

HONORED TO SERVE
Indiana Probation Report

2012

VOLUME 1: STATEWIDE SUMMARY



2012

INDIANA PROBATION REPORT STATEWIDE SUMMARY



The Supreme Court of Indiana

The Honorable Brent E. Dickson, Chief Justice
The Honorable Robert D. Rucker, Assoc. Justice
The Honorable Steven H. David, Assoc. Justice
The Honorable Mark S. Massa, Assoc. Justice
The Honorable Loretta H. Rush, Assoc. Justice

Lilia G. Judson, Executive Director
Division of State Court Administration
30 South Meridian, Suite 500
Indianapolis, IN 46204

Phone: (317) 232-2542
Fax: (317) 233-6586

courts.in.gov

2012 Indiana Probation Report

STATEWIDE SUMMARY / TABLE OF CONTENTS

Contents of the Statewide Summary of this annual report are available on the Internet at the
Indiana Supreme Court website at courts.IN.gov

Introduction	1
Probation Report Definitions	5
Probation Abbreviations	7
Adult Probation Supervisions 2003-2012	8
Adult Probation Supervision Method of Disposition 2003-2012	10
Adult Supervision Levels.....	15
Adult Supervision Substance Abuse Convictions 2003-2012.....	16
Adult Presentence Investigations 2003-2012	17
Juvenile Probation Referrals 2003-2012	18
Juvenile Probation Supervisions 2003-2012	20
Juvenile Probation Supervisions Method of Disposition.....	22
Juvenile Supervision Levels	24
Juvenile Supervision Substance Abuse Convictions 2003-2012	25
Juvenile Supervision Completed Predispositional and Progress Reports.....	26
Juvenile Law Services Report	27
2012 Juvenile Law Services Financial Report.....	33
Probation Personnel and Salary Expenses 2003-2012.....	34
Probation Restitution 2003-2012	36

STATEWIDE SUMMARY

2012

INDIANA PROBATION AND JUVENILE LAW SERVICES REPORTS

Administrative Rule 1(C) of the Indiana Rules of Court requires all probation departments to report to the Division of State Court Administration (Division) the information required by Indiana Code 11-13-1-4. The Division compiles information from these individual reports and publishes the annual Indiana Probation Report. The report represents an annual compilation of workload data and an overview of funds expended on probation services in Indiana. Also included within the Probation Report is the Juvenile Law Services Report which provides demographic and financial information related to juvenile law services provided to delinquent children as required by Indiana Code 31-31-10-2.

Since 2009, the Indiana Probation Report has been published in two volumes. This Statewide Summary, Volume I, provides in graphic format a statewide view of the workload of Indiana's probation departments, information about probation personnel expenses and restitution collected over a ten year period. In 2012, the Division expanded the juvenile demographic statistics reported per the guidelines established by the federal Office of Management and Budget. This expansion included additional age and race categories. Also new is a total by ethnicity. Thus, this section on demographics will not have a 10-year comparison as in past years. Volume II, Individual Probation Department and County Data, provides detailed information for 2012 broken down

by individual department and county. Both volumes can be accessed via the Indiana Courts website. Probation department reports dating back to 2002 can also be found online at courts.in.gov.

THE ORGANIZATION AND STRUCTURE OF PROBATION SERVICES IN INDIANA

Probation services in Indiana fall within the jurisdiction of the judiciary; the probationer remains under court jurisdiction until the term of probation is complete. By contrast, parole services are part of the Department of Correction, an executive branch agency.

Probation officers are trial court employees and are subject to the appointment and supervisory power of the courts they serve. The organization of probation departments and their related services reflects the diverse nature of Indiana's local court structures. Because of the stand-alone nature of Indiana's trial courts, a number of Indiana counties still had several probation departments within the county, each working for a different court. However, in 2010, the Indiana Supreme Court promulgated Administrative Rule 18, effective January 1, 2011, which required the courts of record that did not already have a unified or consolidated

probation department to adopt a plan for the county.¹

Counties that had established separate juvenile probation departments before January 1, 2010, could elect to maintain their separate juvenile departments. All consolidation plans took effect January 1, 2012.

The Judicial Conference of Indiana (Conference), chaired by the Chief Justice, sets guidelines and standards for qualification, provides training, administers licensing tests and certifies probation officers. By statute, the Conference is also authorized to set minimum compensation schedules for probation officers.

