

# **Pavement Condition Report**

## LaPorte Municipal Airport

Project 16801839



## **Prepared for:**

Indiana Department of Transportation Office of Aviation 100 N. Senate Ave. Indianapolis, IN 46204

#### **Prepared by:**

Applied Research Associates, Inc. 6314 Odana Rd. Madison, WI 53719 (608) 274-6409

January 2016





## **Executive Summary**

## Background


Since 1995, airports have been required to implement a pavement maintenance-management program to receive funding for any project constructed using Federal money. To assist individual airports in meeting this requirement and help improve airport pavement conditions statewide, the Indiana Department of Transportation, Office of Aviation contracted with Applied Research Associates, Inc. to provide pavement evaluation surveys at local airports. This report documents pavement condition at LaPorte Municipal Airport in September 2015.

A primary objective of the pavement management program is to determine maintenance and rehabilitation needs by comparing pavement condition to a standardized benchmark called the minimum service level (MSL), defined as the minimum pavement condition acceptable in managing Indiana's airfield pavements. The benchmark MSL values used to trigger rehabilitation are shown below.

Runway	Taxiway	Apron
60	55	55

## **Pavement Condition**

The average inspected Pavement Condition Index (PCI) for all the airfield pavements was 68. Runways had an average inspected PCI of 66 and were above the desired MSL of 60. Taxiways had an average inspected PCI of 65, and ramps had an average inspected PCI of 80.





#### **Capital Improvement Program**

The table below provides a summary of the projected pavement rehabilitation needs for the next 5 years of the capital improvement program, starting in 2016. The estimated cost for the rehabilitation actions that provide the greatest increase in pavement service life over the next 5 years is approximately \$1.1 Million in 2016 dollars. If no action is taken, the overall PCI is projected to drop from 68 to 59 by 2020.

Project Year	Calendar Year	Amount
Year 1	2016	94,253
Year 2	2017	116,351
Year 3	2018	325,315
Year 4	2019	489,575
Year 5	2020	66,602
	5-Year Total	1,092,096


#### Maintenance

Analysis of potential maintenance projects identified approximately 10,000 linear feet of crack sealing / crack repair and nearly 300 square feet of patching needs, at an estimated total cost of approximately \$12,000.

Specific recommendations to help prioritize airfield maintenance are found in chapter 4 of this report. A summary of all identified maintenance needs is shown in the table below and in the figure on the following page.

Work Item	Quantity	Unit	Cost
AC PATCH	270	SF	\$2,342
AC RESTORATIVE CRACK REPAIR	1,579	LF	\$1,987
AC SUSTAINING CRACK REPAIR	8,626	LF	\$7,464
PCC PATCH	12	SF	\$214
Total:			\$12,007

AC = asphalt concrete; PCC = portland cement concrete; S.F. = square feet; L.F. = linear feet





## **Table of Contents**

1.	Intro	oductio	on	1
	1.1 1.2		tive and Scope ption of Tasks Performed	
2.	Pave	ement	Condition Evaluation	7
	2.1 2.2 2.3 2.4	Distre PCI Su	iew ss Types and Frequency mmary sis Commentary	11 12
3.	Сар	ital Im	provement Program	15
	3.1 3.2 3.3	Cost E	sis stimates Il Improvement Strategies	15
4.	Maintenance Management Program23			23
	4.1 4.2		al Comments nmended Maintenance Actions	
	4.3	Paven	nent Deterioration	27
	4.4 4.5 4.6	Paven	ractices nent Repair Materials nent Repair Equipment	33
Ар	pend	ix A.	AIRPAV Software	35
Ар	pend	ix B.	General Maintenance Techniques	37
Ар	pend	ix C.	PCI Summary	45
Ар	pend	ix D.	Distress Identification	51
Ар	pend	ix E.	Feature Analysis	59
Ар	pend	ix F.	Airport Responsibilities	123



## **Table of Figures**

Figure 1-1.	Pavement Numbering System	3
Figure 1-2.	PCI Value and Descriptive Rating	4
Figure 2-1.	Inspected Pavement Condition	8
Figure 2-2.	Pavement Condition by Branch Use	9
Figure 2-3.	Typical PCC Pavement in Good Condition (Feature 3030)	9
Figure 2-4.	Typical AC Pavement in Good Condition (Feature 255)	10
Figure 2-5.	Typical AC Pavement in Fair Condition (Feature 5030)	10
Figure 2-6.	Typical AC Pavement in Poor Condition (Feature 3010) 1	1
Figure 3-1.	Programmed CIP 1	19
Figure 4-1.	Recommended Maintenance	26

## **Table of Tables**

	Minimum Service Levels	
Table 1-2.	Inspection Density	3
	Definition and Distribution of PCI Ratings	
Table 2-2.	Distress Frequency in AC Pavement	11
	Distress Frequency in PCC Pavement	
Table 2-4.	PCI Results	12
Table 2-5.	Runway Condition Distribution	13
Table 2-6.	Taxiway Condition Distribution	14
Table 2-7.	Apron Condition Distribution	14
Table 3-1.	Unit Costs	16
	Most Comprehensive Repair	
	Lowest Annual Cost Repair	
Table 3-4.	All Viable Options	20
Table 4-1.	Recommend Maintenance Actions	23
Table 4-2.	Recommend AC Restorative Crack Repair	24
Table 4-3.	Recommend AC Sustaining Crack Repair	24
	Recommend AC Patching	
Table 4-5.	Recommend PCC Patching	25
	General Maintenance Policy (AC)	
Table 4-7.	General Maintenance Policy (PCC)	32



#### GLOSSARY OF ABBREVIATIONS

AC	-	asphalt concrete
AAC	-	asphalt overlay on existing asphalt
APC	-	asphalt overlay on existing concrete
APMS	-	airport pavement management system
ARA	-	Applied Research Associates, Inc.
CADD	-	computer-aided design and drafting
CIP	-	capital improvement program
FAA	-	Federal Aviation Administration
FOD	-	foreign object damage
GIS	-	geographic information system
INDOT	-	Indiana Department of Transportation
L&T	-	longitudinal and transverse
LTD	-	longitudinal, transverse, and diagonal
M&R	-	maintenance and rehabilitation
MSL	-	minimum service level
PCC	-	portland cement concrete
PCI	-	Pavement Condition Index
PCN	-	Pavement Classification Number
PDF	-	portable electronic document



## **1.** Introduction

#### **1.1** Objective and Scope

The Indiana Department of Transportation, Office of Aviation (INDOT) retained Applied Research Associates, Inc., (ARA) to provide airfield pavement inspection, pavement evaluation, and pavement management services for Indiana's statewide network of airfield pavements. The pavement evaluations documented in this report were performed under purchase order number 16801839.

A primary objective of INDOT's ongoing pavement evaluation and management program is to determine maintenance and rehabilitation (M&R) needs by comparing the Pavement Condition Index (PCI) to a standardized benchmark called the minimum service level (MSL). The MSL is defined as the minimum pavement condition acceptable in managing INDOT's airside pavement. The benchmark MSL values used to trigger rehabilitation vary by airport classification and are shown in Table 1-1.

Facility	Primary	Commercial Service	Large GA > 3600'Rwy	Small GA < 3600'Rwy
Runway	70	65	60	55
Taxiway	65	60	55	50
Apron	65	60	55	50

Table 1-1. Minimum Service Le	evels
-------------------------------	-------

Additional goals of this project were to implement a software program to manage the pavement network, develop performance curves based on historical rates of pavement deterioration, forecast future pavement conditions, identify and recommend specific M&R actions to address the root cause of the documented pavement distress, and estimate the cost and ideal timing of the recommend M&R. The following tasks were performed in support of the project goals:

- Review record documents
- Define the pavement network
- Conduct an airfield condition survey
- Update the AIRPAV database & software
- Develop a 5-year airfield M&R work plan
- Report findings to INDOT

#### **1.2 Description of Tasks Performed**

#### 1.2.1 Records Review

A detailed records review was performed to determine the airport's construction history and the as-built cross section for each pavement feature. Plan sets for recent projects were provided to ARA in computer-aided design and drafting (CADD) format. Older plans sets were provided as hard copies or in portable electronic document (PDF) format.



## 1.2.2 Define Pavement Network

Prior to the field survey, a pavement network map was developed using available aerial photography and construction plans. The map was divided into facilities, features, and sample units. A facility is defined as a complete area of the airfield that is used for a particular type of operation. Facilities are typically named for complete functional elements of pavement, such as Runway 11-29, Taxiway A, or North Terminal Apron. After facilities are defined, they are divided into features based on pavement type, construction, structure, and usage. Note that the terms branch and section may be used interchangeably with facility and feature throughout this report.

Features are divided into sample units as prescribed by ASTM D5340-12, *Standard Test Method for Airport Pavement Condition Index Surveys*. A sample unit is a subdivision of a section used exclusively to aid in the inspection process and reduce the effort needed to determine distress quantities and the PCI. The specified sample unit size for an asphalt concrete (AC) pavement is 5,000 ft<sup>2</sup> ± 2,000 ft<sup>2</sup>. Sample units on portland cement concrete (PCC) pavements contain 20 ± 8 slabs.

To allow users to search, sort, and identify airport pavement quickly, a numbering system is used in conjunction with the facility, feature, and sample unit convention. The format starts with facility, then feature, and finally identifies the sample unit. The number 1605.300 is parsed as an example in Figure 1-1. Most pavement references in this report are presented in this format.

Using statistical sampling methods, the PCI procedure provides a high confidence level in evaluating overall pavement condition while sampling only a portion of the pavement surface. Table 1-2 shows the network-level inspection density used on this project. Where appropriate, "additional sample units" were identified and inspected to record pavement areas with distress patterns not representative of the overall pavement condition. The unique distress types documented in additional sample units are not extrapolated across the entire feature.

As the surveyors inspected the pavement, they were mindful to ensure that the pre-survey airfield map depicted the actual pavement, otherwise known as a "ground-truth" survey. Noticeable differences between what was present in the field and what was displayed on the maps were adjusted by a CADD technician.






Figure 1-1. Pavement Numbering System

Sample Unit in Feature	Inspected Sample Units
1-2	ALL
3-4	2
5-7	3
8-10	4
11-14	5
15-19	6
20-25	7
26-30	8
31-37	9
38-45	10
46-55	11
56-80	12
> 80	15%



## 1.2.3 Conduct Airfield Condition Survey

The pavement condition surveys were performed in accordance with ASTM D5340-12. The procedure is based on the identification and measurement of visible distress at the pavement surface. Each PCI distress will deduct from the pavement's perfect condition of 100. Using pavement management software (or curves provided in ASTM D5340-12), a deduct value is determined for each combination of distress type, severity, and measured quantity. The PCI value is then determined from the unique combination of these variables.

A primary benefit of the PCI procedure is the ability to perform objective evaluations and compare pavement condition with an easy-to-understand numerical rating. Because the combined impact of multiple distresses is not cumulative, ASTM D5340-12 provides an additional family of curves to adjust for multiple distresses. The PCI is determined by applying the individual deduct value for each distress type along with any required correction factors to account for multiple distress types.

Figure 1-2 shows the relationship between PCI values and descriptive ratings. Generally, pavement maintenance is most cost-effective when the pavement is still in satisfactory condition. Rehabilitation, such as an asphalt mill and inlay, is typically performed for pavements with PCI values between 55 and 70. When the PCI value drops below 55, a mill an inlay may not provide the desired performance and complete reconstruction often becomes the most cost-effective means of repairing the pavement.




Figure 1-2. PCI Value and Descriptive Rating



## 1.2.4 Update AIRPAV Database & Software

The network definition, construction history, and data from the survey were entered into the AIRPAV pavement management system (APMS) software. After all data were entered, family curves were developed to model the change in pavement condition over time. These family curves are used to estimate future pavement condition. Typically, several curves are developed, with separate curves defined for different pavement surface types, such as AC, PCC, asphalt overlay on existing asphalt (AAC), and asphalt overlay on existing concrete (APC). The latest version of AIRPAV containing all survey data, deterioration curves, M&R policies, budgets, and construction history, was provided to INDOT on CD-ROM.

## 1.2.5 Develop 5-Year Airfield M&R Work Plans

A 5-year capital improvement program (CIP) was developed showing the year that each pavement feature was expected to fall below the MSL. The 5-year plan detailed in chapter 3 shows rehabilitation alternatives for each feature based on the PCI and the individual distress types observed during the pavement evaluation. The timing of each project is shown as the year that the PCI falls below the MSL and does not consider other important factors. Using reports like this for each airport in the State, INDOT engineers and planners develop a final 5-year statewide CIP plan that balances the sometimes conflicting priorities of pavement condition, operational constraints, construction staging considerations, and available funding.

## 1.2.6 Report Finding to INDOT

This report includes background information, PCI results and recommendations, and M&R budget scenarios. Photographs depicting typical pavement conditions observed during the survey are included in chapter 2. Appendix A contains general information about the AIRPAV pavement management software. Appendix B contains a summary of general maintenance techniques and best practices. Appendix C provides a detailed summary of the airfield pavement condition. Appendix D describes common airfield distress types. Appendix E provides an analysis of each pavement section based on recorded distress, and Appendix F contains exhibits to help the airport owner manage the airfield pavement system.



This page intentionally left blank



## 2. Pavement Condition Evaluation

#### 2.1 Overview

Approximately 1,060,000 ft<sup>2</sup> of total airside pavement is represented herein. Using statistical sampling methods approximately 346,000 ft<sup>2</sup> of AC pavement and 37,000 ft<sup>2</sup> of PCC pavement was surveyed as part of this assessment. The average inspected PCI for all pavements was 68 (Fair). The average inspected PCI for the runways, taxiways, and ramps were as follows: 66 (Fair), 65 (Fair), and 80 (Satisfactory). Table 2-1 provides a general description of the PCI rating categories, including a simplified rating scale of Good, Fair, and Poor. This table also shows the associated distress levels and general M&R requirements for each rating category.

Simplified PCI Rating	PCI Range	Definition	Pavement Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	Pavement Area (%)
	86-100	GOOD: Pavement has minor or no distresses and requires only routine maintenance.	78,908	7%
Good	71-85	SATISFACTORY: Pavement has scattered low- severity distresses that need only routine maintenance.	144,712	14%
Fair	56-70	FAIR: Pavement has a combination of generally low- and medium-severity distresses. M&R needs are routine to major in the near future.	770,954	73%
	41-55	POOR: Pavement has low-, medium-, and high- severity distresses that probably cause some operational problems. Near-term maintenance and repair needs may range from routine up to a requirement for reconstruction.	65,455	6%
Poor	26-40	VERY POOR: Pavement has predominantly medium- and high-severity distresses that cause considerable maintenance and operational problems. Near-term maintenance and repair needs will be intensive in nature.	-	0%
	11-25	SERIOUS: Pavement has mainly high-severity distresses that cause operational restrictions; immediate repairs are needed.	-	0%
	0-10	FAILED: Pavement deterioration has progressed to the point that safe operations are no longer possible; complete reconstruction is required.	-	0%

Table 2-1. Definition and Distribution of PCI Rating	S
--	---

The pavement within each of the PCI condition categories is shown in Figure 2-1. The inspected PCI is summarized by branch use in Figure 2-2, and the photographs in Figure 2-3 through Figure 2-6 provide examples of the condition categories.




Figure 2–1. Inspected Pavement Condition at LaPorte Municipal Airport (PPO).






Figure 2-2. Pavement Condition by Branch Use



Figure 2-3. Typical PCC Pavement in Good Condition (Feature 3030)





Figure 2-4. Typical AC Pavement in Good Condition (Feature 255)



Figure 2-5. Typical AC Pavement in Fair Condition (Feature 5030)





Figure 2-6. Typical AC Pavement in Poor Condition (Feature 3010)

## 2.2 Distress Types and Frequency

The inspectors surveyed approximately 346,000 ft<sup>2</sup> of AC pavement. The frequency of each distress type is shown in Table 2-2. The recorded distress types were longitudinal and transverse (L&T) cracking, weathering, patching, raveling, depressions, swell, alligator cracking, and rutting.

Distress	Sample Units	% Inspected Sample Units
L&T CRACKING	107	100
WEATHERING	97	91
PATCHING	14	13
RAVELING	11	10
DEPRESSIONS	9	8
SWELL	8	8
ALLIGATOR CRACKING	5	5
RUTTING	4	4

Table 2-2. Distress Frequency in AC Pavement



The inspectors surveyed approximately 37,000 ft<sup>2</sup> of PCC pavement. The frequency of each distress type is shown in Table 2-3. The recorded distress types were corner spalling, patching, corner break, joint seal damage, and shrinkage cracks. Patching is an indicator of an active maintenance program.

Distress	Sample Units	% Inspected Sample Units	Slabs	% Inspected Slabs
CORNER SPALLING	4	36	4	2
PATCHING SMALL	2	18	2	1
CORNER BREAK	1	9	1	0
JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	1	9	24	11
SHRINKAGE CRACKS	1	9	1	0

Table 2-3.	Distress Frequency in PCC Pavement
------------	------------------------------------

#### 2.3 PCI Summary

The branch and section PCI values are shown below, along with the surface type, area, and last year construction occurred. Feature 315 has had significant maintenance work since the last inspection, which has raised the section PCI.

Branch ID	Branch PCI	Section	Surface	Area (sf)	Built	2012 PCI	2015 PCI
	105	AC	146,961	1992	70	63	
		110	AC/AC	11,515	1995	59	58
100	64	115	AC/AC	11,000	1995	75	66
		120	AC	32,604	2002	77	70
		125	AC	13,415	2001	73	68
		205	AC	6,646	1992	50	48
		210	AC	4,024	1986	57	52
200	70	215	AC/AC	5,701	1995	65	61
		250	AC	53,102	2005	85	73
		255	AC	8,630	2005	91	81
		305	AC	9,261	1992	48	45
300	57	310	AC/AC	5 <i>,</i> 896	1995	71	54
500	57	315	AC/AC	3,285	1995	56	58
		350	AC/AC	15,566	1997	69	66
		3010	AC	10,105	1992	50	45
		3015	PCC	7,486	2011	98	95
2000	80	3020	AC/AC	56,400	2011	100	75
3000	00	3025	AC	29,523	1997	63	54
		3030	PCC	43,637	2009	99	99
		3031	AC	5,415	2009	91	87

Table	2-4	PCI	Results
Iable	Z-4.	FUI	nesuits



Branch ID	Branch PCI	Section	Surface	Area (sf)	Built	2012 PCI	2015 PCI
		3035	PCC	22,370	2009	100	97
		3036	AC	6,080	2009	96	84
		5005	AC	50,677	1995	73	64
		5010	AC	130,922	1995	75	66
5000	66	5015	AC	66,000	1995	69	63
5000	00	5020	AC	46,252	2002	73	68
		5025	AC	20,500	2002	90	76
		5030	AC	61,819	2002	75	69
5100	65	5105	AC	175,237	2001	71	65

#### 2.4 Analysis Commentary

The following pages provide a brief overview of the 2015 inspected pavement conditions for each facility. Comments are based primarily on the AIRPAV analysis but also include field notes and remarks from the pavement condition inspectors. Where appropriate, individual pavement sections are referenced within the larger facility.

#### 2.4.1 Runways

The runways consisted of seven sections of AC pavement. The runways had a total area of 551,407 ft<sup>2</sup> with an area-weighted average PCI of 66 (Fair). L&T cracking and weathering were the most common distress types. The distribution of runway pavement by PCI range is shown in Table 2-5.

