

2011

INDIANA PROBATION REPORT STATEWIDE SUMMARY



The Supreme Court of Indiana

The Honorable Randall T. Shepard, Chief Justice (retired March 2012)

The Honorable Brent E. Dickson, Assoc. Justice (appointed Chief Justice May 2012)

The Honorable Frank Sullivan, Jr., Assoc. Justice

The Honorable Robert D. Rucker, Assoc. Justice

The Honorable Steven H. David, Assoc. Justice

The Honorable Mark S. Massa, Assoc. Justice (appointed April 2012)

Lilia G. Judson, Executive Director
Division of State Court Administration
30 South Meridian, Suite 500
Indianapolis, IN 46204

Phone: (317) 232-2542

Fax: (317) 233-6586

www.courts.in.gov

2011 Indiana Probation Report

STATEWIDE SUMMARY / TABLE OF CONTENTS

Contents of the Statewide Summary of this annual report are available on the Internet at the
Indiana Supreme Court website at www.courts.IN.gov

Introduction	1
Probation Report Definitions	5
Probation Abbreviations	7
Adult Probation Supervisions 2002-2011	8
Adult Probation Supervision Method of Disposition 2002-2011	10
Adult Supervision Risk Levels	15
Adult Supervision Substance Abuse Convictions 2002-2011	16
Adult Presentence Investigations 2002-2011	17
Juvenile Probation Referrals 2002-2011	18
Juvenile Probation Supervisions 2002-2011	20
Juvenile Probation Supervisions Method of Disposition	22
Juvenile Supervision Risk Levels	24
Juvenile Supervision Substance Abuse Convictions 2002-2011	25
Juvenile Supervision Completed Predispositional and Progress Reports	26
Juvenile Law Services Report	27
2011 Juvenile Law Services Financial Report	37
Probation Personnel and Salary Expenses 2002-2011	38
Probation Restitution 2002-2011	40

STATEWIDE SUMMARY

2011

INDIANA PROBATION AND JUVENILE LAW SERVICES REPORTS

Administrative Rule 1(C) of the Indiana Rules of Court requires all probation departments to report to the Division of State Court Administration (the Division) the information required by Indiana Code 11-13-1-4. The Division compiles information from these individual reports and publishes the annual Indiana Probation Report. The report represents an annual compilation of workload data and an overview of funds expended on probation services in Indiana. Also included within the Probation Report is the Juvenile Law Services Report which provides demographic and financial information related to juvenile law services provided to delinquent children as required by Indiana Code 31-31-10-2.

Since 2009, the Indiana Probation Report has been published in two volumes. This Statewide Summary, Volume I provides in graphic format a statewide view of the workload of Indiana's probation departments, information about probation personnel expenses, restitution collected, and juvenile demographic information over a ten year period. Volume II, Individual Probation Department and County Data provides detailed information for 2011 broken down by individual department and county. Both volumes can be accessed via the *Indiana Judicial Service Report* CD or the Indiana Courts website. Probation Department reports dating back to 2002 can also be found online at www.courts.in.gov.

THE ORGANIZATION AND STRUCTURE OF PROBATION SERVICES IN INDIANA

Probation services in Indiana fall within the jurisdiction of the judiciary; the probationer remains under court jurisdiction until the term of probation is complete. By contrast, parole services are part of the Department of Correction, an executive branch agency.

Probation officers are trial court employees and are subject to the appointment and supervisory power of the courts that they serve. The organization of probation departments and their related services reflects the diverse nature of Indiana's local court structures. Because of the stand-alone nature of Indiana's trial courts, a number of Indiana counties still had several probation departments within the county, each working for a different court. However in 2010, the Indiana Supreme Court promulgated Administrative Rule 18, effective January 1, 2011, which required the courts of record in a county that are authorized to impose probation and which do not already have a unified or consolidated probation department to adopt a plan for a unified or consolidated probation department for the county.¹

¹ Unified or consolidated probation department means a single, county-funded probation department that is directed

Counties that established separate juvenile probation departments before January 1, 2010, could elect to maintain their separate juvenile departments. All consolidation plans take effect January 1, 2012.

