

**COMBINED 2009 DISTRICT REPORT, 2011 PRO BONO GRANT APPLICATION, AND
2011 PLAN**

Pro Bono District Eleven **Program Name:** Legal Aid – District Eleven, Inc.
Address: P.O. Box 766, 806 Jackson Street **City:** Columbus, IN **Zip:** 47202-0766
Phone: (812) 314-2721 **Fax:** (812) 372-3948 **E-mail address:** lade@iquest.net
Judicial Appointee: Hon. Judith A. Stewart
Plan Administrator: Tammara Jo Sparks
Names of Counties Served: Bartholomew, Brown, Decatur, Jackson and Jennings

Pro Bono Participation Rate: 201 registered with the Supreme Court – judges, prosecutors, and Legal Aid employees = 155 eligible, 69 participated in 2009 – 34% registered, 44.5% eligible

County	Registered Attorneys	Eligible Attorneys	Participating Attorneys	Participation Rate (Reg)	Participation Rate (Eligible)
Bartholomew	98	82	31	32%	38%
Brown	19	13	4	21%	30%
Decatur	22	16	7	32%	43%
Jackson	42	31	10	24%	32%
Jennings	20	13	7	35%	53%

Number of volunteer attorneys who provided pro bono representation for at least 50 hours during 2009: 5 – 4 from Bartholomew County and 1 from Jackson County

Number of potential clients requesting help in 2009: 723 - In 2009, Legal Aid received 1,544 applications for assistance, 396 were rejected as ineligible for assistance and 425 were closed at applicant’s request or for failure to follow through; therefore, 723 potential clients remained.

Number of potential clients who were actually provided with legal services (through volunteer attorney referral or assistance organized by the plan administrator) as a result of their request: 509/723

- 138 received information or brief advice and needed no further assistance
- 136 were assisted in filing pro se
- 358 were identified as needing referral to an attorney
 - 148 were referred to pro bono attorneys (76 in Bartholomew, 11 in Brown, 13 in Decatur, 27 in Jackson, and 21 in Jennings)
 - 56 were represented by Legal Aid’s Legal Assistance for Victims attorney
 - 29 were represented by Legal Aid’s Client Counseling Program Director,
 - 7 were represented by the Plan Administrator
 - 29 were not referred in time for their court date and were unrepresented
 - 39 could no longer be reached with the contact information they provided
 - 50 were still waiting to be referred to an attorney at the end of 2009 (In 2010, 24 were referred to pro bono attorneys, 8 to Legal Assistance for Victims attorney, 5 to Client Counseling Program Director, 4 were not referred in time for their court date and were unrepresented, and 9 could no longer be reached with the contact information they provided)
- 91 were still going through the application process at the end of 2009

Amount of grant received for 2010: \$119,190.00

Amount of grant (2010 and prior years) projected to be unused as of 12/31/10: \$0.00

Amount requested for 2011: \$118,729.00

2011 PLAN SUMMARY

Legal Aid- District Eleven, Inc. (District Eleven) addresses issues that are created when people with little or no income are faced with civil legal problems. People of limited means cannot afford to pay for even the most basic legal services. The average cost to hire an attorney to represent you in a "simple" legal matter is five hundred dollars. This fee dramatically increases as the complexity of the legal issues involved in the case increase. To be served by District Eleven you must: 1) be a resident of one of our five counties or your court case must be filed in one of our five counties, 2) have an eligible legal problem, and 3) have a household income that is at or below 125% of federal poverty level guidelines. The typical Legal Aid applicant is a single or divorced, white female between the age of 18 and 34 who has children and whose household income is less than \$20,000.00 per year.

In order to address applicant's civil legal issues, District Eleven provides three programs: Client Counseling, Lawyer Referral, and Legal Assistance for Victims.

- Client Counseling provides intake services to identify eligible applicants, gathering of information and documentation from eligible applicants to evaluate the merit of the applicant's case, referral of applicants to appropriate agencies for non-legal needs, education of applicants on their legal rights and responsibilities, preparation of pro se filings for applicants who can handle their legal issue without the assistance of an attorney, and negotiation with the adverse party or their attorney to resolve the legal problem.
- Lawyer Referral matches eligible applicants with attorneys whom have agreed to volunteer and represent the applicants free of charge.
- Legal Assistance for Victims provides victims of domestic violence with an attorney (a Legal Aid employee) to represent them in civil legal proceedings that are a result of the domestic violence i.e. divorce, custody disputes, etc. Each victim is also matched with a Turning Point domestic violence case manager to assist them in dealing with non-legal problems.

With the assistance of one of these programs, District Eleven expects that clients will have their legal issues resolved. If District Eleven is able to provide the support for an applicant that results in the applicant's legal issue being resolved, District Eleven has met its goal. Also, if the applicant chooses to stop working with Legal Aid, Legal Aid must assume that they no longer desire legal assistance.

District Eleven is requesting a grant of \$118,729.00 for 2011 to operate the Lawyer Referral Program. This represents 29% of District Eleven's 2011 total agency budget (see attached Total Agency Budget for 2011). With this money, the Plan Administrator's salary, payroll taxes, and benefits will be covered and a portion of the Program Assistant's and Intake Specialists' salaries, benefits, and payroll taxes will be covered. A portion of Legal Aid's cost for rent, phone, postage, supplies, professional fees, contracted services, insurance, membership dues, printing/publications, travel, and meetings/conferences are included. This money will cover 100% of the cost of pro bono attorney expenses, 100% of advertising costs associated with Talk To A Lawyer Today and 100% of the cost of the annual appreciation banquets and recognition activities.

With this funding, District Eleven intends to provide at least the six hour CLE in conjunction with TTALT in December of 2011 and one other CLE in each county in 2011. CLE will be free as long as the attorney signs an agreement to take at least one pro bono case in the next year; otherwise, there will be a fee for attendance.

District Eleven will host appreciation banquets in each county, recognize the pro bono attorneys of the year (given to the volunteer attorney in each county that reports the most closing hours for the year), recognize any attorney that provides at least 50 hours of pro bono in the year, provide a token of appreciation to every attorney that accepted a case, host a holiday luncheon in conjunction with each TTALT CLE, and give a token of appreciation during the Christmas/Hanukkah holidays. District Eleven will continue running its yellow pages ad and providing articles for Res Gestae, the Indiana Lawyer, and local newspapers. The plan administrator will do a radio spot in early January to promote TTALT and ads will be placed in newspapers in each county to promote the event. District Eleven will also procure an ad recognizing all of the attorneys in the district that take pro bono cases during National Pro Bono Week.