PCI Range	Rating	Number of Sections	Pavement Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	Pavement Area (%)
100-71	Good	1	20,500	4%
70-56	Fair	6	530,907	96%
55-0	Poor	-	-	0%

Table 2-5. Runway Condition Distribution



## 2.4.2 Taxiways

The taxiways consisted of 14 sections of AC pavement with a total area of 327,606 ft<sup>2</sup>, and an area-weighted average PCI of 65 (Fair). L&T cracking and weathering were recorded in all sample units. Additional distress types including raveling, rutting, or alligator were also present in the majority of taxiway features. Taxiway pavement distribution by PCI range is shown in Table 2-6.

PCI Range	Rating	Number of Sections	Pavement Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	Pavement Area (%)
100-71	Good	2	61,732	19%
70-56	Fair	8	240,047	73%
55-0	Poor	4	25,827	8%

Table 2-6	Taxiway	Condition	Distribution
	Tuniwa	y contaition	Distribution

#### 2.4.3 Aprons

The aprons consisted of five sections of AC pavement and three section of PCC pavement. The total area of apron pavements was 181,016 ft<sup>2</sup>, and the area-weighted average PCI was 80 (Good). L&T cracking and weathering, both age related distresses, were the main recorded distress types in the AC pavement. Spalling was the most recorded type of distress in the PCC pavement. The distribution of pavement area and sections by PCI range are shown in Table 2-7.

Table 2-7. A	pron Condition	Distribution
--------------	----------------	--------------

PCI Range	Rating	Number of Sections	Pavement Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	Pavement Area (%)
100-71	Good	6	141,388	78%
70-56	Fair	-	-	0%
55-0	Poor	2	39,628	22%



## 3. Capital Improvement Program

#### **3.1 Analysis**

The individual feature analyses shown in appendix E document viable rehabilitation projects that address the causes of each pavement section's distress while restoring the pavement to a condition above the desired MSL. The recommended timing of each improvement action is defined as the year that the pavement condition is projected to reach the MSL. By establishing benchmark MSL targets, it is possible to plan objectively for future needs against a standard set of performance criteria. This section categorizes the identified viable options into CIP strategies based on cost and expected service life.

The airport may find it desirable to adjust the timing of projects detailed in the CIP to meet fiscal and operational constraints. For example, if different sections of a runway were projected to reach the MSL in various years ranging from 2016 to 2018, it is not operationally feasible to stage rehabilitation over a 3-year period. Instead, runway rehabilitation would be programmed in a manner that balanced the need to minimize the length of the runway closure while maximizing the remaining service life.

#### 3.2 Cost Estimates

Project costs were estimated based on the pavement area and the unit costs shown in Table 3-1 for specific M&R activities. Project costs are presented so planners and managers can compare the relative magnitude of funding required for various alternatives. The two-page AIRPAV feature analysis (see appendix E) provides cost estimates for each identified project. These cost estimates are for planning purposes only and do not constitute an engineering estimate.

Furthermore, these cost estimates represent the improvement of existing pavement structures and associated incidental work only. Other potential project line items, such as lighting, navigational aids, and drainage modifications are not included, and estimates for those items must be developed separately and incorporated into an overall project cost.

Typical examples of work that might be included in alternatives evaluated by AIRPAV are outlined on the following pages. These example projects would meet the requirements for each selected option; however, the descriptions are not intended to imply required, or even preferred, design configurations. Rehabilitation decisions, such as overlay thickness design, should be made in conjunction with engineering design analysis.



Rigid Pavement (PCC)					
Reconstruction	\$12.90 /sf				
Slab Replacement & Full Depth Patching	\$12.48 /sf				
Patching (Partial Depth)	\$16.70 /sf				
Slab Repair & Overlay	\$4.69 /sf + \$0.41 /sf/in > 4"				
Joint Seal Replacement	\$2.24 /lf				
Joint Seal Repair	\$0.87 /If				
Undersealing	\$4.16 /sf				
Flexible Paveme	nt (AC)				
Reconstruction	\$5.36 /sf				
Resurfacing	\$1.44 /sf				
Structural Overlay	\$2.25 /sf + \$0.41 /sf/in > 4"				
Surface Treatment	\$0.39 /sf				
Patching	\$9.78 /lf				
Crack Repair (Restorative)	\$1.24 /lf				
Crack Repair (Sustaining)	\$0.85 /lf				

#### 3.2.1 Rigid Pavement Work Descriptions

The following descriptions provide additional information about the typical work items covered by the unit costs shown in Table 3-1.

#### 3.2.1.1 Reconstruction

Reconstruction is recommended when the pavement defects would not be corrected by less extensive measures. Unit prices assume removal of the existing pavement to the subgrade and reconstruction with 8 inches of high strength PCC pavement on 6 inches of aggregate subbase.

#### 3.2.1.2 Repair and Overlay

This procedure usually consists of a rubblize or a crack and seat process, where the existing pavement is broken into segments of approximately 2 ft on a side by dropping a heavy breaker bar onto the pavement. Properly done, aggregate interlock between pavement segments is retained and reflective cracking is reduced. A flexible surface is then placed over the recycled PCC base.







#### 3.2.1.3 Slab Replacement

Slab replacements are typically required for high-severity blow ups, scaling, and shattered slabs. Unit prices assume removal of the selected slab to the subgrade. Prepare subgrade to bearing strength equivalent to surrounding subgrade. Provide subbase support equivalent to existing and install load transfer steel as required. Place PCC pavement level with existing surface.

#### 3.2.1.4 Patching (Partial Depth)

While partial depth patching is most commonly used to repair joint and corner spalls, it is effective for a wide variety of distress types. Saw cut and remove area of pavement to sound concrete above reinforcing steel. Treat existing concrete to ensure firm bond. Place PCC level with existing surface.

#### 3.2.1.5 Joint Seal Replacement

Rout joints and cracks to a depth of at least 1-1/4 inches, clean joint wall surfaces to expose fresh vital concrete, install backing rope, and apply rubberized sealant meeting ASTM D3405 specification, or equivalent.

#### 3.2.1.6 Joint Seal Repair

Press existing sealant into joint for use as backer material; apply joint sealant meeting ASTM D3405 specification, or equivalent.

#### 3.2.1.7 Undersealing

Undersealing is used to repair faulting between slabs or when corner breaks have settled relative to the slab. High-pressure injection is used to force material into the underlying voids and continues until the settled pavement is restored to its original elevation. Several materials have been used for undersealing, including cement grout, asphalt slurries, and proprietary formulations of expansive Styrofoam.











## 3.2.2 Flexible Pavement Work Descriptions

#### 3.2.2.1 Reconstruction

Reconstruction is recommended when the pavement defects would not be corrected by less extensive measures. Unit prices assume removal of existing pavement to subgrade. Scarify and compact subgrade to 6-inch depth. Construct 4 inches of P401 AC surface course on 8 inches of aggregate base course.

#### 3.2.2.2 Resurfacing

Resurfacing assumes a nominal 2-inch asphalt mill and inlay on existing prepared pavement.

#### 3.2.2.3 Structural Overlay

Structural overlays are used to address load related distress or to increase pavement load bearing capacity. Apply a 4-inch AC overlay on existing prepared pavement. Add additional thickness as needed to achieve required strength.

#### 3.2.2.4 Surface Treatment

Apply a high-quality, penetrating rejuvenating sealer

#### 3.2.2.5 Patching

High-performance cold patching products can be used for short term repairs. Longterm patches should be made with plant mixed hot asphalt meeting FAA P401 specs.

#### 3.2.2.6 Crack Repair (Restorative)

Rout existing crack to a minimum depth of 1-1/4 inches, install backing rope and apply rubberized crack filler meeting ASTM D3405 specification.

#### 3.2.2.7 Crack Repair (Sustaining)

This is typically spot repairs of existing crack sealant.












## 3.3 Capital Improvement Strategies

Figure 3-1 shows a projection of the overall airport pavement condition for the next 10 years based on implementing one of three capital improvement strategies:

- No Action: No capital improvement action is undertaken
- Longest Life: The most comprehensive repair and longest life rehabilitation option
- Lowest Cost: The rehabilitation option with the projected lowest annual cost





The longest life CIP scenario for all of the pavement projected to fall below the MSL is projected to cost approximately **\$1,239,000** over the next 10 years. The corresponding lowest annual cost scenario is also projected to cost approximately **\$629,000** over the next 10 years. Examples of each capital improvement strategy and a complete listing of all viable capital projects are presented in Table 3-2 through Table 3-4.

Feature	Built	Description	Action Yr	Work Item	Cost, \$
105	1992	ΤΑΧΙΨΑΥ Α	2019	Resurfacing	211,623
110	1995	TAXIWAY A5	2017	Resurfacing	16,581
115	1995	TAXIWAY A2	2021	Resurfacing	15,840
125	2001	ΤΑΧΙΨΑΥ Α	2023	Resurfacing	19,317
205	1992	TAXIWAY A3	2015	Resurfacing	9,570
210	1986	TAXIWAY A3	2015	Resurfacing	5,794
215	1995	TAXIWAY A3	2019	Resurfacing	8,209
305	1992	TAXIWAY A4	2015	Resurfacing	13,335
310	1995	TAXIWAY A4	2015	Resurfacing	8,490
315	1995	TAXIWAY B4	2017	Resurfacing	4,730

Table 3-2.	Most	Compre	hensive	Repair
------------	------	--------	---------	--------



Feature	Built	Description	Action Yr	Work Item	Cost, \$
350	1997	TAXIWAY B4	2022	Resurfacing	22,415
3010	1992	WEST RAMP	2015	Resurfacing	14,551
3020	2011	EAST RAMP	2019	Resurfacing	81,216
3025	1997	WEST RAMP	2015	Resurfacing	42,513
5005	1995	RUNWAY 2-20 KEEL	2018	Resurfacing	72,974
5010	1995	RUNWAY 2-20	2019	Resurfacing	188,527
5015	1995	RUNWAY 2-20 KEEL	2017	Resurfacing	95,040
5020	2002	RUNWAY 2 EXT	2020	Resurfacing	66,602
5030	2002	RUNWAY 2-20 WING	2021	Resurfacing	89,019
5105	2001	RUNWAY 14-32	2018	Resurfacing	252,341
				Total	1,238,687

## Table 3-3. Lowest Annual Cost Repair

Feature	Built	Description	Action Yr	Work Item	Cost, \$
105	1992	ΤΑΧΙΨΑΥ Α	2019	Resurfacing	211,623
110	1995	TAXIWAY A5	2017	Surface Treatment	4,758
115	1995	TAXIWAY A2	2021	Resurfacing	15,840
125	2001	ΤΑΧΙΨΑΥ Α	2023	Surface Treatment	5,520
205	1992	TAXIWAY A3	2015	Surface Treatment	2,846
210	1986	TAXIWAY A3	2015	Resurfacing	5,794
215	1995	TAXIWAY A3	2019	Surface Treatment	2,316
305	1992	TAXIWAY A4	2015	Surface Treatment	4,003
310	1995	TAXIWAY A4	2015	Surface Treatment	2,370
315	1995	TAXIWAY B4	2017	Surface Treatment	1,286
350	1997	TAXIWAY B4	2022	Surface Treatment	6,290
3010	1992	WEST RAMP	2015	Resurfacing	14,551
3020	2011	EAST RAMP	2019	Resurfacing	81,216
3025	1997	WEST RAMP	2015	Surface Treatment	11,830
5005	1995	RUNWAY 2-20 KEEL	2018	Surface Treatment	19,956
5010	1995	RUNWAY 2-20	2019	Surface Treatment	51,235
5015	1995	RUNWAY 2-20 KEEL	2017	Surface Treatment	25,952
5020	2002	RUNWAY 2 EXT	2020	Resurfacing	66,602
5030	2002	RUNWAY 2-20 WING	2021	Surface Treatment	24,497
5105	2001	RUNWAY 14-32	2018	Surface Treatment	70,997
				Total	629,482

## Table 3-4. All Viable Options

Feature	Built	Description	Action Yr	Work Item	Cost, \$
105	1992	ΤΑΧΙΨΑΥ Α	2019	Surface Treatment	60,236
105	1992	ΤΑΧΙΨΑΥ Α	2019	Crack Repair	14,217
105	1992	ΤΑΧΙΨΑΥ Α	2019	Resurfacing	211,623
110	1995	TAXIWAY A5	2017	Surface Treatment	4,758
110	1995	TAXIWAY A5	2017	Crack Repair	1,277
110	1995	TAXIWAY A5	2017	Resurfacing	16,581
115	1995	TAXIWAY A2	2021	Surface Treatment	4,458
115	1995	TAXIWAY A2	2021	Crack Repair	1,025
115	1995	TAXIWAY A2	2021	Resurfacing	15,840
125	2001	ΤΑΧΙΨΑΥ Α	2023	Surface Treatment	5,520



Feature	Built	Description	Action Yr	Work Item	Cost, \$
125	2001	ΤΑΧΙΨΑΥ Α	2023	Crack Repair	1,445
125	2001	ΤΑΧΙΨΑΥ Α	2023	Resurfacing	19,317
205	1992	TAXIWAY A3	2015	Surface Treatment	2,846
205	1992	ΤΑΧΙΨΑΥ Α3	2015	Resurfacing	9,570
210	1986	TAXIWAY A3	2015	Surface Treatment	1,648
210	1986	TAXIWAY A3	2015	Crack Repair	553
210	1986	TAXIWAY A3	2015	Resurfacing	5,794
215	1995	TAXIWAY A3	2019	Surface Treatment	2,316
215	1995	TAXIWAY A3	2019	Crack Repair	711
215	1995	TAXIWAY A3	2019	Resurfacing	8,209
305	1992	TAXIWAY A4	2015	Surface Treatment	4,003
305	1992	TAXIWAY A4	2015	Resurfacing	13,335
310	1995	TAXIWAY A4	2015	Surface Treatment	2,370
310	1995	TAXIWAY A4	2015	Crack Repair	538
310	1995	TAXIWAY A4	2015	Resurfacing	8,490
315	1995	TAXIWAY B4	2017	Surface Treatment	1,286
315	1995	TAXIWAY B4	2017	Crack Repair	443
315	1995	TAXIWAY B4	2017	Resurfacing	4,730
350	1997	TAXIWAY B4	2022	Surface Treatment	6,290
350	1997	TAXIWAY B4	2022	Crack Repair	3,018
350	1997	TAXIWAY B4	2022	Resurfacing	22,415
3010	1992	WEST RAMP	2015	Surface Treatment	4,026
3010	1992	WEST RAMP	2015	Resurfacing	14,551
3020	2011	EAST RAMP	2019	Surface Treatment	22,654
3020	2011	EAST RAMP	2019	Crack Repair	6,676
3020	2011	EAST RAMP	2019	Resurfacing	81,216
3025	1997	WEST RAMP	2015	Surface Treatment	11,830
3025	1997	WEST RAMP	2015	Crack Repair	3,777
3025	1997	WEST RAMP	2015	Resurfacing	42,513
5005	1995	RUNWAY 2-20 KEEL	2018	Surface Treatment	19,956
5005	1995	RUNWAY 2-20 KEEL	2018	Crack Repair	6,986
5005	1995	RUNWAY 2-20 KEEL	2018	Resurfacing	72,974
5010	1995	RUNWAY 2-20	2019	Surface Treatment	51,235
5010	1995	RUNWAY 2-20	2019	Resurfacing	188,527
5015	1995	RUNWAY 2-20 KEEL	2017	Surface Treatment	25,952
5015	1995	RUNWAY 2-20 KEEL	2017	Resurfacing	95,040
5020	2002	RUNWAY 2 EXT	2020	Crack Repair	8,076
5020	2002	RUNWAY 2 EXT	2020	Resurfacing	66,602
5030	2002	RUNWAY 2-20 WING	2021	Surface Treatment	24,497
5030	2002	RUNWAY 2-20 WING	2021	Crack Repair	8,624
5030	2002	RUNWAY 2-20 WING	2021	Resurfacing 89	
5105	2001	RUNWAY 14-32	2018	Resurfacing	252,341
5105	2001	RUNWAY 14-32	2018	Surface Treatment	70,997
5105	2001	RUNWAY 14-32	2018	Crack Repair	30,533



This page intentionally left blank



## 4. Maintenance Management Program

#### **4.1 General Comments**

Most pavement distress types are classified by severity (low, medium, or high). As a general rule, high-severity distresses should be patched, and medium-severity distress should be sealed. A detailed matrix of recommended maintenance policies to address various distress types is provided near the end of this section.

#### 4.1.1 Inspected Crack Severity

Of the inspected pavement, 85 percent of the cracks were rated at low severity and require no maintenance beyond ongoing inspection and spot repair. About 15 percent of the cracks were rated at medium severity and would benefit from sealing and repair. None of the cracks were rated at high severity.

#### 4.1.2 Other Distress

In the inspected asphalt pavement, area measured distresses such as rutting, depressions, alligator cracks, and raveling were recorded as follows: 73 percent at low severity, 18 percent at medium severity, and 9 percent at high severity.

#### 4.2 Recommended Maintenance Actions

The following illustrations and tables show pavement areas that have maintenance and repair needs. Ongoing development of capital improvement projects may address some of these maintenance needs. To help budgeting and prevent duplication of effort, all pavement features recommended for maintenance should be compared to planned improvements prior to finalizing a maintenance program strategy.

Work Item	Quantity	Unit	Cost
AC PATCH	270	SF	\$2,342
AC RESTORATIVE CRACK REPAIR	1,579	LF	\$1,987
AC SUSTAINING CRACK REPAIR	8,626	LF	\$7,464
PCC PATCH	12	SF	\$214
	\$12,007		

Table 4-1.	<b>Recommend Maintenance Actions</b>
------------	--------------------------------------



## 4.2.1 Crack Seal

Feature	Work Item	Amount	Insp. PCI	Change	Est. PCI		
250	AC RESTORATIVE CRACK REPAIR	1,447	73	4	77		
3031	AC RESTORATIVE CRACK REPAIR	132	87	3	90		
	TOTAL:	1,579	L.F.				
	EQUIPMENT: AIR COMPRES	SOR, HEATING K	ETTLE, HAND 1	FOOLS			
	EST. MATERIALS: 316 POUNDS	ASTM D3405 SE	ALANT OR EQU	JIVALENT			
	EST. MAT	ERIAL COST: \$3	15				
	EST. CREW HOURS: 7.9						
	EST. CREW COST: \$1,642						
	EST. PROJECT COST: \$1,957						

## Table 4-2. Recommend AC Restorative Crack Repair

## Table 4-3. Recommend AC Sustaining Crack Repair

Feature	Work Item	Amount	Insp. PCI	Change	Est. PCI
105	AC SUSTAINING CRACK REPAIR	1,719	63	N/A	63
110	AC SUSTAINING CRACK REPAIR	150	58	N/A	58
115	AC SUSTAINING CRACK REPAIR	124	66	N/A	66
120	AC SUSTAINING CRACK REPAIR	521	70	N/A	70
125	AC SUSTAINING CRACK REPAIR	173	68	N/A	68
205	AC SUSTAINING CRACK REPAIR	111	48	N/A	48
210	AC SUSTAINING CRACK REPAIR	62	52	N/A	52
215	AC SUSTAINING CRACK REPAIR	84	61	N/A	61
255	AC SUSTAINING CRACK REPAIR	70	81	N/A	81
305	AC SUSTAINING CRACK REPAIR	203	45	N/A	45
310	AC SUSTAINING CRACK REPAIR	63	54	N/A	54
350	AC SUSTAINING CRACK REPAIR	365	66	N/A	66
3020	AC SUSTAINING CRACK REPAIR	807	75	N/A	75
3025	AC SUSTAINING CRACK REPAIR	451	54	N/A	54
3036	AC SUSTAINING CRACK REPAIR	22	84	N/A	84
5105	AC SUSTAINING CRACK REPAIR	3,693	65	N/A	65
	TOTAL:	8,618	L.F.		
	EQUIPMENT: AIR COMPRES	SOR, HEATING K	ETTLE, HAND	TOOLS	
	EST. MATERIALS: 1,725 POUND				
		ERIAL COST: \$1,7			
		EW HOURS: 37.5			
	EST. CRI	EW COST: \$5,738	3		
		JECT COST: \$7,4			



## 4.2.2 Patching

Feature	Work Item	Amount	Insp. PCI	Change	Est. PCI
105	AC PATCH	61	63	14	77
110	AC PATCH	36	58	2	60
125	AC PATCH	10	68	3	71
205	AC PATCH	40	48	14	62
210	AC PATCH	43	52	15	67
215	AC PATCH	15	61	7	68
310	AC PATCH	13	54	17	71
3025	AC PATCH	49	54		54
	TOTAL:	267	S.F.		
	EQUIPMENT: SAW, AIR COMPF EST. MATERIALS:			ID TOOLS	
		ERIAL COST: \$3	-		
		EW HOURS: 7.7			
	EST. CRE	EW COST: \$2,00	5		
	EST. PRO.	JECT COST: \$2,34	42		

## Table 4-4. Recommend AC Patching

## Table 4-5. Recommend PCC Patching

Feature	Work Item	Amount	Insp. PCI	Change	Est. PCI			
3015	PCC PATCHING	4	95	1	96			
3030	PCC PATCHING	4	99	-	99			
3035	PCC PATCHING	3	97	1	98			
	TOTAL:	11	S.F.					
EQUIPMENT: SAW, AIR COMPRESSOR, JACK HAMMER, MIXER, HAND TOOLS								
EST. MATERIALS: 0 CUBIC YARDS CONCRETE MIX								
EST. MATERIAL COST: \$33								
EST. CREW HOURS: 1.3								
EST. CREW COST: \$180								
EST. PROJECT COST: \$214								




Figure 4–1. Recommended Maintenance at LaPorte Municipal Airport (PPO).