In addition, the Conference sets certain policies such as risk assessment requirements for probationers. Probation departments use the Indiana Youth Assessment System (IYAS) for juveniles, and the Indiana Risk Assessment System (IRAS) for adults. These assessments are used to assist with case plans for individual probationers.

The Conference also administers the Interstate Compact for the transfer of adult and juvenile probationers in and out of Indiana and serves as the intermediary for the return of juvenile runaways, absconders, and escapees. In 2012, 1,593 probationers transferred into Indiana and 1,547 probationers transferred out of Indiana under the Interstate Compact.

HOME DETENTION

Indiana Code 35-38-2.5 has authorized Indiana trial courts to order home detention as a condition of probation for

¹ Unified or consolidated probation department means a single, county-funded probation department that is directed by a single chief probation officer. These departments may have separate divisions such as felony, misdemeanor, adult, juvenile, Court Alcohol & Drug or pre-trial divisions. Indiana Administrative Rule 18(C)(4).

criminal offenders since 1988. A trial court may order that the supervision of an offender's home detention be conducted by the probation department for the court or a community corrections program. The statute requires that an order for home detention must include a requirement that the offender pay a home detention fee. As of January 1, 2012, 20 probation departments were supervising adult and juvenile probationers through home detention, either with or without electronic monitoring. These departments collected \$1,418,614 in home detention user fees between October 1, 2011 and September 30, 2012. These fees are charged in addition to probation user fees. Community Correction agencies collected \$9,556,411 from individuals being electronically monitored on home detention.

Home detention supervisions are counted as "Other" supervisions for purposes of this report and are included in the aggregate supervisions reflected in this volume. A detailed report on Indiana's home detention program is available from the Indiana Judicial Center at courts.in.gov.

FUNDING OF PROBATION SERVICES

As with other trial court operations, local county revenues, derived primarily through property taxes, fund probation services. Depending on the size of the county and budget, many courts include the court's probation functions within the operations budget of the court itself. In the more populous counties, where the probation offices are quite sizable, the probation office prepares a separate budget to the local funding authority. Counties that have established and maintain juvenile detention facilities reflect this substantial expenditure as a probation expense.

Probation user fees, adult and juvenile, provide another significant funding source for probation services. The user fees

are paid by probationers as part of the conditions of probation and may be used by the courts to provide probation services. Because many counties do not have a separate probation budget, non-personnel expenditures are absorbed within the court's expenditures, making it impossible to derive a complete picture of all probation-related expenditures. This report does include probation personnel positions, a range of compensation, and such other probation expenditures as the probation departments have reported.

Probation officers and staffs constitute the largest segment of trial court personnel in Indiana. During 2012, there were approximately 1,347 professional probation officers and approximately 568 other employees providing probation services throughout Indiana.

SUMMARY OF 2012 CASELOAD DATA

The probation caseload information presented in this report was reported to the Division on a quarterly basis. It reflects the number of adult and juvenile supervisions pending at the beginning and end of the calendar year, the number of supervisions and referrals received, and the number of supervisions and referrals closed during the reporting year.

Beginning in 1996, Indiana's probation departments began mandatory use of a probation classification and workload measures system. Thus, probationers are assigned to supervision based on the relative risk of committing a new offense while on probation. The statistical information reflects the supervision levels of probationers on supervision as of December 31, 2012.

The report also reflects information about how the supervision was terminated. The juvenile data provides information on the total number of juvenile referrals,

preliminary inquiries, and predisposition reports, as well as the juvenile supervisions.

In 2012, there was an overall decrease of 4.6 percent in the number of new probation supervisions. Felony supervisions were down 1,521, a decrease of 4.4 percent, and misdemeanor supervisions were down 3,333, a decrease of 6.2 percent. Although there was a decrease in the number of new felony and misdemeanor supervisions, there was little change in the number of new juvenile supervisions. Juvenile supervisions decreased by only 67, down 0.36 percent. In the adult felony category, 32,803 persons were placed on probation during the reporting year, a number that could have resulted in an increased inmate population in the Department of Correction absent the probation system.

Along with the decline in the overall number of new supervisions received in 2012, the total number of supervisions pending at the end of the year was also down from 2011. At the end of 2012, Indiana probation departments were supervising 140,649 adults and juveniles, a decrease of 6.3 percent from the previous year.

