PART C

PRE-TIER 2 COMMENTS

(Originally submitted to INDOT prior to March 24, 2004 initiation of Tier 2 studies. Resubmitted to INDOT at August 26, 2010 Public Hearing

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Ms. Leslie Richard
2781 Eighteenth St
Columbus IN 47201

VIA NTC (201) 763-2

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Ms. Letitia Fuller
3289 Queensbury Dr
Columbus IN 47203

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Ms. Linda D Frischkorn
117 Linda Ln
W Lafayette IN 47906

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Ms. Lillian Disher
12211 N Syracuse/Webster Rd
Syracuse IN 46567

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Ms. Linda Gellenbeck
1910 Windy Hill
Lafayette IN 47905

VIA NTC (901) 762-2

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Ms. Linda Greichunos
223 N Lillian Ave
Griffith IN 46319

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Ms. Lisa Woodruff
2443 Castlewood
Dyer IN 46311

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Ms. Lisa Wallace
51367 Golden Oak Ct
Granger IN 46530

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Ms. Linda Crossman
58497 Nathena Ln
Goshen IN 46517

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Ms. Linda Zukley
622 Gatewood Dr
Lowell IN 46356

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Ms. Cynthia O'Dell
554 W Chicago
Valparaiso IN 46383

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Ms. D Jan Russell
220 E 106th
Indianapolis IN 46280

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Ms. Dana True
209 Hill Ave
Knightstown IN 46148

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Ms. Dee Easley
115 Linn Ct
Zionsville IN 46077

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Ms. Deidre Gengenbach
12505 Portage Way
Fishers IN 46038

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Ms. Deborah Feazier
3145 Flintwood Dr
Columbus IN 47203

TELEWIRE WIREALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Ms. Denise Scott
5356 N. Primrose Ave.
Indianapolis IN 46220

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Ms. Deborah Roehn
6433 W Lee Len Trl
LaPorte IN 46350

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Ms. Della Hooley
66242 County Rd 27
Goshen IN 46526

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Ms. Diane Dye
1346 E 72nd St
Indianapolis IN 46240

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Ms. Diane Gunderson
1536 N. Hawthorne Ln
Indianapolis IN 46219

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Ms. Diane Deweese
275 14th St
Franklin IN 46131

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Ms. Diane Rompf
328 Potomac Ave
Terre Haute IN 47803

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Ms. Diane Weaver
6911 Clemdale Ln.
Indianapolis IN 46226

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Ms. Diane Van Gorder
7015 Manker St
Indianapolis IN 46227

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Ms. Diana Ryan
914 S. Meadowbrook
Bloomington IN 47401

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Ms. Dorothy Bair
117 N Hillsdale Dr
Bloomington IN 47408

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Ms. Donald R Worst
13105 Colfax St
Cedar Lake IN 46303

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Ms. Dorane Burlison
1419 S Washington
Kokomo IN 46902

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Ms. Dorothy Fulford
28 Del Mar Dr
Crawfordsville IN 47933

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Ms. Donna Huff
3315 Busy Bee Ln
Indianapolis IN 46227

VIA NTC (901) 762-95

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Ms. Dolores E. Wertz
3504 Cedar Ct
Kokomo IN 46902

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Ms. Dorothy Alabach
627 N. 125 West
Valparaiso IN 46383

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Ms. Donna Badgek
6627 Woodridge
Plainfield IN 46168

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Ms. E.F. Machota
11 W. Ludlow St.
Rolling Prairie IN 46371

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Ms. Elaine Schamber
18 Sun Down Ct
Lafayette IN 47905

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Ms. Elizabeth Prokop
22896 Gary Drive
GOSHEN IN 46526

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Ms. Elva Mast
314 Hackett Road
Goshen IN 46526

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Ms. Elizabeth MuEller
4638 Hickory Ct
Zionsville IN 46077

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Ms. Elizabeth Quinn
7222 Knickerbocker Pkwy.
Hammond IN 46323

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Ms. Elaine Marston
9132 W Tulip Dr
Columbus IN 47201

VIA NTC (904) 762-05

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Ms. Ellen Clifford
94 Hackney Ln
Valparaiso IN 46383

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Ms. Erma Guide
412 S 6th St
Goshen IN 46526

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Ms. Evah B. Newton
2306 Ottawa Dr.
Lafayette IN 47905

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Ms. Evelyn Garwood
400 N Washington
Hartsville IN 47244

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Ms. Faye Lindsey
619 N Cline
Griffith IN 46319

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Ms. Geraldine Hubbell
625 W North St
Crown Point IN 46307

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Ms. Geraldine Brady
7148 E Co Rd SON
Greentown IN 46936

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Ms. Geraldine Gillum
721 S Brittain
Muncie IN 47303

VIA NTC (904) 799-05

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Ms. Genevieve Piccione
732 24th St.
Columbus IN 47201

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Ms. Geraldine Hoeksena
8416 Harrison Ave
Munster IN 46321

VIA NTC (904) 762-05

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Ms. Gloria A Martz
6916 Tyler St
Merrillville IN 46410

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Ms. Heidi Tumbarello
11506 Old Oakland Blvd. N. Dr.
Indianapolis IN 46236

VIA NTC (201) 762-9

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Ms. Helen Politz
3005 Branded Ct
Kokomo IN 46901

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Ms. Helen Wadsworth
332 Pickett St
Plainfield IN 46168

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Ms. Isabella Fauro
6245 Moraine Ave
Hammand IN 46324

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Ms. Janet Pryblo
131 Lane St
LaPorte IN 46350

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Ms. Janet Moore
22512 County Rd 118
Goshen IN 46526

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Ms. Jacqueline Sanderson
3956 Esquire Place
Indianapolis IN 46226

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Ms. Jacqua Lynn Osting
4121 S Creekside Dr
New Palestine IN 46163

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Doyle Carr
641 Greenway Ct
Seymour IN 47274

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Albert Caperton
6432 Landborough N Dr
Indianapolis IN 46220

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Roger Hammond
6455 Franwood Cir
Yorktown IN 47396

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Eric Merz
6535 W. 11th
Indianapolis IN 46214

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Katherine Brumbau
6565 Donnelly Dr
Brownsburg IN 46112

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Rod Andrews
6704 Muirfield Way
Indianapolis IN 46237