## **4.3 Pavement Deterioration**

Before implementing maintenance and repairs, it helps to understand pavement performance and pavement deterioration. The factors that contribute most to deterioration are environmental, materials, and/or load related. Brief discussions of each are presented in the following sections.

#### 4.3.1 Environmental/Age-Related Deterioration

Seasonal and daily temperature changes cause expansion and contraction of the pavement materials. The shear stresses created by expansion and contraction can cause transverse cracking in flexible pavement and mid-slab cracking in rigid pavement. Further, expansion and contraction will cause cracks, and rigid pavement joints, to open and close with changes in temperature.

Flexible pavement oxidizes as it ages, losing its lighter, volatile, components and becoming brittle with time. Surface treatments and seal coats are designed, in part, to provide a protective barrier and slow this type of oxidation.

Subsurface water can have the greatest impact on pavement deterioration. A wet subgrade greatly reduces the ability of a pavement to support wheel loads, and the results often show up as rutting and cracking of flexible pavement. The fine materials in a wet base can be pumped up through the cracks and eventually result in a loss of support. This loss of support can be evidenced as corner breaks and faulting in rigid pavement. Moisture inside a pavement system expands when it freezes, creating stresses that cause the pavement surface to heave. Subsequent freeze-thaw cycles leave voids in the pavement structure that enable further rutting and breaking. Repeated freeze-thaw cycles eventually cause the pavement to disintegrate. Freeze-thaw deterioration requires frost-susceptible material, sub-zero temperatures, and water. If one of these factors is removed, freeze-thaw damage will not occur. One of the best ways to ensure pavement longevity is to provide drainage and keep it dry.

## 4.3.2 Materials-Related Deterioration

The pavement thickness and type of subgrade play a large role in the formation and spacing of transverse cracks. If the subgrade and base materials are smooth or rounded and allow for relatively free movement of the pavement surface, transverse cracks will often be spaced far apart (>60 feet). If the subgrade and base material are rough or angular and provide greater resistance to movement of the pavement surface, transverse cracks will be spaced more closely (<40 feet). The distance between transverse cracks also depends on the pavement thickness, as a thicker pavement can resist cracking for longer lengths. At general aviation airport pavements, around 50 feet is typical transverse crack spacing.

Aggregate is the biggest component of any pavement structure. It is the contact between the aggregate particles that actually transfers the load and provides the strength. Aggregate durability and shape are major factors affecting pavement performance. Durability is the ability of the aggregate to perform satisfactorily over time and resist deterioration. Sharp, well-angled aggregates that interlock, compact densely, and resist movement are the most desirable.



In flexible pavement, the selection of asphalt cement can have a significant impact on pavement performance. Asphalt is visco-elastic, which means it is stiff at low temperatures and flows at high temperatures. With this in mind, asphalt pavement should be designed to remain stiff on hot summer days to resist plastic deformation (rutting and shoving). In addition asphalt pavement should have sufficient cold temperature flexibility on cold winter days to resist transverse cracking. The proper selection of asphalt cement grade and maintaining adequate mix volumetrics (air voids, voids in the mineral aggregate, etc.) are key factors in the performance of flexible pavement.

As water freezes, it expands and occupies a greater volume than in its liquid state. In PCC pavement, interconnected, well-distributed air voids are required to allow for expansion of moisture within the PCC. PCC mixes with insufficient air entrainment are susceptible to freeze-thaw damage, as the expansive forces have been shown to cause concrete deterioration. Small, closely spaced, interconnected air voids provide the greatest degree of protection.

Asphalt paving mixes also require air voids, but for reasons different than for PCC pavement. When a well-constructed asphalt pavement is subjected to vehicle loading, it will nevertheless experience some minor secondary consolidation. Air voids allow for the safe movement of the asphalt binder within the mix. With insufficient air voids, the asphalt binder will migrate to the surface of the pavement—it will in essence, get squeezed out of the mix. This phenomenon is called flushing. In addition, these mixes become unstable and are prone to rutting in the wheel paths.

However, if the air voids become too high, air and water can penetrate the pavement, reducing both durability and flexibility. Air infiltration will accelerate oxidization of the binder, while water penetration will increase the moisture susceptibility of the mix (i.e., stripping of the asphalt cement from the aggregate). Air voids in flexible pavement should be kept low enough to prevent water and air from penetrating the asphalt layers, but high enough to minimize the potential of plastic deformation.


Regardless of whether the pavement binder is AC or PCC, binder materials are mixed with aggregate to coat all aggregate particles with a thin binder film. Durability of flexible asphalt pavement is increased with a thicker binder film, and the pavement becomes more resistant to age hardening; however, if the film is too thick, the asphalt acts like a lubricant, promoting ruts, shoving, and bleeding. Each asphalt mix should be customized for materials available locally.

With a concrete pavement, aggregate interlock supports the wheel loads, and the hydrated cement binder further interlocks the aggregate particles to inhibit all movement. "Hydration" is the term for the chemical reaction of portland cement with water. In the hydration process, dry cement particles react with water to form gels, and then crystals, that grow and bond with the aggregate and form a rigid interlocking structure. Hydration can continue for years, but much of the ultimate strength will be reached within 28 days. Hydration is a sensitive chemical process. Typically, any admixtures used to accelerate the hydration process will reduce durability, and admixture use should be considered carefully or avoided.



# 4.3.3 Load-Related Deterioration

As illustrated below, rigid and flexible pavements differ in the way loads are distributed. A concrete slab resists bending and transfers loads evenly, while an asphalt pavement is designed to bend, gradually spreading loads over wider areas.



Load-related cracks can start at the top or bottom of a pavement section. In asphalt sections, load-related (fatigue) cracks start at the bottom. If a load-related crack reaches the surface, it usually indicates structural deficiency. In rigid pavement, corner breaks are caused by tensile forces at the top of the slab, and the crack propagates downward. Mid-slab LTD cracks are distress examples resulting from tensile forces at the bottom of the slab.

Both wheel loads and environmental factors can cause spalls anytime there is movement between adjacent slabs. If non-compressible material (such as a small rock) is allowed into a joint, stresses will build up between adjacent slabs and can cause a spall. Keeping joint and crack sealant intact can help to reduce the infiltration of non-compressible material and minimize spalling.



# 4.4 Best Practices

#### 4.4.1 Flexible Pavement

L&T cracks at medium severity should be filled with a good quality crack sealant material. Highseverity cracks normally must be patched.

Cracks rated at low severity may be narrow unsealed cracks or sealed cracks up to 3 inches wide. The PCI procedure does not distinguish between narrow unfilled cracks and wider filled cracks. Some L&T cracks at low severity are included in the estimated sealing quantities and costs in this maintenance plan. In general, when medium- or high-severity cracking constitutes less than 25 percent of the total crack quantity, sustaining maintenance usually is more cost-effective. When 25 percent or more of the total crack quantity is at medium or high severity, a restorative program typically becomes more cost-effective.

Existing patches rated as medium and high severity should be replaced with new patches. Small areas (usually less than 100 square feet per patch) of alligator cracking and rutting at medium and high severity also may be repaired cost-effectively by patching. Larger patches should be considered if equipment can be made available to accomplish the work. Patching to repair up to 10 percent of the surface of a pavement feature that is otherwise serviceable can result in significant cost savings as compared to rehabilitation of the entire feature.

An example maintenance policy treatment matrix for flexible pavement is shown in Table 4-6. Examples of various maintenance techniques are provided in appendix B.

## 4.4.2 Rigid Pavement

Joint seal damage rated at medium and high severity should be repaired. If medium- and highseverity damage is limited to less than about 25 percent of the total joint length, sustaining maintenance is recommended. If medium- and high-severity damage exceeds 25 percent of the total joint length, the joint sealant should be removed and replaced under a restorative repair project.

LTD cracks at low and medium severity should be considered for sealing as part of the joint sealing project. High-severity LTD cracks require sealing, patching, or slab replacement, depending on the extent of deterioration.

Small patches are typically used to repair medium- and high-severity spalls or to replace deteriorated older patches. Restorative small patches are typically partial-depth repairs, usually to a maximum depth of 1/3 the slab thickness. Large patches and corner breaks at medium and high severity should be repaired by full-depth large patches.

High-severity LTD cracks and shattered slabs are candidates for patching and slab replacement. Low-severity shattered slabs can be left in place pending further deterioration.

An example maintenance policy treatment matrix for rigid pavement is shown in Table 4-6. Examples of various maintenance techniques are provided in appendix B.



Distress Type	Distress Severity	Maintenance Action
	Low	Crack Sealing - AC
Alligator Cracking	Medium	Patching - AC Deep
	High	Patching - AC Deep
Bleeding	N/A	Monitor
	Low	Monitor
Depression	Medium	Patching - AC Shallow
	High	Patching - AC Deep
Jet Blast	N/A	Patching - AC Shallow
Longitudinal, Transverse,	Low	Monitor
Joint Reflective, & Block	Medium	Crack Sealing - AC
Cracking	High	Patching - AC Deep
Oil Spill	N/A	Patching - AC Shallow
	Low	Monitor
Patching	Medium	Crack Sealing - AC
	High	Patching - AC Deep
Polished Aggregate	N/A	Monitor
	Low	Monitor
Weathering / Raveling	Medium	Surface Treatment
	High	Patching - AC Shallow
	Low	Monitor
Rutting, Corrugation and Swell	Medium	Patching - AC Deep
	High	Patching - AC Deep
	Low	Monitor
Shoving	Medium	Patching - AC Shallow
	High	Patching - AC Deep
Slippage Cracking	N/A	Patching - AC Shallow

# Table 4-6. General Maintenance Policy (AC)



Distress Type	Distress Severity	Maintenance Action
	Low	Patching - PCC Partial Depth
Blow Up	Medium	Slab Replacement - PCC
	High	Slab Replacement - PCC
	Low	Monitor
Longitudinal, Transverse & Diagonal Cracking	Medium	Crack Sealing - PCC
	High	Patching - PCC Full Depth
	Low	Monitor
Durability Cracking	Medium	Patching - PCC Full Depth
	High	Slab Replacement - PCC
	Low	Monitor
Large Patch & Corner Break	Medium	Patching - PCC Full Depth
	High	Patching - PCC Full Depth
Popout / Shrinkage Cracks	N/A	Monitor
	Low	Monitor
Scaling	Medium	Patching - PCC Partial Depth
	High	Slab Replacement - PCC
	Low	Monitor
Faulting	Medium	Grinding (Localized)
	High	Grinding (Localized)
	Low	Monitor
Shattered Slab	Medium	Crack Sealing - PCC
	High	Slab Replacement - PCC
Joint Spall, Corner Spall	Low	Monitor
& Small Patch	Medium	Patching - PCC Partial Depth
	High	Patching - PCC Partial Depth
	Low	Monitor
Alkali Silica Reaction	Medium	Slab Replacement - PCC
	High	Slab Replacement - PCC

# Table 4-7. General Maintenance Policy (PCC)



# 4.5 Pavement Repair Materials

New pavement repair materials are introduced and improved regularly. This section provides information on products compatible with airport needs.

# 4.5.1 Joint and Crack Sealer

Hot-poured, pressure-injected, polymeric rubberized asphalt sealant meeting ASTM D3405 specifications is suitable for most sealing requirements. This product is relatively inexpensive, durable, and suitable for both rigid and flexible pavements. Other, more expensive, hot-applied sealants that promise longer life are being developed for specialty applications. Twin component cold applied sealants also have been used with success. Contact your local distributor.

# 4.5.2 Flexible Pavement Patch

High-performance plant mixed cold patching products that can be stockpiled on-site can be used for short term repairs to maintain safety. Long-term patches should be made with high-quality plant mixed hot asphalt having a ¾-inch maximum aggregate size and meeting Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) P401, or highest quality highway specifications. Low-quality packaged materials available from local hardware type stores should be avoided.

# 4.5.3 Rigid Pavement Patch

Permanent patches in rigid pavement should be made with air-entrained concrete with 1-inch maximum size aggregate. If the area must be quickly opened to traffic, high early concrete should be considered. Concrete should have zero slump and a coarse texture. As with asphalt patches, low-quality packaged materials should be used only as temporary patches to maintain safety and service until a more permanent repair can be made.

# 4.6 Pavement Repair Equipment

Many pavement repair and sealing products are available. Specialized tools and equipment help ensure high-quality repairs. This section discusses equipment compatible with airport needs.

## 4.6.1 Air Compressor

Used to remove non-compressible sand and debris from prepared cracks and joints, the compressor should have a sustained capacity of 120 cubic feet per minute with a nozzle velocity of 100 psi. Trailer-mounted compressors typically have capacities in this range.

## 4.6.2 Concrete Saw

A saw capable of making a minimum 3-inch-deep cut is required. The saw should be capable of making cuts in both asphalt and concrete. Gasoline-powered 5- to 25-hp wheel-mounted saws typically are preferred for this type of work, but electric and pneumatic tools also are available.



# 4.6.3 Heating Kettle

Applying sealant is the most time-consuming operation, and a sealing machine with heating and pressure application capabilities is a critical item in a successful sealing program. The capacity of the sealing equipment dictates the rate at which a crew progresses. For large sealing projects, a minimum 100-gallons/hour sustained capacity is recommended. The unit should be a double boiler type, with mechanical agitators or continuous recirculation. Kettle temperature must be monitored to ensure that the sealant is not "burned." Overheating the sealant will prematurely age harden the material.

# 4.6.4 Router

A concrete saw can be used to prepare joints, but for random cracking, a mechanical router with a vertical impact mechanism is preferred. When cracks are being routed, this activity will dictate the speed of the crew. Crack routers in the 25-hp range are commonly used and are available from a variety of manufacturers.

## 4.6.5 Sand Cleaner

A sand blaster helps to clean loose particles and dust from prepared cracks. The unit must have sufficient force to expose fresh, vital pavement to bond with sealant and patching materials.

# 4.6.6 Vibratory Roller or Plate Compactor

Required to compact plant mixed and packaged patching materials properly. Small rollers are best for pothole type applications; plate compactors are best for large areas.

## 4.6.7 Other Equipment

Other general use equipment that can be helpful in a maintenance program includes bucket loaders, dump trucks, water tanks, and a power sweeper unit.



# Appendix A. AIRPAV Software

#### The Software

Data analysis was performed using the AIRPAV pavement evaluation and management software. In addition to calculating and documenting PCI values, AIRPAV evaluates the collected inspection data and recommends rehabilitation actions that address the cause of pavement distress. AIRPAV can incorporate traffic and structural capacity evaluations into the pavement evaluation matrix, and AIRPAV also performs preliminary life cycle cost analysis of the various rehabilitation alternatives, providing guidance on the lowest annual cost repair strategy.



A complete database, along with an updated version of AIRPAV, is provided on INDOT computers for ongoing management of the INDOT pavement systems.

#### **Capital Improvements**

AIRPAV creates interactive CIPs, providing the user with the ability to input unit costs, develop new projects, move projects between years, and even increase or decrease the scope and cost of individual projects.



# Maintenance

AIRPAV calculates and develops maintenance work orders organized by type of work. Maintenance work orders can be printed and issued directly to maintenance crews.

## Traffic

AIRPAV provides the ability to model aircraft ground movements. Traffic can be sorted by airline, aircraft type, destination gate or ramp, and runway used. The program graphically displays each taxi path, accumulates total operations, automatically determines design aircraft, and calculates structural overlay requirements for each pavement feature. The software can provide Pavement Classification Numbers (PCN) for each pavement feature or report results directly as inches of overlay required.

## Maps


AIRPAV permits viewing and printing of PCI maps. Inspection layout, pavement condition, and other views are available from within the software.

# Query

The AIRPAV query function is a powerful search tool that allows users to extract useful reports meeting various criteria. For example, lists can be created for taxiway pavement, asphalt pavement, or areas below MSL at the time of inspection.

# Global Information System (GIS) Integration

AIRPAV is fully GIS-enabled. A single click in AIRPAV exports all data to an MS Access database that can be linked to shape files used in an ESRI product. In this way, virtually




all data in the pavement management database can be accessed in GIS format.




# Appendix B. General Maintenance Techniques

# **Crack Sealing**

- Cracks over ¼ inches wide should be sealed.
- Cracks wider than 3 inches should be patched.
- Sealant depth above the backer rope should be equal to the width of the reservoir, or as recommended by the manufacturer.
- Routed cracks should be sand blasted, to prepare for bonding with the sealant.
- Clean cracks with compressed air prior to sealing.
- Backing material should always be placed into the cracks. Commercial products are available. Several sizes of rope should be available to accommodate various crack sizes.
- Apply sealant after placing the backer rope. Follow the manufacturer's instructions. Sealant should be applied to within ¼ inch of the pavement surface.
- The final activity is to clean the surrounding pavement areas. A vacuum sweeper works well for this. Allow the sealant time to set before using a broom.
- Consider hot-applied, pourable patch material for cracks > ½ inch and any subsidence or depressions.





CRACK WIDER THAN 1/2 INCH



# **Overband Technique**

An alternate crack sealing technique using the procedures outlined below.


## Material

- Blend grade 20 or equivalent asphalt cement and latex rubber at 5 percent by weight asphalt.
- Again, at 5 percent by weight of asphalt, add polyester fibers into agitator tank.
- Maintain blended asphalt temperature at least 20 degrees below flash point.
- Continuously recycle hot blended asphalt through pumps and hoses when heating kettle is in standby mode.

# Application

- Sealant should be applied to dry pavement, with ambient temperatures above 40 degrees.
- Cracks should be sand cleaned and blown free of debris immediately before sealing.
- Application of sealant immediately follows cleaning of the crack.
- Sealant should be pressure applied from a wand-type applicator with "overband" nozzle.
- Seat the sealant with a steel-wheeled roller immediately after placement.
- In wider cracks, a backer rope is recommended to limit material quantities required.


