

ORIGINAL

STATE OF INDIANA

INDIANA UTILITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

APPLICATION OF OHIO VALLEY GAS,)
INC. FOR APPROVAL OF A GAS COST)
ADJUSTMENT PURSUANT TO INDIANA)
CODE 8-1-2-42 TO BE APPLICABLE TO)
CERTAIN GAS CONSUMPTION IN THE)
CALENDAR MONTHS OF JULY, AUGUST)
AND SEPTEMBER 2010 WITH THE)
CONSUMPTION PERIOD STARTING ON)
OR ABOUT JUNE 15, 2010.)

CAUSE NO. 37352 GCA 107

APPROVED: JUN 30 2010

BY THE COMMISSION:
James D. Atterholt, Commissioner
DeAnna L. Brunner, Administrative Law Judge

On April 27, 2010, in accordance with Indiana Code § 8-1-2-42, Ohio Valley Gas, Inc. ("Petitioner") filed its Petition for Gas Cost Adjustment ("GCA") to be applicable during the billing cycles of July 2010 through September 2010 with the Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission ("Commission"). On April 28, 2010, Petitioner filed its Supplemental Filing including all Schedules to its Petition, and the verified testimony of S. Mark Kerney, Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, supporting the proposed GCA factors. On May 18, 2010, Petitioner filed its Supplemental Filing Amendment No. 1 to Application. On May 21, 2010, Petitioner filed its Supplemental Filing Amendment No. 2. On May 27, 2010, in conformance with the statute, the Indiana Office of Utility Consumer Counselor ("OUCC") filed the statistical report and direct testimony of Pamela Sue Sargent Haase, CPA.

Pursuant to notice duly published as required by law, proof of which was incorporated into the record by reference and placed in the official files of the Commission, a public hearing was held in this Cause at 9:30 a.m. EDT on June 16, 2010, in Room 224, National City Center, 101 West Washington Street, Indianapolis, Indiana. The Petitioner and the OUCC were present and participated. The testimony and exhibits of both Petitioner and OUCC were admitted into the record. No members of the general public appeared or sought to testify at the hearing.

Based upon the applicable law and the evidence presented herein, the Commission now finds:

- 1. Statutory Notice and Commission Jurisdiction.** Due, legal and timely notice of the hearing in this Cause was given and published by the Commission as required by law. Petitioner operates a public gas utility, and as such, is subject to the jurisdiction of this Commission as provided in the Public Service Commission Act, as amended. The provisions of said Act authorize the Commission to act in this proceeding. The Commission therefore has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter herein.

2. **Petitioner's Characteristics.** Petitioner is a corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of the State of Indiana. Petitioner has its principal office at 111 Energy Park Drive, Winchester, Indiana. Petitioner is engaged in rendering natural gas utility service to the public in portions of Greene, Knox, Pike, Sullivan and Vigo counties in Indiana, and owns, operates, manages and controls plant and equipment used for the distribution and furnishing of such services.

3. **Source of Natural Gas.** Indiana Code § 8-1-2-42(g)(3)(A) requires Petitioner to make every reasonable effort to acquire long term gas supplies in order to provide gas service to its retail customers at the lowest gas cost reasonably possible.

Petitioner's witness S. Mark Kerney testified Petitioner has a long term contract with Texas Gas Transmission, LLC ("TGT") for transportation. Mr. Kerney stated the maximum daily contracted amount is 9,584 Dth and that arrangement includes pipeline capacity and storage. Petitioner has no storage facilities and was allocated foreign storage on the TGT system under their Federal Energy Regulatory Commission ("FERC") Order 636 compliance filing tariff. Applicant renewed its contracts with Texas Gas effective November 1, 2008 to expire October 31, 2013. No changes were made to the contract.

Pursuant to this contract, Petitioner will utilize TGT during the three-month period beginning July 1, 2010, for transportation services and will purchase its natural gas from natural gas brokers and/or producers in the spot market, under fixed price purchasing arrangements, and pipeline storage arrangements.

Mr. Kerney indicated TGT is the nearest and most economical pipeline passing through Petitioner's general service area. Transmission facilities are in place to transport the purchased gas to Petitioner's distribution facilities. Mr. Kerney further testified utilizing another pipeline for transportation services would require a large investment in transmission facilities, offsetting any potential savings through decreased gas costs and also would require various approvals from FERC. Witness Kerney also stated Petitioner monitors its purchasing practices to keep purchased gas costs as low as economically feasible given weather and other variable load factor elements. Mr. Kerney testified Petitioner bought the cheapest available gas for the month regardless of the original estimated cost for that particular month.

The Commission has indicated that Indiana's gas utilities should make reasonable efforts to mitigate gas price volatility. This includes a program that works to mitigate gas price volatility and considers market conditions and the price of natural gas on a current and forward-looking basis. Based on the evidence offered, we find that Petitioner has demonstrated that it has and continues to follow a policy of securing natural gas supply at the lowest gas cost reasonably possible in order to meet anticipated customer requirements. Therefore, the Commission finds that the requirement of this statutory provision has been fulfilled.