The Judicial Conference of Indiana (Conference), chaired by the Chief Justice, sets guidelines and standards for qualification, provides training, administers licensing tests and certifies probation officers. By statute, the Conference is also authorized to set minimum compensation schedules for probation officers.

In addition, the Conference sets certain policies such as risk assessment requirements for probationers. Probation Departments use the Indiana Youth Assessment System (IYAS) for juveniles, and the Indiana Risk Assessment System (IRAS) for adults. These assessments are used to assist with case plans for individual probationers.

The Conference also administers the Interstate Compact for the transfer of adult and juvenile probationers in and out of Indiana and serves as the intermediary for the return of juvenile runaways, absconders, and escapees. In 2011, 1,967 probationers transferred into Indiana and 1,343 probationers transferred out of Indiana under the Interstate Compact.

HOME DETENTION

Indiana has used home detention, with or without electronic monitoring, as a condition of probation since 1988. Both probation departments and community corrections agencies operate home detention programs. As of January 1, 2011, 20 probation departments were supervising

by a single chief probation officer. These departments may have separate divisions such as felony, misdemeanor, adult, juvenile, Court Alcohol & Drug or pre-trial divisions. Indiana Administrative Rule 18(C)(4).

adult and juvenile probationers through home detention, either with or without electronic monitoring. These departments collected \$1,373,878 in home detention user fees between October 1, 2010 and September 30, 2011. These fees are charged in addition to probation user fees. Community Correction agencies collected \$8,159,303 from individuals being electronically monitored on home detention.

Home detention supervisions are counted as "Other" supervisions for purposes of this report and are included in the aggregate supervisions reflected herein. A detailed report on Indiana's home detention program is available from the Indiana Judicial Center at www.courts.in.gov.

FUNDING OF PROBATION SERVICES

As with other trial court operations, local county revenues, derived primarily through property taxes, fund probation services. Depending on the size of the county and budget, many courts include the court's probation functions within the operations budget of the court itself. In the more populous counties where the probation offices are quite sizable, the probation office prepares a separate budget to the local funding authority. Counties that have established and maintain juvenile detention facilities reflect this substantial expenditure as a probation expense.

Probation user fees, adult and juvenile, provide another significant funding source for probation services. The user fees are paid by probationers as part of the conditions of probation and may be used by the courts to provide probation services. Because many counties do not have a separate probation budget, non-personnel expenditures are absorbed within the court's expenditures, making it impossible to derive a complete picture of all probation-related expenditures. This report does include

probation personnel positions, a range of compensation, and such other probation expenditures as the probation departments have reported.

Probation officers and staffs constitute the largest segment of trial court personnel in Indiana. During 2011, there were approximately 1,300 professional probation officers and approximately 573 other employees providing probation services throughout Indiana.

SUMMARY OF 2011 CASELOAD DATA

The probation caseload information presented in this report was reported to the Division on a quarterly basis. It reflects the number of adult and juvenile supervisions pending at the beginning and end of the calendar year, the number of supervisions and referrals received, and the number of supervisions and referrals closed during the reporting year.

Beginning in 1996, Indiana's probation departments began mandatory use of a probation classification and workload measures system. Thus, probationers are assigned to supervision based on the relative risk of committing a new offense while on probation. The statistical information reflects the relative risk levels of probationers on supervision as of December 31, 2011.

The report also reflects information about how the supervision was terminated. The juvenile data provides information on the total number of juvenile referrals, preliminary inquiries, and predisposition reports, as well as the juvenile supervisions.

In 2011, there was an overall decrease of 2.2 percent in the number of new probation supervisions. The decrease was caused by the number of new misdemeanor supervisions which were

down 3,320, a decrease of 5.8 percent. Although there was a decrease in the number of new misdemeanor supervisions, there was an increase in the number of new juvenile and felony supervisions. Juvenile supervisions increased by 232, up 1.3 percent. New felony supervisions received during 2011 increased by 679, an increase of 2.0 percent from last year. In the adult felony category, 34,324 persons were placed on probation during the reporting year, a number that could have resulted in an increased inmate population in the Department of Correction absent the probation system.

