

ORIGINAL

JAB
AS
CAB
CML
APW

STATE OF INDIANA

INDIANA UTILITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

PETITION OF INDIANA NATURAL GAS)
CORPORATION FOR APPROVAL OF GAS) CAUSE NO. 37418 GCA 121
COST ADJUSTMENT TO BE APPLICABLE IN)
THE MONTHS OF MAY, JUNE AND JULY 2014) APPROVED:
PURSUANT TO IC 8-1-2-42 PL 43-1983)

APR 16 2014

ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

Presiding Officer:
Gregory R. Ellis, Administrative Law Judge

On February 7, 2014, in accordance with Ind. Code § 8-1-2-42, Indiana Natural Gas Corporation (“Petitioner”) filed its Petition for Gas Cost Adjustment (“GCA”) with attached Schedules to be applicable during the months of May 2014 through July 2014. On March 7, 2014, Petitioner prefiled the direct testimony and revised schedules of David A. Osmon, Executive Vice President of Indiana Natural Gas Corporation, supporting the proposed GCA factor. On March 11, 2014, in conformance with the statute, the Indiana Office of Utility Consumer Counselor (“OUCC”) prefiled the statistical report and direct testimony of Laura J. Anderson, Utility Analyst.



Pursuant to notice given and published as required by law, proof of which was incorporated into the record by reference and placed in the official files of the Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission (“Commission”), an Evidentiary Hearing was held in this Cause at 3:00 p.m., on March 18, 2014, in Room 224 of the PNC Center, 101 West Washington Street, Indianapolis, Indiana. Petitioner and the OUCC were present and participated. The testimony and exhibits of Petitioner and the OUCC were admitted into the record without objection. No members of the general public appeared or sought to testify at the hearing.

Based upon the applicable law and the evidence presented, the Commission finds:

1. Statutory Notice and Commission Jurisdiction. Notice of the hearing in this Cause was given and published by the Commission as required by law. Petitioner is a public utility as defined in Ind. Code § 8-1-2-1(a). Under Ind. Code § 8-1-2-42(g), the Commission has jurisdiction over changes to Petitioner’s rates and charges related to adjustments in gas costs. Therefore, the Commission has jurisdiction over Petitioner and the subject matter of this Cause.

2. Petitioner’s Characteristics. Petitioner is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Indiana. Petitioner has its principal office at 1080 West Hospital Road in Paoli, Indiana. Petitioner renders natural gas utility service to the public in Bartholomew, Brown, Crawford, Dubois, Harrison, Johnson, Lawrence and Orange counties in Indiana; and owns, operates, manages, and controls plant and equipment for the distribution and furnishing of such service.

3. Source of Natural Gas. Ind. Code § 8-1-2-42(g)(3)(A) requires Petitioner to make every reasonable effort to acquire long-term gas supplies so as to provide gas to its retail customers at the lowest gas cost reasonably possible.

Mr. Osmon testified that Petitioner is active in purchasing fixed-price contracts, purchasing contracts relating to periods well into the future, purchasing appropriately sized contracts, and planning for efficient use of storage. In addition, Mr. Osmon testified that Petitioner monitors market conditions, flexes its GCA factors both up and down as appropriate, and uses a normal temperature adjustment mechanism during the heating season. Petitioner has also participated in an Alternative Regulatory Plan in Cause No. 43995, seeking ways to improve energy efficiency programs for its customers which should help conserve energy and reduce the impact of volatility. For the months of May, June and July 2014, Petitioner has fixed-price contracts in place for approximately 37% of its anticipated demand. Petitioner does not plan to use storage gas as part of its supply portfolio during the months of May, June and July 2014

The Commission has indicated that Indiana's gas utilities should make reasonable efforts to mitigate gas price volatility. This includes a program that considers market conditions and the price of natural gas on both current and forward-looking bases. Based on the evidence offered, we find that Petitioner has demonstrated that it has and continues to follow a policy of securing natural gas supply at the lowest gas cost reasonably possible in order to meet anticipated customer requirements. Therefore, we find that the requirement of this statutory provision has been fulfilled.

4. Purchased Gas Cost Rates. Ind. Code § 8-1-2-42(g)(3)(B) requires that Petitioner's pipeline suppliers have requested or filed pursuant to the jurisdiction and procedures of a duly constituted regulatory authority the costs proposed to be included in the GCA factor. The evidence of record indicates that the proposed gas costs include transport rates that have been filed by Petitioner's pipeline suppliers in accordance with Federal Energy Regulatory Commission procedures. We have reviewed the cost of gas included in the proposed gas cost adjustment charge and find the cost to be reasonable. Therefore, we find that the requirement of this statutory provision has been fulfilled.

5. Earnings Test. Ind. Code § 8-1-2-42(g)(3)(C), in effect, prohibits approval of a GCA factor that results in Petitioner earning a return in excess of the return authorized by the last Commission Order in which Petitioner's basic rates and charges were approved. Petitioner's current basic rates and charges were approved on October 8, 2008 in Cause No. 43434. The Commission authorized Petitioner to earn a net operating income of \$454,591.

Petitioner's evidence indicates that for the twelve (12) months ended December 30, 2013, Petitioner's actual net operating income was \$462,011. Therefore, based on the evidence of record, we find that Petitioner is earning a return in excess of that authorized in its last rate case.

Because Petitioner's return exceeds the amount authorized, Ind. Code § 8-1-2-42.3 requires the Commission to determine the amount, if any, of the return to be refunded through the variance in this Cause. A refund is only appropriate if the sum of the differentials (both positive and negative) between the determined return and the authorized return during the relevant period, as defined by Ind. Code § 8-1-2-42.3(a), is greater than zero. Based on the evidence of record, we find that the sum of the differentials during the relevant period is greater than zero. Therefore a refund in the amount of \$11,872 should be credited to the customers.