SUMMARY OF FISCAL DATA

The 2012 data indicates county trial courts spent \$83,241,041 on the salaries of probation officers and probation office staff. The salary expenditure by the city and town court probation departments was an additional \$2,271,321. This represents approximately 32.5 percent of all expenditures on the operation of the trial courts. This amount, however, was partially offset by the collection of adult and juvenile probation user fees. In 2012, \$15,017,096 was collected statewide in adult probation user fees and \$976,471 in juvenile user fees, for a total of \$15,993,567.

Many probationers are ordered to pay restitution as a condition of probation. These funds are collected and distributed to the appropriate recipient by the clerk's office or the probation department. In 2012, probationers paid \$6,226,818 for distribution to aggrieved parties.

The information compiled in this report would not be possible without the cooperation and contribution of Indiana's probation officers and their staff.

PROBATION REPORT DEFINITIONS

The following definitions will be used throughout the 2012 Indiana Probation Report.

- ❖ **Absconded** - Persons who have left the jurisdiction of the court without proper authorization and whose whereabouts are currently unknown.
- ❖ **CHINS (Child in Need of Services)** - Cases in which a child is alleged to be “in need of services” because the child’s physical or mental condition is seriously impaired or endangered due to the inability, refusal, or neglect of the child’s parent, guardian, or custodian to supply the child with necessary food, clothing, shelter, medical care, education, or supervision (Indiana Code 31-34-1-1).
- ❖ **Delinquent or Non-Status Delinquent** - A child who has allegedly committed an offense prior to attaining 18 years of age that would be considered an offense if committed by an adult (Indiana Code 31-37-1-2).
- ❖ **Interstate Accepted** - Persons accepted under supervision from other states, through the Interstate Compact.
- ❖ **Intrastate Accepted** - Persons accepted under supervision from other counties in Indiana.
- ❖ **Post-Sentence Supervisions** - Persons ordered under supervision after conviction and sentencing. Generally, Post-Sentence supervisions represent convictions where the entire sentence is suspended in favor of probation only.
- ❖ **Predispositional Report (PDR)** - Upon a finding by a court that a child is a delinquent child, Indiana Code 31-37-17-1 requires a probation officer or caseworker to complete a predispositional report. Predispositional reports contain (1) a statement of the needs of the child for care, treatment, rehabilitation, or placement; and (2) a recommendation for the care, treatment, rehabilitation, or placement of the child.
- ❖ **Referral** - A probation department includes in the referral category any allegation of juvenile misconduct, whether it stems from the police, parents, school, commercial businesses, or other adults. Examples of referrals include police reports of juvenile misconduct, complaints of incorrigibility by a parent, reports from a school as to truancy, or allegations by a neighbor regarding misconduct.
- ❖ **Split-Sentence Supervisions** - Persons ordered under supervision after commitment to the Department of Correction for a period of time, or commitment to a local facility. These cases are not counted until the convicted person is released from the institution and is being supervised by the probation department. The category includes any sentence that is a combination of confinement and probation and almost always involves felony convictions rather than misdemeanors, although some misdemeanor convictions will result in split sentences. The key to this category is that the sentence involves a period of commitment in a secure facility, to be followed by probation.

- ❖ **Status Delinquent** - A juvenile who is charged with committing an act that would not be considered a crime if committed by an adult, such as truancy, incorrigibility, violations of curfew, and violations of Indiana Code 7.1-5-7 (minors and alcoholic beverages) (Indiana Code 31-37-2-2 – Indiana Code 31-37-2-7).

- ❖ **Supervision in Lieu of Prosecution** - Persons ordered under supervision as an alternative to prosecution. If a court determines that probation is a better alternative rather than actual prosecution for a crime (generally misdemeanors), including some sort of court-ordered treatment, the probation department will report the supervision in this category. There must be a court order before any supervision is reported in this category. Indiana Code 12-23-5-1 permits this type of supervision when the court “takes judicial notice of the fact that proper early intervention, medical, advisory or rehabilitative treatment of the defendant is likely to decrease the defendant’s tendency to engage in antisocial behavior.”