Although there was a decline in the overall number of new supervisions received in 2011, the total number of supervisions pending at the end of the year was up from 2010. At the end of 2011, Indiana probation departments were supervising 150,085 adults and juveniles, an increase of 1.7 percent from the previous year.

SUMMARY OF FISCAL DATA

The 2011 data indicates that county trial courts spent \$80,737,060 on salaries of probation officers and probation office staff. The salary expenditure by the city and town court probation departments was an additional \$2,423,549. This represents approximately 31.8 percent of all expenditures on the operation of the trial courts. This amount, however, was partially offset by the collection of adult and juvenile probation user fees. In 2011, \$15,026,293 was collected statewide in adult probation user fees and \$1,387,536 in juvenile user fees, for a total of \$16,413,829.

Many probationers are ordered to pay restitution as a condition of probation. These funds are collected and distributed to the appropriate recipient by the clerk's office or the probation department. In 2011,

probationers paid \$7,172,576 for distribution to aggrieved parties.

The information compiled in this report would not be possible without the cooperation and contribution of Indiana's probation officers and their staff.

PROBATION REPORT DEFINITIONS

The following definitions will be used throughout the 2011 Indiana Probation Report.

- ❖ **Absconded** - Persons who have left the jurisdiction of the court without proper authorization and whose whereabouts are currently unknown.
- ❖ **CHINS (Child in Need of Services)** - Cases in which a child is alleged to be “in need of services” because the child's physical or mental condition is seriously impaired or endangered due to the inability, refusal, or neglect of the child's parent, guardian, or custodian to supply the child with necessary food, clothing, shelter, medical care, education, or supervision (Indiana Code 31-34-1-1).
- ❖ **Delinquent or Non-Status Delinquent** - A child who has allegedly committed an offense prior to attaining 18 years of age that would be considered an offense if committed by an adult (Indiana Code 31-37-1-2).
- ❖ **Interstate Accepted** - Persons accepted under supervision from other states, through the Interstate Compact.
- ❖ **Intrastate Accepted** - Persons accepted under supervision from other counties in Indiana.
- ❖ **Post-Sentence Supervisions** - Persons ordered under supervision after conviction and sentencing. Generally, Post-Sentence supervisions represent convictions where the entire sentence is suspended in favor of probation only.
- ❖ **Predispositional Report (PDR)** - Upon a finding by a court that a child is a delinquent child, Indiana Code 31-37-17-1 requires a probation officer or caseworker to complete a predispositional report. Predispositional reports contain (1) a statement of the needs of the child for care, treatment, rehabilitation, or placement; and (2) a recommendation for the care, treatment, rehabilitation, or placement of the child.
- ❖ **Referral** - A probation department includes in the referral category any allegation of juvenile misconduct, whether it stems from the police, parents, school, commercial businesses, or other adults. Examples of referrals include police reports of juvenile misconduct, complaints of incorrigibility by a parent, reports from a school as to truancy, or allegations by a neighbor regarding misconduct.
- ❖ **Split-Sentence Supervisions** - Persons ordered under supervision after commitment to the Department of Correction for a period of time, or commitment to a local facility. These cases are not counted until the convicted person is released from the institution and is being supervised by the probation department. The category includes any sentence that is a combination of confinement and probation and almost always involves felony convictions rather than misdemeanors, although some misdemeanor convictions will result in split sentences. The key to this category is that the sentence involves a period of commitment in a secure facility, to be followed by probation.

- ❖ **Status Delinquent** - A juvenile who is charged with committing an act that would not be considered a crime if committed by an adult, such as truancy, incorrigibility, violations of curfew, and violations of Indiana Code 7.1-5-7 (minors and alcoholic beverages) (Indiana Code 31-37-2-2 – Indiana Code 31-37-2-7).
- ❖ **Supervision in Lieu of Prosecution** - Persons ordered under supervision as an alternative to prosecution. If a court determines that probation is a better alternative rather than actual prosecution for a crime (generally misdemeanors), including some sort of court-ordered treatment, the probation department will report the supervision in this category. There must be a court order before any supervision is reported in this category. Indiana Code 12-23-5-1 permits this type of supervision when the court “takes judicial notice of the fact that proper early intervention, medical, advisory or rehabilitative treatment of the defendant is likely to decrease the defendant’s tendency to engage in antisocial behavior.”