6. **Estimation of Purchased Gas Costs.** Ind. Code § 8-1-2-42(g)(3)(D) requires that Petitioner's estimate of its prospective average gas costs for each future recovery period be reasonable. The Commission has determined that this requires, in part, a comparison of prior estimates with the corresponding actual costs. The evidence presented indicates that Petitioner's estimating techniques during the reconciliation period of October 1, 2013, through December 31, 2013 ("Reconciliation Period") yielded an under-estimated weighted average error of 17.68%. Mr. Osmon testified that October and November experienced cost per dekatherm errors greater than 10% due to the stagger in billing cycles as compared to the monthly purchase cycle. The purchase cycle in October and November represented a colder period than the sales cycle that includes the majority of customers. Dividing actual costs, determined by volumes purchased, by a lesser volume of sales volumes artificially causes the actual cost to appear higher. He noted December experienced a higher level of purchased volumes as compared to sales thereby not causing the error percentage to exceed 10%. Based on Petitioner's historical accuracy in estimating the cost of gas, we find that Petitioner's estimating techniques are sound, and Petitioner's prospective average estimate of gas costs is reasonable.

7. **Reconciliations.** Ind. Code § 8-1-2-42(g)(3)(D) also requires that Petitioner reconcile its estimate for a previous recovery period with the actual purchased gas cost for that period. The evidence presented in this proceeding establishes that the variance for the Reconciliation Period is an under-collection of \$234,610 from its customers. This amount should be included, based on estimated sales percentages, in this GCA and the next three GCAs. The amount of the Reconciliation Period variance to be included in this GCA as an increase in the estimated net cost of gas is \$19,590.

The variance from prior recovery periods applicable to this recovery period is an over-collection of \$14,557. Combining this amount with the Reconciliation Period variance, results in a total under-collection of \$5,033 to be applied in this GCA as an increase in the estimated net cost of gas.

8. **Resulting Gas Cost Adjustment Factor.** The estimated net cost of gas to be recovered for May 2014 is \$163,460, for June 2014 is \$88,107, and for July 2014 is \$76,842. Adjusting this total for the variance and refund amounts yields gas costs to be recovered through the GCA factor of \$164,148 for May 2014, \$88,795 for June 2014, and \$77,530 for July 2014. After dividing that amount by estimated sales, adding demand costs, and adjusting for the Indiana Utility Receipts Tax, Petitioner's recommended GCA factors are \$5.3148/Dth for May 2014, \$5.0095/Dth for June 2014, and \$5.2153/Dth for July 2014.

9. **Effects on Residential Customers – (GCA Cost Comparison).** Petitioner requests authority to approve the GCA factors of \$5.3148/Dth for May 2014, \$5.0095/Dth for June 2014, and \$5.2153/Dth for July 2014. The table below shows the commodity costs a residential customer would incur under the proposed GCA factor based on 10 Dth of usage. The table also compares the proposed gas costs to what a residential customer paid most recently (February 2014 - \$5.6048/Dth) and a year ago (May 2013 - \$5.4026/Dth, June 2013 - \$5.3703/Dth, and July 2013 - \$4.7513/Dth). The table solely reflects costs approved through the GCA process. It does not include Petitioner's base rates or any applicable rate adjustment mechanisms.

Month	Proposed Gas Costs (10 Dth)	Current		Year Ago	
		Gas Costs (10 Dth)	Difference from Current	Gas Costs (10 Dth)	Difference from Year Ago
May	\$ 53.15	\$ 56.05	\$ (2.90)	\$ 54.03	\$ (0.88)
June	\$ 50.10	\$ 56.05	\$ (5.95)	\$ 53.70	\$ (3.60)
July	\$ 52.15	\$ 56.05	\$ (3.90)	\$ 47.51	\$ 4.64

10. **Interim Rates.** We are unable to determine whether Petitioner will earn an excess return while these GCA factors are in effect. Accordingly, the rates approved in this Order are interim rates subject to refund pending reconciliation in the event an excess return is earned.

11. **Monthly Flex Mechanism.** The Commission indicated in prior Orders that Indiana's gas utilities should make reasonable efforts to mitigate gas price volatility. Petitioner's approved monthly flex mechanism is designed to address the Commission's concerns. Therefore, Petitioner may utilize a monthly flex mechanism to adjust the GCA factor for the subsequent month. The flex applies only to estimated pricing of estimated market purchases (the initial market price) in the GCA. The flex is to be filed no later than three (3) days before the beginning of each calendar month during the GCA quarter. Market purchases in the flex are to be priced at NYMEX prices on a day no more than six (6) business days prior to the beginning of said calendar month. Changes in the market price included in the flex are limited to a maximum adjustment (higher or lower) of \$1.00 from the initial market price.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED BY THE INDIANA UTILITY REGULATORY COMMISSION that:

1. The Petition of Indiana Natural Gas Corporation for the gas cost adjustment for natural gas service, as set forth in Paragraph No. 8, is approved, subject to refund in accordance with Paragraph No. 10.
2. Prior to implementing the GCA factors approved above or any future flexed factor, Indiana Natural Gas Corporation shall file with the Commission under this Cause the applicable rate schedules for the factor.
3. This Order shall be effective on and after the date of its approval.

ATTERHOLT, MAYS, STEPHAN, WEBER, AND ZIEGNER CONCUR:

APPROVED: APR 16 2014

I hereby certify that the above is a true and correct copy of the Order as approved.


 Brenda A. Howe
 Secretary to the Commission