# OVERBAND SEALING






# Joint Repair (portland cement)


- Rout a reservoir for the sealant ½ inch wide and 1 inch deep.
- Cracks wider than ½ inch should have reservoirs ¼ inch wider than the crack. Reservoir height above backer rope should be less than reservoir width, or as recommended by manufacturer.
- Routed cracks should be cleaned to expose fresh, vital pavement on the vertical crack edge.
- Cracks should be cleaned to remove all sand, debris, and other materials from the crack.
- Backing material should be placed into the crack.
- Apply sealant to within ¼ inch of pavement surface, following manufacturer's instructions.
- Clean the surrounding pavement area.





Typical failed joint sealant, w/ debris and incompressibles.


Clean joints exposing fresh, clean concrete and stone. Retain existing resevoir shape.





# Patching (bituminous material)

- Examine distressed area and mark patch outline.
- Cut patch area with saw, no less than 3 inches deep.
- Remove enclosed pavement, leaving the vertical sawed edges undamaged.
- Clean sides and bottom and blow out with compressed air
- Paint sides and bottom with rapid curing asphalt tack coat. Prevent pooling on bottom.
- Allow tack coat to cure until it reaches a gummy consistency.
- Place hot mixed asphalt concrete and mound slightly, allowing for compaction.
- Compact with vibratory roller or plate compactor, in layers no greater than 6 inches.






# Patching (pourable materials)

Hot-applied, pourable materials generally are used to repair deficiencies larger than can be repaired by sealants, but smaller than those where traditional techniques would be required. Suggested uses for this type of repair include cracks over 2 inches wide, potholes less than 4 inches deep, as a leveling for small depressions, as a cap for settled utility cuts, and as a skin patch for areas of alligator cracking.

- Examine and mark the patch outline. Boundaries should extend to sound pavement.
- Apply patch material to clean, dry surfaces.
- A heating lance to preheat or dry existing pavement is recommended in cold or wet conditions.
- Patch material should be poured into the area to be repaired and leveled as appropriate.
- Patch edges should be sealed after application to assure good adhesion, preventing surface moisture from migrating under patch edges.






# Patching (PCC)

The technique outlined here simulates a thin bonded PCC overlay. This procedure has been proven effective in service throughout the country.

- Examine and mark patch outline.
- Saw cut area to a depth of 2 inches. The enclosed area is then chipped or jack hammered to solid pavement, but not less than a 2-inch nominal depth.
- The sides and bottom are sand cleaned and air-blasted to expose vital, clean concrete.
- A 25 percent solution of muriatic acid is applied to all exposed surfaces within the patch.
- The muriatic acid solution is thoroughly flushed from the patch area with water.
- Compressed air is used to remove excess water from the area, but exposed concrete must be maintained in a moist condition.
- The sides and bottom of the area are then coated with approximately a 1/16-inch layer of cement grout applied at the consistency of paste. The grout acts as an adhesive to bond the fresh concrete to existing concrete.
- If the patch is adjacent to joints, the continuity of the joint must be maintained by placing inserts approximately the shape of the desired joint against the wall of the patch.
- Before concrete grout begins to dry, concrete is placed in the patch area and is compacted into position with hand tampers or a vibrating plate tamper.
- When the patch has been struck to the proper slope and elevation, a surface texture is applied to approximate the texture of adjacent pavement.
- Joint edges may be edged slightly to remove sharp edges. The patch should be covered with polyethylene or sprayed with a curing compound.
- Clean the surrounding pavement before concrete spillover has a chance to set up.
- The patch may be open to traffic in 72 hours.








This page intentionally left blank



# Appendix C. PCI Summary

The PCI summary provides an index of pavement conditions at the airport. The letter in the first column indicates the type of pavement, asphalt or portland cement. The last column lists the distress types found in each sample unit. The distress types are listed by a numbering code for each type of pavement, shown at the beginning of the summary.



Sample units marked with an asterisk (\*) are additional sample units. Additional sample units do not represent the typical condition of surrounding sample units in the pavement features.

The PCI summary provides a quick overview of the pavement condition and consistency. Are the distress types similar? Do the individual sample units have consistent PCI ratings? Answering these questions is a start to understanding your dynamic pavement system.

#### CONDITION SURVEY SUMMARY

#### AIRPORT: PP0 LAPORTE MUNICICPAL

#### DATE: 01-06-2016

"A" FLEXIBLE PAVEMENT DISTRESS CODES	"P" RIGID PAVEMENT DISTRESS CODES
<ol> <li>ALLIGATOR CRACKING</li> <li>BLEEDING</li> <li>BLOCK CRACKING</li> <li>CORRUGATION</li> <li>DEPRESSION</li> <li>JET BLAST EROSION</li> <li>JOINT REFL. CRACKING</li> <li>LONG. &amp; TRANS. CRACKING</li> <li>OIL SPILL</li> <li>PATCHING</li> <li>POLISHED AGGREGATE</li> <li>RAVELLING</li> <li>SUTTING</li> <li>SULTING</li> <li>SLIPPAGE CRACKING</li> <li>SWELLING</li> <li>SWELLING</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>I. BLOW UP</li> <li>CORNER BREAK</li> <li>LTD CRACKING</li> <li>"D" CRACKING</li> <li>"D" CRACKING</li> <li>JOINT SEAL DAMAGE</li> <li>SMALL PATCH</li> <li>LARGE PATCH</li> <li>POPOUTS</li> <li>PUMPING</li> <li>SCALING/MAP CRACKING/CRAZING</li> <li>FAULTING</li> <li>SHRINKAGE CRACKING</li> <li>JOINT SPALLING</li> <li>CORNER SPALLING</li> <li>ALKALI SILICA REACTION</li> </ol>
17. WEATHERING	

FEATURE:	SAMPLE UNIT:	AREA:	DATE:	SURVEYED BY:	PCI:	DISTRESSES PRESENT:
105 A	105.103	3500	9-15-15	ARA	56	1817
105 A	105.107	3500	9-15-15	ARA	67	8 10 17
105 A	105.111	3500	9-15-15	ARA	73	8 10 17
105 A	105.115	3500	9-15-15	EOJ	70	8 10 17
105 A	105.119	3500	9-15-15	EOJ	74	8 10 17
105 A	105.125	3500	9-15-15	ARA	66	8 10 17
105 A	105.127	3500	9-15-15	ARA	56	8 10 12 17
105 A	105.131	1995	9-15-15	ARA	62	8 10 17
105 A	105.135	2300	9-15-15	ABN	66	8 17
105 A	105.139	3500	9-15-15	ABN	59	8 10 16 17
105 A	105.143	3500	9-15-15	ABN	45	1 5 8 10 13 17
110 A	110.101	3500	9-15-15	ARA	58	1 8 16 17
110 A	110.102	3500	9-15-15	ARA	57	8 12 17
110 A AVERAGE FI BASED ON A	110.102 EATURE PCI = 58	3500	9-15-15		57	
110 A AVERAGE FI BASED ON A	110.102 EATURE PCI = 58 SAMPLED AREA OF 7	3500	9-15-15	ARA	57	
110 A AVERAGE FI BASED ON A DESCRIPTIO	110.102 EATURE PCI = 58 SAMPLED AREA OF 7 DN: TAXIWAY A5	3500 7000 SQUARE FI	9-15-15 EET - PCI SPRE	ARA AD FOR FEATURE = 0.	57 .39	8 12 17
110 A AVERAGE FI BASED ON A DESCRIPTIO 115 A 115 A AVERAGE FI BASED ON A	110.102 EATURE PCI = 58 SAMPLED AREA OF 7 N: TAXIWAY A5 115.148 115.149 EATURE PCI = 66	3500 2000 SQUARE FI 3500 3500	9-15-15 EET - PCI SPRE 9-15-15 9-15-15	ARA A <b>D FOR FEATURE = 0</b> . ARA	57 .39 75 58	8 12 17 8 17
110 A AVERAGE FF BASED ON A DESCRIPTIO 115 A 115 A AVERAGE FI BASED ON A DESCRIPTIO	110.102 EATURE PCI = 58 SAMPLED AREA OF 7 N: TAXIWAY A5 115.148 115.149 EATURE PCI = 66 SAMPLED AREA OF 7 N: TAXIWAY A2	3500 2000 SQUARE F1 3500 3500 2000 SQUARE F1	9-15-15 EET - PCI SPRE 9-15-15 9-15-15 EET - PCI SPRE	ARA AD FOR FEATURE = 0. ARA ARA ARA	57 .39 75 58 7.37	8 12 17 8 17 8 12 17
110 A AVERAGE FF BASED ON A DESCRIPTIO 115 A 115 A AVERAGE FF BASED ON A DESCRIPTIO 120 A	110.102 EATURE PCI = 58 SAMPLED AREA OF 7 N: TAXIWAY A5 115.148 115.149 EATURE PCI = 66 SAMPLED AREA OF 7 N: TAXIWAY A2 120.101	3500 2000 SQUARE F1 3500 3500 2000 SQUARE F1 3500	9-15-15 EET - PCI SPRE 9-15-15 9-15-15 EET - PCI SPRE 9-15-15	ARA AD FOR FEATURE = 0. ARA ARA ARA AD FOR FEATURE = 1' ARA	57 .39 75 58 7.37 71	8 12 17 8 17 8 12 17 8 17
110 A AVERAGE FF BASED ON A DESCRIPTIO 115 A 115 A AVERAGE FI BASED ON A DESCRIPTIO	110.102 EATURE PCI = 58 SAMPLED AREA OF 7 N: TAXIWAY A5 115.148 115.149 EATURE PCI = 66 SAMPLED AREA OF 7 N: TAXIWAY A2	3500 2000 SQUARE F1 3500 3500 2000 SQUARE F1	9-15-15 EET - PCI SPRE 9-15-15 9-15-15 EET - PCI SPRE	ARA AD FOR FEATURE = 0. ARA ARA ARA	57 .39 75 58 7.37	8 12 17 8 17 8 12 17

DESCRIPTION: TAXIWAY A

FEATURE:	SAMPLE UNIT:	AREA:	DATE:	SURVEYED BY:	PCI:	DISTRESSES PRESENT:
125 A 125 A	125.121 125.123	3500 3500	9-15-15 9-15-15	EOJ EOJ	72 65	8 17 8 10 17
		000 SQUARE FEE	CT - PCI SPREA	AD FOR FEATURE = 6.0	53	
205 A 205 A	205.102 205.103	2310 3500	9-15-15 9-15-15	EOJ EOJ	58 37	8 13 17 8 10 12 17
BASED ON A S	ATURE PCI = 48 SAMPLED AREA OF 58 N: TAXIWAY A3	810 SQUARE FEF	CT - PCI SPREA	AD FOR FEATURE = 21	.24	
210 A 210 A	210.101 210.102	2660 1190	9-15-15 9-15-15	ARA ARA	57 48	8 13 17 8 13 17
BASED ON A S	ATURE PCI = 52 SAMPLED AREA OF 38 N: TAXIWAY A3	850 SQUARE FEF	T - PCI SPREA	AD FOR FEATURE = 9.3	38	
215 A 215 A	215.100 215.101	3500 840	9-15-15 9-15-15	ARA ARA	58 65	8 10 12 17 8 17
BASED ON A S	ATURE PCI = 61	340 SQUARE FEE	CT - PCI SPREA	AD FOR FEATURE = 7.4	42	
		2075		501		
250 A 250 A	250.100 250.101	2075 3500	9-15-15 9-15-15	EOJ EOJ	<mark>54</mark> 73	8 16 17* 8 17
250 A	250.101	3500	9-15-15	EOJ	73	8 17
250 A	250.106	3500	9-15-15	EOJ	75	8 17
250 A	250.108	3500	9-15-15	EOJ	83	8 17
250 A	250.111	3500	9-15-15	EOJ	72	5817
250 A	250.113	3500	9-15-15	EOJ	73	8 17
MEAN FEATU BASED ON A S DESCRIPTION	SAMPLED AREA OF 23	3075 SQUARE FE	ET - PCI SPRE	CAD FOR FEATURE = 2	9.52	
255 A	255.100	3500	9-15-15	ABN	82	8 17
255 A	255.101	3150	9-15-15	ABN	80	8 17
BASED ON A S	ATURE PCI = 81 SAMPLED AREA OF 66 N: TAXIWAY TO TEES	•	CT - PCI SPREA	AD FOR FEATURE = 1.9	98	
305 A	305.102	3500	9-15-15	ABN	57	1817
305 A	305.103	2645	9-15-15	ABN	33	5 8 12 17
BASED ON A S	ATURE PCI = 45 SAMPLED AREA OF 61 N: TAXIWAY A4	145 SQUARE FEE	CT - PCI SPREA	AD FOR FEATURE = 23	.97	
310 A 310 A	310.100 310.101	3500 2240	9-15-15 9-15-15	ABN ABN	54 53	8 12 17 1 8 12 17
BASED ON A S	ATURE PCI = 54 SAMPLED AREA OF 57 N: TAXIWAY A4	740 SQUARE FEF	CT - PCI SPREA	AD FOR FEATURE = 1.4	48	
315 A	315.100	3070	9-15-15	EOJ	58	5 8 12 17
BASED ON A S	ATURE PCI = 58 SAMPLED AREA OF 3( N: TAXIWAY B4	070 SQUARE FEE	CT - PCI SPREA	AD FOR FEATURE = 0.0	)0	
350 A 350 A	350.101 350.103	2100 2100	9-15-15 9-15-15	EOJ EOJ	70 63	8 17 8 17
BASED ON A S	ATURE PCI = 66 SAMPLED AREA OF 42 N: TAXIWAY B4	200 SQUARE FEE	CT - PCI SPREA	AD FOR FEATURE = 6.9	91	

FEATURE:	SAMPLE UNIT:	AREA:	DATE:	SURVEYED BY:	PCI:	DISTRESSES PRESENT:				
3010 A 3010 A	3010.500 3010.501	4500 4500	9-15-15 9-15-15	ABN ABN	53 37	8 10 17 5 8 10 12 17				
AVERAGE FEATURE PCI = 45 BASED ON A SAMPLED AREA OF 9000 SQUARE FEET - PCI SPREAD FOR FEATURE = 16.09 DESCRIPTION: WEST RAMP										
3015 P 3015 P	3015.100 3015.200	4250 2950	9-15-15 9-15-15	EOJ EOJ	95 95	5 15 15				
BASED ON A S	ATURE PCI = 95 SAMPLED AREA OF 7 N: EAST RAMP	200 SQUARE FI	EET - PCI SPRE	CAD FOR FEATURE = 0.	.01					
3020 A	3020.101	5500	9-15-15	ABN	77	8 16 17				
3020 A 3020 A	3020.101	5500	9-15-15	ABN	75	8				
3020 A	3020.105	3750	9-15-15	ABN	77	8				
3020 A	3020.107	5300	9-15-15	EOJ	75	8 17				
3020 A	3020.111	2250	9-15-15	EOJ	74	8 17				
BASED ON A S	ATURE PCI = 75 SAMPLED AREA OF 2 N: EAST RAMP	2300 SQUARE I	FEET - PCI SPR	EAD FOR FEATURE = 3	3.15					
3025 A	3025.101	6200	9-15-15	ABN	52	5 8 12 17				
3025 A	3025.102	6200 4200	9-15-15	ABN	55	5817				
3025 A	3025.104	4300	9-15-15	ABN	55	8 17				
BASED ON A S	ATURE PCI = 54 SAMPLED AREA OF 1 N: WEST RAMP	6700 SQUARE I	FEET - PCI SPR	EAD FOR FEATURE = 2	2.16					
3030 P	3030.100	3100	9-15-15	EOJ	100					
3030 P	3030.201	3100	9-15-15	EOJ	100					
3030 P	3030.300	3100	9-15-15	EOJ	100					
3030 P	3030.302	2500	9-15-15	EOJ	100					
3030 P	3030.401	3100	9-15-15	EOJ	99	13				
3030 P	3030.500	3100	9-15-15	EOJ	96	15				
BASED ON A S		8000 SQUARE I	FEET - PCI SPR	EAD FOR FEATURE = 3	3.67					
DESCRIPTION	N: WEST RAMP									
3031 A	3031.100	3065	9-15-15	EOJ	81	8 17				
3031 A	3031.300	2350	9-15-15	EOJ	93	8 17				
	ATURE PCI = 87 Sampi ed area oe 5	415 SOUARE FI	FFT - PCI SPRF	AD FOR FEATURE = 1	1 51					
	N: RAMP COLLAR	HI BQUIRE I								
3035 P	3035.601	3825	9-15-15	EOJ	100					
3035 P	3035.603	3825	9-15-15	EOJ	99	6				
3035 P	3035.604	3825	9-15-15	EOJ	93	2 6 15				
AVERAGE FE	ATURE PCI = 97			EAD FOR FEATURE = 7						
	N: WEST RAMP									
3036 A	3036.100	1620	9-15-15	EOJ	86	8 17				
3036 A	3036.500	1620	9-15-15	EOJ	82	8 17				
BASED ON A S	ATURE PCI = 84 SAMPLED AREA OF 3 N: RAMP COLLAR	240 SQUARE FI	EET - PCI SPRE	CAD FOR FEATURE = 4.	.52					
5005 A	5005.201	3250	9-15-15	ARA	64	8 17				
5005 A	5005.204	2750	9-15-15	ARA	67	8 17				
5005 A	5005.207	2750	9-15-15	ARA	65	8 17				
5005 A	5005.210	2750	9-15-15	ARA	60	8 17				

FEATURE:	SAMPLE UNIT:	AREA:	DATE:	SURVEYED BY:	PCI:	DISTRESSES PRESENT:	
5005 A	5005.213	2750	9-15-15	ARA	64	8 17	
5005 A	5005.214	2750	9-15-15	ARA	64	8 17	
BASED ON A	EATURE PCI = 64 A SAMPLED AREA OF 1 ON: RUNWAY 2-20 KEE		FEET - PCI SPR	EAD FOR FEATURE =	6.76		
5010 A	5010.218	2750	9-15-15	ARA	72	8 17	
5010 A	5010.222	2750	9-15-15	ARA	67	8 17	
5010 A	5010.226	2750	9-15-15	ARA	62	8 17	
5010 A	5010.230	2750	9-15-15	ARA	70	8 17	
5010 A	5010.234	2750	9-15-15	ARA	71	8 17	
5010 A	5010.238	2750	9-15-15	ARA	66	8 17	
5010 A	5010.250	2750	9-15-15	ARA	66	8 17	
5010 A	5010.253	2750	9-15-15	ARA	63	8 17	
5010 A	5010.256	2750	9-15-15	ARA	65	8 17	
5010 A	5010.259	2750	9-15-15	ARA	64	8 17	
5010 A	5010.262	2750	9-15-15	ARA	63	8 17	
BASED ON A	EATURE PCI = 66 A SAMPLED AREA OF 3 ON: RUNWAY 2-20 5015.267 5015.270	2750 2750 2750	<b>FEET - PCI SPR</b> 9-15-15 9-15-15	EAD FOR FEATURE = ARA ARA	<b>9.20</b> 61 62	8 17 8 17	
5015 1						· · -	

5015 11	5015.207	2750	10 10	1 11(1 1	01	017
5015 A	5015.270	2750	9-15-15	ARA	62	8 17
5015 A	5015.273	2750	9-15-15	ARA	67	8 17
5015 A	5015.276	2750	9-15-15	ARA	57	5817
5015 A	5015.279	2750	9-15-15	ARA	64	8 17
5015 A	5015.282	2750	9-15-15	ARA	63	8 17
5015 A	5015.285	2750	9-15-15	ARA	64	8 17