PROBATION ABBREVIATIONS


Probation - Adult Felony and Misdemeanor	Abbreviation	Term
	Absconded	Absconded and/or Warrant Active
	Drug	Drug Court Supervision
	In Lieu of Prosecution	Supervision in Lieu of Prosecution
	Inter-State	Inter-State Accepted (from another state)
	Intra-State	Intra-State Accepted (from another county in Indiana)
	Other	Other
	Post	Post-Sentence Supervision
	Pre	Pre-Trial Supervision
	Probation Completed	Discharged
	Revoke New Offense	Revoked Because of New Offense
	Revoke New Violation	Revoked Because of New Violation
	Split	Split Sentence Supervision
	Withheld	Judgment Withheld

Probation – Juvenile Referral	Another Agency	Preliminary Inquiry With Recommendation to Refer to Another Agency or County
	Dismiss	Preliminary Inquiry With Recommendation to Dismiss
	File Petition	Preliminary Inquiry With Recommendation to File Petition
	Informal Adjustment	Preliminary Inquiry With Recommendation for Informal Adjustment
	Non-Status Delinq	Non-Status Delinquent
	Other (Incoming)	Other Referrals, primarily Child in Need of Services
	Other (Method of Disposition)	Other Disposition of Referral
	Status Delinq	Status Delinquent
	Waiver	Preliminary Inquiry With Recommendation for Waiver


Probation – Juvenile Supervisions	Absconded	Absconded
	Cmpltd Probtn	Discharged (Closed Supervision)
	DOC New Offense	Modified & Committed to Correctional Facility (DOC) (New Offense)
	DOC Technical Violation	Modified & Committed to Correctional Facility (DOC) (Technical Violation)
	In-State New Off	Modified and Placed in an In-State Residential Facility (New Offense)
	In-State Tech Viol	Modified and Placed in an In-State Residential Facility (Technical Violation)
	Inter-State	Inter-State (from or to another state)
	Intra-State	Intra-State (from or to another county in Indiana)
	New Offense	Removed from Supervision Because of New Offense
	Non-St Delinq	Post Adjudication or Informal Adjustment Non-Status Delinquent
	Other (Incoming)	Other Supervisions Received
	Other Closed	Other Closed Supervisions
	Out-State New Off	Modified and Placed in an Out-of-State Facility (New Offense)
	Out-State Tech Viol	Modified and Placed in an Out-of-State Facility (Technical Violation)
	Stand Sup	Standard Supervision
	Status Delinq	Post Adjudication or Informal Adjustment Status Delinquent
Trans Program	Placed in Community Transition Program (Actively Providing Services)	

ADULT PROBATION SUPERVISIONS 2003-2012


Supervisions Pending January 1 (2003-2012)




Adult Supervisions Received (2003-2012)



Adult Supervisions Disposed (2003-2012)




Supervisions Pending December 31 (2003-2012)




ADULT SUPERVISION METHOD OF DISPOSITION (2003-2012)

Felony Probation Dispositions Method of Disposition




	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Completed Probation	15,235	15,999	16,414	17,145	16,682	18,479	17,971	19,065	19,331	20,309
Revocations										
New Offense	2,911	3,447	3,541	3,886	3,824	4,222	4,575	4,443	4,520	4,579
Technical	3,673	3,887	4,625	5,010	5,497	5,133	5,392	4,664	4,420	4,419
Total Revocations	6,584	7,334	8,166	8,896	9,321	9,355	9,967	9,107	8,940	8,998
Absconded	2,531	3,126	3,335	2,267	2,340	2,179	2,480	2,311	2,402	2,848
Other	2,825	3,153	3,554	3,404	5,182	4,432	4,358	3,004	4,553	3,428
Total Dispositions	27,175	29,612	31,469	31,712	33,525	34,445	34,776	33,487	35,226	35,583

Misdemeanor Probation Dispositions Method of Disposition




	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Completed Probation	49,424	49,666	47,522	45,485	46,598	46,379	47,312	46,713	42,418	40,460
Revocations										
New Offense	3,809	3,696	3,755	3,732	3,559	4,128	4,245	4,174	3,759	3,699
Technical	6,552	6,170	6,352	5,624	5,757	5,742	5,833	5,693	4,852	4,086
Total Revocations	10,361	9,866	10,107	9,356	9,316	9,870	10,078	9,867	8,611	7,785
Absconded	6,591	6,893	6,330	5,027	5,621	5,083	4,804	4,799	4,182	4,269
Other	3,773	3,663	4,200	3,832	4,329	3,840	4,149	2,601	3,503	2,474
Total Dispositions	70,149	70,088	68,159	63,700	65,864	65,172	66,343	63,980	58,714	54,988


**Felony Probation Dispositions
Completed Probation and Probation Revocations
as a Percentage of Total Dispositions**



**Misdemeanor Probation Dispositions
Completed Probation and Probation Revocations
as a Percentage of Total Dispositions**




Felony Probation Dispositions Supervisions Disposed by Absconding* (2003-2012)




*Person's who have left the jurisdiction of the court without proper authorization and whose whereabouts are currently unknown.