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Danny C Calmbache
673 NcCool Rd
Valporaiso IN 46383

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Carl Jordan
6877 S. County Rd. 250 E.
Straughn IN 47387

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. James Snow
7016 Monroe Ave
Hammand IN 46324

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. William Ziegert
7067 Fox Hollow Ridge
Zionsville IN 46077

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Richard Strong
736 Hawthorne Rd
New Castle IN 47362

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Loren Field
741 W. 72nd
Indianapolis IN 46260

TELEWIRE WIREALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Charles Brinkman
760 Forest Blvd
Zionsville IN 46077

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Brian Westphal
7605 W. Skylark Dr.
Michigan City IN 46360

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Ernest Payton
7622 Sherry Ln
Brownsburg IN 46112

VIA NTC (901) 763

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Brian Kavalar
7677 Baywood Dr
Indianapolis IN 46236

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Joyce Loveday
7828 Traders Cove Ln.
Indianapolis IN 46254

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Jack Rizzo
785 Aztec Ct.
Lowell IN 46356

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Daniel Cassidy
7934 Santolina Dr
Indianapolis IN 46237

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. John Novak
7936 Tapper Ave
Munster IN 46321

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Robert Dean
8007 Traders Hollow Ln.
Indianapolis IN 46278

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Albert L Zumpe
8023 Dark Star Dr
Indianapolis IN 46217

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Richard Hoeping
8036 Scarborough Ct.
Indianapolis IN 46256

TELEWIRE WIREALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. David Leander
8127 Groton Lane
Indianapolis IN 46260

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Irwin Weiser
820 N. Chauncey Ave
W. Lafayette IN 47906

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Kenneth Hodnett
8234 E. Mulberry
Columbus IN 47203

TELEWIRE WIREALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Lynn Turner
83 N 100 W
New Castle IN 47362

VIA NTC (201) 763-2

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Dale Pellman
8550 Tidewater Ct.
Indianapolis IN 46236

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Jerome Blaesing
8606 Linden
Munster IN 46321

TELEWIRE WIREALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Alvin Ehler
8811 Kleinman Rd.
Highland IN 46322

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Andrew Connolly
901 S. 8th St.
Goshen IN 46526

VIA NTC (904) 762-05

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Paul Arduini
905 E 82nd St.
Indianapolis IN 46240

TELEWIRE WIREALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. John Paulus
9111 Kerwood Dr
Indianapolis IN 46240

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Michael McElfresh
931 Princess Dr.
West Lafayette IN 47906

VIA NTC (800) 765

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. David Bellman
9351 N Delaware
Indianapolis IN 46240

VIA NTC (901) 762-

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. William Steininge
9370 McKinley St.
Crown Point IN 46307

TELEWIRE WIREALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Leslie Curnow
945 N. Elmer
Griffith IN 46319

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Herbert R. Rueth
9506 Greenwood
Munster IN 46321

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Michael Addison
955 Sheffield Dr.
Valparaiso IN 46383

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Allen Konieczka
9591 E Oakridge Dr
St John IN 46373

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Brian Hanna
9640 N Breckenridge Station
McCordsville IN 46055

VIA NTC (001) 762-0

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Robert Batton III
9705 State Rd. 164
Georgetown IN 47122

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Paul Kellogg
9813 Ridge Rd
Indianapolis IN 46256

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Juan Magana
982 Eugene St
Porter IN 46304

TELEWIRE WIRE-ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Wade Nichols
9825 Gulfstream Ct.
Fishers IN 46038

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Ravi Bhagwat
9826 Redbud
Munster IN 46321

TELEWIRE WIRE AIRE ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. Benjamin D.Farrer
1301 Center Apt. 1
Lafayette IN 47905

VIA NTC (901) 762-95

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. Bernard Upcroft
4508 Dabny Cir
Indianapolis IN 46254

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. Bill Laffin
6663 manchester Dr.
Fishers IN 46038

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. Brian King
5636 Kingsley Dr.
Indianapolis IN 46220

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Steven Robinson
5929 N Central
Indianapolis IN 46220

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Steven Bushong
904 Lynwood Dr.
Goshen IN 46526

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

George Stoelting
1542 E. Edwards
Indianapolis IN 46227

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Sue Ann Lawall
1345 Providence Ct.
Mishawaka IN 46544

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Sue Ann McCuen
501 N Locke St
Nappanee IN 46550

VIA NTC (901) 762-05

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Supriyo Datta
2229 Indian Trail Dr.
West Lafayette IN 47906

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Susan Powers
P.O. Box 29311
Cumberland IN 46229

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Susan Miller
1832 Sandoval Ct
Indianapolis IN 46214

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Susan Casalini
38 Dapple Ct.
Zionsville IN 46077

VIA NTC (201) 763-21

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Susan Hazer
5701 N. Delaware St.
Indianapolis IN 46220

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Susan Tapleton
6440 Knyghton Rd.
Indianapolis IN 46220

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Ken Svitko
373 S. 600 W.
Hebron IN 46341

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Teresa Lewis
776 Devonshire Rd.
Valparaiso IN 46383

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Terry Jackson
3247 Hendrickson Ln.
Lafayette IN 47905

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Thelma Scanlan
333 E Waterbury
Indianapolis IN 46227

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Theresa Kenney
5315 E 9th
Indianapolis IN 46219

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Thomas Daniels
P O Box 3285
Munster IN 46321

VIA NTC (901) 762-95

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Kaymie Thompson
201 Sugar Bush Ln.
Brownsburg IN 46112

TELEWIRE WIRE-ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Timothy Clark
17527 Woodhurst
Granger IN 46530

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Tom Hawkins
452 Miami Dr.
Nappanee IN 46550

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Tom Karbowski
241 Callery Pear Dr
Batesville IN 47006

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Tonie Martin
4005 Pretty Prairie Rd
Battleground IN 47920

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Tood Gerber
526-1 E U S Hwy 6
Valparaiso IN 46383

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Janice Tow
510 Linden Dr.
Spiceland IN 47385

TELEWIRE WIREALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Trudy Pletcher
6204 Homestead Dr.
Indianapolis IN 46227

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Terry Usrey
4293 E Farr Rd
Bloomington IN 47408

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Venia Gieger
250 River Run Ct.
Columbia City IN 46725