2009 REPORT OF VOLUNTEER ATTORNEY CASES IN DISTRICT ELEVEN

Program Name: Legal Aid - District Eleven

IOLTA funding accounts for 29% of total pro bono provider budget.

Please state the percentage of volunteers and cases which are attributable to IOLTA funding 100%.

If this percentage is substantially more than the percentage of IOLTA funding, please explain.

Legal Aid offers two other programs besides the pro bono program and that is why the IOLTA funding is only 29% of our total agency budget but accounts for one-hundred percent of volunteers and cases attributable to IOLTA funding.

Volunteer Attorney Name		County of Case	Was case pending at the beginning of 2009?	Was case opened in 2009?	Was case closed in 2009?	Number of hours worked on case if closed in 2009 (include prior years' hours)	Case Type
Scott	Andrews	Bartholomew	no	yes	no		62
Scott	Andrews	Bartholomew	no	yes	no		62
Scott	Andrews	Bartholomew	yes	no	yes	11.2	62
Scott	Andrews	Bartholomew	no	yes	yes	4.2	69
Gene	Arnholt	Bartholomew	yes	no	no		74
Gene	Arnholt	Bartholomew	yes	no	no		38
Gene	Arnholt	Bartholomew	yes	no	no		31
Gene	Arnholt	Bartholomew	no	yes	no		31
Gene	Arnholt	Bartholomew	no	yes	no		42
Gene	Arnholt	Bartholomew	no	yes	no		39
Gene	Arnholt	Bartholomew	no	yes	no		74
Gene	Arnholt	Bartholomew	no	yes	no		38
Gene	Arnholt	Bartholomew	no	yes	no		38
Gene	Arnholt	Bartholomew	yes	no	yes	18.8	38
Gene	Arnholt	Bartholomew	yes	no	yes	6	32
Gene	Arnholt	Bartholomew	yes	no	yes	5	38
Gene	Arnholt	Bartholomew	no	yes	yes	4	38
Gene	Arnholt	Bartholomew	no	yes	yes	6.8	38
Gene	Arnholt	Bartholomew	no	yes	yes	2.7	38
Brian	Belding	Jennings	no	yes	yes	0	38
Brian	Belding	Jennings	no	yes	yes	4	31
Thomas C.	Bigley Jr.	Bartholomew	no	yes	no		32
Thomas C.	Bigley Jr.	Bartholomew	no	yes	no		32
Thomas C.	Bigley Jr.	Bartholomew	no	yes	yes	1	32
Thomas C.	Bigley Jr.	Bartholomew	no	yes	yes	0	32
Jay	Charon	Brown	yes	no	no		62
Jay	Charon	Brown	no	yes	no		31
Jay	Charon	Brown	no	yes	no		32
Jay	Charon	Brown	no	yes	no		32
Jay	Charon	Brown	yes	no	yes	9.7	32

Volunteer Attorney Name		County of Case	Was case pending at the beginning of 2009?	Was case opened in 2009?	Was case closed in 2009?	Number of hours worked on case if closed in 2009 (include prior years' hours)	Case Type
Millie	Corbin-Beverly	Bartholomew	no	yes	no		32
Millie	Corbin-Beverly	Bartholomew	no	yes	no		38
Millie	Corbin-Beverly	Bartholomew	no	yes	no		32
Millie	Corbin-Beverly	Bartholomew	yes	no	yes	18.05	32
Millie	Corbin-Beverly	Bartholomew	yes	no	yes	1.55	32
Tim	Coriden	Bartholomew	no	yes	no		39
Tim	Coriden	Bartholomew	yes	no	yes	7.6	31
Tim	Coriden	Bartholomew	no	yes	yes	3.4	32
F. Jefferson	Crump	Bartholomew	yes	no	yes	0	32
F. Jefferson	Crump	Bartholomew	yes	no	yes	6.8	32
F. Jefferson	Crump	Bartholomew	yes	no	no		32
F. Jefferson	Crump	Bartholomew	no	yes	yes	0	32
Timothy	Day	Decatur	yes	no	no		31
Timothy	Day	Decatur	no	yes	no		32
Timothy	Day	Decatur	no	yes	no		32
Timothy	Day	Decatur	yes	no	yes	5	32
Timothy	Day	Decatur	no	yes	yes	0	32
William D.	Dillon	Jennings	no	yes	no		32
William D.	Dillon	Jennings	no	yes	no		32
William D.	Dillon	Jennings	yes	no	yes	8	32
William D.	Dillon	Jennings	yes	no	yes	4	32
William D.	Dillon	Jennings	no	yes	yes	4	31
William D.	Dillon	Jennings	no	yes	yes	4	31
Mark	Dove	Jennings	no	yes	no		31
Mark	Dove	Jennings	no	yes	yes	5	31
Mark	Dove	Jennings	no	yes	yes	0	32
Tamara A.	Drummond	Decatur	no	yes	no		32
Tamara A.	Drummond	Decatur	no	yes	no		31
Tamara A.	Drummond	Decatur	no	yes	no		31
Rodney	Farrow	Jackson	yes	no	yes	10.4	31
Rodney	Farrow	Jackson	yes	no	yes	2.6	9
Rodney	Farrow	Jackson	yes	no	yes	5	32
Rodney	Farrow	Jackson	no	yes	yes	0	62
Rodney	Farrow	Jackson	no	yes	yes	8.2	30
Rodney	Farrow	Jackson	no	yes	yes	8.7	32
James	Funke	Jennings	no	yes	no		32
William	Garber	Bartholomew	no	yes	no		32
William	Garber	Bartholomew	no	yes	no		32
William	Garber	Bartholomew	yes	no	yes	7	31