Felony Probation Dispositions Supervisions Disposed by Other-Home Detention (2003-2012)



Felony Probation Dispositions Supervisions Disposed by Inter/Intra State Transfer (2003-2012)




**Misdemeanor Probation Dispositions
Supervisions Disposed by Absconding* (2003-2012)**




*Person's who have left the jurisdiction of the court without proper authorization and whose whereabouts are currently unknown.

**Misdemeanor Probation Dispositions
Supervisions Disposed by Other-Home Detention (2003-2012)**



**Misdemeanor Probation Dispositions
Supervisions Disposed by Inter/Intra State Transfer (2003-2012)**




PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

ADULT SUPERVISION LEVELS


Probationers are assigned to supervision as high, medium or low based on the relative risk of committing a new offense while on probation. Administrative is a category used for those not classified.

2012 Felony Probation Supervision Levels



High	Medium	Low	Administrative
14,594	18,982	27,025	13,821


2012 Misdemeanor Probation Supervision Levels



High	Medium	Low	Administrative
5,305	7,390	19,856	11,459


ADULT SUPERVISIONS SUBSTANCE ABUSE CONVICTIONS AND SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED (2003-2012)

Felony Supervisions % of Supervisions Convicted of a Substance Abuse Offense (2003-2012)



	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Supervisions Received	29,037	31,263	32,638	32,993	35,234	34,842	34,621	33,645	34,324	32,803
Convicted of a Substance Abuse Offense	11,615	12,813	12,547	13,285	14,522	15,558	13,250	12,772	13,551	13,739


Misdemeanor Supervisions % of Supervisions Convicted of a Substance Abuse Offense (2003-2012)



	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Supervisions Received	66,883	67,851	66,357	65,918	62,566	63,462	61,953	57,191	53,871	50,538
Convicted of a Substance Abuse Offense	34,612	33,560	34,761	33,672	33,256	26,841	24,921	27,090	24,143	19,613

PRESENTENCE INVESTIGATIONS (2003-2012)


Presentence Investigations (2003-2012)



JUVENILE PROBATION REFERRALS 2003-2012



2012 Juvenile Probation Referrals

	Pending 1/1/12	Received	Disposed	Pending 12/31/12
Non-Status Delinquent	8,490	29,181	30,036	7,635
Status Delinquent	3,717	12,894	12,558	4,053
Other	6	1,105	1,109	2
Total	12,213	43,180	43,703	11,690



	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Status Delinquent	18,008	16,516	15,915	17,264	16,976	15,492	14,211	13,987	13,388	12,894
Non-Status Delinquent	39,370	40,433	39,199	40,495	38,814	36,189	37,362	34,539	31,750	29,181

Disposition of 2012 Juvenile Referrals




Ten Year Review of Referral Dispositions

	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
File Petition	23,999	24,606	24,548	25,061	23,742	21,967	20,793	19,081	18,130	17,341
Dismiss	6,488	8,091	8,615	8,500	8,773	7,353	7,779	7,421	7,796	5,921
Refer to Other Agency	2,693	2,758	2,760	2,948	2,894	2,632	2,857	2,337	2,206	2,358
Informally Adjust	10,982	10,759	9,893	11,025	11,152	9,385	9,805	10,961	7,363	7,699
Other	13,604	12,658	13,265	13,009	17,585	11,293	10,279	10,310	11,999	10,064


JUVENILE PROBATION SUPERVISIONS 2003-2012

2012 Juvenile Probation Supervisions


	Pending 1/1/12	Received	Reopened	Disposed	Pending 12/31/12
Informal Supervision					
Non-Status Delinquent	3,501	4,510	12	5,067	2,956
Status Delinquent	1,346	2,321	0	2,255	1,412
Post Judgment Supervision					
Non-Status Delinquent	9,822	8,159	755	9,466	9,270
Status Delinquent	1,920	1,516	52	1,588	1,900
Other Supervisions					
Intra-State Accepted	136	134	9	150	129
Inter-State Accepted	80	84	0	90	74
Other	411	1,690	26	1,541	586
Total Supervisions					
Total	17,216	18,414	854	20,157	16,327