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Vicki Bolles
1430 Winding Way
Anderson IN 46011

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Victoria J Davis
3937 Prange Dr
Lafayette IN 47905

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Virginia V. Ham
4747 Guion Rd.
Indianapolis IN 46254

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

W Neil Holcomb
6408 Shamel Dr
Indianapolis IN 46278

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Michael Wagner
5927 Riva Ridge Dr.
Indianapolis IN 46237

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Stan Walters
5042 Willa Way
Columbus IN 47201

VIA NTC (904) 762-05

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Lawrence Warfield, Jr.
3605 Cheviot Pl.
Indianapolis IN 46205

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Wayne King
305 W. Old Romney Dr.
Lafayette IN 47905

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Wayne Ramsey
4366 E. 79th
Indianapolis IN 46250

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Constance West
7035 Hampstead Ln.
Indianapolis IN 46256

VIA NTC (901) 763-01

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Karen WHITE
P.O. Box 693
Shelbyville IN 46176

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Kathy Wilder
7142 Tarragon Ct.
Indianapolis IN 46237

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

William Hawes
1309 Winding Way
Anderson IN 46011

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

William Locker
5515 Crestview Ave
Indianapolis IN 46220

VIA NTC (901) 762-2

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

William D Hughett
5720 Rosslyn Ave
Indianapolis IN 46220

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

William Smith
747 Jefferson St.
Dayton IN 47941

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mark Wright
2850 Brick Church Rd.
Cambridge City IN 47327

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Wylie Johnson III
4630 Woodland Dr.
Indianapolis IN 46254

VIA NTC (201) 763-

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Patricia Lyons Yarling
5421 N. Park Dr.
Indianapolis IN 46220

TELEWIRE WIREALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Kevin Young
7702 Shagbark Ct.
Brownsburg IN 46112

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jeff Case
P O Box 102
Hartsville IN 47244

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jeffrey Miller
1304 Parkway Ave
Valparaiso IN 46323

TELEWIRE WIREALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jeffrey K Gooldy
2625 E 5th St
Bloomington IN 47408

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jeffery Biel
507 Evergreen Ln
Munster IN 46321

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. John Kruschke
Julia/Sutherland
Bloomington IN 47401

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. John Hill
114 S Bight St Apt B
Goshen IN 46526

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. John Raymer
1613 E Madison
South Bend IN 46617

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. John Struck
1903 Whitney Ave
Valparaiso IN 46383

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. Joseph Carney
2428 North Shore
Anderson IN 46011

VIA NTC (201) 762-05

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jonathan Kraeszig
5167 Guilford Ave
Indianapolis IN 46205

TELEWIRE WIRE-ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. John Partridge
5545 Wren Court
Columbus IN 47201

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. John Hoffman
5843 Barnstable Ct
Indianapolis IN 46250

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. John D Martin
6264 N Tuxedo St
Indianapolis IN 46220

VIA NTC (201) 762-05

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. John Kriebel
7024 Montroff Cir
Indianapolis IN 46256

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. Joseph E Jackson
714 Imperial Rd
Valparaiso IN 46383

VIA NTC (201) 763-05

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. John V. McDonald
924 Garden St
W Lafayette IN 47906

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. Kenneth Grant
1012 Riverside Dr
South Bend IN 46616

VIA NTC (901) 762-05

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. Kenneth Towne, II
19 Meadow Ln.
Merrillville IN 46410

VIA NTC (904) 762-05

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. Kevin Boggess
235 174th
Hammond IN 46324

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. Kenneth Haag
923 E University
Bloomington IN 47401

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. Kurt Honey
1420 16TH ST
Columbus IN 47201

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. Larry R. Fentz
1021 W. Vine Street
Albany IN 47320

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. Larry Bogard
4165 W Deaver Rd
Columbus IN 47201

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. Larry Baas
5408 May St
Valparaiso IN 46383

TELEWIRE WIRE-ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. Larry Bradley
8215 N Penn
Indianapolis IN 46240

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. Lawrence Keehn
9819 Oakridge
St John IN 46373

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. Leon Gottfried
33 Fairlane Dr
Brownsburg IN 46112

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. Lincoln Carr
1017 S Mitchell St
Bloomington IN 47401

TELEWIRE WIRE-ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. Lynn Hiser
1820 Arrowhead Dr
West Lafayette IN 47906

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. Mark Butcher
1011 N School
Greenfield IN 46140

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. Mark Burchfield
1361 N County Rd 900 E
Indianapolis IN 46234

VIA NTC (201) 763-2

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. Max Morehouse
3824 Maplewood
Lafayette IN 47905

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. Mark Paczkanski
54456 Old Bedford Tr
Mishawaka IN 46515

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. Martin Light
701 N Chauncey
West Lafayette IN 47906

TELEWIRE WIRE-ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. Melvin Holland
914 Lake George
Hobart IN 46342

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. Michael Metzger
10238 Greenbrook Ct
Indianapolis IN 46229

TELEWIRE WIREALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. Mike Zosso
1202 Bartz Rd
Valparaiso IN 46383

VIA NTC (001) 762-05

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. Michele Chiuini
2909 W Riggin Rd
Muncie IN 47304

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. Michael Rea
345 Burbank
Indianapolis IN 46219

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. Mike Herzog
52 Stayman Ct
Lafayette IN 47905

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. Michael Reed
6431 W. 15TH
Indianapolis IN 46214

VIA NTC (901) 762-05

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. Neil Brockett
1311 Chesapeake Park Dr
Valparaiso IN 46383

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. Paul Benhamou
1516 Summit Dr
West Lafayette IN 47906

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. Paul Baxter
437 N Main St
Tipton IN 46072

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. Paul McAfee
6530 West Wallen Road
Ft. Wayne IN 46818

VIA NTC (001) 762-0

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. Paul Andreas
733 S Webster
Kokomo IN 46901

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. Philip Fowler
11331 Bloomfield Ct
Indianapolis IN 46259

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. Ralph Lucas
PO Box 619
Chesterton IN 46304

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. Richard Kemble
1314 Darien Court
Mishawaka IN 46544

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. Richard T Concialdi
201 N Woodlawn
Griffith IN 46319

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. Byron Torke
3914 N Locust Street
Muncie IN 47304