Volunteer Attorney Name		County of Case	Was case pending at the beginning of 2009?	Was case opened in 2009?	Was case closed in 2009?	Number of hours worked on case if closed in 2009 (include prior years' hours)	Case Type
William	Garber	Bartholomew	yes	no	yes	7	32
William	Garber	Bartholomew	no	yes	yes	3	61
William	Garber	Bartholomew	no	yes	yes	0	32
William	Garber	Bartholomew	no	yes	yes	0	32
William	Garber	Bartholomew	no	yes	yes	0	32
Dominic	Glover	Bartholomew	no	yes	no		31
Dominic	Glover	Bartholomew	no	yes	no		32
Dominic	Glover	Bartholomew	no	yes	no		32
Dominic	Glover	Bartholomew	yes	no	yes	10	32
Dominic	Glover	Bartholomew	yes	no	yes	13	38
Dominic	Glover	Bartholomew	yes	no	yes	10	32
Dominic	Glover	Bartholomew	no	yes	yes	0	32
Amanda	Goecker	Jackson	yes	no	no		32
Amanda	Goecker	Jackson	yes	no	no		39
Amanda	Goecker	Jackson	no	yes	no		32
Amanda	Goecker	Jackson	no	yes	no		32
Amanda	Goecker	Jackson	yes	no	yes	4	32
Amanda	Goecker	Jackson	no	yes	yes	4.5	42
Larry	Greathouse	Jennings	yes	no	no		95
Larry	Greathouse	Jennings	no	yes	no		32
Larry	Greathouse	Jennings	no	yes	no		31
Larry	Greathouse	Jennings	no	yes	yes	4	31
Larry	Greathouse	Jennings	no	yes	yes	3	32
Jason	Guthrie	Bartholomew	no	yes	no		31
Jason	Guthrie	Bartholomew	no	yes	no		31
Jason	Guthrie	Bartholomew	yes	no	yes	8.5	31
Jason	Guthrie	Bartholomew	yes	no	yes	0	32
Jason	Guthrie	Bartholomew	no	yes	yes	52.3	31
Jason	Guthrie	Bartholomew	no	yes	yes	6.7	32
Jason	Guthrie	Bartholomew	no	yes	yes	3.95	42
Frank I.	Hamilton	Decatur	yes	no	yes	3	32
Frank I.	Hamilton	Decatur	no	yes	yes	5	31
Frank I.	Hamilton	Decatur	no	yes	yes	3.8	32
Frank I.	Hamilton	Decatur	no	yes	yes	0	32
Frank I.	Hamilton	Decatur	no	yes	yes	0	31
Landyn	Harmon	Bartholomew	yes	no	no		38
Landyn	Harmon	Bartholomew	yes	no	no		31
Landyn	Harmon	Bartholomew	no	yes	no		31
Landyn	Harmon	Bartholomew	no	yes	no		31
Landyn	Harmon	Bartholomew	no	yes	no		32
Landyn	Harmon	Bartholomew	yes	no	yes	4	33
Landyn	Harmon	Bartholomew	no	yes	yes	0	32
Landyn	Harmon	Bartholomew	no	yes	yes	3.5	39

Volunteer Attorney Name		County of Case	Was case pending at the beginning of 2009?	Was case opened in 2009?	Was case closed in 2009?	Number of hours worked on case if closed in 2009 (include prior years' hours)	Case Type
Eric	Hayes	Bartholomew	yes	no	yes	26.5	31
Eric	Hayes	Bartholomew	yes	no	yes	0	32
Eric	Hayes	Bartholomew	no	yes	yes	11.4	31
Eric	Hayes	Bartholomew	no	yes	yes	5.35	32
James	Holland	Bartholomew	yes	no	no		32
James	Holland	Bartholomew	no	yes	no		99
James	Holland	Bartholomew	no	yes	no		39
James	Holland	Bartholomew	no	yes	no		32
James	Holland	Bartholomew	no	yes	no		39
James	Holland	Bartholomew	yes	no	yes	0	99
James	Holland	Bartholomew	no	yes	yes	11.1	32
James	Holland	Bartholomew	no	yes	yes	7.85	31
Amy	Huffman Oliver	Bartholomew	yes	no	no		31
Amy	Huffman Oliver	Bartholomew	no	yes	no		32
Amy	Huffman Oliver	Bartholomew	no	yes	no		31
Bradley	Johnson	Jackson	yes	no	yes	4	30
Bradley	Johnson	Jackson	no	yes	yes	8	32
Bradley	Kage	Jennings	yes	no	no		32
Bradley	Kage	Jennings	no	yes	no		32
Bradley	Kage	Jennings	no	yes	no		32
Bradley	Kage	Jennings	yes	no	yes	7.5	32
Bradley	Kage	Jennings	yes	no	yes	4	32
Bradley	Kage	Jennings	no	yes	yes	3	39
Bradley	Kage	Jennings	no	yes	yes	2	38
Taffanee	Keys	Brown	yes	no	no		32
Taffanee	Keys	Brown	yes	no	yes	3	31
Thomas J.	Lantz	Jackson	no	yes	no		31
Thomas J.	Lantz	Jackson	no	yes	no		31
Thomas J.	Lantz	Jackson	yes	no	yes	0	32
Thomas J.	Lantz	Jackson	no	yes	yes	4.95	39
Thomas J.	Lantz	Jackson	no	yes	no		31
Kenneth A.	Layton	Jackson	yes	no	yes	5.6	62
Benjamin	Loheide	Bartholomew	yes	no	no		32
Benjamin	Loheide	Bartholomew	no	yes	no		32
Benjamin	Loheide	Bartholomew	no	yes	no		32
Benjamin	Loheide	Bartholomew	no	yes	no		32
Benjamin	Loheide	Bartholomew	yes	no	yes	1.5	31
Benjamin	Loheide	Bartholomew	yes	no	yes	2.5	32
Benjamin	Loheide	Bartholomew	yes	no	yes	0	32
Benjamin	Loheide	Bartholomew	no	yes	yes	3.25	32
Shari	Long	Bartholomew	yes	no	no		32
Shari	Long	Bartholomew	yes	no	no		32