	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Received	24,651	23,670	23,197	23,604	23,155	21,328	18,861	18,249	18,481	18,414
Disposed	22,953	24,371	22,603	23,793	26,462	21,563	22,367	19,519	19,736	20,157




	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Informal Non-Status	6,734	6,171	6,260	6,163	6,075	6,081	5,417	5,236	4,911	4,510
Informal Status	3,849	3,199	2,905	3,171	3,142	3,287	2,494	2,480	2,113	2,321
Post Judgment Non-Status	10,746	11,175	10,954	11,199	11,023	9,328	8,784	8,343	8,632	8,159
Post Judgment Status	2,237	2,330	2,136	2,296	2,281	2,022	1,534	1,526	1,493	1,516




	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Intra-State	197	203	181	176	180	196	161	168	171	134
Inter-State	80	93	65	71	68	99	81	50	100	84

JUVENILE PROBATION SUPERVISIONS METHOD OF DISPOSITION


2012 Method of Disposition




Supervisions Disposed by Completing Probation (2003-2012)



2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
16,509	15,827	17,479	18,114	18,369	17,500	17,111	16,244	16,418	16,945



2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
680	514	795	772	858	1,083	929	690	667	615




	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Intra-State	106	92	109	118	127	195	181	176	171	150
Inter-State	47	38	34	35	59	97	95	92	89	90

JUVENILE SUPERVISION LEVELS


Probationers are assigned to supervision as high, medium or low based on the relative risk of committing a new offense while on probation. Administrative is a category used for those not classified.

2012 Juvenile Probation Supervision Levels



High	Medium	Low	Administrative
1,555	3,861	5,068	3,616


JUVENILE SUPERVISIONS SUBSTANCE ABUSE CONVICTIONS AND SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED (2003-2012)



	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Supervisions Received	24,651	23,670	23,197	23,604	23,155	21,328	18,861	18,249	18,481	18,414
Convicted of Substance Abuse Offense	5,889	5,357	3,682	3,644	4,100	4,236	3,296	3,254	2,976	3,092

JUVENILE SUPERVISIONS COMPLETED PREDISPOSITIONAL (PDR) AND PROGRESS REPORTS

**Juvenile Supervisions
Completed PDRs and Progress Reports (2003-2012)**



	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
PDRs	11,519	10,970	11,088	11,390	12,076	10,978	10,813	10,460	12,208	12,101
Progress Reports	16,516	14,131	13,644	11,115	13,636	12,594	13,803	11,546	12,379	12,250

JUVENILE LAW SERVICES REPORT

Indiana Code 31-31-10-1 *et seq.* requires the probation department of the juvenile court to maintain information relating to delinquent children and children in need of services who receive juvenile law services, and all financial information relating to each juvenile law service.

The terms “juvenile law services” and “all financial information” are not defined by statute. For the purpose of complying with the reporting requirements of the law, the Division and the Juvenile Justice Improvement Committee of the Indiana Judicial Conference (“Committee”) have defined the terms.

“Juvenile law services” means: The filing with a court of a JD (Juvenile Delinquent), JS (Juvenile Status), JC (Child in Need of Service or CHINS), or JM (Juvenile Miscellaneous) case, as defined by Administrative Rule 8. The interpretation of case types in Administrative Rule 8 generally refers to the following:

JD - Cases in which a child is alleged to be delinquent as a result of committing an act prior to attaining 18 years of age that would be considered an offense if committed by an adult (Indiana Code 31-37-1-2).

JS - Cases in which a juvenile is charged with committing an act that would not be considered a crime if committed by an adult, such as truancy, incorrigibility, violations of curfew, and violations of Indiana Code 7.1-5-7 (minors and alcoholic beverages) (Indiana Code 31-37-2-2 – Indiana Code 31-37-2-7).



JC - Cases in which a child is alleged to be “in need of services” because the child’s physical or mental condition is seriously impaired or endangered due to the inability, refusal, or neglect of the child’s parent, guardian, or custodian to supply the child with necessary food, clothing, shelter, medical care, education, or supervision (Indiana Code 31-34-1-1).