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. Carrol W Verties
6706 Harrison Ct
Merrillville IN 46410

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. Chris Potts
RR 3 Box 26 E
Franklin IN 46131

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. Charles Gardner
3012 Haverhill Dr
Indianapolis IN 46240

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. Charles Bundy
900 Maxwell Ln
Bloomington IN 47401

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. David Bache
1500 Ravinia Rd
West Lafayette IN 47906

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. Daniel Hsich
1315 El Prado Ave.
Lafayette IN 47905

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. David Lesley
16511 Baywood
Granger IN 46530

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not incluce the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

MR. DAN SHAW
6038 Northland Rd
Indianapolis IN 46208

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. Daniel Rice
6207 N Washington Blvd
Indianapolis IN 46220

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. David Schoon
8204 Forest Ave
Munster IN 46321

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. David Salle
9145 Grace Pl
Highland IN 46322

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. Dennis Marschke
2502 Camilla Dr
Valparaiso IN 46483

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. Donald Winslow
1008 S Washington
Bloomington IN 47401

TELEWIRE WIREALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. Donald Hawk
1218 Bay St
Elkhart IN 46514

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. Donald Olson
2815 Wedgewood Dr
Columbus IN 47203

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. Donald R. Shietze
3367 E 100 N
Kokomo IN 46901

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. Donald LaPlante
633 Northview Ave
Indianapolis IN 46220

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. Donald Fread
6433 N Park Ave
Indianapolis IN 46220

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. Donald Cromer
925 Kankakee
Lowell IN 46356

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. Edward Atkins
8120 Tanager Ln.
Indianapolis IN 46256

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. Edward Bickel
824 Royal St
Columbus IN 47203

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. Eric Schingel
10627 Northhampton Dr
Fishers IN 46038

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. Frank Murato
1137 Woodlawn
Chesterton IN 46304

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. Frank W. Powers
1236 N. Irvington Ave.
Indianapolis IN 46219

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. Fred Pieper
1329 Catula Ave.
Lafayette IN 47905

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. Fritz Williams
7429 Rogers Dr.
Indianapolis IN 46214

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. Gary L. Keffaber
RT 2 BOX 217B
SPRINGVILLE IN 47462

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. Garry Hill
1021 Eastcrest Dr.
Greentown IN 46936

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. George Smerk
1507 Southdowns Dr
Bloomington IN 47401

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. George Stultz
510 Harlem St
Plainfield IN 46168

VIA NTC (901) 762-0

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. Grumm
1332 Beckenbauer Ln
Indianapolis IN 46214

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. Gregory Foote
6199 Norwaldo Ave
Indianapolis IN 46220

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. Greogry Skulicz
810 Witherspoon Dr.
Kokomo IN 46901

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. Gregory Stacy
8330 Lookout Ct.
Indianapolis IN 46217

TELEWIRE WIREAIRE ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. Gregory Erbeck
9302 Kungsholm Dr. # A
Indianapolis IN 46250

TELEWIRE WIREALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. Harold Rougsh
1019 Hoyt St
Michigan City IN 46360

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. Harry McKinney
9312 Elmtree Ct.
Indianapolis IN 46236

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. Herbert W Biebrick
2699 Eighteenth St
Columbus IN 47201

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. James E Blodgett
1725 Hoover Ave
South Bend IN 46615

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. James Bedinger
2603 E 57th St
Indianapolis IN 46220

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. James Lacinski
419 Sherman Dr
Valparaiso IN 46383

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. James Katzenmeyer
4705 E Mission Ct
Columbus IN 47203

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. James Marler
5137 Foxmoor Ave
Portage IN 46368

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jack Grim
517 E Kessler
Indianapolis IN 46220

VIA NTC (904) 762-05

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. James Cook
5174 Atherton N Dr
Indianapolis IN 46219

TELEWIRE WIREALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. James Lauck
5562 N Central Ave
Indianapolis IN 46220

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. James Wimbush
566 E Woodridge Dr
Bloomington IN 47408

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. James Blunk
5718 Haverford Ave
Indianapolis IN 46220

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. James Taylor
942 N Dellasburg Rd
Columbus IN 47203

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Stephen Miller
4608 Cavendish Rd.
Indianapolis IN 46220

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Paul Sternisha
PO Box 278
Saint Paul IN 47272

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Steve Kempher
1821 Edward Ln.
Anderson IN 46012

VIA NTC (904) 762-05

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Steve Jurgens
412 Stockbridge Ln
Lafayette IN 47905

TELEWIRE WIREALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Steven Green
13198 Fairwood Dr.
McCordsville IN 46055

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Steven Wilken
220 N Rensselaer
Griffith IN 46319

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Ms. Virginia Curry
5964 Crandall Ave
Ellettsville IN 47429

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Ms. Wanda Green
2305 Melody Ln
Anderson IN 46012

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Ms. Wanda Aronstam
4190 E. 79th
Indianapolis IN 46250

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Ms. Wanda Richey
8861 Lahr Ct
Indianapolis IN 46256

TELEWIRE WIRE-ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Ms. Wilma Myer
6902 Bloomfield Dr
Indianapolis IN 46259

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Greg Myers
670 Sunset Dr.
Noblesville IN 46060

TELEWIRE WIREALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Nancr M Fernandes
9407 Spring Forest Dr
Indianapolis IN 46260

TELEWIRE WIREALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Nancy Turnbull
10014 4th Pl
Highland IN 46322

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Nancy Michner
3322 Eder St
Highland IN 46322

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Nancy Myers
4055 N. Riverside Dr.
Columbus IN 47203

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Nancy Thompson
4103 W 52nd St
Indianapolis IN 46254

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Nancy Sladeski
8287 Quetico Dr.
Indianapolis IN 46268

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

June Naugle
PO Box 1207 Road
Martinsville IN 46151

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Ned D Nuss
6116 Breamore Rd
Indianapolis IN 46220

TELEWIRE WIREALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Nicholas Fiore
6128 N Delaware
Indianapolis IN 46220

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Caharles Nurrenberg
22200 Water St
Oldenberg IN 47036

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Sandy O'Brien
5500 Liverpool Rd.
Hobart IN 46342

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

P A Monticone
3707 South Ct
Bloomington IN 47401

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Pam Sommers
206 E. Van Buren
Nappanee IN 46550