Volunteer Attorney Name		County of Case	Was case pending at the beginning of 2009?	Was case opened in 2009?	Was case closed in 2009?	Number of hours worked on case if closed in 2009 (include prior years' hours)	Case Type
Shari	Long	Bartholomew	no	yes	no		32
Shari	Long	Bartholomew	no	yes	no		32
Shari	Long	Bartholomew	no	yes	no		31
Shari	Long	Bartholomew	yes	no	yes	10.2	32
Shari	Long	Bartholomew	yes	no	yes	5	31
Shari	Long	Bartholomew	yes	no	yes	1	32
Joseph	Markel	Jackson	no	yes	no		63
C. Richard	Marshall	Bartholomew	yes	no	yes	14	31
C. Richard	Marshall	Bartholomew	yes	no	yes	5	32
C. Richard	Marshall	Bartholomew	yes	no	yes	21.5	32
Michael	Mclver	Bartholomew	no	yes	no		30
Michael	Mclver	Bartholomew	no	yes	no		32
Michael	Mclver	Bartholomew	no	yes	no		32
Michael	Mclver	Bartholomew	no	yes	no		31
Michael	Mclver	Bartholomew	yes	no	yes	54.2	32
Michael	Mclver	Bartholomew	yes	no	yes	17.9	31
Michael	Mclver	Bartholomew	no	yes	no		32
Stephanie	Mellenbruch	Jackson	yes	no	yes	21.2	32
Stephanie	Mellenbruch	Jackson	no	yes	no		31
Stephanie	Mellenbruch	Jackson	no	yes	no		32
Stephanie	Mellenbruch	Jackson	no	yes	no		32
Stephanie	Mellenbruch	Jackson	yes	no	yes	24.4	32
Stephanie	Mellenbruch	Jackson	no	yes	yes	0	31
Stephanie	Mellenbruch	Jackson	no	yes	yes	0	31
Stephanie	Mellenbruch	Jackson	no	yes	yes	19.3	32
Stephanie	Mellenbruch	Jackson	no	yes	yes	2.5	32
Stephanie	Mellenbruch	Jackson	yes	no	yes	2.6	62
Kathy	Molewyk	Bartholomew	no	yes	no		32
Kathy	Molewyk	Bartholomew	no	yes	no		32
Kathy	Molewyk	Bartholomew	yes	no	yes	15.7	32
Kathy	Molewyk	Bartholomew	yes	no	yes	1	32
Kathy	Molewyk	Bartholomew	yes	no	yes	5.1	32
Kathy	Molewyk	Bartholomew	no	yes	yes	11.9	32
John	Norris	Bartholomew	no	yes	yes	1	95
David	Nowak	Bartholomew	no	yes	no		32
David	Nowak	Bartholomew	yes	no	yes	5	32
David	Nowak	Bartholomew	yes	no	yes	9.2	31
David	Nowak	Bartholomew	yes	no	yes	6	32
David	Nowak	Bartholomew	no	yes	yes	0.8	38
David	Paugh	Jackson	yes	no	yes	7.4	62
Stephen	Pierson	Jackson	no	yes	no		62
Stephen	Pierson	Jackson	yes	no	yes	6	32
Stephen	Pierson	Jackson	no	yes	yes	5	32
Jerry	Prall	Bartholomew	yes	no	no		32

Volunteer Attorney Name		County of Case	Was case pending at the beginning of 2009?	Was case opened in 2009?	Was case closed in 2009?	Number of hours worked on case if closed in 2009 (include prior years' hours)	Case Type
Jerry	Prall	Bartholomew	no	yes	no		42
Jerry	Prall	Bartholomew	yes	no	yes	6.1	32
Jerry	Prall	Bartholomew	yes	no	yes	8.3	31
Jerry	Prall	Bartholomew	yes	no	yes	33.2	31
Jerry	Prall	Bartholomew	no	yes	yes	13.6	31
Jerry	Prall	Bartholomew	no	yes	yes	0	31
Jerry	Prall	Bartholomew	no	yes	yes	0	32
Daniel	Reuter	Brown	no	yes	yes	0.5	32
Daniel	Reuter	Brown	no	yes	yes	0	32
William	Robbins	Decatur	no	yes	no		32
William	Robbins	Decatur	yes	no	yes	7	32
William	Robbins	Decatur	no	yes	yes	6.5	32
Joseph M.	Robertson	Jackson	no	yes	no		32
Joseph M.	Robertson	Jackson	no	yes	no		32
Joseph M.	Robertson	Jackson	yes	no	yes	0	32
Joseph M.	Robertson	Jackson	no	yes	yes	1	32
John	Roche	Jennings	yes	no	no		32
John	Roche	Jennings	no	yes	no		63
John	Roche	Jennings	no	yes	no		32
John	Roche	Jennings	no	yes	no		32
John	Roche	Jennings	yes	no	yes	3	32
John	Roche	Jennings	yes	no	yes	3.5	31
John	Roche	Jennings	yes	no	yes	3.25	32
John	Roche	Jennings	yes	no	yes	2	99
John	Roche	Jennings	yes	no	yes	3.5	31
John	Roche	Jennings	no	yes	yes	0	32
John	Roche	Jennings	no	yes	yes	0	32
John	Roche	Jennings	no	yes	yes	2	32
John	Roche	Jennings	no	yes	yes	0	32
John	Roche	Jennings	no	yes	yes	6.75	31
Jeffrey	Rocker	Bartholomew	yes	no	no		62
Jeffrey	Rocker	Bartholomew	no	yes	yes	5.2	30
Cynthia	Rose	Brown	no	yes	yes	0	32
Heidi	Sage	Bartholomew	yes	no	yes	5	32
Daniel	Schuetz	Bartholomew	yes	no	no		32
Daniel	Schuetz	Bartholomew	yes	no	no		32
Daniel	Schuetz	Bartholomew	yes	no	no		31
Daniel	Schuetz	Bartholomew	no	yes	no		32
Daniel	Schuetz	Bartholomew	yes	no	yes	15	32
Daniel	Schuetz	Bartholomew	no	yes	yes	0	31
James A.	Shoaf	Bartholomew	yes	no	yes	6	32
James A.	Shoaf	Bartholomew	yes	no	yes	27	32
James A.	Shoaf	Bartholomew	no	yes	yes	0	39