#### AVERAGE FEATURE PCI = 63 BASED ON A SAMPLED AREA OF 19250 SQUARE FEET - PCI SPREAD FOR FEATURE = 9.88 DESCRIPTION: RUNWAY 2-20 KEEL

5020.101	3750	9-15-15	ARA	68	8
5020.103	3750	9-15-15	ARA	69	8
5020.106	3750	9-15-15	ARA	66	8
5020.108	3750	9-15-15	ARA	69	8
5020.109	3750	9-15-15	ARA	68	8
	5020.103 5020.106 5020.108	5020.103         3750           5020.106         3750           5020.108         3750	5020.10337509-15-155020.10637509-15-155020.10837509-15-15	5020.103         3750         9-15-15         ARA           5020.106         3750         9-15-15         ARA           5020.108         3750         9-15-15         ARA	5020.10337509-15-15ARA695020.10637509-15-15ARA665020.10837509-15-15ARA69

#### AVERAGE FEATURE PCI = 68 BASED ON A SAMPLED AREA OF 18750 SQUARE FEET - PCI SPREAD FOR FEATURE = 2.86 DESCRIPTION: RUNWAY 2 EXT

5025 A	5025.242	3375	9-15-15	ARA	86	8 17
5025 A	5025.243	3750	9-15-15	ARA	73	8 17
5025 A	5025.247	3750	9-15-15	ARA	68	8 17

#### AVERAGE FEATURE PCI = 76 BASED ON A SAMPLED AREA OF 10875 SQUARE FEET - PCI SPREAD FOR FEATURE = 18.10 DESCRIPTION: RUNWAY 2-20

5030 A	5030.504	4000	9-15-15	ARA	66	8 16 17
5030 A	5030.516	4000	9-15-15	ARA	74	8 17
5030 A	5030.532	4000	9-15-15	ARA	67	8 16 17
5030 A	5030.540	2200	9-15-15	ARA	72	8 17
5030 A	5030.556	4000	9-15-15	ARA	70	8 16
5030 A	5030.568	4000	9-15-15	ARA	67	5816
5030 A	5030.580	4000	9-15-15	ARA	67	58

#### AVERAGE FEATURE PCI = 69 BASED ON A SAMPLED AREA OF 26200 SQUARE FEET - PCI SPREAD FOR FEATURE = 8.09 DESCRIPTION: RUNWAY 2-20 WING

5105 A	5105.302	3000	9-15-15	ABN	69	8 17
5105 A	5105.307	3000	9-15-15	ABN	63	8 17
5105 A	5105.311	3000	9-15-15	ABN	66	8 17
5105 A	5105.316	3000	9-15-15	ABN	68	8 17
5105 A	5105.316	3000	9-15-15	ABN	68	8 17

FEATURE:	SAMPLE UNIT:	AREA:	DATE:	SURVEYED BY:	PCI:	DISTRESSES PRESENT:
5105 A	5105.320	3000	9-15-15	ABN	70	8 17
5105 A 5105 A	5105.325	3000	9-15-15	ABN	63	817
5105 A 5105 A	5105.329	3000	9-15-15	ABN	67	8 17
5105 A 5105 A	5105.334	3000	9-15-15	ABN	65	8 17
5105 A	5105.338	3000	9-15-15	ABN	68	8 17
5105 A	5105.343	3000	9-15-15	ABN	58	8 17
5105 A	5105.347	3000	9-15-15	ABN	68	8 17
5105 A	5105.352	3000	9-15-15	ABN	61	8 17

AVERAGE FEATURE PCI = 65 BASED ON A SAMPLED AREA OF 36000 SQUARE FEET - PCI SPREAD FOR FEATURE = 11.72 DESCRIPTION: RUNWAY 14-32

#### TOTAL NUMBER OF INSPECTED FEATURES = 29 TOTAL NUMBER OF INSPECTED SAMPLE UNITS = 119

TOTAL AREA OF INSPECTED PAVEMENT = 385,330 S.F.

\* INDICATES "ADDITIONAL" SAMPLE UNITS.



# Appendix D. Distress Identification

This chapter describes pavement distress types commonly identified during airport PCI inspections.

#### **Rigid Pavement Distress**

## Longitudinal, Transverse & Diagonal Cracking

LTD cracking is often a result of load or temperature deformations. External loads cause flexure. Temperature changes can cause curling. When any of these stresses exceed the slab strength, cracking occurs.

LTD cracking is recorded at low, medium, or high severity, depending on the width of crack opening and degree of deterioration.

At low severity, a crack is less than 1/8 inch wide with little spalling, and no corrective action is indicated. At medium severity, LTD cracks can be up to 1 inch wide with moderate spalling and should be repaired using procedures similar to joint sealing. At high severity, cracks exceed 1 inch in width and may be severely spalled. High-severity LTD cracking is evidence of serious load failure, and correction may require patching or slab replacement. If distress occurs in several adjacent slabs at medium or high severity, major rehabilitation of that area is indicated.

A slab divided into four or more pieces is said to be "divided" or "shattered." Shattered slab is a separate distress category and indicates a significant structural failure. A shattered slab has lost its ability to distribute loads. Shattered slabs are rated in three severities, but the recommended action in any case is slab replacement.







# Shrinkage Cracking

Shrinkage cracks are small, non-working cracks visible at the pavement surface but not penetrating the full depth of concrete. Shrinkage cracks most commonly occur shortly after construction due to concrete shrinkage during the curing process.

Shrinkage cracks are usually so small that they are not visible until staining or loss of material at crack edges begins to take place. Shrinkage cracks do not represent structural weakness, and no corrective action is prescribed.

# **Durability Cracking**

Durability cracking (D-cracking) is caused by environmental factors, the most common being freeze/thaw. D-cracking usually appears as either a pattern of hairline cracks running parallel to a joint or crack, or in a corner, where water tends to collect. D-cracking eventually leads to disintegration of the pavement, creating foreign object damage (FOD) potential.

At low severity, D-cracking is evident, but no disintegration has occurred. Medium severity is evident over a significant area of the slab, and some disintegration and FOD potential exist. High-severity D-cracking is evidenced by extensive cracking with loose and missing pieces and significant FOD potential.











# Joint Spall and Corner Spall

Spalls at slab joints and corners are caused by excessive internal stress in the pavement. Spalls occur when these stresses exceed the shear strength of the concrete.

Spalling usually results from thermal expansion during hot weather when slabs push and expand against one another. If the joints are filled with incompressible material, such as sand, stresses can become severe, causing spalls. Spalling can be reduced significantly by maintenance of joint sealant.

Spall repair requires patching. The extent and severity of spalling suggests the appropriate action. At low severity, spalled concrete remains securely in place in the slab. A lowseverity spall should be monitored closely for further deterioration and should be patched when spalled particles become loose, or during the next scheduled patching activity. Mediumand high-severity spalls should be repaired immediately to prevent FOD. If the pavement can be restored to serviceable condition, spalls should be patched for long-term service. If the pavement is beyond repair, temporary patching should be considered to control FOD.







## Patches, Large and Small

Large and small patches, by PCI inspection criteria, are distress conditions. Patches indicate deterioration and aging of pavement that contributes to shortened service life. However, patching also indicates that pavement is being maintained.

A patch that is performing well and shows no outward distress is recorded at low severity, and no corrective action is required. Mediumseverity patches are serviceable but are beginning to deteriorate. Maintenance or replacement is indicated. At high severity, replacement is indicated.

By definition, small patches are smaller than 5 square feet in surface area, and they usually result from spall repair at slab joints and corners.

Large patches also may be the result of spall repair, but they often indicate more serious deficiencies, such as corner breaks or other fulldepth failure smaller than panel size.







## Joint Seal Damage

When joint sealant is in perfect condition (no damage), there is no distress.

At low severity, at least 10 percent of the sealant is debonded but still in contact with the joint edges. Medium-severity joint seal damage is recorded when at least 10 percent of the sealant has visible gaps smaller than 1/8 inch and is an indicator that replacement should be programmed as soon as is practical. In the meantime, aggressive inspection and sustaining maintenance is recommended to minimize subsurface damage from moisture penetration. At high severity, visible gaps exceed 1/8 inch, and the amount and degree of joint seal damage typically requires complete removal and replacement of the existing sealant.

On serviceable pavement, deteriorated joint sealant should be repaired or replaced to preserve pavement and subgrade integrity and prolong service life. The issue is not so clear-cut with unserviceable pavement. Pavement that can be restored to serviceable condition by maintenance activities such as patching and joint seal repair, or by slab replacement, should be so maintained as long as the process is costeffective. However, when age and condition preclude economical return to serviceable condition by such means, joint seal repair would no longer be cost-effective and should be suspended except for an interim maintenance program to control FOD potential.







## **Flexible Pavement Distress**

#### Longitudinal & Transverse Cracking

L&T cracks are caused by age, construction, and subsurface conditions. Age-related cracking occurs as oxidizing pavement loses components to the atmosphere and becomes more brittle. Consistent application of seal coats can help to prevent age-related cracks.

Construction-related cracking often develops along paving joints. Ensuring that joints are made when both sides are still hot, and near the same temperature, is one of the best ways to mitigate this potential problem.

Seasonal movement caused by changes in subsurface moisture or temperature differences also can cause pavement cracking. Asphalt pavement placed over a PCC pavement or cement stabilized base course may evidence reflective cracking from the underlying material. Wheel loads do not cause L&T cracks, although traffic may worsen their condition.

Low-severity L&T cracks are less than ¼ inch wide, or if sealed with suitable filler material in satisfactory condition can be any width less than 3 inches, if they are not spalled. Maintenance usually is not indicated for lowseverity cracking. Moderately spalled cracks and cracks wider than ¼ inch which are not satisfactorily sealed are at medium severity. Medium-severity cracks should be sealed with a high-quality crack filling material. Severely spalled cracks and cracks wider than 3 inches are at high severity. High-severity L&T cracks normally require patching.





# Alligator Cracking

Alligator cracks are a series of interconnected load-related cracks caused by fatigue of the asphalt surface. Alligator cracking is a significant structural distress and develops only in places subject to traffic loads. These cracks typically initiate at the bottom of the asphalt layer and propagate upward. Once a fatigue crack is visible at the surface, significant damage has already occurred.

At low severity, alligator cracks are evidenced by a series of parallel hairline cracks (usually in a wheel path). Medium-severity alligator cracking is a well-defined pattern of interconnected cracks, and some spalling may be present. High-severity alligator cracks have lost aggregate interlock between adjacent pieces, and the cracks may be severely spalled with FOD potential. Most likely, the pieces will move freely under traffic.

Alligator cracking is a serious structural failure that cannot be repaired with sealant. The proper repair is patching.







# **Raveling/Weathering**

Raveling and weathering are the wearing away of the pavement surface. Failure can be caused by the dislodging of aggregate particles or the loss of asphalt binder. These distresses are usually evident over large areas and may indicate that the asphalt binder has hardened significantly.

Raveling is the loss of coarse aggregate, weathering is the loss of fine aggregate or binder.

Raveling: At low severity, 5 to 20 coarse aggregate particles are missing per square yard. Medium severity is defined by 20 to 40 missing coarse aggregate particles per square yard. At high severity, more than 40 coarse aggregate particles are missing per square yard, and the top layer of aggregate has eroded away.

Weathering: At low severity, edges of coarse aggregate are exposed less than 1 mm. At medium severity, loss of fine aggregate is noticeable and edges of coarse aggregate are exposed up to 6 mm (1/4 inch). High severity weathering has edges of coarse aggregate exposed > 6 mm, with considerable loss of fine aggregate matrix and potential for loss of coarse aggregate.

# Rutting

Ruts are localized areas of pavement having elevations lower than the surrounding sections.

Rutting is due to base and subgrade consolidation caused by excessive wheel loads or poor compaction. Ruts indicate structural failure and can cause hydroplaning.

At low severity, ruts have an average depth of ¼ to ½ inches. At medium severity, ruts have an average depth of ½ to 1 inch. At high severity, ruts have an average depth greater than 1 inch. Patching is the appropriate repair for ruts.









# Appendix E. Feature Analysis


#### **Pavement Performance Models**

Projected performance is determined by relating current pavement condition to expected pavement condition. Projected performance varies based on pavement type. There are four pavement types in Indiana: AC, PCC, AAC, and APC. Each pavement type has a unique deterioration curve, created by plotting all data for that group as PCI vs. age and then finding a performance curve to best fit the data. These curves represent the historic performance of pavement in the group and become the baseline for future projections. The baseline curves are modeled with a third order polynomial equation as shown below.

```
PCI = X(Age)^{3} + Y(Age)^{2} + Z(Age)^{1} + C
```

#### **Current Condition (rotating the curves)**

Starting with the baseline curve for comparison, current pavement condition is plotted, and the baseline curve is rotated to meet the current condition. The rotated curve provides the starting point for projecting the future pavement condition.




## Advanced Analysis (accounting for distress)

Some types of pavement distress have a greater impact on pavement deterioration than others. Rutting and alligator (fatigue) cracking are major structural failures and can lead to rapid pavement deterioration. Other distress types, like L&T cracking, develop slowly over time and typically do not cause a significant deviation from the baseline curve.




After current condition is accounted for with the curve rotation, pavement distress is addressed in the advanced analysis by compressing or expanding the baseline curve to account for the expected rate of pavement deterioration.



## Projected PCI (near term vs. longer term)

Projecting pavement condition with advanced analysis is a combination of rotating, expanding, and contracting the baseline curves. This projection method provides good short-term results for all pavement sections and fair long-term projections on pavement sections with conditions near the baseline model. The long-term accuracy of outlier data is discussed on the following page.






# Projected PCI (why some features have unexpected projections)

Long-term PCI projections can be very useful for planning purposes. However, projections in excess of 10 years are well beyond the intended scope of the PCI procedure. FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-6B establishes a maximum 3-year interval between detailed PCI surveys.

Curve rotation, expansion, and contraction are performed to produce the best possible accuracy of future pavement condition over the next 3 to 5 years. This methodology can overemphasize certain performance trends in the long term. This is especially true for outlier data, such as pavement features that are performing much better or worse than is typical.

The curve below shows an example of a performance trend being overemphasized in the longterm projection. Because the pavement feature is performing much better than the baseline curve, the long-term projection shows the pavement lasting an additional 30+ years before reaching the MSL. Rotation of the curve to provide the most accurate projection over 3 to 5 years has resulted in a long-term projection that is likely unrealistic.



When long-term projections such as this are encountered, airport managers should not rely on projections in excess of 10 years. Managers can be confident that the pavement is performing much better than average and will not require rehabilitation within the current 5-year CIP planning window. As new distress develops over time, future PCI surveys will determine the ideal timing for rehabilitation.




# **Feature Analysis**

As part of the PCI evaluation, a detailed analysis is presented for each airside pavement feature using the two-page format depicted below.

# Page 1

The first page of the analysis is a feature summary. Located near the top left-hand corner is the feature number and pavement description. Construction history and inspector comments are listed below, along with a photo of the pavement section if available. Distress totals recorded during the PCI survey are listed next, and an approximation of the cause of the pavement deterioration is shown at the bottom. If the pavement is projected to fall below the desired MSL during the next 12 years, the analysis year will be shown along with the optimum year for pavement rehabilitation.





# Page 2

The second page is a graphic analysis of pavement deterioration. Pavement deterioration is forecast based on historic deterioration of similar Indiana pavement types. Remaining life is projected by stretching and rotating the baseline curves to fit the current condition determined from the PCI survey.

When pavement condition drops below the desired MSL, the software selects rehabilitation actions that address the cause of the pavement failure while restoring the pavement to a condition above the MSL. A NO ACTION recommendation indicates that the feature is expected to remain serviceable during the 12-year forecasting period without major repairs. NO ACTION recommendations do not diminish the need for regular maintenance.

AIRPAV FEATURE ANALYSIS PROGRAM OUTPUT FEATURE: 5007 DESCRIPTION: RUNWAY 17-35 KEEL ANALYSIS YEAR: 2011 OPTIMIZED FOR: 2019 INSPECTION DATE: 8-11-11
ANALYSIS YEAR: 2011 OPTIMIZED FOR: 2019 INSPECTION DATE: 8-11-11
VIEMENT TYPE: AC AVERAGE PCI AT INSPECTION: 69 GOOD ONSTRUCTION YEAR: 1989 ESTIMATED PCI IS: 65 in 2019 INMUM SERVICE LEVEL: 65 NORMAL PCI FOR THIS AGE: 54
THE FOLLOWING PROJECTS HAVE BEEN SELECTED AS VIABLE ALTERNATIVES
LEGEND DESCRIPTION COST LIFE EXTENSION
RESURFACING \$1,764,674 17 YEARS
RESURFACING         \$1,764,674         17 YEARS           *         SURFACE TREATMENT         \$511,507         9 YEARS
RESURFACING \$1.764.674 17 YEARS SURFACE TREATMENT \$511.307 9 YEARS
RESURFACING \$1,764,674 17 YEARS SURFACE TREATMENT \$311,307 9 YEARS CRACK REPAIR \$1464,504 2 YEARS NO ACTION N/A N/A MINIMUM SERVICE LEVEL, CURRENTLY 45 PROJECTED PERFORMANCE
RESURFACENG \$1.764.674 17 YEARS SURFACE TREATMENT \$311,307 9 YEARS CRACK REPAIR \$346.394 22 YEARS NO ACTION N/A N/A MINIMUM SERVICE LEVEL, CURRENTLY 65
RESURFACING S1.764.674 17 YEARS SURFACE TREATMENT 3511,307 9 YEARS CRACK REPAIR 35414 22 YEARS NO ACTION NA NA NA . MINIMUM SERVICE LEVEL, CURRENTLY 65
RESURFACING S1.764.674 17 YEARS SURFACE TREATMENT S11.197 9 YEARS CRACK REPARE NO ACTION N/A N/A MINIMUM SERVICE LEVEL, CURRENTLY 65
RESURFACING S1.764,674 17 YEARS SURFACE TREATMENT S11.307 9 YEARS CKACK REPAIR S146,504 2. YEARS NO ACTION N/A N/A MINIMUM SERVICE LEVEL, CURRENTLY 65
RESURFACING S1,744,674 17 YEARS SURFACE TREATMENT S31,307 9 YEARS CRACK REPAIR S31446364 22 YEARS NO ACTION N/A N/A MINIMUM SERVICE LEVEL, CURRENTLY 65
THE FOLLOWING PROJECTS HAVE BEEN SELECTED AS VIABLE ALTERNATIVES

#### AIRPORT: LAPORTE MUNICICPAL

#### AIRPAV FEATURE ANALYSIS PROGRAM OUTPUT

DESCRIPTION: TAXIWAY A
INSPECTION DATE: 9-15-15
FEATURE'S HIGH PCI: 74
FEATURE'S LOW PCI: 45
AVERAGE PCI: 63 FAIR
ESTIMATED PCI IS: 55 in 2019

#### COMMENTS/HISTORY FOR FEATURE 105, TAXIWAY A

1992 - 5" P401 AC SURFACE ON 6" P209 AGG BASE ON P154 SUBBASE

\*

#### DISTRESS QUANTITIES FOR FEATURE 105

DISTRESS TYPE	SEVERITY	MEASURED QUANTITY	ESTIMATED TOTAL QUANTITIY	UNITS	PERCENTAGE OF All DISTRESS
ALLIGATOR CRACKING	LOW	40	164	S.F.	5.9
DEPRESSION	LOW	65	266	S.F.	1.8
LONG.& TRANS. CRACK	MED	574	2,356	L.F.	23.6
LONG.& TRANS. CRACK	LOW	2,219	9,110	L.F.	31.7
PATCH & UTILITY CUT	MED	25	102	S.F.	1.5
PATCH & UTILITY CUT	LOW	805	3,305	S.F.	11.3
RAVELING	HIGH	5	20	S.F.	1.2
RAVELING	MED	105	431	S.F.	2.1
RUTTING	MED	10	41	S.F.	3
RUTTING	LOW	20	82	S.F.	2.4
SWELL	LOW	40	164	S.F.	.6
WEATHERING	MED	2,150	8,827	S.F.	6
WEATHERING	LOW	24,100	98,945	S.F.	8.3