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Pamela Boyce
439 N Griffith Blvd
Griffith IN 46319

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Ann Parker
426 E. Main
Plainfield IN 46168

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Doris Parlette
2910 Fawkes Way N
Bloomington IN 47404

TELEWIRE WIREALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Patricia Compton
423 Wildridge Dr.
Noblesville IN 46060

TELEWIRE WIREALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Patty Wyatt
602 Woodmere Dr
Anderson IN 46011

TELEWIRE WIRE-ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Paul Bowen
902 N. Chauncey
West Lafayette IN 47906

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Paul Harlan
2150 E 67th
Indianapolis IN 46220

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Paul Norris, Jr.
3432 N. Windcrest
Bloomington IN 47401

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Paul Schroeder
3617 Manor Rd.
Anderson IN 46011

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Paula Hamilton
103 Westminster Dr
Noblesville IN 46060

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Peggy Jo Cook
2603 Oakwood Rd
Valparaiso IN 46383

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Andrea Perkins
5750 N. Ewing
Indianapolis IN 46220

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Victoria Petty
649 Ridge Rd.
Greenwood IN 46142

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Phillip Detvrk
2611 Nottingham Place
West Lafayette IN 47906

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Deborah Phipps
3800 Sugar Ln.
Kokomo IN 46902

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Phyllis Burke
400 W 8th St
Lapel IN 46051

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Rutha Powell
2301 N. Central
Indianapolis IN 46205

TELEWIRE WIREALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

R D Helms
1732 Shorter Dr
Indianapolis IN 46214

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Randy Skillman
7197 Westfield Blvd
Indianapolis IN 46240

TELEWIRE WIREWRITE ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Norma Jean Raveed
4536 Dickson Rd.
Indianapolis IN 46226

TELEWIRE WIREALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Raymond Gapen nd Gapen
5701 Crittenden Ave.
Indianapolis IN 46220

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Rebecca Lowe
56806 Ash Rd.
Osceola IN 46561

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Rena Latinovich
9014 Wildwood Dr
Highland IN 46322

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Reverend Louis Nelson
1361 Maynard Dr
Indianapolis IN 46227

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Reverend and Mrs. Walter Unger
2808 Locust Ct E
Kokomo IN 46902

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Richard Holtz
10 Carrington Ct
West Lafayette IN 47906

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Richard Podell
156 E. Engle Dr.
Valparaiso IN 46383

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Richard Jordan
405 E. Centennial
Nappanee IN 46550

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not incluce the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Richard Kaminski
5039 Haynes Ave.
Indianapolis IN 46250

TELEWIRE WIREALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Richard Bainbridge
740 N Cline Ave
Griffith IN 46319

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Ricky Smith
1730 S Mason Dixon Dr
West Lafayette IN 47906

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Kevin Henney
5328 E Broadmore Dr
Columbus IN 47201

TELEWIRE WIREALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Victor Smith
5364 Graceland
Indianapolis IN 46208

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not incluce the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Randall G Corns
5365 E 1100 N
De Motte IN 46310

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. Andrew W. Alexander
5390 W Hillcrest Dr
Bloomington IN 47404

TELEWIRE WIREALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. James Hammond
542 S Yeagy Ct
Greenwood IN 46142

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Robert R Hawkins
54233 HASTINGS
Elkhart IN 46514

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Terrance Black
5428 N Guilford
Indianapolis IN 46220

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. John Gates
5457 E Homestead Dr
Columbus IN 47201

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Nicholas Knoerze
555 North Nichols St
Lowell IN 46356

TELEWIRE WIRE AMERICA ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Stephen Gilbert
5551 Smoketree Dr
Columbus IN 47201

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. David Bramlett
56020 Cedar Rd
Mishawaka IN 46545

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Richard Springer
56030 Jefferson Knoll Dr
Osceola IN 46561

TELEWIRE WIREALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Eric Budny
5747 W Pleasant Hills Trails
LaPorte IN 46350

TELEWIRE WIREALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Warren Estes, Jr.
5809 W River Rd
Muncie IN 47304

TELEWIRE WIREALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Michael L. Crawfo
5814 Rymark Ct
Indiamapolis IN 46250

TELEWIRE WIREALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Charles Bowman
5986 Emil Ct.
Plainfield IN 46168

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. William Lumbley
600 E Maxwell Ln
Bloomington IN 47401

TELEWIRE WIREALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Albert C Warner
6002 Winthrop
Indianapolis IN 46220

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Timothy Perry
6006 Indianola Ave
Indianapolis IN 46220

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Roy McLaughlin
609 Osage Rd
Valparaiso IN 46383

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Charles Underwood
611 E Epler
Indianapolis IN 46227

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Leslie A. Field
625 Avondale Dr
West Lafayette IN 47906

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. John Barber
6264 Douglas Rd
Indianapolis IN 46220

TELEWIRE WIREALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Clenton Howard
6304 W Prairie Ct
New Palestine IN 46163

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Michael Hazy
633 Oxford
Valparaiso IN 46383

TELEWIRE WIREWRITE ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Thomas Post
638 Almond
De Motte IN 46310

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not incluce the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Garry Courtney
6408 Granner Dr
Indianapolis IN 46241

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Timothy G Messman
3201 Maple Dr
Highland IN 46322

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Tim Bayless
3204 Christen Ct
Bloomington IN 47401

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Bruce Robinson
3204 S 100 West
Tipton IN 46072

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Thomas Gilbertson
321 Lahonda
Valparaiso IN 46383

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Walter Voss
3213 S. Broadway
Yorktown IN 47396

TELEWIRE WIRE-ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Richard Brown
3224 St. Jude Dr.
Indianapolis IN 46227

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. J. Dale Suggs
3245 Elm Swamp Rd
Lebanon IN 46052

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Donald Fields
3304 Tally Ho Dr
Kokomo IN 46902

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Gary L. Jackson
3311 Cedar Ln.
Lafayette IN 47905

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Alan Wojie
3335 Hillcrest Dr
Columbus IN 47203

VIA NTC (904) 762-05

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Paul Steinheiser
3355 Vineyard
LaPorte IN 46350

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Jack Miller
3422 E Crystle Ave
Terre Haute IN 47805

