

ORIGINAL

INDIANA UTILITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

Emergency Rulemaking

IURC RM #12-06


LSA #12- _____


Pursuant to IC 8-1-1-3 (g) and IC 8-1-2-113, and as required by the provisions of IC 4-22-2-1, *et seq.*, the Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission (the "Commission") on July 11, 2012, at 2:00 p.m. EST in the IURC Conference Center, Suite 220, PNC Center, 101 West Washington Street, Indianapolis, Indiana at which time a majority of members of said Commission were present, adopted the foregoing emergency rule #12-06, which establishes procedures by which a municipality or users of the works whose property is located outside the corporate boundaries of the municipality may file a petition under IC §8-1.5-3-8.3 (d) and (e) for the Commission to review, and adjust, if necessary, the rates and charges imposed on users whose property is located outside the corporate boundaries of the municipality.


The Secretary of the Commission is hereby directed to file the foregoing emergency rule with the publisher, Indiana Register, Indiana Legislative Services Agency.



James D. Atterholt, Chairman


Kari A. E. Bennett, Commissioner


Larry S. Landis, Commissioner


Carolene Mays, Commissioner


David E. Ziegner, Commissioner

ATTEST: 
Brenda A. Howe, Secretary to the Commission
Date: JUL 11 2012

INDIANA UTILITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

Emergency Rule

LSA Document #12-____ (E)

DIGEST

Temporarily adds procedures by which a municipality or users of the works whose property is located outside the corporate boundaries of the municipality may file a petition regarding certain rates and charges. Effective _____.

SECTION 1. This document is intended to establish procedures by which:

- (1) a municipality; or**
 - (2) users of the works whose property is located outside the corporate boundaries of the municipality;**
- may file a petition under IC 8-1.5-3-8.3(d) and IC 8-1.5-3-8.3(e) for the commission to review and adjust, if necessary, the rates and charges imposed on users whose property is located outside the corporate boundaries.**

SECTION 2. The definitions in IC 8-1.5-3-8.3 and in the following apply throughout this document:

- (1) “Commission” means the Indiana utility regulatory commission.**
- (2) “Commission division” means the technical division of the commission for the water and wastewater industry.**
- (3) “Conference” means the official regularly scheduled meeting of the commission at which orders and utility articles are presented for approval.**
- (4) “Customers” means the users of the works whose property is located outside the corporate boundaries of the municipality.**
- (5) “Filing date” means the date a filing is received and file stamped by the secretary of the commission.**
- (6) “Municipality” means a:
 - (A) city; or**
 - (B) town.****
- (7) “Ordinance” means the ordinance adopted by the municipality under IC 8-1.5-3-8.1 that imposes rates and charges on users of the works outside the corporate boundaries of the municipality that are greater than those imposed on users of the works whose property is located inside the corporate boundaries of the municipality.**
- (8) “OUCC” means the Indiana office of utility consumer counselor.**
- (9) “Petition” means a petition meeting the form and manner prescribed by the commission in the sample forms appended to this document.**
- (10) “Working papers” means documents that were relied upon to support a party’s position. Working papers may be provided in paper or electronic format. Working papers must be:
 - (A) legible;**
 - (B) paginated; and****

(C) specifically identified.

SECTION 3. (a) Under this document, one hundred twenty (120) days:

- (1) is the statutory amount of time for the commission to make its determination or the stay of the ordinance is automatically lifted; and**
- (2) commences as of the date a petition is filed.**

(b) A petition filed under this document must be filed within fourteen (14) days after the municipality has passed the ordinance setting different rates and charges for users within and outside the municipal boundaries.

(c) Within ten (10) days after a petition is filed under this document, the municipality must file its case in support of the rate differential, which may include:

- (1) testimony;**
- (2) revenue requirements;**
- (3) cost of service studies;**
- (4) related workpapers; and**
- (5) other documentation or analysis relied upon when approving the ordinance; that supports the rates and charges imposed on the customers and that would assist the utility in meeting its burden of proof as required by IC 8-1.5-3-8.3.**

(d) Filings to the commission under this document shall also be served the same day upon the following:

- (1) The OUCC.**
- (2) The municipality.**
- (3) A party to the proceeding.**

(e) Within forty (40) days of the date the municipality has filed its case in support of the rate differential with the commission, the following may file its response, including working papers, with the commission:

- (1) OUCC.**
- (2) A party to the proceeding.**

(f) Within fifteen (15) days after the response is filed with the commission, the municipality may file its rebuttal.