Volunteer Attorney Name		County of Case	Was case pending at the beginning of 2009?	Was case opened in 2009?	Was case closed in 2009?	Number of hours worked on case if closed in 2009 (include prior years' hours)	Case Type
James A.	Shoaf	Bartholomew	no	yes	no		
Susan	Sparks	Jackson	no	yes	no		32
Susan	Sparks	Jackson	no	yes	yes	25.9	32
Timothy	Staggs	Bartholomew	no	yes	yes	1	32
Timothy	Staggs	Bartholomew	no	yes	yes	0.8	32
Dennis	Stark	Bartholomew	no	yes	no		31
Dennis	Stark	Bartholomew	yes	no	yes	6	32
Dennis	Stark	Bartholomew	yes	no	yes	0	32
Dennis	Stark	Bartholomew	no	yes	yes	0	32
Dennis	Stark	Bartholomew	no	yes	yes	2.1	32
John	Stroh	Bartholomew	yes	no	no		38
John	Stroh	Bartholomew	no	yes	no		32
John	Stroh	Bartholomew	no	yes	no		39
John	Stroh	Bartholomew	no	yes	yes	20.3	31
Andrew	Szakaly	Brown	yes	no	no		32
Andrew	Szakaly	Brown	no	yes	yes	8.1	32
Stephen T.	Taylor	Decatur	no	yes	no		32
Stephen T.	Taylor	Decatur	yes	no	yes	3.2	31
Stephen T.	Taylor	Decatur	yes	no	yes	14.2	32
Christopher	Tebbe	Decatur	no	yes	no		32
Christopher	Tebbe	Decatur	no	yes	no		32
Christopher	Tebbe	Decatur	yes	no	yes	5	61
Christopher	Tebbe	Decatur	yes	no	yes	3	32
Christopher	Tebbe	Decatur	yes	no	yes	2.5	31
Christopher	Tebbe	Decatur	no	yes	yes	0	32
Christopher	Tebbe	Decatur	no	yes	yes	1	31
Steven	Teverbaugh	Decatur	yes	no	yes	2.2	31
Joyce	Thayer-Sword	Bartholomew	yes	no	yes	7.5	63
Joyce	Thayer-Sword	Bartholomew	yes	no	yes	8.5	63
Michael	Thomasson	Bartholomew	yes	no	no		31
Michael	Thomasson	Bartholomew	no	yes	no		32
Michael	Thomasson	Bartholomew	no	yes	no		31
Travis	Thompson	Jackson	no	yes	yes	6.4	32
Travis	Thompson	Jackson	no	yes	yes	0	32
J. Grant	Tucker	Bartholomew	yes	no	no		31
J. Grant	Tucker	Bartholomew	yes	no	no		32
J. Grant	Tucker	Bartholomew	yes	no	no		62
J. Grant	Tucker	Bartholomew	no	yes	no		32
J. Grant	Tucker	Bartholomew	no	yes	no		33
J. Grant	Tucker	Bartholomew	no	yes	yes	0	32
Timothy	Vrana	Bartholomew	yes	no	no		59
Timothy	Vrana	Bartholomew	yes	no	yes	68.41	74
Timothy	Vrana	Bartholomew	yes	no	yes	31.8	79

Volunteer Attorney Name		County of Case	Was case pending at the beginning of 2009?	Was case opened in 2009?	Was case closed in 2009?	Number of hours worked on case if closed in 2009 (include prior years' hours)	Case Type
Timothy	Vrana	Bartholomew	no	yes	no		51
Charles	Waggoner	Jennings	no	yes	no		33
Charles	Waggoner	Jennings	no	yes	yes	18.2	33
Charles	Waggoner	Jennings	no	yes	yes	6.4	31
Charles	Waggoner	Jennings	no	yes	yes	1	32
Bryce	Wagner	Bartholomew	no	yes	no		32
Jeffrey S.	Washburn	Bartholomew	no	yes	no		32
Jeffrey S.	Washburn	Bartholomew	no	yes	no		32
Christa	West	Jackson	yes	no	no		31
Christa	West	Jackson	yes	no	yes	3.95	31
Christa	West	Jackson	yes	no	yes	11.4	32
Christa	West	Jackson	no	yes	yes	0	32
Christa	West	Jackson	no	yes	yes	11	33
Christa	West	Jackson	no	yes	yes	0	31
Alan L.	Whitted	Bartholomew	yes	no	no		32
Alan L.	Whitted	Bartholomew	no	yes	no		31
Alan L.	Whitted	Bartholomew	no	yes	yes	17	32
Alan L.	Whitted	Bartholomew	no	yes	yes	6	32
Alan L.	Whitted	Bartholomew	no	yes	yes	2	31
Alan L.	Whitted	Bartholomew	no	yes	yes	6.1	32
Kurt	Young	Brown	yes	no	no		32
Kurt	Young	Brown	no	yes	no		31
Kurt	Young	Brown	no	yes	no		31
Kurt	Young	Brown	yes	no	yes	9	32
Kurt	Young	Brown	no	yes	yes	0	32
Kurt	Young	Brown	no	yes	yes	2	33

Total # of attorneys: 69 120 191 178 1186.71

Case Type Key:

Consumer: 1-Bankruptcy, 2-Collections/Repossessions, 3-9 – Other Consumer

Family: 32 – Divorce, 31 – Custody/Visitation, 38- Child Support, 30,33,34,35,36 – Other Family

Juvenile: 41,42 – CHINS, 49 – Other Juvenile

Health: 51- Medicaid, 52- Medicare, 59 – Other Health

Housing: 63- Landlord/Tenant, 61- Subsidized Housing, 62,64,69 – Other Housing

Income Maintenance: 74, 75 – SSI/SSD, 71,73 – AFDC, food stamps, 76- Unemployment, 78- Workers Compensation, 72,77,79- Other Income Maintenance

Other Miscellaneous – 99

2009 REPORT OF VOLUNTEER ATTORNEY LIMITED INFORMATION ACTIVITY IN DISTRICT ELEVEN

Program Name: 2009 Talk To A Lawyer Today (TTALT)

Volunteer Attorney Name	County	Type of Activity	Number of Hours
Andrews, Scott	Bartholomew	TTALT	2
Boll, Cynthia	Bartholomew	TTALT	2
Corbin-Beverly, Millie	Bartholomew	TTALT	2
Coriden, Timothy	Bartholomew	TTALT	2
Crump, F. Jefferson	Bartholomew	TTALT	2
Dillon, William	Jennings	TTALT	2
Garber, William	Bartholomew	TTALT	2
Greathouse, Larry	Jennings	TTALT	2
Guthrie, Jason	Bartholomew	TTALT	2
Hamilton Jr., Frank	Decatur	TTALT	2
Harmon, Landyn	Brown	TTALT	2
Huffman Oliver, Amy	Brown	TTALT	2
Johnson, Brad	Jennings	TTALT	2
Kage, Bradley	Jennings	TTALT	2
Mclver, Michael	Bartholomew	TTALT	2
Mellenbruch, Steph	Jackson	TTALT	2
Molewyk, Kathy	Bartholomew	TTALT	2
Pattison, Jason	Jennings	TTALT	2
Polanski, Margaret	Decatur	TTALT	2
Prall, Jerry	Bartholomew	TTALT	2
Pushor, John	Bartholomew	TTALT	4
Robbins, William	Decatur	TTALT	2
Robertson, Chad	Jackson	TTALT	2
Schuetz, Daniel	Bartholomew	TTALT	2
Sparks, Tammara	Jackson	TTALT	1.25
Sparks, Susan	Jackson	TTALT	2
Staggs, Timothy	Bartholomew	TTALT	2
Stark, Dennis	Bartholomew	TTALT	2
Stroh, John	Bartholomew	TTALT	2
Taylor, Stephen	Decatur	TTALT	2
Tebbe, Christopher	Decatur	TTALT	2
Thompson, Travis	Jackson	TTALT	2
Tucker, J. Grant	Bartholomew	TTALT	2
Waggoner, Charles	Jennings	TTALT	2
West, Christa	Jackson	TTALT	2
Whitted, Alan	Bartholomew	TTALT	2
Young, Kurt	Brown	TTALT	2
TOTAL: 37			73.3
OVERALL VOLUNTEER ATTORNEY TOTAL: 106			1260.01 hours

