

Readoption Review

Mobile Healthcare Entity Registration 410 IAC 15.1

IC 4-22-2.5-3.1(c) requires an agency to conduct a review to consider whether there are alternative methods of achieving the purpose of the rule that are less costly or less intrusive, or that would minimize the economic impact of the proposed rule on small business.

Description of Rule:

This rule requires a healthcare entity that provides health care services, including the performance of health care tests, in a mobile facility or temporary location for a short period of time, to be registered with the Indiana State Department of Health if that healthcare entity is registered or licensed as a health care entity under the laws of another state, a foreign country, or a province of a foreign country.

Readoption Analysis:

1) Is there a continued need for this rule? Please explain.

Yes. Mobile healthcare entities frequently set up in communities around the state and provide medical services and tests to the public. This rule seeks to ensure that they are meeting the licensing and healthcare practice laws of Indiana. For example, any of their staff taking x-rays must be licensed in Indiana.

2) What is the nature of any complaints or comments received from the public, including small business, concerning the rule or the implementation of the rule by the agency?

We have received no comments or complaints from anyone about the rule or its implementation. Occasionally we are alerted by the public of a mobile health care entity setting up in the state.

3) Examine the complexity of the rule, including difficulties encountered by the agency in administering the rule and small businesses in complying with the rule.

The rule is not complex. It requires the mobile healthcare entity to complete a registration form that asks for demographic information, names of any employees, their functions, and any licenses they hold, along with the temporary location of the entity. There is no registration fee.

4) To what extent does the rule overlap, duplicate, or conflict with other federal, state, or local laws, rules, regulations, or ordinances?

There is no overlap, duplication, or conflict with federal, other state, or local laws, rules, regulations, or ordinances.

5) **When was the last time the rule was reviewed under this section or otherwise evaluated by the agency, and the degree to which technology, economic conditions, or other factors have changed in the area affected by this rule since that time?**

The rule has not been previously reviewed under this section, as it was originally adopted in 2008. Any technological, economic conditions, or other factors that have changed since adoption of this rule have not impacted this rule.

May 16, 2014