#### BASIC DISTRESS CAUSES

APPROXIMATE AMOUNT OF DISTRESS RELATED TO LOAD ON THE PAVEMENT IS:	18 %
APPROXIMATE AMOUNT OF DISTRESS RELATED TO MATERIALS PROBLEMS IN THE FEATURE IS:	52 %
APPROXIMATE AMOUNT OF DISTRESS RELATED TO AGE OF PAVEMENT AND TRAFFIC REPETITIONS IS:	30 %



### FEATURE: 105


ANALYSIS YEAR: 2015 OPTIMIZED FOR: 2019 PAVEMENT TYPE: AC CONSTRUCTION YEAR: 1992 MINIMUM SERVICE LEVEL: 55

### DESCRIPTION: TAXIWAY A

INSPECTION DATE:9-15-15AVERAGE PCI AT INSPECTION:63 FAIRESTIMATED PCI IS:55 in 2019NORMAL PCI FOR THIS AGE:48

### THE FOLLOWING PROJECTS HAVE BEEN SELECTED AS VIABLE ALTERNATIVES

LEGEND	DESCRIPTION	COST	LIFE EXTENSION
<b>A</b>	RESURFACING	\$211,623	23 YEARS
▼	SURFACE TREATMENT	\$60,236	6 YEARS
<b>♦</b>	CRACK REPAIR	\$14,217	1 YEAR
•	NO ACTION	N/A	N/A
	MINIMUM SERVICE LEVEL, CURREN	FI V 55	



#### AIRPAV FEATURE ANALYSIS PROGRAM OUTPUT

FEATURE: 110	DESCRIPTION: TAXIWAY A5
ANALYSIS YEAR: 2015 OPTIMIZED FOR: 2017	INSPECTION DATE: 9-15-15
PAVEMENT TYPE: AC OVERLAY	FEATURE'S HIGH PCI: 58
FEATURE AREA: 11,515	FEATURE'S LOW PCI: 57
INSPECTED AREA: 7,000	AVERAGE PCI: 58 FAIR
MINIMUM SERVICE LEVEL: 55	ESTIMATED PCI IS: 54 in 2017

#### COMMENTS/HISTORY FOR FEATURE 110, TAXIWAY A5

1995 4.5" P401 OVERLAY 1992 5" P401 ON 6" P209 \*

\*

### **DISTRESS QUANTITIES FOR FEATURE 110**

DISTRESS TYPE	SEVERITY	MEASURED QUANTITY	ESTIMATED TOTAL QUANTITIY	UNITS	PERCENTAGE OF All DISTRESS
ALLIGATOR CRACKING	LOW	4	6	S.F.	6.2
LONG.& TRANS. CRACK	HIGH	15	24	L.F.	10.7
LONG.& TRANS. CRACK	MED	117	192	L.F.	21.4
LONG.& TRANS. CRACK	LOW	495	814	L.F.	30.2
RAVELING	MED	100	164	S.F.	9.8
SWELL	LOW	40	65	S.F.	3.1
WEATHERING	MED	800	1,316	S.F.	10.2
WEATHERING	LOW	5,400	8,883	S.F.	8

APPROXIMATE AMOUNT OF DISTRESS RELATED TO LOAD ON THE PAVEMENT IS:	6 %
APPROXIMATE AMOUNT OF DISTRESS RELATED TO MATERIALS PROBLEMS IN THE FEATURE IS:	54 %
APPROXIMATE AMOUNT OF DISTRESS RELATED TO AGE OF PAVEMENT AND TRAFFIC REPETITIONS IS:	40 %



### FEATURE: 110


ANALYSIS YEAR: 2015 OPTIMIZED FOR: 2017 PAVEMENT TYPE: AC OVERLAY CONSTRUCTION YEAR: 1995 MINIMUM SERVICE LEVEL: 55

### DESCRIPTION: TAXIWAY A5

INSPECTION DATE: 9-15-15 AVERAGE PCI AT INSPECTION: 58 FAIR ESTIMATED PCI IS: 54 in 2017 NORMAL PCI FOR THIS AGE: 56

### THE FOLLOWING PROJECTS HAVE BEEN SELECTED AS VIABLE ALTERNATIVES

LEGEND	DESCRIPTION	COST	LIFE EXTENSION
<b>A</b>	RESURFACING	\$16,581	23 YEARS
$\mathbf{\nabla}$	SURFACE TREATMENT	\$4,758	7 YEARS
<b>\$</b>	CRACK REPAIR	\$1,277	1 YEAR
•	NO ACTION	N/A	N/A



#### AIRPAV FEATURE ANALYSIS PROGRAM OUTPUT

FEATURE: 115	DESCRIPTION: TAXIWAY A2
ANALYSIS YEAR: 2015 OPTIMIZED FOR: 2021	INSPECTION DATE: 9-15-15
PAVEMENT TYPE: AC OVERLAY FEATURE AREA: 11,000 INSPECTED AREA: 7,000 MINIMUM SERVICE LEVEL: 55	FEATURE'S HIGH PCI: 75 FEATURE'S LOW PCI: 58 AVERAGE PCI: 66 FAIR ESTIMATED PCI IS: 53 in 2021

#### COMMENTS/HISTORY FOR FEATURE 115, TAXIWAY A2

1995 4.5" P401 OVERLAY 1992 - 5" P401 SURFACE ON 6" P209 BASE ON P154 SUBBASE

\*

### **DISTRESS QUANTITIES FOR FEATURE 115**

DISTRESS TYPE	SEVERITY	MEASURED QUANTITY	ESTIMATED TOTAL QUANTITIY	UNITS	PERCENTAGE OF All DISTRESS
LONG.& TRANS. CRACK	MED	87	136	L.F.	26.7
LONG.& TRANS. CRACK	LOW	440	691	L.F.	37.3
RAVELING	LOW	100	157	S.F.	6.2
WEATHERING	MED	1,000	1,571	S.F.	17.6
WEATHERING	LOW	5,800	9,114	S.F.	12

APPROXIMATE AMOUNT OF DISTRESS RELATED TO LOAD ON THE PAVEMENT IS:	0 %
APPROXIMATE AMOUNT OF DISTRESS RELATED TO MATERIALS PROBLEMS IN THE FEATURE IS:	55 %
APPROXIMATE AMOUNT OF DISTRESS RELATED TO AGE OF PAVEMENT AND TRAFFIC REPETITIONS IS:	45 %



### FEATURE: 115


ANALYSIS YEAR: 2015 OPTIMIZED FOR: 2021 PAVEMENT TYPE: AC OVERLAY CONSTRUCTION YEAR: 1995 MINIMUM SERVICE LEVEL: 55

### **DESCRIPTION:** TAXIWAY A2

INSPECTION DATE: 9-15-15 AVERAGE PCI AT INSPECTION: 66 FAIR ESTIMATED PCI IS: 53 in 2021 NORMAL PCI FOR THIS AGE: 46

### THE FOLLOWING PROJECTS HAVE BEEN SELECTED AS VIABLE ALTERNATIVES

▲	RESURFACING	\$15,840	23 YEARS
▼	SURFACE TREATMENT	\$4,458	6 YEARS
<b>♦</b>	CRACK REPAIR	\$1,025	1 YEAR
$\bigcirc$	NO ACTION	N/A	N/A



#### AIRPAV FEATURE ANALYSIS PROGRAM OUTPUT

FEATURE: 120	DESCRIPTION: TAXIWAY A
ANALYSIS YEAR: 2015 OPTIMIZED FOR: 2025	INSPECTION DATE: 9-15-15
PAVEMENT TYPE: AC FEATURE AREA: 32,604 INSPECTED AREA: 14,000 MINIMUM SERVICE LEVEL: 55	FEATURE'S HIGH PCI: 76 FEATURE'S LOW PCI: 63 AVERAGE PCI: 70 FAIR ESTIMATED PCI IS: 53 in 2025

### COMMENTS/HISTORY FOR FEATURE 120, TAXIWAY A

2002: 2" P-401 (type c) ON 2.5" P-401 (type a) ON 7" P-209 ON COMPACTED SUBGRADE \*

\*

### **DISTRESS QUANTITIES FOR FEATURE 120**

DISTRESS TYPE	SEVERITY	MEASURED QUANTITY	ESTIMATED TOTAL QUANTITIY	UNITS	PERCENTAGE OF All DISTRESS
LONG.& TRANS. CRACK	MED	153	356	L.F.	30.2
LONG.& TRANS. CRACK	LOW	1,339	3,118	L.F.	61.2
RAVELING	LOW	50	116	S.F.	2.4
WEATHERING	LOW	3.000	6,986	S.F.	6

APPROXIMATE AMOUNT OF DISTRESS RELATED TO LOAD ON THE PAVEMENT IS:	0 %
APPROXIMATE AMOUNT OF DISTRESS RELATED TO MATERIALS PROBLEMS IN THE FEATURE IS:	64 %
APPROXIMATE AMOUNT OF DISTRESS RELATED TO AGE OF PAVEMENT AND TRAFFIC REPETITIONS IS:	36 %



### FEATURE: 120


ANALYSIS YEAR: 2015 OPTIMIZED FOR: 2025 PAVEMENT TYPE: AC CONSTRUCTION YEAR: 2002 MINIMUM SERVICE LEVEL: 55

### DESCRIPTION: TAXIWAY A

INSPECTION DATE:9-15-15AVERAGE PCI AT INSPECTION:70 FAIRESTIMATED PCI IS:53 in 2025NORMAL PCI FOR THIS AGE:56

### THE FOLLOWING PROJECTS HAVE BEEN SELECTED AS VIABLE ALTERNATIVES

LEGEND	DESCRIPTION	COST	LIFE EXTENSION
<b>A</b>	RESURFACING	\$46,949	23 YEARS
▼	SURFACE TREATMENT	\$13,156	8 YEARS
<b>♦</b>	CRACK REPAIR	\$4,307	1 YEAR
$\bigcirc$	NO ACTION	N/A	N/A
-	MINIMUM SERVICE LEVEL, CURRENT	TLY 55	



#### AIRPAV FEATURE ANALYSIS PROGRAM OUTPUT

FEATURE: 125	DESCRIPTION: TAXIWAY A
ANALYSIS YEAR: 2015 OPTIMIZED FOR: 2023	INSPECTION DATE: 9-15-15
PAVEMENT TYPE: AC	FEATURE'S HIGH PCI: 72
FEATURE AREA: 13,415	FEATURE'S LOW PCI: 65
INSPECTED AREA: 7,000	AVERAGE PCI: 68 FAIR
MINIMUM SERVICE LEVEL: 55	ESTIMATED PCI IS: 55 in 2023

### COMMENTS/HISTORY FOR FEATURE 125, TAXIWAY A

2001 AC est same as Runway - 3" AC / 8" CABC \*

\*

### DISTRESS QUANTITIES FOR FEATURE 125

DISTRESS TYPE	SEVERITY	MEASURED QUANTITY	ESTIMATED TOTAL QUANTITIY	UNITS	PERCENTAGE OF All DISTRESS
LONG.& TRANS. CRACK	HIGH	4	7	L.F.	9.2
LONG.& TRANS. CRACK	MED	118	226	L.F.	32.5
LONG.& TRANS. CRACK	LOW	487	933	L.F.	44.5
PATCH & UTILITY CUT	LOW	70	134	S.F.	7.7
WEATHERING	MED	20	38	S.F.	.6
WEATHERING	LOW	1,300	2,491	S.F.	5.2

APPROXIMATE AMOUNT OF DISTRESS RELATED TO LOAD ON THE PAVEMENT IS:	5 %
APPROXIMATE AMOUNT OF DISTRESS RELATED TO MATERIALS PROBLEMS IN THE FEATURE IS:	62 %
APPROXIMATE AMOUNT OF DISTRESS RELATED TO AGE OF PAVEMENT AND TRAFFIC REPETITIONS IS:	33 %



### FEATURE: 125


ANALYSIS YEAR: 2015 OPTIMIZED FOR: 2023 PAVEMENT TYPE: AC CONSTRUCTION YEAR: 2001 MINIMUM SERVICE LEVEL: 55

### DESCRIPTION: TAXIWAY A

INSPECTION DATE:9-15-15AVERAGE PCI AT INSPECTION:68 FAIRESTIMATED PCI IS:55 in 2023NORMAL PCI FOR THIS AGE:58

### THE FOLLOWING PROJECTS HAVE BEEN SELECTED AS VIABLE ALTERNATIVES

LEGEND	DESCRIPTION	COST	LIFE EXTENSION
▲	RESURFACING	\$19,317	23 YEARS
▼	SURFACE TREATMENT	\$5,520	8 YEARS
<b>♦</b>	CRACK REPAIR	\$1,445	2 YEARS
$\bigcirc$	NO ACTION	N/A	N/A



#### AIRPAV FEATURE ANALYSIS PROGRAM OUTPUT

FEATURE: 205	DESCRIPTION: TAXIWAY A3	
ANALYSIS YEAR: 2015	INSPECTION DATE: 9-15-15	
PAVEMENT TYPE: AC	FEATURE'S HIGH PCI: 58	
FEATURE AREA: 6,646 INSPECTED AREA: 5,810	FEATURE'S LOW PCI: 37 AVERAGE PCI: 48 POOR	
MINIMUM SERVICE LEVEL: 55	ESTIMATED PCI IS: 48 in 2015	

## COMMENTS/HISTORY FOR FEATURE 205, TAXIWAY A3

1992 - 5" P401 AC SURFACE ON 6" P209 AGG BASE ON P154 SUBBASE \*

### DISTRESS QUANTITIES FOR FEATURE 205

DISTRESS TYPE	SEVERITY	MEASURED QUANTITY	ESTIMATED TOTAL QUANTITIY	UNITS	PERCENTAGE OF All DISTRESS
LONG.& TRANS. CRACK	HIGH	24	27	L.F.	10.6
LONG.& TRANS. CRACK	MED	156	178	L.F.	17.2
LONG.& TRANS. CRACK	LOW	495	566	L.F.	21.5
PATCH & UTILITY CUT	LOW	92	105	S.F.	3.7
RAVELING	HIGH	100	114	S.F.	17.5
RUTTING	LOW	55	62	S.F.	10.2
WEATHERING	HIGH	300	343	S.F.	10.7
WEATHERING	MED	500	571	S.F.	3.8
WEATHERING	LOW	3,600	4,118	S.F.	4.3

APPROXIMATE AMOUNT OF DISTRESS RELATED TO LOAD ON THE PAVEMENT IS:	9 %
APPROXIMATE AMOUNT OF DISTRESS RELATED TO MATERIALS PROBLEMS IN THE FEATURE IS:	50 %
APPROXIMATE AMOUNT OF DISTRESS RELATED TO AGE OF PAVEMENT AND TRAFFIC REPETITIONS IS:	41 %




FEATURE: 205

ANALYSIS YEAR: 2015 PAVEMENT TYPE: AC CONSTRUCTION YEAR: 1992 MINIMUM SERVICE LEVEL: 55 **DESCRIPTION:** TAXIWAY A3

INSPECTION DATE:9-15-15AVERAGE PCI AT INSPECTION:48 POORESTIMATED PCI IS:48 in 2015NORMAL PCI FOR THIS AGE:56

### THE FOLLOWING PROJECTS HAVE BEEN SELECTED AS VIABLE ALTERNATIVES

LEGEND	DESCRIPTION	COST	LIFE EXTENSION
<b>A</b>	RESURFACING	\$9,570	23 YEARS
$\mathbf{\nabla}$	SURFACE TREATMENT	\$2,846	7 YEARS
$\bigcirc$	NO ACTION	N/A	N/A



#### AIRPAV FEATURE ANALYSIS PROGRAM OUTPUT

FEATURE: 210	DESCRIPTION: TAXIWAY A3	
ANALYSIS YEAR: 2015	INSPECTION DATE: 9-15-15	
PAVEMENT TYPE: AC	FEATURE'S HIGH PCI: 57	
FEATURE AREA: 4,024	FEATURE'S LOW PCI: 48	
INSPECTED AREA: 3,850	AVERAGE PCI: 52 POOR	
MINIMUM SERVICE LEVEL: 55	ESTIMATED PCI IS: 52 in 2015	

### COMMENTS/HISTORY FOR FEATURE 210, TAXIWAY A3

1986 - AC est

;

## \*

### DISTRESS QUANTITIES FOR FEATURE 210

DISTRESS TYPE	SEVERITY	MEASURED QUANTITY	ESTIMATED TOTAL QUANTITIY	UNITS	PERCENTAGE OF All DISTRESS
LONG.& TRANS. CRACK	HIGH	28	29	L.F.	18.6
LONG.& TRANS. CRACK	MED	34	35	L.F.	13.1
LONG.& TRANS. CRACK	LOW	366	382	L.F.	29.6
RUTTING	LOW	30	31	S.F.	20.9
WEATHERING	MED	590	616	S.F.	10.6
WEATHERING	LOW	3,200	3,344	S.F.	6.9

APPROXIMATE AMOUNT OF DISTRESS RELATED TO LOAD ON THE PAVEMENT IS:	14	%
APPROXIMATE AMOUNT OF DISTRESS RELATED TO MATERIALS PROBLEMS IN THE FEATURE IS:	54	%
APPROXIMATE AMOUNT OF DISTRESS RELATED TO AGE OF PAVEMENT AND TRAFFIC REPETITIONS IS:	32	%




FEATURE: 210

ANALYSIS YEAR: 2015 PAVEMENT TYPE: AC CONSTRUCTION YEAR: 1986 MINIMUM SERVICE LEVEL: 55 DESCRIPTION: TAXIWAY A3

INSPECTION DATE:9-15-15AVERAGE PCI AT INSPECTION:52 POORESTIMATED PCI IS:52 in 2015NORMAL PCI FOR THIS AGE:42

### THE FOLLOWING PROJECTS HAVE BEEN SELECTED AS VIABLE ALTERNATIVES

<b>A</b>	RESURFACING	\$5,794	23 YEARS
▼	SURFACE TREATMENT	\$1,648	6 YEARS
<b>♦</b>	CRACK REPAIR	\$553	1 YEAR
0	NO ACTION	N/A	N/A



#### AIRPAV FEATURE ANALYSIS PROGRAM OUTPUT

FEATURE: 215	DESCRIPTION: TAXIWAY A3
ANALYSIS YEAR: 2015 OPTIMIZED FOR: 2019	INSPECTION DATE: 9-15-15
PAVEMENT TYPE: AC OVERLAY	FEATURE'S HIGH PCI: 65
FEATURE AREA: 5,701	FEATURE'S LOW PCI: 58
INSPECTED AREA: 4,340	AVERAGE PCI: 61 FAIR
MINIMUM SERVICE LEVEL: 55	ESTIMATED PCI IS: 53 in 2019