2009 REPORT

January	Referred 21 cases to pro bono attorneys Hosted annual Talk To A Lawyer Today (TTALT) Event in Bartholomew Brown, Decatur, Jackson and Jennings counties. Plan Administrator did local radio spot promoting TTALT. Ads appeared in all local papers promoting the events.
February	Referred 11 cases to pro bono attorneys
March	Referred 17 cases to pro bono attorneys Article appeared in supplement to the Indiana Lawyer
April	Referred 18 cases to pro bono attorneys In recognition of National Volunteer Week, Judge May sent thank you letters to every attorney in District Eleven that had a case in 2008 Plan Administrator attended plan administrator retreat
May	Referred 21 cases to pro bono attorneys Plan Administrator called in to the Indiana Pro Bono Commission meeting to update commission on activities in District 11 Plan Administrator participated in conference call regarding National Pro Bono Week planning for Indiana Plan Administrator attended Equal Justice Conference in Florida
June	Referred 17 cases to pro bono attorneys Hosted Volunteer Appreciation banquets in all five counties Presented bamboo plants to 2009 participating attorneys Presented "The History of Indiana Law" signed by co-author Chief Justice Randall T. Shepard to attorneys that met the 50 hour goal Presented "Pro Bono Attorney of the Year" awards in each county Presented "Gerald G. Angermeier Memorial Award" to attorney with most closing hours in all of District Eleven Presented "Back Home in Indiana-Guiding Homeowners Through Foreclosure" in each county in conjunction with the appreciation banquets, trained 37 attorneys
July	Referred 19 cases to pro bono attorneys Plan Administrator participated in conference call regarding National Pro Bono Week planning for Indiana
August	Referred 7 cases to pro bono attorneys
September	Referred 26 cases to pro bono attorneys
October	Referred 17 cases to pro bono attorneys Ads celebrating National Pro Bono week appeared in four local newspapers listing attorneys that accept pro bono cases
November	Referred 11 cases to pro bono attorneys Plan Administrator attended retreat in conjunction with annual meeting of the Indiana State Bar Association Plan Administrator attended Shepard dinner
December	Referred 6 cases to pro bono attorneys Two different dates of the video replay of "Attorneys with a Heart" held in Bartholomew County in preparation for TTALT. Sent Holiday cards to each participating attorney in District Eleven as holiday "thank you" Plan Administrator called in to the Indiana Pro Bono Commission meeting to update commission on activities in District 11

2009 REPORT

Please provide a short summary of how the provision of pro bono service is coordinated in your district, including the intake process, the relationships of pro bono providers in the districts, how referrals are made, and how reporting is done.

There are two civil legal service providers in District Eleven, Legal Aid - District Eleven, Inc. (District Eleven) and Legal Services of Indiana, Bloomington office (BLSO). District Eleven is the only provider of pro bono services and is the only one to receive IOLTA funds. Both District Eleven and BLSO provide intake for District 11 and both have toll free intake numbers. When BLSO has a District 11 case that needs a pro bono referral, Myrta Hudson, Paralegal/Office Manager calls and advises District Eleven that they have a case for referral. She then faxes us the applicant's information. If District Eleven needs more information prior to making the referral, District Eleven contacts the client directly. Because District Eleven and BLSO offer direct representation by staff attorneys for certain types of cases and because District Eleven offers pro se assistance, referrals to pro bono attorneys are only made if there is no other way to resolve the applicant's legal problem.

Referrals are made by District Eleven's Lawyer Referral Program Director, John Pushor. John makes referrals by calling attorneys that have agreed to participate. John speaks directly to the attorney or a member of his or her staff and describes the case to be referred. If the attorney agrees to accept the case, all relevant documentation collected by District Eleven is faxed or mailed to the attorney, a letter is mailed to the applicant notifying them of the referral, and a referral file is generated at District Eleven. In the letter sent to the applicant, it notifies them that they must contact the referral attorney within two weeks to schedule an appointment. Therefore, all referrals are tickled for two weeks to check on their status. If the client has not contacted the attorney, District Eleven makes every effort to contact the client to find out why they failed to contact the referral attorney and to attempt to connect the two. Once the client has contacted the referral attorney, the client's file is then tickled for quarterly reviews to check the status of the case.

Once a case is completed, the pro bono attorney is asked to submit a closing form. The information contained in the closing form, i.e. time spent, expenses, donated fee, outcome, etc. is used to close the file at District Eleven. If the attorney fails to complete a closing form, Sandy Wilson, Program Assistant, calls the referral attorney's office for closing details. Sandy will continue to call weekly until she gets the closing information. All of the client's information is collected using Kemp's Caseworks software. A paper file for each referral is also maintained.

Please describe any special circumstances affecting your District's 2009 implementation of its plan.

The most significant circumstance impacting District Eleven's implantation of its plan for 2010 was the destruction of our offices in a fire on December 24, 2009. Although District Eleven resumed full operations on January 11, 2010, the number of applications was down significantly in January and February in comparison to 2009. In 2009, District Eleven received 279 applications for assistance by the end of February. In 2010, District Eleven had only received 157 applications for assistance by the end of February. Fortunately, numbers were back in line by March; however, there is no way to make up for the loss in January and February.

2011 Budget Narrative

Line (B) (1) - 100% of the Plan Administrator's (PA) salary of \$47,700.00. The PA works 35 hours per week.

Line (B) (2) - 33% of the Program Assistant's wages. This amount represents a pay rate of \$10.00 per hour at 40 hours per week. The remaining balance is funded through other funding sources.

Line (B) (3) – 50% of the Intake Specialists' wages. This amount represents two employees: one with a pay rate of \$9.45 per hour and one at \$9.00 per hour both at 20 hours per week. The remaining balance is funded through other funding sources.

Line (B) (4) (a) - 100% of the cost of health insurance benefits for the PA and 33% of the cost of health insurance for the Program Assistant. The remaining balance is funded through other funding sources.

Line (B) (4) (c) - 100% of the cost of the employer's share of payroll taxes for the PA, 33% of the cost of the employer's share of payroll taxes for the Program Assistant, and 50% of the cost of the employer's share of payroll taxes for the Intake Specialists (this includes unemployment taxes). The remaining balance is funded through other funding sources.