(g) To the extent appropriate and pursuant to the statutory time limitation, the commission procedures in 170 IAC 1-1.1 shall be used for proceedings under this document.

SECTION 4. (a) At the time that the municipality files its petition, it shall provide notice to the customers by providing the following information:

- (1) The municipality has filed a petition under this document.**
- (2) The date the petition was filed.**
- (3) How the customer can obtain a copy of the petition.**
- (4) The deadline by which the customer may respond to the petition as set forth**

in SECTION 3(e) of this document.

(5) A statement that the customer may also contact the OUCC concerning a complaint.

(6) Contact information for the OUCC.

(b) Notice to customers under this section must be sent by US Mail on the date the petition is filed.

(c) Petitions shall be submitted in substantial compliance with the following forms:

(1) Form A Sample Customers' Petition.

Form A Sample Customers' Petition

STATE OF INDIANA
INDIANA UTILITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

PETITION FOR COMMISSION REVIEW)
AND ADJUSTMENT, IF NECESSARY,)
OF RATE AND CHARGE DIFFERENCE) CAUSE NO.
BETWEEN PROPERTY WITHIN AND)
PROPERTY OUTSIDE THE CORPORATE)
BOUNDARIES OF _____)
{MUNICIPALITY})

Pursuant to IC § 8-1.5-3-8.3(d) and IC § 8-1.5-3-8.3(e), Petitioners,
_____, users of the works whose property is located outside the
corporate boundaries of _____ (Municipality) (“Customers”) by counsel,
respectfully petition the Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission (“Commission”) to review
and adjust, if necessary, the rates and charges imposed on property outside the corporate
boundaries of _____ (Municipality). In support of its Petition,
Petitioners state:

1. On _____ (date), _____ (Municipality) adopted an ordinance
under IC § 8-1.5-3-8.1 which imposed rates and charges on users of the works for
service to property located outside the corporate boundaries of _____
(Municipality) that exceed the rates and charges imposed on users of the works for
service to property located within the municipality’s corporate boundaries by more
than fifteen percent (15%). Attached as “Exhibit A” is a copy of the ordinance.
2. Under IC § 8-1.5-3-8.3(d) and IC § 8-1.5-3-8.3(e), either or both the municipality, or
the lesser of ten percent (10%) of all or twenty-five (25) of the users of the works
whose property is located outside the corporate boundaries of the municipality may

petition the Commission to review the percentage difference between the rates and charges in order to determine whether the difference is nondiscriminatory, reasonable, and just.

3. In accordance with IC § 8-1.5-3-8.3(d)(2) or IC § 8-1.5-3-8.3(e)(2) This petition is brought by and on behalf of _____ percent (___ %) of all or _____ # of the users of the works whose property is located outside the corporate boundaries of _____ (Municipality).
4. The percentage difference between the rates and charges imposed on users of the works for service to property located outside the corporate boundaries of the municipality and to property located within the corporate boundaries is _____.
5. The rate differential between the property located outside and inside corporate boundaries is discriminatory, unreasonable or unjust because _____.
6. To the extent the petitioner seeks specific relief, indicate how the rates and charges in the ordinance should be adjusted : _____
7. Other facts or information petitioner believes to be relevant for the Commission to consider: _____.
8. Petitioners consider IC § 8-1.5-3-8.3(d) and IC § 8-1.5-3-8.3(e), and (identify any other statutes determined to be relevant) to be applicable to the relief requested by this Petition.
9. _____ is counsel of record for Petitioners in this matter and is duly authorized to accept service of papers in this cause on behalf of Petitioners.