### COMMENTS/HISTORY FOR FEATURE 215, TAXIWAY A3

1995 - 4.5" P401 AC OVERLAY EST 1986 - P401 PAVEMENT OF UNKNOWN SECTION

\*

### DISTRESS QUANTITIES FOR FEATURE 215

DISTRESS TYPE	SEVERITY	MEASURED QUANTITY	ESTIMATED TOTAL QUANTITIY	UNITS	PERCENTAGE OF All DISTRESS
LONG.& TRANS. CRACK	HIGH	8	10	L.F.	17
LONG.& TRANS. CRACK	MED	50	65	L.F.	21.7
LONG.& TRANS. CRACK	LOW	380	499	L.F.	34.9
PATCH & UTILITY CUT	LOW	162	212	S.F.	8.6
RAVELING	MED	40	52	S.F.	7.5
WEATHERING	MED	50	65	S.F.	.4
WEATHERING	LOW	4,140	5,438	S.F.	9.5

APPROXIMATE AMOUNT OF DISTRESS RELATED TO LOAD ON THE PAVEMENT IS:	6 %
APPROXIMATE AMOUNT OF DISTRESS RELATED TO MATERIALS PROBLEMS IN THE FEATURE IS:	58 %
APPROXIMATE AMOUNT OF DISTRESS RELATED TO AGE OF PAVEMENT AND TRAFFIC REPETITIONS IS:	36 %



### FEATURE: 215


ANALYSIS YEAR: 2015 OPTIMIZED FOR: 2019 PAVEMENT TYPE: AC OVERLAY CONSTRUCTION YEAR: 1995 MINIMUM SERVICE LEVEL: 55

### DESCRIPTION: TAXIWAY A3

INSPECTION DATE:9-15-15AVERAGE PCI AT INSPECTION:61 FAIRESTIMATED PCI IS:53 in 2019NORMAL PCI FOR THIS AGE:51

### THE FOLLOWING PROJECTS HAVE BEEN SELECTED AS VIABLE ALTERNATIVES

LEGEND	DESCRIPTION	COST	LIFE EXTENSION
<b>A</b>	RESURFACING	\$8,209	23 YEARS
▼	SURFACE TREATMENT	\$2,316	7 YEARS
<b>♦</b>	CRACK REPAIR	\$711	1 YEAR
$\bigcirc$	NO ACTION	N/A	N/A



#### AIRPAV FEATURE ANALYSIS PROGRAM OUTPUT

FEATURE: 250	DESCRIPTION: TAXIWAY B
ANALYSIS YEAR: 2015 OPTIMIZED FOR: 2025	INSPECTION DATE: 9-15-15
PAVEMENT TYPE: AC FEATURE AREA: 53,102 INSPECTED AREA: 23,075 MINIMUM SERVICE LEVEL: 55	FEATURE'S HIGH PCI: 83 FEATURE'S LOW PCI: 54 AVERAGE PCI: 73 SATISFACTORY ESTIMATED PCI IS: 55 in 2025

### COMMENTS/HISTORY FOR FEATURE 250, TAXIWAY B

2005 AC 1.5" AC/ 3" AC/ 7.5" P-209

\*

### DISTRESS QUANTITIES FOR FEATURE 250

DISTRESS TYPE	SEVERITY	MEASURED QUANTITY	ESTIMATED TOTAL QUANTITIY	UNITS	PERCENTAGE OF All DISTRESS
DEPRESSION	LOW	24	58	S.F.	1.9
LONG.& TRANS. CRACK	LOW	1,131	2,732	L.F.	42.2
LONG.& TRANS. CRACK	MED	673	1,436	L.F.	48.1
SWELL	LOW	168	168	S.F.	.2
SWELL	MED	90	90	S.F.	.4
WEATHERING	LOW	2,000	4,430	S.F.	3
WEATHERING	MED	150	364	S.F.	1.2

APPROXIMATE AMOUNT OF DISTRESS RELATED TO LOAD ON THE PAVEMENT IS:	0 %
APPROXIMATE AMOUNT OF DISTRESS RELATED TO MATERIALS PROBLEMS IN THE FEATURE IS:	66 %
APPROXIMATE AMOUNT OF DISTRESS RELATED TO AGE OF PAVEMENT AND TRAFFIC REPETITIONS IS:	34 %



### FEATURE: 250


ANALYSIS YEAR: 2015 OPTIMIZED FOR: 2025 PAVEMENT TYPE: AC CONSTRUCTION YEAR: 2005 MINIMUM SERVICE LEVEL: 55

### **DESCRIPTION:** TAXIWAY B

INSPECTION DATE: 9-15-15 AVERAGE PCI AT INSPECTION: 73 SATISFACTORY ESTIMATED PCI IS: 55 in 2025 NORMAL PCI FOR THIS AGE: 61

### THE FOLLOWING PROJECTS HAVE BEEN SELECTED AS VIABLE ALTERNATIVES

RESURFACING\$76,46623 YEARSSURFACE TREATMENT\$20,7098 YEARS	LEGEND	DESCRIPTION	COST	LIFE EXTENSION
	<b>A</b>	RESURFACING	\$76,466	23 YEARS
	▼	SURFACE TREATMENT	\$20,709	8 YEARS
CRACK REPAIR \$5,168 2 YEARS	\$	CRACK REPAIR	\$5,168	2 YEARS
• NO ACTION N/A N/A	$\bigcirc$	NO ACTION	N/A	N/A
	-	MINIMUM SERVICE LEVEL, CURRENT	TLY 55	



#### AIRPAV FEATURE ANALYSIS PROGRAM OUTPUT

FEATURE: 255	DESCRIPTION: TAXIWAY TO TEES
ANALYSIS YEAR: 2015	INSPECTION DATE: 9-15-15
PAVEMENT TYPE: AC	FEATURE'S HIGH PCI: 82
FEATURE AREA: 8,630	FEATURE'S LOW PCI: 80
INSPECTED AREA: 6,650	AVERAGE PCI: 81 SATISFACTORY
MINIMUM SERVICE LEVEL: 55	ESTIMATED PCI IS: 81 in 2015

### COMMENTS/HISTORY FOR FEATURE 255, TAXIWAY TO TEES


2005 AC 1.5" AC/ 3" AC/ 7.5" P-209

\*

DISTRESS QUANTITIES FOR FEATURE 255

DISTRESS TYPE	SEVERITY	MEASURED QUANTITY	ESTIMATED TOTAL QUANTITIY	UNITS	PERCENTAGE OF All DISTRESS
LONG.& TRANS. CRACK	MED	87	112	L.F.	45.2
LONG.& TRANS. CRACK	LOW	276	358	L.F.	47.7
WEATHERING	LOW	1,000	1,297	S.F.	7

APPROXIMATE AMOUNT OF DISTRESS RELATED TO LOAD ON THE PAVEMENT IS:	0 %
APPROXIMATE AMOUNT OF DISTRESS RELATED TO MATERIALS PROBLEMS IN THE FEATURE IS:	64 %
APPROXIMATE AMOUNT OF DISTRESS RELATED TO AGE OF PAVEMENT AND TRAFFIC REPETITIONS IS:	36 %




FEATURE: 255

ANALYSIS YEAR: 2015 PAVEMENT TYPE: AC CONSTRUCTION YEAR: 2005 MINIMUM SERVICE LEVEL: 55 **DESCRIPTION:** TAXIWAY TO TEES

INSPECTION DATE: 9-15-15 AVERAGE PCI AT INSPECTION: 81 SATISFACTORY ESTIMATED PCI IS: 81 in 2015 NORMAL PCI FOR THIS AGE: 76

### THE FOLLOWING PROJECTS HAVE BEEN SELECTED AS VIABLE ALTERNATIVES

LEGEND	DESCRIPTION	COST	LIFE EXTENSION
•	NO ACTION	N/A	N/A
•	MINIMUM SERVICE LEVEL, CURRENTLY 55		



#### AIRPAV FEATURE ANALYSIS PROGRAM OUTPUT

FEATURE: 305	DESCRIPTION: TAXIWAY A4	
ANALYSIS YEAR: 2015	INSPECTION DATE: 9-15-15	
PAVEMENT TYPE: AC	FEATURE'S HIGH PCI: 57	
FEATURE AREA: 9,261	FEATURE'S LOW PCI: 33	
INSPECTED AREA: 6,145	AVERAGE PCI: 45 POOR	
MINIMUM SERVICE LEVEL: 55	ESTIMATED PCI IS: 45 in 2015	

### COMMENTS/HISTORY FOR FEATURE 305, TAXIWAY A4

1992 - 6" P401 AC SURFACE ON 5" P209 AGG BASE ON P154 SUBBASE \*

### DISTRESS QUANTITIES FOR FEATURE 305

DISTRESS TYPE	SEVERITY	MEASURED QUANTITY	ESTIMATED TOTAL QUANTITIY	UNITS	PERCENTAGE OF All DISTRESS
ALLIGATOR CRACKING	LOW	6	9	S.F.	4.7
DEPRESSION	LOW	30	45	S.F.	3.4
LONG.& TRANS. CRACK	MED	210	316	L.F.	19.3
LONG.& TRANS. CRACK	LOW	691	1,041	L.F.	24.1
RAVELING	HIGH	160	241	S.F.	22.3
RAVELING	MED	170	256	S.F.	8.2
RAVELING	LOW	175	263	S.F.	3.9
WEATHERING	MED	1,400	2,109	S.F.	9.3
WEATHERING	LOW	4,000	6,028	S.F.	4.3

APPROXIMATE AMOUNT OF DISTRESS RELATED TO LOAD ON THE PAVEMENT IS:	5 %
APPROXIMATE AMOUNT OF DISTRESS RELATED TO MATERIALS PROBLEMS IN THE FEATURE IS:	49 %
APPROXIMATE AMOUNT OF DISTRESS RELATED TO AGE OF PAVEMENT AND TRAFFIC REPETITIONS IS:	47 %




FEATURE: 305

ANALYSIS YEAR: 2015 PAVEMENT TYPE: AC CONSTRUCTION YEAR: 1992 MINIMUM SERVICE LEVEL: 55 DESCRIPTION: TAXIWAY A4

INSPECTION DATE:9-15-15AVERAGE PCI AT INSPECTION:45 POORESTIMATED PCI IS:45 in 2015NORMAL PCI FOR THIS AGE:56

### THE FOLLOWING PROJECTS HAVE BEEN SELECTED AS VIABLE ALTERNATIVES

LEGEND	DESCRIPTION	COST	LIFE EXTENSION
<b>A</b>	RESURFACING	\$13,335	23 YEARS
▼	SURFACE TREATMENT	\$4,003	7 YEARS
0	NO ACTION	N/A	N/A



#### AIRPAV FEATURE ANALYSIS PROGRAM OUTPUT

FEATURE: 310	DESCRIPTION: TAXIWAY A4
ANALYSIS YEAR: 2015	INSPECTION DATE: 9-15-15
PAVEMENT TYPE: AC OVERLAY FEATURE AREA: 5,896 INSPECTED AREA: 5,740 MINIMUM SERVICE LEVEL: 55	FEATURE'S HIGH PCI: 54 FEATURE'S LOW PCI: 53 AVERAGE PCI: 54 POOR ESTIMATED PCI IS: 54 in 2015

#### COMMENTS/HISTORY FOR FEATURE 310, TAXIWAY A4

1995 4.5" P401 OVERLAY 1992 5" P401 ON 6" P209 ON P154 \*

\*

### **DISTRESS QUANTITIES FOR FEATURE 310**

DISTRESS TYPE	SEVERITY	MEASURED QUANTITY	ESTIMATED TOTAL QUANTITIY	UNITS	PERCENTAGE OF All DISTRESS
ALLIGATOR CRACKING	LOW	15	15	S.F.	13.9
LONG.& TRANS. CRACK	HIGH	9	9	L.F.	9.7
LONG.& TRANS. CRACK	MED	47	48	L.F.	14.7
LONG.& TRANS. CRACK	LOW	367	377	L.F.	23.1
RAVELING	HIGH	10	10	S.F.	7
RAVELING	MED	45	46	S.F.	11.9
WEATHERING	MED	900	924	S.F.	12.3
WEATHERING	LOW	4,200	4,314	S.F.	7.1

APPROXIMATE AMOUNT OF DISTRESS RELATED TO LOAD ON THE PAVEMENT IS:	14 %
APPROXIMATE AMOUNT OF DISTRESS RELATED TO MATERIALS PROBLEMS IN THE FEATURE IS:	45 %
APPROXIMATE AMOUNT OF DISTRESS RELATED TO AGE OF PAVEMENT AND TRAFFIC REPETITIONS IS:	41 %



FEATURE: 310


ANALYSIS YEAR: 2015 PAVEMENT TYPE: AC OVERLAY CONSTRUCTION YEAR: 1995 MINIMUM SERVICE LEVEL: 55

### DESCRIPTION: TAXIWAY A4

INSPECTION DATE: 9-15-15 AVERAGE PCI AT INSPECTION: 54 POOR ESTIMATED PCI IS: 54 in 2015 NORMAL PCI FOR THIS AGE: 59

### THE FOLLOWING PROJECTS HAVE BEEN SELECTED AS VIABLE ALTERNATIVES

<ul> <li>RESURFACING</li> <li>\$8,490</li> <li>23 YEARS</li> <li>SURFACE TREATMENT</li> <li>\$2,370</li> <li>7 YEARS</li> <li>CRACK REPAIR</li> <li>\$538</li> <li>1 YEAR</li> </ul>
CRACK REPAIR \$538 1 YEAR
NO ACTION N/A N/A



#### AIRPAV FEATURE ANALYSIS PROGRAM OUTPUT

FEATURE: 315	<b>DESCRIPTION:</b> TAXIWAY B4
ANALYSIS YEAR: 2015 OPTIMIZED FOR: 2017	INSPECTION DATE: 9-15-15
PAVEMENT TYPE: AC OVERLAY	FEATURE'S HIGH PCI: 58
FEATURE AREA: 3,285	FEATURE'S LOW PCI: 58
INSPECTED AREA: 3,070	AVERAGE PCI: 58 FAIR

COMMENTS/HISTORY FOR FEATURE 315, TAXIWAY B4

ESTIMATED PCI IS: 54 in 2017

1995 - 4.5" P401 AC OVERLAY EST 1966 - P401 OVERLAY 1958 - 1.5" P401 SURFACE ON 6" P208 BASE \*

MINIMUM SERVICE LEVEL: 55

### **DISTRESS QUANTITIES FOR FEATURE 315**

DISTRESS TYPE	SEVERITY	MEASURED QUANTITY	ESTIMATED TOTAL QUANTITIY	UNITS	PERCENTAGE OF All DISTRESS
DEPRESSION	LOW	20	21	S.F.	8.6
LONG.& TRANS. CRACK	MED	4	4	L.F.	9.8
LONG.& TRANS. CRACK	LOW	331	354	L.F.	53.9
RAVELING	MED	15	16	S.F.	15.7
RAVELING	LOW	40	42	S.F.	7.7
WEATHERING	LOW	500	535	S.F.	4.2

APPROXIMATE AMOUNT OF DISTRESS RELATED TO LOAD ON THE PAVEMENT IS:	0 %
APPROXIMATE AMOUNT OF DISTRESS RELATED TO MATERIALS PROBLEMS IN THE FEATURE IS:	60 %
APPROXIMATE AMOUNT OF DISTRESS RELATED TO AGE OF PAVEMENT AND TRAFFIC REPETITIONS IS:	40 %



### FEATURE: 315


ANALYSIS YEAR: 2015 OPTIMIZED FOR: 2017 PAVEMENT TYPE: AC OVERLAY CONSTRUCTION YEAR: 1995 MINIMUM SERVICE LEVEL: 55

### **DESCRIPTION:** TAXIWAY B4

INSPECTION DATE: 9-15-15 AVERAGE PCI AT INSPECTION: 58 FAIR ESTIMATED PCI IS: 54 in 2017 NORMAL PCI FOR THIS AGE: 56

### THE FOLLOWING PROJECTS HAVE BEEN SELECTED AS VIABLE ALTERNATIVES

RESURFACING\$4,73023 YEARSSURFACE TREATMENT\$1,2867 YEARSCRACK REPAIR\$4431 YEAR	LEGEND	DESCRIPTION	COST	LIFE EXTENSION
	▲	RESURFACING	\$4,730	23 YEARS
CRACK REPAIR\$4431 YEAR	▼	SURFACE TREATMENT	\$1,286	7 YEARS
_	<b>♦</b>	CRACK REPAIR	\$443	1 YEAR
• NO ACTION N/A N/A	•	NO ACTION	N/A	N/A
	-	MINIMUM SERVICE LEVEL, CURREN	TLY 55	



#### AIRPAV FEATURE ANALYSIS PROGRAM OUTPUT

FEATURE: 350	<b>DESCRIPTION:</b> TAXIWAY B4
ANALYSIS YEAR: 2015 OPTIMIZED FOR: 2022	INSPECTION DATE: 9-15-15
PAVEMENT TYPE: AC OVERLAY	FEATURE'S HIGH PCI: 70
FEATURE AREA: 15,566	FEATURE'S LOW PCI: 63
INSPECTED AREA: 4,200	AVERAGE PCI: 66 FAIR
MINIMUM SERVICE LEVEL: 55	ESTIMATED PCI IS: 53 in 2022

#### COMMENTS/HISTORY FOR FEATURE 350, TAXIWAY B4

1997 AC PRE-1966 - PROBABLE BIT. OVERLAY 1958 - 1.5" BIT. SURFACE ON 6" AGG. BASE \*

### **DISTRESS QUANTITIES FOR FEATURE 350**

DISTRESS TYPE	SEVERITY	MEASURED QUANTITY	ESTIMATED TOTAL QUANTITIY	UNITS	PERCENTAGE OF All DISTRESS
LONG.& TRANS. CRACK	MED	48	177	L.F.	27
LONG.& TRANS. CRACK	LOW	609	2,257	L.F.	70.6
WEATHERING	LOW	300	1,111	S.F.	2.3

APPROXIMATE AMOUNT OF DISTRESS RELATED TO LOAD ON THE PAVEMENT IS:	0 %
APPROXIMATE AMOUNT OF DISTRESS RELATED TO MATERIALS PROBLEMS IN THE FEATURE IS:	66 %
APPROXIMATE AMOUNT OF DISTRESS RELATED TO AGE OF PAVEMENT AND TRAFFIC REPETITIONS IS:	34 %



### FEATURE: 350


ANALYSIS YEAR: 2015 OPTIMIZED FOR: 2022 PAVEMENT TYPE: AC OVERLAY CONSTRUCTION YEAR: 1997 MINIMUM SERVICE LEVEL: 55

### **DESCRIPTION:** TAXIWAY B4

INSPECTION DATE:9-15-15AVERAGE PCI AT INSPECTION:66 FAIRESTIMATED PCI IS:53 in 2022NORMAL PCI FOR THIS AGE:49

### THE FOLLOWING PROJECTS HAVE BEEN SELECTED AS VIABLE ALTERNATIVES

LEGEND	DESCRIPTION	COST	LIFE EXTENSION
▲	RESURFACING	\$22,415	23 YEARS
▼	SURFACE TREATMENT	\$6,290	7 YEARS
<b>♦</b>	CRACK REPAIR	\$3,018	1 YEAR
•	NO ACTION	N/A	N/A



#### AIRPAV FEATURE ANALYSIS PROGRAM OUTPUT

<b>FEATURE:</b> 3010	DESCRIPTION: WEST RAMP	
ANALYSIS YEAR: 2015	INSPECTION DATE: 9-15-15	
PAVEMENT TYPE: AC FEATURE AREA: 10,105 INSPECTED AREA: 9,000	FEATURE'S HIGH PCI: 53 FEATURE'S LOW PCI: 37 AVERAGE PCI: 45 POOR	
MINIMUM SERVICE LEVEL: 55	ESTIMATED PCI IS: 45 in 2015	