PROBATION ABBREVIATIONS


Probation- Adult Felony and Misdemeanor	Abbreviation	Term
	Absconded	Absconded and/or Warrant Active
	Drug	Drug Court Supervision
	In Lieu of Prosecution	Supervision in Lieu of Prosecution
	Inter-State	Inter-State Accepted (from another state)
	Intra-State	Intra-State Accepted (from another county in Indiana)
	Other	Other
	Post	Post-Sentence Supervision
	Pre	Pre-Trial Supervision
	Probation Completed	Discharged
	Revoke New Offense	Revoked Because of New Offense
	Revoke New Violation	Revoked Because of New Violation
	Split	Split Sentence Supervision
	Withheld	Judgment Withheld

Probation – Juvenile Referral	Another Agency	Preliminary Inquiry With Recommendation to Refer to Another Agency or County
	Dismiss	Preliminary Inquiry With Recommendation to Dismiss
	File Petition	Preliminary Inquiry With Recommendation to File Petition
	Informal Adjustment	Preliminary Inquiry With Recommendation for Informal Adjustment
	Non-Status Delinq	Non-Status Delinquent
	Other (Incoming)	Other Referrals, primarily Child in Need of Services
	Other (Method of Disposition)	Other Disposition of Referral
	Status Delinq	Status Delinquent
	Waiver	Preliminary Inquiry With Recommendation for Waiver


Probation – Juvenile Supervisions	Absconded	Absconded
	Cmpltd Probtn	Discharged (Closed Supervision)
	DOC New Offense	Modified & Committed to Correctional Facility (DOC) (New Offense)
	DOC Technical Violation	Modified & Committed to Correctional Facility (DOC) (Technical Violation)
	In-State New Off	Modified and Placed in an In-State Residential Facility (New Offense)
	In-State Tech Viol	Modified and Placed in an In-State Residential Facility (Technical Violation)
	Inter-State	Inter-State (from or to another state)
	Intra-State	Intra-State (from or to another county in Indiana)
	New Offense	Removed from Supervision Because of New Offense
	Non-St Delinq	Post Adjudication or Informal Adjustment Non-Status Delinquent
	Other (Incoming)	Other Supervisions Received
	Other Closed	Other Closed Supervisions
	Out-State New Off	Modified and Placed in an Out-of-State Facility (New Offense)
	Out-State Tech Viol	Modified and Placed in an Out-of-State Facility (Technical Violation)
	Stand Sup	Standard Supervision
	Status Delinq	Post Adjudication or Informal Adjustment Status Delinquent
Trans Program	Placed in Community Transition Program (Actively Providing Services)	

ADULT PROBATION SUPERVISIONS 2002-2011


Supervisions Pending January 1 (2002-2011)




Adult Supervisions Received (2002-2011)




Adult Supervisions Disposed (2002-2011)




Supervisions Pending December 31 (2002-2011)





ADULT SUPERVISION METHOD OF DISPOSITION (2002-2011)




	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Completed Probation	14,809	15,235	15,999	16,414	17,145	16,682	18,479	17,971	19,065	19,331
Revocations										
New Offense	2,595	2,911	3,447	3,541	3,886	3,824	4,222	4,575	4,443	4,520
Technical	3,030	3,673	3,887	4,625	5,010	5,497	5,133	5,392	4,664	4,420
Total Revocations	5,625	6,584	7,334	8,166	8,896	9,321	9,355	9,967	9,107	8,940
Absconded	2,604	2,531	3,126	3,335	2,267	2,340	2,179	2,480	2,311	2,402
Other	2,783	2,825	3,153	3,554	3,404	5,182	4,432	4,358	3,004	4,553
Total Dispositions	25,821	27,175	29,612	31,469	31,712	33,525	34,445	34,776	33,487	35,226



