		0	31
Cade, Philip	Floyd		1		0	33
Clark, Gregory	Crawford	1		1	55	31/CC
Clark, Gregory	Harrison		1		0	33
Clark, Gregory	Floyd	1		1	26	31/CC
Clary, William	Clark	1			0	32/CC
Conrad, Marianne	Floyd	1		1	45	31
Cummins, Rachele	Clark	1			0	32
DeSimone, Judy	Clark	1		1	8	32
DeSimone, Judy	Clark		1	1	8.6	36/CC
DeSimone, Judy	Clark		1		0	31
Dietrich, John	Scott	1			0	31
Dietrich, John	Scott		1		0	36/CC
Dietrich, John	Scott	1			0	31
Fondrisi, Mary	Floyd	1			0	31
Fondrisi, Mary	Clark		1		0	67
Fondrisi, Mary	Floyd		1		0	32
Fondrisi, Mary	Scott	1		1	8.6	32
Fox, Richard	Floyd		1	1	2.4	31/CC
Fox, Richard	Clark		1	1	6.5	32
Gabhart, Paige	Floyd	1			0	31
Gabhart, Paige	Clark	1			0	32
Gesenhues, George	Clark	1		1	9.5	31
Gesenhues, George	Clark		1	1	12.2	31
Gesenhues, George	Harrison		1		0	32/CC
Goodwell, Karen	Clark		1	1	2	33
Goodwell, Karen	Clark	1			0	33
Goodwell, Karen	Clark		1	1	7	33
Goodwell, Karen	Clark		1		0	33
Grannan, John	Harrison	1		1	18	31

Grannan, John	Harrison	1		1	16	32/CC
Grannan, John	Floyd		1		0	32
Gray, William	Harrison	1		1	120	32
Gray, William	Floyd	1			0	36/CC
Gray, William	Floyd		1		0	36
Gray, William	Clark		1	1	4	36/CC
Green, Graham	Floyd	1		1	5	32
Hamilton, Robert	Clark	1			0	1
Hamilton, Robert	Harrison	1			0	1
Hamilton, William	Floyd		1	1	15	31/CC
Houston, Robert	Scott	1		1	5	33
Houston, Robert	Scott		1	1	6.5	33/CC
Howard, Rebecca	Scott	1		1	90.25	32
Hutson, David	Scott		1		0	31
Ingle, Gordon	Harrison		1		0	32
Ingle, Gordon	Harrison	1			0	33
Isom, John-Paul	Harrison	1		1	2.1	31
Kuchle, Jennifer	Clark	1		1	23	62
Kuchle, Jennifer	Clark		1		0	33
Leatherbury,Doug	Harrison	1		1	10	33
Lockard, Rebecca	Floyd	1		1	16.5	31
Lockard, Rebecca	Orange	1		1	5.6	32
Lockard, Rebecca	Crawford	1			0	33
Lorch, Linda	Floyd	1		1	9.6	32
Lorch, Linda	Floyd		1	1	8.6	32/CC
Lorch, Linda	Clark		1	1	5.5	37
Lowe, Jeff	Clark	1			0	93
Moore, Daniel	Clark	1			0	31
Murphy, Charles	Clark		1	1	2	52
Murphy, Charles	Clark		1		0	67/CC
Naville, Michael	Floyd	1			0	33
Naville, Michael	Clark	1		1	2.8	33
Naville, Michael	Clark		1		0	33
Naville, Stephen	Floyd		1		0	33
Naville, Timothy	Clark	1			0	95
Neely, Greg	Clark		1	1	2	95
Neely, Greg	Floyd		1	1	2	95
Neely, Greg	Floyd		1	1	1.5	95
Neely, Greg	Harrison		1	1	1	95
Neely, Greg	Clark		1	1	4	33
Palmquist, Steve	Clark	1		1	16.2	62
Platt, Carl	Floyd	1		1	20	39
Platt, Carl	Clark		1	1	1.5	63
Pulliam, Keith	Clark	1		1	1	34
Reger, Greg	Clark	1			0	2
Renfro, Karen	Floyd	1		1	5	33
Renfro, Karen	Clark		1		0	32/CC

Robison, Stan	Crawford	1		1	5.5	30
Robison, Stan	Washington	1			0	31
Robison, Stan	Floyd	1		1	25	32/CC
Robison, Stan	Clark		1		0	30
Rush, Richard	Harrison	1			0	62
Rush, Richard	Floyd	1			0	31
Schultz, Susan	Orange		1	1	6	32/CC
Schultz, Susan	Crawford	1			0	33
Semones, Amy	Clark		1		0	32
Semones, Amy	Clark	1			0	32/CC
Smith, William	Floyd	1		1	8.5	32
Smith, William	Clark	1			0	31
Stiller, Carrie	Harrison		1	1	5	33
Stiller, Carrie	Floyd		1		0	33
Stiller, Carrie	Clark		1		0	33/CC
Swarens, Elizabeth	Crawford	1			0	32
Thomas, Thomas	Clark	1		1	22.95	36
Thomas, Thomas	Clark	1			0	38
Timmel, Margaret	Clark		1		0	33
Timmel, Margaret	Clark		1		0	33
Timmel, Margaret	Clark		1		0	95
Ulrich, Jerry	Clark	1		1	6.7	32/CC
Ulrich, Jerry	Floyd	1			0	32/CC
Ward, Michael	Clark	1		1	2	95
Ward, Michael	Clark		1	1	3.25	33
Ward, Michael	Floyd		1		0	33
Weber, Joseph	Floyd	1		1	8	32
Wilson, Brittany	Floyd		1	1	9.2	31
Wilson, Brittany	Clark		1		0	32/CC
<b>TOTAL:</b>		<b>65</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>836.05</b>	
Pcode:						
01/bankruptcy, 02/collections/repo, 30/adoption, 31/custody-parenting time, 31-1						
32/divorce, 33/guardianship, 34/name change, 36/paternity, 37/protective order						
38/support, 39/other family, 52/medicare, 62/homeownership-real prop.						
67/foreclosure, 93/license, 95/wills-estates						
CC= Client Coaching						

**PRO BONO PARTICIPATION RATE BY COUNTY--DISTRICT 14**

<b>County</b>	<b>Registered Attorneys</b>	<b>Volunteer Attorneys</b>	<b>Participation Rate</b>
---------------	-----------------------------	----------------------------	---------------------------

Clark	124	24	19.4
Crawford	6	0	0
Floyd	149	27	18.1
Harrison	33	4	12.1
Orange	14	1	7.1
Scott	18	2	11.1
Washington	23	3	13