VIA NTC (901) 762-9

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Richard Zuccarell
3537 42nd Place
Highland IN 46322

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Brian Helmerick
3571 Brookside Dr
Lafayette IN 47905

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. John Autio
3601 N Oakwood Ave
Muncie IN 47304

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Mark Wilson
3664 Greenbriar Dr
Columbus IN 47203

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Brian Bunner
3745 E Brown Rd
New Castle IN 47362

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Roy Knoy
3761 Oriole Dr.
Columbus IN 47203

VIA NTC (201) 762-2

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. William Lawless
4027 Winding Way
Indianapolis IN 46220

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Mark McAlpin
411 S Western Ave
Kokomo IN 46901

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. John Bates
4134 Sunmeadow Ln
Indianapolis IN 46228

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Stephen Sito
4146 Lakewood Ct.
Clayton IN 46118

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Stephen J Bernatn
429 N Lillian Ave
Griffith IN 46319

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Lisa Wisner
4344 Ashwood Dr
Indianapolis IN 46268

VIA NTC (904) 762-05

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Gregory Van Noy
438 W Stop 11 Rd
Indianapolis IN 46217

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. John Petraits
4405 N 575 E
Brownsburg IN 46112

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Joseph Putman
441 Nantucket Dr
Valparaiso IN 46383

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Harvey Beutner
4432 Castlebay Way
Indianapolis IN 46254

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. James Roberts
4514 Thornleigh Dr
Indianapolis IN 46226

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Leo Storey
452 Sheffield Dr
Valparaiso IN 46383

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Eric Glymph
4604 Citation Blvd
Indianapolis IN 46237

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Stanley Rane
479 LA GRANGE ST.
West Lafayette IN 47906

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Franz Dalinghaus
4803 Dickson Rd
Indianapolis IN 46226

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Michael Ford
50 Lynwood Dr
New Whiteland IN 46184

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Fredric Brewer
5043 Morningside Dr
Bloomington IN 47408

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Robert J Kastens
50647 Ridgemoor Way
Granger IN 46530

VIA NTC (001) 762-05

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Adam Trump
507 Ridge Rd.
Kokomo IN 46901

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. John Hall
507 W Blvd
Kokomo IN 46902

VIA NTC (904) 762-0

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Robert Stewart
5120 Normal
Indianapolis IN 46226

TELEWIRE WIREALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. John King
513 MOSS CREEK DR.
Bloomington IN 47401

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. John M. Ray
5142 N Kenwood Ave
Indianapolis IN 46208

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Charles Bloome
51487 Wetherington Ct
Granger IN 46530

TELEWIRE WIREAIRE ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. David Cooke
5159 Guilford Ave
Indianapolis IN 46205

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Ed Martin
519 Elliot Ct
Greentown IN 46936

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Gary Moore
5212 Flintstone Dr
Indianapolis IN 46237

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Rodney Matasovsky
52250 Brendon Hills Dr
GRANGER IN 46530

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Andrew Pike
5226 Cornelius Ave
Indianapolis IN 46208

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Richard Northway
5258 Edward Ct.
Carmel IN 46033

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Stephen Richman
529 Elliott Ct.
Greentown IN 46936

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Daniel Martin
53249 Bajer Ln
South Bend IN 46635

VIA NTC (201) 763-

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Paul Bohrer
1813 Lilac Dr
Indianapolis IN 46227

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Rodney Koster
1825 Lakeview Ct.
Schererville IN 46375

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Michael Hogg
187 W 150 N
Valparaiso IN 46383

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Robert G Hayes
1909 Peachtree Lane
South Bend IN 46617

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Jeffrey Cooper
1953 W. Havens
Kokomo IN 46901

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Ralph Steele
1958 Kankakee Ct
Valparaiso IN 46383

VIA NTC (001) 769

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. James Kaczmarek
20 Paradise Ct
Lafayette IN 47905

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Willard Wheeland
2006 Berkley Dr
Valparaiso IN 46383

VIA NTC (001) 762-0

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Donald G. Adair
201 Heritage Rd
Bloomington IN 47408

TELEWIRE WIREALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Jeffery Zimmerman
201 STURDY RD. # 1
Valparaiso IN 46383

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Michael Guistolis
202 N Harvey
Griffith IN 46319

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. William Hoover
206 Connolly
West Lafayette IN 47906

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Marion C. Gray
2100 Carmelita Blvd
Kokomo IN 46902

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. John Midmore
2106 Sherwood Dr
Valparaiso IN 46383

VIA NTC (201) 762-05

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Beth Kerald
211 Deer Park Ct.
Seymour IN 47274

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. John Reimer
2118 Allison Ave
Speedway IN 46224

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. James Chadwell
212 S 10th St
Goshen IN 46526

TELEWIRE WIRE-ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Charles Siar
2176 Pennsylvania
Portage IN 46368

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Craig Tunget
219 E State St
Pendleton IN 46064

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Donald Smith
220 Meadow Ln.
Lowell IN 46356

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Earl Krueger
2205 Dorset Dr.
Valparaiso IN 46383

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Mazvrek
2223 Blue Smoke Trl
Mishawaka IN 46544

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. John Tierney
227 Highwood Dr
Westfield IN 46074

TELEWIRE WIRE-ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. John Steele
2309 E Arden Dr
Bloomington IN 47401

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Robert Heiskell
232 Yorkshire Cir.
Noblesville IN 46060

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Ralph Galvin
2350 E 91st
Indianapolis IN 46240

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Charles Alm
2407 Ottawa Dr
Lafayette IN 47905

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Michael Maupin
2425 Tam-o-Shanter Rd.
Kokomo IN 46902

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Mark Shugart
2454 Mandren Drive
Indianapolis IN 46231

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Jon Weaver
2511 Bradford Ave
Kokomo IN 46902

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Lawrence Bol
252 Bogota
Valparaiso IN 46383

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Robert Taylor
2612 Ryan Dr
Indianapolis IN 46220

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. J Stoltzfus
2613 Martin Manor Dr
Goshon IN 46526

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Gregory M Sobiesk
270 Timberspring Rd
Lowell IN 46356

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. David Goss
2703 Forrester
Bloomington IN 47401

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. William Sare
2709 Olcott Blvd
Bloomington IN 47401

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Harry Haas
2716 N Salisbury
West Lafayette IN 47906