	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Completed Probation	47,125	49,424	49,666	47,522	45,485	46,598	46,379	47,312	46,713	42,418
Revocations										
New Offense	3,212	3,809	3,696	3,755	3,732	3,559	4,128	4,245	4,174	3,759
Technical	5,420	6,552	6,170	6,352	5,624	5,757	5,742	5,833	5,693	4,852
Total Revocations	8,632	10,361	9,866	10,107	9,356	9,316	9,870	10,078	9,867	8,611
Absconded	5,355	6,591	6,893	6,330	5,027	5,621	5,083	4,804	4,799	4,182
Other	3,871	3,773	3,663	4,200	3,832	4,329	3,840	4,149	2,601	3,503
Total Dispositions	64,983	70,149	70,088	68,159	63,700	65,864	65,172	66,343	63,980	58,714




**Felony Probation Dispositions
Supervisions Disposed by Absconding* (2002-2011)**




*Person's who have left the jurisdiction of the court without proper authorization and whose whereabouts are currently unknown.


**Felony Probation Dispositions
Supervisions Disposed by Other-Home Detention (2002-2011)**



**Felony Probation Dispositions
Supervisions Disposed by Inter/Intra State Transfer (2002-2011)**




**Misdemeanor Probation Dispositions
Supervisions Disposed by Absconding* (2002-2011)**




*Person's who have left the jurisdiction of the court without proper authorization and whose whereabouts are currently unknown.

**Misdemeanor Probation Dispositions
Supervisions Disposed by Other-Home Detention (2002-2011)**




**Misdemeanor Probation Dispositions
Supervisions Disposed by Inter/Intra State Transfer (2002-2011)**



ADULT SUPERVISION RISK LEVELS


Probationers are assigned to supervision as high, medium or low based on the relative risk of committing a new offense while on probation. Administrative is a category used for those not classified.

2011 Felony Probation Risk Levels




High	Medium	Low	Administrative
18,387	17,506	23,255	13,875

2011 Misdemeanor Probation Risk Levels




High	Medium	Low	Administrative
6,054	7,824	21,176	12,541

ADULT SUPERVISIONS SUBSTANCE ABUSE CONVICTIONS AND SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED (2002-2011)




	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Supervisions Received	26,695	29,037	31,263	32,638	32,993	35,234	34,842	34,621	33,645	34,324
Convicted of a Substance Abuse Offense	9,853	11,615	12,813	12,547	13,285	14,522	15,558	13,250	12,772	13,551



	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Supervisions Received	67,218	66,883	67,851	66,357	65,918	62,566	63,462	61,953	57,191	53,871
Convicted of a Substance Abuse Offense	34,344	34,612	33,560	34,761	33,672	33,256	26,841	24,921	27,090	24,143

PRESENTENCE INVESTIGATIONS (2002-2011)


Presentence Investigations (2002-2011)



JUVENILE PROBATION REFERRALS 2002-2011



2011 Juvenile Probation Referrals

	Pending 1/1/11	Received	Disposed	Pending 12/31/11
Non-Status Delinquent	9,901	31,750	33,028	8,623
Status Delinquent	4,528	13,388	13,564	4,352
Other	7	1,026	1,027	6
Total	14,436	46,164	47,619	12,981



	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Status Delinquent	16,962	18,008	16,516	15,915	17,264	16,976	15,492	14,211	13,987	13,388
Non-Status Delinquent	37,662	39,370	40,433	39,199	40,495	38,814	36,189	37,362	34,539	31,750

Disposition of 2011 Juvenile Referrals




Ten Year Review of Referral Dispositions

	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
File Petition	24,486	23,999	24,606	24,548	25,061	23,742	21,967	20,793	19,081	18,130
Dismiss	6,390	6,488	8,091	8,615	8,500	8,773	7,353	7,779	7,421	7,796
Refer to Other Agency	2,646	2,693	2,758	2,760	2,948	2,894	2,632	2,857	2,337	2,206
Informally Adjust	10,555	10,982	10,759	9,893	11,025	11,152	9,385	9,805	10,961	7,363
Other	13,202	13,604	12,658	13,265	13,009	17,585	11,293	10,279	10,310	11,999