JM - Cases involving juvenile matters not reflected in other categories, including court approval of informal adjustments.

The financial information available to the courts and to probation departments is often limited to expenditures made through court budgets. Expenditures made from the county general fund could be made available to the probation department and the court, if identified as such by the county auditor. The bulk of the financial information on children receiving “juvenile law services” is collected and reported by the Department of Child Services (DCS).

For purposes of this publication, “Reimbursement” is the amount of money collected for programs from the sources listed on the Semi-Annual Juvenile Law Services Financial Information report.

JUVENILE DELINQUENCY (JD)




		Age						Total
		0-5	6-9	10-12	13-16	17	18+	
Totals by Gender/Age	Male	2	63	629	7,331	3,177	365	11,567
	Female	1	11	250	2,945	1,006	133	4,346
	Total	3	74	879	10,276	4,183	498	15,913

	0-5	6-9	10-12	13-16	17	18+	Total
Hispanic	3	2	56	682	311	39	1,093
Non-Hispanic	12	64	815	9,413	3,760	429	14,493


		Age						Total Age / Gender	Total Race
		0-5	6-9	10-12	13-16	17	18+		
Asian	Male	0	0	0	20	9	0	29	41
	Female	0	0	0	9	3	0	12	
American Indian or Alaska Native	Male	0	0	1	7	2	1	11	18
	Female	0	0	1	6	0	0	7	
Black or African American	Male	0	30	201	2,372	885	74	3,562	4,778
	Female	0	6	107	815	263	25	1,216	
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Male	0	0	1	28	5	0	34	64
	Female	0	0	4	20	6	0	30	
White	Male	1	25	356	4,203	1,995	266	6,846	9,519
	Female	0	3	109	1,818	644	99	2,673	
Multi-Racial	Male	1	3	21	158	50	3	236	338
	Female	0	0	4	67	29	2	102	

JUVENILE STATUS (JS)

Gender



Age




		Age						Total
		0-5	6-9	10-12	13-16	17	18+	
Totals by Gender/Age	Male	9	29	167	1,396	495	44	2,140
	Female	5	26	171	1,300	350	22	1,874
	Total	14	55	338	2,696	845	66	4,014

	0-5	6-9	10-12	13-16	17	18+	Total
Hispanic	1	4	31	202	53	3	294
Non-Hispanic	13	52	302	2,417	770	53	3,607


		Age						Total Age / Gender	Total Race
		0-5	6-9	10-12	13-16	17	18+		
Asian	Male	0	0	0	4	1	0	5	12
	Female	0	0	0	4	3	0	7	
American Indian or Alaska Native	Male	0	0	0	1	3	0	4	7
	Female	0	0	1	1	1	0	3	
Black or African American	Male	1	8	33	296	70	1	409	750
	Female	0	9	29	245	56	2	341	
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Male	0	0	1	2	1	0	4	5
	Female	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	
White	Male	7	14	109	932	389	40	1,491	2,819
	Female	5	13	121	909	260	20	1,328	
Multi-Racial	Male	1	3	6	22	4	1	37	82
	Female	0	1	4	33	7	0	45	

JUVENILE CHINS (JC)

Gender



Age




		Age						Total
		0-5	6-9	10-12	13-16	17	18+	
Totals by Gender/Age	Male	1,940	841	481	473	91	113	3,939
	Female	1,810	708	464	551	103	93	3,729
	Total	3,750	1,549	945	1,024	194	206	7,668

Hispanic	275	114	60	76	9	10	544
Non-Hispanic	3,368	1,369	872	920	182	196	6,907


		Age						Total Age / Gender	Total Race
		0-5	6-9	10-12	13-16	17	18+		
Asian	Male	4	2	0	1	0	0	7	11
	Female	1	3	0	0	0	0	4	
American Indian or Alaska Native	Male	5	1	0	0	0	0	6	12
	Female	0	3	1	1	1	0	6	
Black or African American	Male	582	227	128	112	15	13	1,077	2,094
	Female	513	176	130	159	28	11	1,017	
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Male	7	1	2	4	0	0	14	35
	Female	11	3	6	1	0	0	21	
White	Male	1,082	490	309	307	70	84	2,342	4,580
	Female	1,072	439	265	328	65	69	2,238	
Multi-Racial	Male	89	38	13	14	1	0	155	287
	Female	68	31	16	15	0	2	132	

