

ORIGINAL

| Commissioner | Yes | No | Not Participating |
|--------------|-----|----|-------------------|
| Huston | √ | | |
| Bennett | √ | | |
| Freeman | √ | | |
| Veleta | √ | | |
| Ziegner | √ | | |

STATE OF INDIANA

INDIANA UTILITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

**PETITION OF SOUTHERN INDIANA GAS)
AND ELECTRIC COMPANY D/B/A)
CENTERPOINT ENERGY INDIANA SOUTH)
(“CEI SOUTH”) FOR APPROVAL OF A) CAUSE NO. 38708 FAC 142
CHANGE IN ITS FUEL COST ADJUSTMENT)
FOR ELECTRIC SERVICE IN ACCORDANCE) APPROVED: MAY 08 2024
WITH THE ORDER OF THE COMMISSION IN)
CAUSE NO. 37712 EFFECTIVE JUNE 18, 1986)
AND SENATE BILL NO. 529 EFFECTIVE)
APRIL 11, 1979)**

NUNC PRO TUNC ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

**Presiding Officers:
David E. Ziegner, Commissioner
Greg S. Loyd, Administrative Law Judge**

On April 30, 2024, the Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission (“Commission”) issued its Order in this Cause (“Final Order”). The first sentence of Section 7 of the Final Order incorrectly stated the amount of over-recovery for September, October, and November 2023 (“Reconciliation Period”) was \$1,008,003. This amount should have read \$1,018,003. To correct this error, the Commission now finds that the Final Order should be corrected Nunc Pro Tunc by replacing the first sentence of Section 7 of the Final Order with the following corrected sentence:

During the Reconciliation Period, CEI South’s actual incremental cost of fuel incurred was negative \$7,747,930, but its actual incremental fuel adjustment clause revenues to be reconciled with this amount equaled negative \$6,729,927, resulting in an over-recovery for the Reconciliation Period in the amount of \$1,018,003 as reflected on Petitioner’s Exhibit No. 2, Attachment BKA-2, Schedule 4, Pages 1-3, Line 6.¹

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED BY THE INDIANA UTILITY REGULATORY COMMISSION that:

1. The first sentence of Section 7 of the Final Order is replaced by the above corrected sentence.
2. This Order shall be effective on and after the date of its approval.

¹ These figures are not inclusive of the special contract variance included on Petitioner’s Exhibit No. 2, Attachment BKA-2, Schedule 4, Pages1-3, Line 7, as the special contract variance does not contribute to the rate derivation for the other rate classes.

HUSTON, BENNETT, FREEMAN, VELETA, AND ZIEGNER CONCUR:

APPROVED: MAY 08 2024

**I hereby certify that the above is a true
and correct copy of the Order as approved.**

**Dana Kosco
Secretary of the Commission**