JUVENILE PROBATION SUPERVISIONS 2002-2011

2011 Juvenile Probation Supervisions


	Pending 1/1/11	Received	Reopened	Disposed	Pending 12/31/11
Informal Supervision					
Non-Status Delinquent	3,519	4,911	18	4,938	3,510
Status Delinquent	1,502	2,113	4	2,198	1,421
Post Judgment Supervision					
Non-Status Delinquent	9,627	8,632	616	9,637	9,238
Status Delinquent	1,904	1,493	68	1,550	1,915
Other Supervisions					
Intra-State Accepted	136	171	4	171	140
Inter-State Accepted	70	100	0	89	81
Other	500	1,061	3	1,153	411
Total Supervisions					
Total	17,258	18,481	713	19,736	16,716



	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Received	23,399	24,651	23,670	23,197	23,604	23,155	21,328	18,861	18,249	18,481
Disposed	22,714	22,953	24,371	22,603	23,793	26,462	21,563	22,367	19,519	19,736




	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Informal Non-Status	6,268	6,734	6,171	6,260	6,163	6,075	6,081	5,417	5,236	4,911
Informal Status	3,290	3,849	3,199	2,905	3,171	3,142	3,287	2,494	2,480	2,113
Post Judgment Non-Status	10,905	10,746	11,175	10,954	11,199	11,023	9,328	8,784	8,343	8,632
Post Judgment Status	2,309	2,237	2,330	2,136	2,296	2,281	2,022	1,534	1,526	1,493




	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Intra-State	202	197	203	181	176	180	196	161	168	171
Inter-State	128	80	93	65	71	68	99	81	50	100

JUVENILE PROBATION SUPERVISIONS METHOD OF DISPOSITION


2011 Method of Disposition




Supervisions Disposed by Completing Probation (2002-2011)



2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
17,638	16,509	15,827	17,479	18,114	18,369	17,500	17,111	16,244	16,418



2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
1,454	680	514	795	772	858	1,083	929	690	667




	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Intra-State	154	106	92	109	118	127	195	181	176	171
Inter-State	55	47	38	34	35	59	97	95	92	89

JUVENILE SUPERVISION RISK LEVEL


Probationers are assigned to supervision as high, medium or low based on the relative risk of committing a new offense while on probation. Administrative is a category used for those not classified.

2011 Juvenile Probation Risk Levels



High	Medium	Low	Administrative
1,885	4,259	5,209	2,416


JUVENILE SUPERVISIONS SUBSTANCE ABUSE CONVICTIONS AND SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED (2002-2011)



	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Supervisions Received	23,399	24,651	23,670	23,197	23,604	23,155	21,328	18,861	18,249	18,481
Convicted of Substance Abuse Offense	6,088	5,889	5,357	3,682	3,644	4,100	4,236	3,296	3,254	2,976

JUVENILE SUPERVISIONS COMPLETED PREDISPOSITIONAL (PDR) AND PROGRESS REPORTS

**Juvenile Supervisions
Completed PDRs and Progress Reports (2002-2011)**



	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
PDRs	9,287	11,519	10,970	11,088	11,390	12,076	10,978	10,813	10,460	12,208
Progress Reports	17,829	16,516	14,131	13,644	11,115	13,636	12,594	13,803	11,546	12,379

JUVENILE LAW SERVICES REPORT

Indiana Code 31-31-10-1 *et seq.* requires the probation department of the juvenile court to maintain information relating to delinquent children and children in need of services who receive juvenile law services, and all financial information relating to each juvenile law service.

The terms “juvenile law services” and “all financial information” are not defined by statute. For the purpose of complying with the reporting requirements of the law, the Division and the Juvenile Justice Improvement Committee of the Indiana Judicial Conference (“Committee”) have defined the terms.