JUVENILE MISCELLANEOUS (JM)

Gender



Age



		Age						Total
		0-5	6-9	10-12	13-16	17	18+	
Totals by Gender/Age	Male	594	285	367	1,799	785	204	4,034
	Female	471	248	246	1,258	432	191	2,846
	Total	1,065	533	613	3,057	1,217	395	6,880

Hispanic	78	37	29	198	70	27	439
Non-Hispanic	969	483	600	2,673	1,043	340	6,108


		Age						Total Age / Gender	Total Race
		0-5	6-9	10-12	13-16	17	18+		
Asian	Male	3	2	1	1	1	0	8	16
	Female	3	3	0	2	0	0	8	
American Indian or Alaska Native	Male	0	0	0	1	0	1	2	3
	Female	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	
Black or African American	Male	160	63	77	250	86	48	684	1,278
	Female	152	48	67	209	47	71	594	
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Male	2	1	2	5	4	0	14	29
	Female	0	2	4	8	1	0	15	
White	Male	313	172	260	1,433	639	132	2,949	4,944
	Female	262	165	157	957	359	95	1,995	
Multi-Racial	Male	3	4	6	30	18	2	63	130
	Female	6	13	3	36	5	4	67	

PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK


2012 JUVENILE LAW SERVICES FINANCIAL REPORT

Financial Information

2012 Expenditures



2012 Reimbursements



PROBATION PERSONNEL AND EXPENSES 2003-2012

2012 Probation Personnel


	Courts of Record	City/Town Courts	Total
Chief Probation Officers	109	21	130
Probation Officers	1,198	19	1,217
Clerical Personnel	331	26	357
Other Personnel	194	17	211
Total	1,832	83	1,915


10 Year Comparison of Probation Personnel

	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Chief Probation Officers	148	145	150	154	142	152	156	147	145	130
Probation Officers	1,082	997	1,019	1,061	1,136	1,204	1,206	1,195	1,155	1,217
Clerical Personnel	368	331	331	349	382	412	384	425	361	357
Other Personnel	212	215	227	224	228	166	177	153	212	211
Total	1,810	1,688	1,727	1,788	1,888	1,934	1,923	1,920	1,873	1,915


2012 Probation Personnel Expenses

	Courts of Record	City/Town Courts	Total
Chief Probation Officers	\$6,627,809	\$750,244	\$7,378,052
Probation Officers	\$52,445,911	\$614,770	\$53,060,680
Clerical Personnel	\$8,072,442	\$459,042	\$8,531,483
Other Personnel	\$4,242,832	\$130,545	\$4,373,377
Fringe Benefits	\$11,852,048	\$316,721	\$12,168,769
Total	\$83,241,041	\$2,271,321	\$85,512,362






	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Average CPO Salary	\$41,408	\$49,488	\$49,094	\$50,798	\$51,630	\$54,162	\$55,660	\$56,396	\$58,898	\$56,754



	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Average PO Salary	\$32,798	\$36,108	\$37,379	\$37,190	\$39,607	\$40,454	\$41,693	\$41,995	\$44,031	\$43,600

PROBATION RESTITUTION 2003-2012



2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
\$6,187,176	\$6,848,994	\$7,669,848	\$6,847,502	\$8,197,027	\$9,436,170	\$7,075,780	\$6,524,375	\$7,172,576	\$6,226,818

INDIANA SUPREME COURT



DIVISION OF STATE COURT ADMINISTRATION

30 S. Meridian St., Suite 500
Indianapolis, IN 46204
317/ 232.2542

COURTS.IN.GOV



On the Cover. Completed in 1902, the Allen County Courthouse in Fort Wayne, Indiana, stands as a monument to the civic pride and progressive spirit of the citizens of Allen County, expressing in art and architecture the dignity of government, the supremacy of the people, and the grandeur of law. In 1994, in response to alarming deterioration, the Allen County Courthouse Preservation Trust was formed and oversaw the monumental task of restoring all the grand artistic elements of the aging public building.

An outpouring of support came from the community, particularly from the local Bar Association. Eight years and \$8.6 million allowed the restoration to be completed just in time for its centennial anniversary on September 23, 2002. Just one year later, the Allen County Courthouse was awarded National Historic Landmark Status, the highest distinction for an historic building. (Photos by Dru Marks)