DISTRICT GOVERNANCE PLAN

MORGAN-HENDRICKS COUNTY

The judges of Morgan and Hendricks County submit the following governance plan pursuant to Rule established by the Board of Directors of the Judicial Conference of Indiana:

1. SPECIAL JUDGE PLANS: Our District has reviewed the TR 79 plans in each county and have determined each plan is current and allows for the efficient operation of special judge assignments in the District. Our District will use judges within the District or, as allowed by TR 79(H).

2. Criminal Rule 2.2: Our District has reviewed the current Criminal Rule 2.2 plans for counties in our District. We have determined that the counties in our District currently follow the provisions of Criminal Rule 2.2, 12 and 13.

3. Leadership: from among the alternatives suggested to comply with AR 3(B), our District reviewed the suggested choices: (1) administrative judge/committee or (2) other specified organization established by the District. We have chosen the administrative judge model. The administrative judge shall be the representative to the Board of Directors of the Indiana Judicial Conference.

4. Selection Process for leadership: The term for the administrative judge shall be two (2) years. The judges of both Morgan and Hendricks Counties agree that the administrative representative will alternate every two (2) years between the two counties provided there is a judge willing to serve. The selection shall occur at the annual meeting every two (2) years. The current administrative representative shall report the selection results to the Board. Judge David H. Coleman of Hendricks Superior Court No. 2 has been selected for the two (2) year term beginning with the end of the annual conference in September of 2012. He will be the District representative to the Board and has authority to cast all the votes allocated to the District.
5. Number of Meetings: The District will hold one meeting per year and the meeting will be organized by the administrative judge. A majority of the judges in the District will constitute a quorum to conduct business. Proxy votes at the District meeting can be cast as long as the administrative judge receives a written notice by facsimile or e-mail prior to the start of the meeting designating the judge's proxy or the proxy provides an executed proxy instrument prior to or at the commencement of the meeting. Meetings will be conducted in person or electronically or via conference call as determined by the needs of the District.

6. District Activities:

   Our District will investigate sharing judicial resources when more resources become available.

7. Currently, we do not have any District rules.

8. Compliance: Our District complies with the minimum requirements for District governance contemplated by AR 3(8). A majority of the judges in the District agreed to this plan on Jan. 7, 2013. It will remain in effect until further notice.

   David H. Coleman, District Representative