Line (C) (1) – 50% of Legal Aid's cost of rent. Legal Aid expects to pay \$500 per month rent. In our pre-fire office we had 1,704 square feet and our new office will be larger. All utilities are included. This is approximately thirty cents per square foot per month. This cost is well below the market rate.

Line (C) (2) – not applicable

Line (C) (3) –approximately 50% of Legal Aid's estimated cost of office supplies, postage and printing

Line (C) (4) –approximately 50% of Legal Aid's estimated cost for telephone

Line (C) (5) – 100% of cost for travel to Equal Justice Conference (EJC), Plan Administrator retreats, and for travel related to TTALT, pro bono recognition, and CLE's.

Line (C) (6) – 100% of cost of registration fee for EJC and for non-travel related costs associated with hosting CLE's and TTALT

Line (C) (7) – not applicable

Line (C) (8) – approximately 50% of the cost of malpractice insurance through NLADA

Line (C) (9) – 100% of the cost of bar and supreme court dues for the Plan Administrator and Lawyer Referral Program Director (who volunteers his time), NLADA dues, and Doxpop fees

Line (C) (10) – 50% of the cost of Legal Aid's yellow pages ad and 100% of the cost of the ads for TTALT and National Pro Bono Week

Line (C) (11) – 100% of the cost of attorney recognition events and items

Line (C) (12) – 100% of the cost of any expenses necessary for representation of a client

Line (C) (13) – not applicable

Line (C) (14) – approximately 50% of the cost Legal Aid's accounting service, audit expense, shredding service, Accurint, and Kemps Online

Line (C) (15) – not applicable

Line (C) (16) – approximately 50% of Legal Aid's cost for business insurance through Zurich

Line (C) (17) – approximately 50% of Legal Aid's cost for Legal Aid's copier maintenance agreement

Legal Aid – District Eleven, Inc. - Supplemental Page

With the significant decrease in IOLTA income, this year’s grant application process has become extremely competitive with each district vying against the other for dollars. In any competition, to win the prize, a competitor must set themselves apart from the pack. Legal Aid – District Eleven, Inc. (District 11) is confident that the information herein will demonstrate that District 11 deserves the prize and should be fully funded in 2011.

According to 2008 data¹ chart below, District 11 ranks twelfth in the state by number of attorneys in the district; however, District Eleven ranks:

- 1st when comparing referral rates for the state,²
- 2nd when comparing participation rates,³
- 5th when comparing placement rate⁴ and
- 6th based on total number of referrals for the year (each district that ranked higher in number of referrals for the year has at least two times more attorneys in their district).

District	2008 Referrals	# of clients seeking services	# of clients receiving services	# of Attys in District	# of Attys that took Cases	Participation Rate	Referral Rate	Placement Rate
1	224	381	290	1523	245	16.09%	14.71%	76.12%
2	52	347	255	928	90	9.70%	5.60%	73.49%
3	378	3985	932	1024	239	23.34%	36.91%	23.39%
4	58	1015	71	401	31	7.73%	14.46%	7.00%
5	144	1028	144	216	50	23.15%	66.67%	14.01%
6	25	481	44	406	25	6.16%	6.16%	9.15%
7	0	82	36	279	29	10.39%	0.00%	43.90%
8	262	2566	617	7021	1021	14.54%	3.73%	24.05%
9	18	42	18	125	8	6.40%	14.40%	42.86%
10	210	415	210	391	116	29.67%	53.71%	50.60%
11	209	452	281	199	72	36.18%	105.03%	62.17%
12	98	231	183	128	53	41.41%	76.56%	79.22%
13	319	406	319	686	243	35.42%	46.50%	78.57%
14	145	515	145	366	59	16.12%	39.62%	28.16%

Although the above statistics are useful in determining funding levels, the quality of the representation received from a volunteer attorney is the most important thing to a client. District 11 believes that it has a duty to track the outcomes of the cases that it places. If clients are not receiving quality representation, a referral is useless. Legal Aid tracks outcomes to verify that clients are actually having their legal issues resolved as a result of the referral. In 2009, 178 pro bono cases closed, 122 were resolved by court decision or settlement. The remaining 56 were closed by client action prior to a legal resolution. This does not mean that the client’s legal issue was not resolved as a result of the referral; it merely means that it was not legally resolved and the resolution is not verifiable; therefore, District 11 does not count it as a resolution. Many times the fact that a client is able to obtain an attorney will result in the adverse modifying their behavior which resolves the client’s issue without going to court or filing a petition.

As evidenced by the facts above, District 11 takes the money provided by IOLTA and makes it work for low-income clients in District 11. As shown in District 11’s total agency budget (see attachment), District 11 receives funding from a variety of sources⁵. Just as IOLTA funding is solely used to fund pro bono lawyer referral, every other funding source utilized by District Eleven is designated to a program and those funds cannot be used for any other purpose. Therefore, without full funding from IOLTA, District 11 will be forced to cut costs within the lawyer referral program. Unfortunately, the first cost to be cut would be “attorney recognition” since free dinner, coffee mugs, plaques, and newspaper ads, etc. are not “necessary” costs of running a pro bono program. However, District 11 believes that would be detrimental to the referral program. As inconsequential as attorney recognition may seem, these thank you tokens have increased attorney participation in District 11 and without attorney participation there would be no program.

District 11 realizes that IOLTA funds are limited for 2011; however, District 11 feels that it has demonstrated that it stands out from the competition and deserves to receive full funding for 2011.

¹ The statistics in this supplemental page are based on 2008 numbers as these are the most recent numbers available and were obtained from the district reports and plans available on the Pro Bono Commission website.

² Referral Rate is the number of referrals per year divided by the number of attorneys in the district.

³ Participation Rate is the number of attorneys that accepted cases divided by the number of attorneys in the district.

⁴ Placement Rate is the number of clients seeking services compared to the number of clients that actually received services. Only one district ranked higher in placement rate has fewer attorneys in their district than District Eleven and the other three have at least four times as many attorneys in their district as District Eleven.

⁵ District 11 is not aware of any other resources available for funding legal services.