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Van Desrocher
273 Southridge Rd
Terre Haute IN 47802

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Robert Johnson
2734 Hamstrom Rd.
Portage IN 46368

TELEWIRE WIREALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Roger Vandrey
2810 Cardigan Rd
Indianapolis IN 46268

VIA NTC (901) 762-9

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. James Dripps
2823 S Park Rd
Kokomo IN 46902

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Charles E Couch
28614 Co Rd 27
Elkhart IN 46517

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. James Walker
289 Costlewood
Valparaiso IN 46383

TELEWIRE WIREALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Stanley Kile
2910 Ashland Ave
Muncie IN 47304

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Gerald Lowther
3001 Forrester
Bloomington IN 47401

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Donald Phillips
3020 W 42nd St
Indianapolis IN 46208

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Michael Grelecki
3028 100th Pl.
Highland IN 46322

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. R. L. Larson
304 Ravenview Ct
Anderson IN 46011

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Robert Huston
304 Shady Ln
Muncie IN 47304

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Michael Platt
3112 Cherry Lake Rd.
Indianapolis IN 46236

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Richard Lafave
10561 Power Dr
Carmel IN 46033

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Richard McHie
10725 Birch Tree Cir
Indianapolis IN 46236

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Timothy Thut
1104 S 7th St
Goshen IN 46526

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Max Connell
111 E High
Mooresville IN 46158

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. James Shanahan
11103 Sloop Ct.
Indianapolis IN 46236

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Daniel Raschke
1122 Revere Dr.
Lafayette IN 47905

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Dan Bundy
1125 Maple Ave
Terre Haute IN 47804

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Neil Hunt
11317 Cherry Lake Way
Indianapolis IN 46236

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. David Schill
11408 E Mutz Ct
Indianapolis IN 46229

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Walter Burmylo
115 Beech Ct
Hebron IN 46341

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Donald Koschnitzk
1153 Thicket Ln
Munster IN 46321

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Paul Burnett
1160 Tewksbury Ln
Mooresville IN 46158

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Wayne Wade
117 N West St
Tipton IN 46072

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Ricky Busby
1183 W. 550 S.
Anderson IN 46013

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Stephan Hamer
120 Northland St.
Fishers IN 46038

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Gregory Golday
1220 Redbud Dr
Chesterton IN 46304

VIA NTC (904) 762-0

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. David Maurer
12211 Hendricks Ct
Crown Point IN 46307

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. W. Alan Grimes
1221 Lake Vista Dr.
Crawfordsville IN 47933

VIA NTC (904) 762-05

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. R. J. Click
1224 E 90th St
Indianapolis IN 46240

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Jay Watson
123 S Prarie St
Mishawaka IN 46544

VIA NTC (904) 762-05

TELEWIRE WIREALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Richard Read
1244 Mac Arthur
Munster IN 46321

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Scott Lane
125 Marble Archway
Lafayette IN 47905

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Daniel Kapsalis
12585 Traverse
Fishers IN 46038

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. John Davis
1282 Westwind
Plainfield IN 46168

VIA NTC (001) 762-0

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Thomas Daniels
1320 MacArthur Blvd
Munster IN 46321

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Robert Parkerson
1322 Cool Creek Dr.
Carmel IN 46033

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Charles Bowman
133 N West St
Tipton IN 46072

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. MIklik Powney
1333 Tamarack Dr
Munster IN 46321

VIA NTC (001) 762-0

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Dale Coffing
138 Campbell St.
Crawfordsville IN 47933

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Carl Marcum
140 Roundelay Dr
Franklin IN 46131

VIA NTC (901) 762-0

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Peter Di Lego
1414 W Sycamore Rd
Kokomo IN 46901

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Thomas Kuespert
1418 Newsom Ct.
Lafayette IN 47905

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Ed Greenwalt
1422 E 7th
Anderson IN 46012

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Walter Goss
1424 Pearl
Columbus IN 47201

VIA NTC (904) 762-05

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Evelyn Henry
1449 W. 2nd St.
Seymour IN 47274

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Keith Shadrick
146 Brierley Way
Carmel IN 46032

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. David Fifield
149 Park Place
Hebron IN 46341

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Steven A Frankfor
15040 Hunting Ridge Ct
Granger IN 46530

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Brian Blaszak
1518 Lake
Dyer IN 46311

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. James Reed
153 Yorkskhire Blvd
Indianapolis IN 46229

VIA NTC (904) 762-05

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Don Zimmerman
15548 Windfield Lane
Granger IN 46530

TELEWIRE WIRE AMERICA ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Robert Guse
16200 N Lakeshore Dr
Hope IN 47246

VIA NTC (901) 762-05

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Jeffrey Lewis
1625 Oakhill Dr
Kokomo IN 46902

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Steven Andrews
166 Southport Dr
Valparaiso IN 46383

VIA NTC (201) 762-01

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Jack Stephenson
1731 Pinetree Ln.
Kokomo IN 46902

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Jamie Lovely
17340 Willowbrook
South Bend IN 46635

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Kenneth Mason
1741 N Girls School Rd
Indianapolis IN 46214

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. George Green
1760 Lawdale Dr
Valparaiso IN 46383

VIA NTC (201) 762-05

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Harry W Hughes
18 Lansdown
Carmel IN 46033

TELEWIRE WIREALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Max Blankenburg
1808 Zinnia Dr
Indianapolis IN 46219

VIA NTC (901) 762-01

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Marsha Lawrence
2900 E Center Rd
Kokomo IN 46902

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Marsha Bernhardt
9489 E. 106th
Fishers IN 46038

VIA NTC (201) 762-2

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Martha Levandowski
3711 Capilano Dr
West Lafayette IN 47906

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Martha Lips
445 Sheffield Ct
Carmel IN 46032

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Martha Taylor
615 Sugar Tree Rd.
Crawfordsville IN 47933

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mary Ann Schmid
5629 Arablan Ct
Indianapolis IN 46208

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mary Collins
3945 Cranbrook Dr.
Indianapolis IN 46240

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mary J. Underkofler
2003 E. 43rd
Anderson IN 46013

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mary Knight
1234 Avon St.
Granger IN 46530

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mary Ruhberg
3620 Meadowview Dr
Lafayette IN 47905