WHEREFORE Petitioners respectfully request the Commission to review the percentage difference between the respective rates and charges and determine whether the difference is discriminatory, unreasonable and unjust, and if necessary, adjust the rates and charges imposed on Petitioners, and for all other just and reasonable relief.

Respectfully submitted,

Attorney for Petitioners

Verification

I, _____, affirm under penalties for perjury that the foregoing representations are true to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief.

Signed _____ (Representative of the Ratepayers/Petitioners)

Attorney Contact Information

(2) Form B Sample Municipality’s Petition.

Form B Sample Municipality’s Petition

**STATE OF INDIANA
INDIANA UTILITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**

**PETITION FOR COMMISSION REVIEW)
AND ADJUSTMENT, IF NECESSARY,)
OF RATE AND CHARGE DIFFERENCE) CAUSE NO.
BETWEEN PROPERTY WITHIN AND)
PROPERTY OUTSIDE THE CORPORATE)
BOUNDARIES OF _____)
{MUNICIPALITY})**

Pursuant to IC § 8-1.5-3-8.3(d) and IC § 8-1.5-3-8.3(e), Petitioner, _____, (Municipality), by counsel, respectfully petitions the Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission (“Commission”) to review and adjust, if necessary, the rates and charges imposed on property outside the corporate boundaries of _____ (Municipality). In support of its Petition, Petitioner states:

1. On _____(date), _____ (Municipality) adopted an ordinance under IC § 8-1.5-3-8.1 which imposed rates and charges on users of the works for service to property located outside the corporate boundaries of _____ (Municipality) that exceed the rates and charges imposed on users of the works for service to property located within the municipality’s corporate boundaries by more than fifteen percent (15%). Attached as “Exhibit A” is a copy of the ordinance.

2. Under IC § 8-1.5-3-8.3(d) and IC § 8-1.5-3-8.3(e), either or both the municipality, or the lesser of ten percent (10%) of all or twenty-five (25) of the users of the works whose property is located outside the corporate boundaries of the municipality may petition the Commission to review the percentage difference between the rates and charges in order to determine whether the difference is nondiscriminatory, reasonable, and just.
3. In accordance with IC § 8-1.5-3-8.3(d)(1) or IC § 8-1.5-3-8.3(e)(1) this petition is brought by and on behalf of _____(Municipality).
4. The percentage difference between the rates and charges imposed on users of the works for service to property located outside the corporate boundaries of the municipality and to property located within the corporate boundaries is _____.
5. The rate differential between the property located outside and inside the corporate boundaries is nondiscriminatory, reasonable and just because _____.
6. Other facts or information petitioner believes to be relevant for the Commission to consider:_____.
7. Petitioner considers IC § 8-1.5-3-8.3(d) and IC § 8-1.5-3-8.3(e), and (identify any other statutes determined to be relevant) to be applicable to the relief requested by this Petition.
8. _____ is counsel of record for Petitioner in this matter and is duly authorized to accept service of papers in this cause on behalf of Petitioner.

WHEREFORE Petitioner respectfully requests the Commission to review the percentage difference between the respective rates and charges and determine whether the difference is discriminatory, unreasonable and unjust, and if necessary, adjust the rates and charges imposed on the users of the works whose property is located outside the corporate boundaries of the municipality, and for all other just and reasonable relief.

Respectfully submitted,

Attorney for Petitioner

Verification

I, _____, affirm under penalties for perjury that the foregoing representations are true to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief.

Signed _____ (Representative of the Municipality)

Attorney Contact Information

SECTION 5. If the commission determines that the percentage difference between the rates and charges imposed on customers outside the corporate boundaries and those imposed on users of the works inside the corporate boundaries is not just, reasonable, and non-discriminatory under IC 8-1.5-3-8.3, it may:

- (1) establish nondiscriminatory, reasonable and just rates and charges for the customers outside the corporate boundaries in accordance with IC 8-1.5-3-8.3(h) and IC 8-1.5-3-8.3(i);**
- (2) order the municipal legislative body to adopt an ordinance imposing the nondiscriminatory, reasonable, and just rates and charges; and**
- (3) if necessary, establish an additional procedural timetable for the establishment of just and reasonable rates.**