“Juvenile law services” means: The filing with a court of a JD (Juvenile Delinquent), JS (Juvenile Status), JC (Child in Need of Service or CHINS), or JM (Juvenile Miscellaneous) case, as defined pursuant to Administrative Rule 8. The interpretation of case types in Administrative Rule 8 generally refers to the following:

JD - Cases in which a child is alleged to be delinquent as a result of committing an act prior to attaining 18 years of age that would be considered an offense if committed by an adult (Indiana Code 31-37-1-2).

JS - Cases in which a juvenile is charged with committing an act that would not be considered a crime if committed by an adult, such as truancy, incorrigibility, violations of curfew, and violations of Indiana Code 7.1-5-7 (minors and alcoholic beverages) (Indiana Code 31-37-2-2 – Indiana Code 31-37-2-7).

JC - Cases in which a child is alleged to be “in need of services” because the child's physical or mental condition is seriously impaired or endangered due to the inability, refusal, or neglect of the child's parent, guardian, or custodian to supply the child with necessary food, clothing, shelter,


medical care, education, or supervision (Indiana Code 31-34-1-1).

JM - Cases involving juvenile matters not reflected in other categories, including court approval of informal adjustments.


The financial information available to the courts and to probation departments is often limited to expenditures made through court budgets. Expenditures made from the county general fund could be made available to the probation department and the court, if identified as such by the county auditor. The bulk of the financial information on children receiving “juvenile law services” is collected and reported by the Department of Child Services (DCS).

For purposes of this publication, “Reimbursement” is the amount of money collected for programs from the sources listed on the Semi-Annual Juvenile Law Services Financial Information report.


Juvenile Delinquency (2002-2011)






	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Caucasian	15,113	15,767	16,164	14,892	14,730	14,216	14,562	11,201	10,715	10,545
African-American	6,415	6,351	7,087	6,902	6,070	5,933	6,531	5,307	5,337	5,093
Hispanic	795	857	1,171	1,106	1,295	1,164	1,147	1,019	942	844
Other	404	459	602	605	684	581	593	574	687	905



	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Male	16,685	17,203	18,101	16,883	16,503	15,824	17,118	13,638	13,014	12,577
Female	6,087	6,259	6,923	6,621	6,281	6,073	5,736	4,476	4,651	4,798




	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
0 to 5	5	10	4	7	10	13	4	5	1	4
6 to 12	1,849	1,874	1,893	1,604	1,347	1,344	1,171	804	918	1,189
13 to 17	14,309	14,985	16,213	15,326	14,841	14,187	15,160	11,533	11,132	10,842
17+	6,616	6,589	6,914	6,566	6,569	6,540	6,505	5,773	5,614	5,344




Note: Starting in 2012, the demographic statistics will be collected in an expanded format to correlate reporting requirements of the federal government.


Juvenile CHINS (2002-2011)



	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Caucasian	3,054	3,524	3,428	3,676	3,305	3,526	4,472	4,796	4,410	4,398
African-American	1,785	1,134	1,026	2,062	1,276	1,497	2,324	2,114	2,185	1,950
Hispanic	204	216	221	276	294	310	376	299	307	277
Other	277	218	194	352	497	558	734	806	796	833




	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Male	2,647	2,623	2,488	3,146	2,577	2,883	3,919	3,993	3,767	3,681
Female	2,603	2,472	2,381	3,220	2,783	3,017	3,988	4,022	3,931	3,777




	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
0 to 5	2,262	1,379	1,625	2,754	2,283	2,828	3,991	4,052	3,809	3,748
6 to 12	1,701	1,300	1,325	1,894	1,518	1,782	2,527	2,490	2,455	2,377
13 to 17	1,081	1,907	1,574	1,398	1,274	1,041	1,133	1,190	1,141	1,052
17+	201	509	345	320	299	260	255	273	292	281


2011 Race



2011 Gender




2011 Age




Note: Starting in 2012, the demographic statistics will be collected in an expanded format to correlate reporting requirements of the federal government.