4. **Purchased Gas Cost Rates.** Indiana Code § 8-1-2-42(g)(3)(B) requires that Petitioner's pipeline suppliers have requested or filed pursuant to the jurisdiction and procedures of a duly constituted regulatory authority the costs proposed to be included in the GCA factor. The evidence of record indicates that gas costs in this Petition include transport rates that have been filed by Ohio Valley Gas, Inc.'s pipeline suppliers in accordance with FERC procedures. The Commission has reviewed the cost of gas included in the proposed gas cost adjustment charge and finds the cost to be reasonable. Therefore, the Commission finds that the requirement of this statutory provision has been fulfilled.

5. **Return Earned.** Indiana Code § 8-1-2-42(g)(3)(C), in effect, prohibits approval of a gas cost adjustment which results in the Petitioner earning a return in excess of the return authorized by the last Commission proceeding in which Petitioner's basic rates and charges were approved. The most recent proceeding in which Petitioner's basic rates and charges were approved is Cause No. 43208. The Commission's October 10, 2007 order in that Cause authorized Petitioner to earn a net operating income of \$199,373. Petitioner's evidence herein indicates that for the twelve (12) months ending February 28, 2010, Petitioner's actual net operating income was \$138,972. Therefore, based on the evidence of record, the Commission finds that Petitioner is not earning in excess of that authorized in its last rate case.

6. **Estimation of Purchased Gas Costs.** Indiana Code § 8-1-2-42(g)(3)(D) requires that Petitioner's estimate of its prospective average gas costs for each future recovery period be reasonable. The Commission has determined that this requires, in part, a comparison of prior estimations with the eventual actual costs. The evidence presented indicates that the estimating techniques of Petitioner during the reconciliation period of December 2009 through February 2010 (the "Reconciliation Period") yielded an under-estimated weighted average error of 4.12%. Based upon Petitioner's historical accuracy in estimating the cost of gas and on the recorded evidence, the Commission finds Petitioner's estimating techniques are sound and Petitioner's prospective average estimate of gas costs is reasonable.

7. **Reconciliation.** Indiana Code § 8-1-2-42(g)(3)(D) also requires that the Petitioner reconcile its estimation for a previous recovery period with the actual purchased gas cost for that period. The evidence presented in this current proceeding established that the variance for the Reconciliation Period is an under-collection of \$74,311 from its customers. This amount should be included, based on estimated sales percentages, in this GCA and the next three GCAs. The amount of the Reconciliation Period variance to be included in this GCA as an increase in the estimated net cost of gas is \$3,322.

The variance from prior recovery periods applicable to the current recovery period is an over-collection of \$8,503. Combining this amount with the Reconciliation Period variance, results in a total over-collection of \$5,181 to be applied in this GCA as a decrease in the estimated net cost of gas.

Petitioner received no new refunds during the Reconciliation Period, and had no refunds from prior periods applicable to the current recovery period. Therefore, Petitioner has no refunds to be returned in this Application. Based on the evidence presented, the Commission finds that Petitioner's proposed GCA properly reconciles the difference between the actual costs for the Reconciliation Period, and the gas costs recovered during that same period.

8. **Resulting Gas Cost Adjustment Factor.** The estimated net cost of gas to be recovered during the application period is \$126,820. Adjusting this total for the variance and refund amounts yields gas costs to be recovered through the GCA and Base Rates of \$121,639. After dividing that amount by estimated sales, subtracting the base cost of gas, and adjusting for Indiana Utility Receipts Tax, Petitioner’s recommended GCA factors are as follows:

July 2010	(\$4.847)/Dth
August 2010	(\$4.814)/Dth
September 2010	(\$4.460)/Dth

9. **Effects on Residential Customers.** The July GCA factor of (\$4.847)/Dth represents an increase of \$1.999/Dth from the current GCA factor of (\$6.846)/Dth. The effects of this change for various consumption levels of residential customer bills are shown in the following tables:

Table No. 1-Proposed GCA Factor Vs. Currently Approved GCA Factor

July 2010	(\$4.847)/Dth	(\$6.846)/Dth		
Consumption Dth	Bill at Proposed GCA Factor	Bill at Currently Approved GCA Factor	Dollar Change	Percent Change
5	\$ 58.58	\$ 48.59	\$ 9.99	20.56%
10	102.66	82.67	\$19.99	24.18%
15	146.74	116.76	\$29.98	25.68%
20	190.82	150.84	\$39.98	26.50%
25	234.90	184.93	\$49.97	27.02%

The GCA factor of (\$4.847)/Dth represents an increase of \$1.127/Dth from the GCA factor of (\$5.974)/Dth billed one year ago. The effects of this change for various consumption levels of residential bills are shown in the following table:

Table No. 2-Proposed GCA Factor Vs. GCA Factor One Year Ago

July 2010	(\$4.847)/Dth	(\$5.974)/Dth		
Consumption Dth	Bill at Proposed GCA Factor	Bill at Prior Year Approved GCA Factor	Dollar Change	Percent Change
5	\$ 58.58	\$ 52.95	\$ 5.63	10.63%
10	102.66	91.39	11.27	12.33%
15	146.74	129.84	16.90	13.02%
20	190.82	168.28	22.54	13.39%
25	234.90	206.73	28.17	13.63%

The August GCA factor of (\$4.814)/Dth represents an increase of \$2.032/Dth from the current GCA factor of (\$6.846)/Dth. The effects of this change for various consumption levels of residential customer bills are shown in the following table:

Table No. 3-Proposed GCA Factor Vs. Currently Approved GCA Factor

August 2010	(\$4.814)/Dth	(\$6.846)/Dth		
Consumption Dth	Bill at Proposed GCA Factor	Bill at Currently Approved GCA Factor	Dollar Change	Percent Change
5	\$ 58.75	\$ 48.59	\$10.16	20.91%
10	102.99	82.67	20.32	24.58%
15	147.24	116.76	30.48	26.10%
20	191.48	150.84	40.64	26.94%
25	235.73	184.93	50.80	27.47%

The GCA factor of (\$4.814)/Dth represents an increase of \$1.048/Dth from the GCA factor of (\$5.862)/Dth billed one year ago. The effects of this change for various consumption levels of residential bills are shown in the following table:

Table No. 4-Proposed GCA Factor Vs. GCA Factor One Year Ago

August 2010	(\$4.814)/Dth	(\$5.862)/Dth		
Consumption Dth	Bill at Proposed GCA Factor	Bill at Prior Year Approved GCA Factor	Dollar Change	Percent Change
5	\$ 58.75	\$ 53.51	\$ 5.24	9.79%
10	102.99	92.51	10.48	11.33%
15	147.24	131.52	15.72	11.95%
20	191.48	170.52	20.96	12.29%
25	235.73	209.53	26.20	12.50%

The September GCA factor of (\$4.460)/Dth represents an increase of \$2.386/Dth from the current GCA factor of (\$6.846)/Dth. The effects of this change for various consumption levels of residential customer bills are shown in the following table:

Table No.5-Proposed GCA Factor Vs. Currently Approved GCA Factor

September 2010	(\$4.460)/Dth	(\$6.846)/Dth		
Consumption Dth	Bill at Proposed GCA Factor	Bill at Currently Approved GCA Factor	Dollar Change	Percent Change
5	\$ 60.52	\$ 48.59	\$11.93	24.55%
10	106.53	82.67	23.86	28.86%
15	152.55	116.76	35.79	30.65%
20	198.56	150.84	47.72	31.64%
25	244.58	184.93	59.65	32.26%

The GCA factor of (\$4.460)/Dth represents an increase of \$1.052/Dth from the GCA factor of (\$5.512)/Dth billed one year ago. The effects of this change for various consumption levels of residential bills are shown in the following table:

Table No.6-Proposed GCA Factor Vs. GCA Factor One Year Ago

September 2010	(\$4.460)/Dth	(\$5.512)/Dth		
Consumption Dth	Bill at Proposed GCA Factor	Bill at Prior Year Approved GCA Factor	Dollar Change	Percent Change
5	\$ 60.52	\$ 55.26	\$ 5.26	9.52%
10	106.53	96.01	10.52	10.96%
15	152.55	136.77	15.78	11.54%
20	198.56	177.52	21.04	11.85%
25	244.58	218.28	26.30	12.05%

10. Interim Rates. The Commission is unable to determine whether Petitioner will earn an excess return while this GCA is in effect. Accordingly, the Commission has authorized that the approved rates herein should be interim rates subject to refund pending reconciliation in the event an excess return is earned.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED BY THE INDIANA UTILITY REGULATORY COMMISSION that:

1. The Petition of Ohio Valley Gas, Inc. for the gas cost adjustment for natural gas service, as set forth in Finding Paragraph No. 8 shall be and hereby is approved, subject to refund in accordance with Finding Paragraph No. 10.

2. Ohio Valley Gas, Inc. shall file with the Commission under this Cause, prior to placing in effect the gas cost adjustment factors approved herein, or any future flexed factor, separate amendments to its rate schedules with reasonable references thereon reflecting that such charges are applicable to the rate schedules on these amendments.

3. This Order shall be effective on and after the date of its approval.

HARDY, ATTERHOLT, LANDIS, MAYS AND ZIEGNER CONCUR

APPROVED: JUN 30 2010

I hereby certify that the above is a true and correct copy of the Order as approved.


Brenda A. Howe
Secretary to the Commission