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mary R. Dragan
724 S. Forest Ave.
Brazil IN 47834

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mary Stern
3440 Woodfield St
W Lafayette IN 47906

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mary Wittman
1671 Winton Ave
Speedway IN 46224

TELEWIRE WIREALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Duane Matthews
474 Green St
Tipton IN 46072

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Maureen Fairman
3434 41st
Highland IN 46322

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Maurice Howard
3202 Westenedge Dr.
Columbus IN 47203

VIA NTC (904) 762-

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Max Perry
1040 Oakdale Dr.
Anderson IN 46011

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Barbara McCarty
655 Glendale Dr.
Frankfort IN 46041

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Melanie Jackson
632 N Lillian St
Griffith IN 46319

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Melissa J Carrabine
8119 Meadow Ln
Munster IN 46321

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Michael Alford
116 Madison Ave
Pendleton IN 46064

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Michael P Hart
1342 N Harvey St
Griffith IN 46319

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Michael Moon
235 E Water St
Pendleton IN 46064

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Michael A Slayton
3208 Winthrop Lane
Kokomo IN 46902

VIA NTC (001) 762-01

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Michael Kennedy
345 E 60th Street
Indianapolis IN 46220

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Michael Sandy
485 W. Pine
Zionsville IN 46077

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Michael Blaisdell
7078 W. Amelia Dr.
New Palestine IN 46163

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Michael Vickrey
8039 Valley Farm Ln
Indianapolis IN 46214

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Michael Garrard
9434 N Washington Blvd
Indianapolis IN 46240

TELEWIRE WIREALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Jack D. Miller
P.O. Box 34133
Clermont IN 46234

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Tracy Miller
4957 W. 15th
Indianapolis IN 46224

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

William Miller
5617 Dollar Hide S. Dr.
Indianapolis IN 46221

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Moline M Fox
5638 Liberty Creek Dr W
Indianapolis IN 46254

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mollie Wright
7359 Oakland Hills Ct.
Indianapolis IN 46236

VIA NTC (904) 768-05

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. & Mrs. Ralph McInerny
2158 Portage
South Bend IN 46616

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. Alan Brazaitis
3316 Garfield Ave
Highland IN 46322

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. Allen Wildrick
724 Baltimore Rd
Valparaiso IN 46383

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Jimmie Jarvis
P O Box 6043
Kokomo IN 46904

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Charles Owens
PO Box 185
Middlebury IN 46540

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. James Pinnick
R R 3 Box 26 R
Franklin IN 46131

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Peter Koch
1000 Frances Place
Dyer IN 46311

TELEWIRE WIRE AIRE ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Derek Penslar
1004 E. WYLIE ST.
Bloomington IN 47401

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. William Wessel
10050 Fall Creek Rd
Indianapolis IN 45256

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Edward Johann
1005 N Roeske Trl
Michigan City IN 46360

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. George Cummings
1007 Kossuth
Lafayette IN 47905

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Jim Monch
1011 S Harkless Dr
Syracuse IN 46567

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Wesley Lambert
1014 S 22nd
Terre Haute IN 47803

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Rex Bowser
102 Heady Ln
Fishers IN 46038

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. David James
1024 S Ballantine
Bloomington IN 47401

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Steven Hoover
1030 Woodcrest
New Castle IN 47362

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Deborah King
900 E. South
Frankfort IN 46041

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Dwight Kinser
7306 Marianne Ave.
Indianapolis IN 46219

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Dan Kirklin
8044 Kimlough Dr. N.
Indianapolis IN 46240

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Kristi George
4765 E. 65th St.
Indianapolis IN 46220

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Kristine & Keith Losey
602 N Lincoln Dr
Warsaw IN 46580

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Kristine Kabelin
6172 Norwaldo
Indianapolis IN 46220

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Kurt Rauscher
78 Callery Pear Dr
Batesville IN 47006

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Kyle Poppe
30 Valdez Ct.
Lafayette IN 47905

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Diosa La Duron
369 Ironbridge
Cicero IN 46034

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Diana Lee Lane
881 Richart Ln.
Greenwood IN 46142

VIA NTC (904) 762-05

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Ricky D. Larimore
725 N. PHILLIPS
KOKOMO IN 46901

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Larry Guyton
23234 Brick Rd.
South Bend IN 46628

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Larry Carroll
3913 Monitor Mill Dr.
Lafayette IN 47905

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Laura Ringler
3254 60th St
Indianapolis IN 46208

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Laura Harris
4170 N. Washington Blvd.
Indianapolis IN 46205

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Lee Massey
389 Keystone
Valparaiso IN 46383

VIA NTC (001) 762-0

TELEWIRE WIREALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Robert Leliaert
6554 First Rd.
Bremen IN 46506

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Leon Dickson
1008 N Southview Dr
Lafayette IN 47905

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Vicki Leonard
RR 5 Box 232A
Portland IN 47371

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Leslie Yoder
7032 Colita More Ct.
Indianapolis IN 46254

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Leslie Weaver
7095 Christoper Ct
Brownsburg IN 46112

TELEWIRE WIRE ALERT ®

JULY 30, 1996 010606

Stan Smith, Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation, Room N755
Indiana Government Center North
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2249

Dear Mr. Smith:

I oppose the extension of I-69 as proposed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the "Southwest Indiana Highway Corridor."

I object to this proposal because:

The DEIS is misleading-it grossly underestimates the costs of this project by "segmenting" the highway and ignoring the costs for coal and limestone reserves and the costs of mitigating environmental damages. The DEIS only studies the Bloomington to Evansville segment and does not include the cost of damage associated with connecting the road to Indianapolis. How can any extension of I-69 not be connected with existing I-69?

The DEIS does not contain an adequate "alternatives analysis" that considers less costly, less damaging options like upgrading US 41/I-70 or improving rail service.

This proposal will unnecessarily destroy over 5,000 acres of land including over 3,000 acres of valuable farmland and over 1,000 acres of forest, displace over 100 homes, and cut through the new Patoka River National Wildlife Refuge.

Please reject this expensive and destructive highway proposal as outlined in the DEIS. Please send me a response to my comments and keep me informed on future activities related to this highway. Thank You.

Sincerely,

Leslie Dyar
8621 W 46th St
Indianapolis IN 46234

VIA NTC (901) 762-0