Juvenile Status (2002-2011)






	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Caucasian	4,554	4,279	4,694	3,871	4,012	4,402	4,062	2,224	2,638	2,584
African-American	1,212	1,728	2,038	1,036	1,126	972	1,018	453	570	586
Hispanic	283	240	345	246	325	365	255	181	166	170
Other	136	208	299	269	263	153	130	108	162	234



	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Male	3,363	3,856	3,766	2,809	2,911	3,090	2,791	1,569	1,870	1,890
Female	2,838	2,981	3,610	2,641	2,810	2,797	2,676	1,397	1,668	1,676




	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
0 to 5	3	733	1,041	217	371	198	8	18	107	7
6 to 12	498	981	1,164	514	612	481	366	179	226	299
13 to 17	4,389	3,588	3,873	3,600	3,676	3,848	3,866	2,053	2,324	2,379
17+	1,290	1,029	1,298	1,094	1,072	1,392	1,235	714	881	898




Note: Starting in 2012, the demographic statistics will be collected in an expanded format to correlate reporting requirements of the federal government.


Juvenile Miscellaneous (2002-2011)






	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Caucasian	3,862	4,767	4,358	4,433	4,659	5,190	6,742	7,859	5,988	5,389
African-American	504	659	502	585	844	791	1,727	2,470	1,595	1,422
Hispanic	142	180	153	173	224	232	501	542	385	313
Other	78	148	107	130	261	302	474	662	548	502



	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Male	2,778	3,337	3,031	3,175	3,570	3,698	5,371	6,650	4,900	4,249
Female	1,820	2,422	2,089	2,146	2,415	2,811	4,073	4,884	3,616	3,377





	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
0 to 5	324	481	522	458	712	965	1,661	1,598	1,306	1,109
6 to 12	586	868	628	684	802	982	1,604	1,719	1,171	1,045
13 to 17	2,473	3,084	2,710	2,850	3,184	3,083	4,322	5,736	4,207	3,636
17+	1,222	1,299	1,260	1,329	1,417	1,418	1,858	2,484	1,830	1,816



Note: Starting in 2012, the demographic statistics will be collected in an expanded format to correlate reporting requirements of the federal government.

2011 JUVENILE LAW SERVICES FINANCIAL REPORT

Financial Information



PROBATION PERSONNEL AND EXPENSES 2002-2011

2011 Probation Personnel


	Courts of Record	City/Town Courts	Total
Chief Probation Officers	125	20	145
Probation Officers	1,136	19	1,155
Clerical Personnel	340	21	361
Other Personnel	184	28	212
Total	1,785	88	1,873


10 Year Comparison of Probation Personnel

	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Chief Probation Officers	155	148	145	150	154	142	152	156	147	145
Probation Officers	1,030	1,082	997	1,019	1,061	1,136	1,204	1,206	1,195	1,155
Clerical Personnel	329	368	331	331	349	382	412	384	425	361
Other Personnel	215	212	215	227	224	228	166	177	153	212
Total	1,729	1,810	1,688	1,727	1,788	1,888	1,934	1,923	1,920	1,873


2011 Probation Personnel Expenses

	Courts of Record	City/Town Courts	Total
Chief Probation Officers	\$7,362,203	\$772,758	\$8,134,961
Probation Officers	\$50,019,136	\$682,611	\$50,701,746
Clerical Personnel	\$8,005,852	\$393,045	\$8,398,897
Other Personnel	\$4,138,676	\$166,610	\$4,305,286
Fringe Benefits	\$11,211,193	\$408,524	\$11,619,718
Total	\$80,737,060	\$2,423,549	\$83,160,608






	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Average PO Salary	\$32,494	\$32,798	\$36,108	\$37,379	\$37,190	\$39,607	\$40,454	\$41,693	\$41,995	\$44,031



	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Average CPO Salary	\$39,436	\$41,408	\$49,488	\$49,094	\$50,798	\$51,630	\$54,162	\$55,660	\$56,396	\$58,898

PROBATION RESTITUTION 2002-2011



2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
\$6,599,172	\$6,187,176	\$6,848,994	\$7,669,848	\$6,847,502	\$8,197,027	\$9,436,170	\$7,075,780	\$6,524,375	\$7,172,576