ATTACHMENT

LEGAL AID - DISTRICT ELEVEN INC. - 2011 TOTAL AGENCY BUDGET DIVIDED BETWEEN PROGRAMS

	2011 Anticipated Income & Exp	Client Counseling	Lawyer Referral	Legal Assitance for Victims	Total
VAWA	\$ 167,820.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 167,820.00	\$ 167,820.00
IOLTA	\$ 118,729.00	\$ -	\$ 118,729.00	\$ -	\$ 118,729.00
VOCA	\$ 29,100.00	\$ 29,100.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 29,100.00
United Way - Barth	\$ 25,000.00	\$ 25,000.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 25,000.00
FSSA	\$ 25,000.00	\$ 25,000.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 25,000.00
CLAF	\$ 16,000.00	\$ 16,000.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 16,000.00
CEF - Cummins	\$ 5,000.00	\$ 5,000.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 5,000.00
United Way - Jenn	\$ 4,500.00	\$ 4,500.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 4,500.00
EJC	\$ 1,500.00	\$ -	\$ 1,500.00	\$ -	\$ 1,500.00
Interest Income	\$ 1,100.00	\$ 1,100.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,100.00
United Fund - Dec	\$ 1,000.00	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,000.00
Board Donations	\$ 1,000.00	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,000.00
Bartholomew Bar	\$ 1,000.00	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,000.00
TOTAL INCOME	\$ 396,749.00	\$ 108,700.00	\$ 120,229.00	\$ 167,820.00	\$ 396,749.00
Salaries	\$ 229,582.00	\$ 66,677.00	\$ 64,158.00	\$ 98,747.00	\$ 229,582.00
Payroll Taxes	\$ 18,240.00	\$ 5,335.00	\$ 5,088.00	\$ 7,817.00	\$ 18,240.00
Employee Benefits	\$ 35,248.00	\$ 11,095.00	\$ 9,470.00	\$ 14,683.00	\$ 35,248.00
Rent	\$ 6,000.00	\$ 2,100.00	\$ 3,000.00	\$ 900.00	\$ 6,000.00
Phone	\$ 6,000.00	\$ 3,280.00	\$ 2,000.00	\$ 720.00	\$ 6,000.00
Postage	\$ 3,635.00	\$ 1,500.00	\$ 1,500.00	\$ 635.00	\$ 3,635.00
Supplies	\$ 5,200.00	\$ 2,000.00	\$ 2,000.00	\$ 1,200.00	\$ 5,200.00
Professional Fees	\$ 9,590.00	\$ 2,160.00	\$ 3,750.00	\$ 3,680.00	\$ 9,590.00
Insurance	\$ 8,000.00	\$ 2,637.00	\$ 3,450.00	\$ 1,913.00	\$ 8,000.00
Membership Dues	\$ 2,806.00	\$ 988.00	\$ 1,378.00	\$ 440.00	\$ 2,806.00
Printing/Publications	\$ 8,300.00	\$ 1,500.00	\$ 5,300.00	\$ 1,500.00	\$ 8,300.00
Equipment	\$ 5,122.00	\$ 1,000.00	\$ 900.00	\$ 3,222.00	\$ 5,122.00
Travel	\$ 13,432.00	\$ 3,749.00	\$ 2,000.00	\$ 7,683.00	\$ 13,432.00
Meeting/Conferences	\$ 10,750.00	\$ 2,500.00	\$ 8,250.00	\$ -	\$ 10,750.00
Assistance To Ind.	\$ 20,000.00	\$ 1,500.00	\$ 6,500.00	\$ 12,000.00	\$ 20,000.00
Contracted Services	\$ 14,844.00	\$ 679.00	\$ 1,485.00	\$ 12,680.00	\$ 14,844.00
TOTAL EXPENSES	\$ 396,749.00	\$ 108,700.00	\$ 120,229.00	\$ 167,820.00	\$ 396,749.00

BUDGETS FOR 2009, 2010, and 2011 FOR DISTRICT ELEVEN

INCOME CATEGORY	Final 2009 Income	2010 Actual Income To June 10, 2010	2010 Budget	2011 Budget	Difference Between 2010 and 2011
A. INCOME					
1. IOLTA Grant Amount	126,683	119,190	119,190	118,729	(461)
2. Previous year IOLTA grant carryover	-	-	-		-
Other Income: <i>Explain source in narrative</i>					
3. Indiana Bar Foundation - NLADA Conf	1,465	1,454	1,500	1,500	-
4.					
5. Total Income (sum of lines A1 - A4)	128,148	120,644	120,690	120,229	(461)
EXPENSE CATEGORY	2009 Actual Expenditures	2010 Actual Expenditures to June 10, 2010	2010 Budget	2011 Budget	Difference Between 2010 and 2011
B. PERSONNEL EXPENDITURES					
1. Plan Administrator (Salary)	46,385	19,990	47,700	47,700	-
2. Paralegals (Salary)	6,864	1,902	6,745	6,864	119
3. Support Staff	9,657	4,059	9,922	9,594	(328)
4. Employee benefits					-
a. Insurance (Health insurance)	9,966	3,519	8,477	9,470	993
b. Retirement plans	-	-	-	-	-
c. Other -FICA and Unemployment	4,988	2,211	5,102	5,088	(14)
5. Total Personnel Expenditures	77,860	31,681	77,946	78,716	770
C. NON-PERSONNEL EXPENDITURES					
1. Occupancy (include utilities)	3,290	240	2,670	3,000	330
2. Equipment Rental	-	-	-		-
3. Office Supplies	5,760	3,896	5,760	5,000	(760)
4. Telephone	2,370	270	1,765	2,000	235
5. Travel	1,747	705	3,500	2,000	(1,500)
6. Training/Conferences	679	2,178	4,000	750	(3,250)
7. Library/Information Technology	-	-	-	-	-
8. Malpractice Insurance/D&O Insurance	1,931	1,931	2,287	1,950	(337)
9. Dues and Fees	2,418	1,236	2,500	1,378	(1,122)
10. Marketing and promotion	2,812	-	-	3,800	3,800
11. Attorney recognition	7,562	1,307	8,500	7,500	(1,000)
12. Litigation Expenses	6,286	1,874	6,600	6,500	(100)
13. Equipment Acquisition	7,655	-	-	-	-
14. Contract Services	4,994	807	2,912	5,235	2,323
15. Grants to other pro bono providers	-	-	-	-	-
16. Other - Zurich Business Insurance	2,784	12	1,000	1,500	500
17. Other - Copier Maintenance Contract		1,250	1,250	900	(350)
18. Total Non-Personnel Exp.	50,288	15,706	42,744	41,513	(1,231)
D. TOTAL EXPENDITURES	128,148	47,387	120,690	120,229	(461)
E. ENDING FUND BALANCE	-	73,257	-	-	-