### COMMENTS/HISTORY FOR FEATURE 3010, WEST RAMP

1992 - 5" P401 AC SURFACE ON 6" P209 AGG BASE ON P154 SUBBASE \*

\*

### DISTRESS QUANTITIES FOR FEATURE 3010

DISTRESS TYPE	SEVERITY	MEASURED QUANTITY	ESTIMATED TOTAL QUANTITIY	UNITS	PERCENTAGE OF All DISTRESS
DEPRESSION	LOW	30	33	S.F.	2.7
LONG.& TRANS. CRACK	MED	62	69	L.F.	12.3
LONG.& TRANS. CRACK	LOW	1,277	1,433	L.F.	38
PATCH & UTILITY CUT	MED	60	67	S.F.	11.8
PATCH & UTILITY CUT	LOW	275	308	S.F.	10.7
RAVELING	LOW	120	134	S.F.	3.4
WEATHERING	HIGH	50	56	S.F.	5.7
WEATHERING	MED	1,100	1,235	S.F.	8.2
WEATHERING	LOW	7,000	7,859	S.F.	6.7

APPROXIMATE AMOUNT OF DISTRESS RELATED TO LOAD ON THE PAVEMENT IS:	15 %
APPROXIMATE AMOUNT OF DISTRESS RELATED TO MATERIALS PROBLEMS IN THE FEATURE IS:	52 %
APPROXIMATE AMOUNT OF DISTRESS RELATED TO AGE OF PAVEMENT AND TRAFFIC REPETITIONS IS:	33 %




FEATURE: 3010

ANALYSIS YEAR: 2015 PAVEMENT TYPE: AC CONSTRUCTION YEAR: 1992 MINIMUM SERVICE LEVEL: 55 DESCRIPTION: WEST RAMP

INSPECTION DATE:9-15-15AVERAGE PCI AT INSPECTION:45 POORESTIMATED PCI IS:45 in 2015NORMAL PCI FOR THIS AGE:56

### THE FOLLOWING PROJECTS HAVE BEEN SELECTED AS VIABLE ALTERNATIVES

		COST	LIFE EXTENSION
<b>A</b>	RESURFACING	\$14,551	23 YEARS
▼	SURFACE TREATMENT	\$4,026	6 YEARS
•	NO ACTION	N/A	N/A



### AIRPAV FEATURE ANALYSIS PROGRAM OUTPUT

FEATURE: 3015	DESCRIPTION: EAST RAMP	
ANALYSIS YEAR: 2015	INSPECTION DATE: 9-15-15	
PAVEMENT TYPE: PCC	FEATURE'S HIGH PCI: 95	
FEATURE AREA: 7,486	FEATURE'S LOW PCI: 95	
INSPECTED AREA: 7,200	AVERAGE PCI: 95 GOOD	
MINIMUM SERVICE LEVEL: 55	ESTIMATED PCI IS: 95 in 2015	

### COMMENTS/HISTORY FOR FEATURE 3015, EAST RAMP

2011 PCC 8" P-501/4" P-209/P-152

# \*

DISTRESS QUANTITIES FOR FEATURE 3015

DISTRESS TYPE	SEVERITY	MEASURED QUANTITY	ESTIMATED TOTAL QUANTITIY	UNITS	PERCENTAGE OF All DISTRESS		
JOINT SEAL DAMAGE SPALLING-CORNERS	LOW MED	24 2	24 2	SLABS SLABS	19.6 80.3		
BASIC DISTRESS CAUSES							

APPROXIMATE AMOUNT OF DISTRESS RELATED TO LOAD ON THE PAVEMENT IS:	27 %
APPROXIMATE AMOUNT OF DISTRESS RELATED TO MATERIALS PROBLEMS IN THE FEATURE IS:	33 %
APPROXIMATE AMOUNT OF DISTRESS RELATED TO AGE OF PAVEMENT AND TRAFFIC REPETITIONS IS:	40 %



FEATURE: 3015


ANALYSIS YEAR: 2015 PAVEMENT TYPE: PCC CONSTRUCTION YEAR: 2011 MINIMUM SERVICE LEVEL: 55

### DESCRIPTION: EAST RAMP

INSPECTION DATE: 9-15-15 AVERAGE PCI AT INSPECTION: 95 GOOD ESTIMATED PCI IS: 95 in 2015 NORMAL PCI FOR THIS AGE: 97

### THE FOLLOWING PROJECTS HAVE BEEN SELECTED AS VIABLE ALTERNATIVES

LEGEND	DESCRIPTION	COST	LIFE EXTENSION
•	NO ACTION	N/A	N/A
-	MINIMUM SERVICE LEVEL, CURRENTLY 55		



#### AIRPAV FEATURE ANALYSIS PROGRAM OUTPUT

<b>FEATURE: 3020</b>	DESCRIPTION: EAST RAMP
ANALYSIS YEAR: 2015 OPTIMIZED FOR: 2019	INSPECTION DATE: 9-15-15
PAVEMENT TYPE: AC OVERLAY	FEATURE'S HIGH PCI: 77
FEATURE AREA: 56,400	FEATURE'S LOW PCI: 74
INSPECTED AREA: 22,300	AVERAGE PCI: 75 SATISFACTORY
MINIMUM SERVICE LEVEL: 55	ESTIMATED PCI IS: 54 in 2019

#### COMMENTS/HISTORY FOR FEATURE 3020, EAST RAMP

2011 AC 1.5" mill and 1.5" Overlay with P-402 type C/ 1997 P401 OF UNKNOWN SECTION

### **DISTRESS QUANTITIES FOR FEATURE 3020**

DISTRESS TYPE	SEVERITY	MEASURED QUANTITY	ESTIMATED TOTAL QUANTITIY	UNITS	PERCENTAGE OF All DISTRESS
LONG.& TRANS. CRACK	MED	210	531	L.F.	22.4
LONG.& TRANS. CRACK	LOW	1,919	4,853	L.F.	72.7
SWELL	LOW	30	75	S.F.	1.4
WEATHERING	MED	125	316	S.F.	.7
WEATHERING	LOW	1,250	3,161	S.F.	2.6

APPROXIMATE AMOUNT OF DISTRESS RELATED TO LOAD ON THE PAVEMENT IS:	0 %
APPROXIMATE AMOUNT OF DISTRESS RELATED TO MATERIALS PROBLEMS IN THE FEATURE IS:	66 %
APPROXIMATE AMOUNT OF DISTRESS RELATED TO AGE OF PAVEMENT AND TRAFFIC REPETITIONS IS:	34 %



### FEATURE: 3020


ANALYSIS YEAR: 2015 OPTIMIZED FOR: 2019 PAVEMENT TYPE: AC OVERLAY CONSTRUCTION YEAR: 2011 MINIMUM SERVICE LEVEL: 55

### DESCRIPTION: EAST RAMP

INSPECTION DATE: 9-15-15 AVERAGE PCI AT INSPECTION: 75 SATISFACTORY ESTIMATED PCI IS: 54 in 2019 NORMAL PCI FOR THIS AGE: 77

### THE FOLLOWING PROJECTS HAVE BEEN SELECTED AS VIABLE ALTERNATIVES

LEGEND	DESCRIPTION	COST	LIFE EXTENSION
<b>A</b>	RESURFACING	\$81,216	23 YEARS
▼	SURFACE TREATMENT	\$22,654	6 YEARS
<b>♦</b>	CRACK REPAIR	\$6,676	1 YEAR
<u> </u>	NO ACTION	N/A	N/A
-	MINIMUM SERVICE LEVEL, CURRENT	TLY 55	



#### AIRPAV FEATURE ANALYSIS PROGRAM OUTPUT

<b>FEATURE:</b> 3025	DESCRIPTION: WEST RAMP	
ANALYSIS YEAR: 2015	INSPECTION DATE: 9-15-15	
PAVEMENT TYPE: AC	FEATURE'S HIGH PCI: 55	
FEATURE AREA: 29,523	FEATURE'S LOW PCI: 52	
INSPECTED AREA: 16,700	AVERAGE PCI: 54 POOR	
MINIMUM SERVICE LEVEL: 55	ESTIMATED PCI IS: 54 in 2015	

### COMMENTS/HISTORY FOR FEATURE 3025, WEST RAMP

1997 AC est (Some est say 1992) \*

\*

### DISTRESS QUANTITIES FOR FEATURE 3025

DISTRESS TYPE	SEVERITY	MEASURED QUANTITY	ESTIMATED TOTAL QUANTITIY	UNITS	PERCENTAGE OF All DISTRESS
DEPRESSION	LOW	25	44	S.F.	.2
LONG.& TRANS. CRACK	HIGH	19	33	L.F.	9.1
LONG.& TRANS. CRACK	MED	126	222	L.F.	12.9
LONG.& TRANS. CRACK	LOW	1,579	2,791	L.F.	34
RAVELING	HIGH	5	8	S.F.	3.5
WEATHERING	HIGH	200	353	S.F.	13
WEATHERING	MED	6,375	11,270	S.F.	20.6
WEATHERING	LOW	9,800	17,324	S.F.	6.3

APPROXIMATE AMOUNT OF DISTRESS RELATED TO LOAD ON THE PAVEMENT IS:	0 %
APPROXIMATE AMOUNT OF DISTRESS RELATED TO MATERIALS PROBLEMS IN THE FEATURE IS:	52 %
APPROXIMATE AMOUNT OF DISTRESS RELATED TO AGE OF PAVEMENT AND TRAFFIC REPETITIONS IS:	48 %



FEATURE: 3025


ANALYSIS YEAR: 2015 PAVEMENT TYPE: AC CONSTRUCTION YEAR: 1997 MINIMUM SERVICE LEVEL: 55

### DESCRIPTION: WEST RAMP

INSPECTION DATE:9-15-15AVERAGE PCI AT INSPECTION:54 POORESTIMATED PCI IS:54 in 2015NORMAL PCI FOR THIS AGE:64

### THE FOLLOWING PROJECTS HAVE BEEN SELECTED AS VIABLE ALTERNATIVES

LEGEND	DESCRIPTION	COST	LIFE EXTENSION
▲	RESURFACING	\$42,513	23 YEARS
▼	SURFACE TREATMENT	\$11,830	8 YEARS
<b>♦</b>	CRACK REPAIR	\$3,777	1 YEAR
$\bigcirc$	NO ACTION	N/A	N/A



#### AIRPAV FEATURE ANALYSIS PROGRAM OUTPUT

<b>FEATURE: 3030</b>	DESCRIPTION: WEST RAMP	
ANALYSIS YEAR: 2015	INSPECTION DATE: 9-15-15	
PAVEMENT TYPE: PCC	FEATURE'S HIGH PCI: 100	
FEATURE AREA: 43,637	FEATURE'S LOW PCI: 96	
INSPECTED AREA: 18,000	AVERAGE PCI: 99 GOOD	
MINIMUM SERVICE LEVEL: 55	ESTIMATED PCI IS: 99 in 2015	

### COMMENTS/HISTORY FOR FEATURE 3030, WEST RAMP

2009 PCC 8" P-501/ 4" P-209

\*

### DISTRESS QUANTITIES FOR FEATURE 3030

DISTRESS TYPE	SEVERITY	MEASURED QUANTITY	ESTIMATED TOTAL QUANTITIY	UNITS	PERCENTAGE OF All DISTRESS
SHRINKAGE CRACKS	N/A	1	2	SLABS	19.3
SPALLING-CORNERS	MED	1	2	SLABS	80.6

APPROXIMATE AMOUNT OF DISTRESS RELATED TO LOAD ON THE PAVEMENT IS:	27 %
APPROXIMATE AMOUNT OF DISTRESS RELATED TO MATERIALS PROBLEMS IN THE FEATURE IS:	37 %
APPROXIMATE AMOUNT OF DISTRESS RELATED TO AGE OF PAVEMENT AND TRAFFIC REPETITIONS IS:	37 %




**FEATURE: 3030** 

ANALYSIS YEAR: 2015 PAVEMENT TYPE: PCC CONSTRUCTION YEAR: 2009 MINIMUM SERVICE LEVEL: 55 DESCRIPTION: WEST RAMP

INSPECTION DATE: 9-15-15 AVERAGE PCI AT INSPECTION: 99 GOOD ESTIMATED PCI IS: 99 in 2015 NORMAL PCI FOR THIS AGE: 96

# THE FOLLOWING PROJECTS HAVE BEEN SELECTED AS VIABLE ALTERNATIVES

LEGEND	DESCRIPTION	COST	LIFE EXTENSION
•	NO ACTION	N/A	N/A
-	MINIMUM SERVICE LEVEL, CURRENTLY 55		



#### AIRPAV FEATURE ANALYSIS PROGRAM OUTPUT

<b>FEATURE:</b> 3031	DESCRIPTION: RAMP COLLAR	
ANALYSIS YEAR: 2015	INSPECTION DATE: 9-15-15	
PAVEMENT TYPE: AC	FEATURE'S HIGH PCI: 93	
FEATURE AREA: 5,415	FEATURE'S LOW PCI: 81	
INSPECTED AREA: 5,415	AVERAGE PCI: 87 GOOD	
MINIMUM SERVICE LEVEL: 55	ESTIMATED PCI IS: 87 in 2015	

#### COMMENTS/HISTORY FOR FEATURE 3031, RAMP COLLAR

2009 AC

\*

# DISTRESS QUANTITIES FOR FEATURE 3031

DISTRESS TYPE	SEVERITY	MEASURED QUANTITY	ESTIMATED TOTAL QUANTITIY	UNITS	PERCENTAGE OF All DISTRESS
LONG.& TRANS. CRACK	MED	45	45	L.F.	45.3
LONG.& TRANS. CRACK	LOW	87	87	L.F.	40.5
WEATHERING	LOW	860	860	S.F.	14

APPROXIMATE AMOUNT OF DISTRESS RELATED TO LOAD ON THE PAVEMENT IS:	0 %
APPROXIMATE AMOUNT OF DISTRESS RELATED TO MATERIALS PROBLEMS IN THE FEATURE IS:	62 %
APPROXIMATE AMOUNT OF DISTRESS RELATED TO AGE OF PAVEMENT AND TRAFFIC REPETITIONS IS:	38 %



**FEATURE: 3031** 


ANALYSIS YEAR: 2015 PAVEMENT TYPE: AC CONSTRUCTION YEAR: 2009 MINIMUM SERVICE LEVEL: 55

# DESCRIPTION: RAMP COLLAR

INSPECTION DATE: 9-15-15 AVERAGE PCI AT INSPECTION: 87 GOOD ESTIMATED PCI IS: 87 in 2015 NORMAL PCI FOR THIS AGE: 83

# THE FOLLOWING PROJECTS HAVE BEEN SELECTED AS VIABLE ALTERNATIVES

LEGEND	DESCRIPTION	COST	LIFE EXTENSION
•	NO ACTION	N/A	N/A
-	MINIMUM SERVICE LEVEL, CURRENTLY 55		



#### AIRPAV FEATURE ANALYSIS PROGRAM OUTPUT

FEATURE: 3035	DESCRIPTION: WEST RAMP	
ANALYSIS YEAR: 2015	INSPECTION DATE: 9-15-15	
PAVEMENT TYPE: PCC	FEATURE'S HIGH PCI: 100	
FEATURE AREA: 22,370	FEATURE'S LOW PCI: 93	
INSPECTED AREA: 11,475	AVERAGE PCI: 97 GOOD	
<b>MINIMUM SERVICE LEVEL: 55</b>	ESTIMATED PCI IS: 97 in 2015	

# COMMENTS/HISTORY FOR FEATURE 3035, WEST RAMP

2009 PCC 8" P-501/ 4" P-209

\*

DISTRESS QUANTITIES FOR FEATURE 3035

DISTRESS TYPE	SEVERITY	MEASURED QUANTITY	ESTIMATED TOTAL QUANTITIY	UNITS	PERCENTAGE OF All DISTRESS
CORNER BREAK	LOW	1	1	SLABS	46.7
PATCH<5 SF	LOW	2	3	SLABS	13.5
SPALLING-CORNERS	MED	1	1	SLABS	39.6

APPROXIMATE AMOUNT OF DISTRESS RELATED TO LOAD ON THE PAVEMENT IS:	44 %
APPROXIMATE AMOUNT OF DISTRESS RELATED TO MATERIALS PROBLEMS IN THE FEATURE IS:	38 %
APPROXIMATE AMOUNT OF DISTRESS RELATED TO AGE OF PAVEMENT AND TRAFFIC REPETITIONS IS:	18 %



FEATURE: 3035


ANALYSIS YEAR: 2015 PAVEMENT TYPE: PCC CONSTRUCTION YEAR: 2009 MINIMUM SERVICE LEVEL: 55

# DESCRIPTION: WEST RAMP

INSPECTION DATE: 9-15-15 AVERAGE PCI AT INSPECTION: 97 GOOD ESTIMATED PCI IS: 97 in 2015 NORMAL PCI FOR THIS AGE: 96

# THE FOLLOWING PROJECTS HAVE BEEN SELECTED AS VIABLE ALTERNATIVES

LEGEND	DESCRIPTION	COST	LIFE EXTENSION
0	NO ACTION	N/A	N/A
-	MINIMUM SERVICE LEVEL, CURRENTLY 55		



#### AIRPAV FEATURE ANALYSIS PROGRAM OUTPUT

<b>FEATURE:</b> 3036	DESCRIPTION: RAMP COLLAR
ANALYSIS YEAR: 2015	INSPECTION DATE: 9-15-15
PAVEMENT TYPE: AC	FEATURE'S HIGH PCI: 86
FEATURE AREA: 6,080	FEATURE'S LOW PCI: 82
INSPECTED AREA: 3,240	AVERAGE PCI: 84 SATISFACTORY
MINIMUM SERVICE LEVEL: 55	ESTIMATED PCI IS: 84 in 2015

#### COMMENTS/HISTORY FOR FEATURE 3036, RAMP COLLAR

2009 AC

\*

#### \*

# DISTRESS QUANTITIES FOR FEATURE 3036

DISTRESS TYPE	SEVERITY	MEASURED QUANTITY	ESTIMATED TOTAL QUANTITIY	UNITS	PERCENTAGE OF All DISTRESS
LONG.& TRANS. CRACK	MED	10	18	L.F.	36.6
LONG.& TRANS. CRACK	LOW	70	131	L.F.	43.9
WEATHERING	LOW	1,200	2,251	S.F.	19.3

APPROXIMATE AMOUNT OF DISTRESS RELATED TO LOAD ON THE PAVEMENT IS:	0 %
APPROXIMATE AMOUNT OF DISTRESS RELATED TO MATERIALS PROBLEMS IN THE FEATURE IS:	60 %
APPROXIMATE AMOUNT OF DISTRESS RELATED TO AGE OF PAVEMENT AND TRAFFIC REPETITIONS IS:	40 %



FEATURE: 3036


ANALYSIS YEAR: 2015 PAVEMENT TYPE: AC CONSTRUCTION YEAR: 2009 MINIMUM SERVICE LEVEL: 55

# DESCRIPTION: RAMP COLLAR

INSPECTION DATE: 9-15-15 AVERAGE PCI AT INSPECTION: 84 SATISFACTORY ESTIMATED PCI IS: 84 in 2015 NORMAL PCI FOR THIS AGE: 83

# THE FOLLOWING PROJECTS HAVE BEEN SELECTED AS VIABLE ALTERNATIVES

LEGEND	DESCRIPTION	COST	LIFE EXTENSION
•	NO ACTION	N/A	N/A
-	MINIMUM SERVICE LEVEL, CURRENTLY 55		



#### AIRPAV FEATURE ANALYSIS PROGRAM OUTPUT

FEATURE: 5005	DESCRIPTION: RUNWAY 2-20 KEEL
ANALYSIS YEAR: 2015 OPTIMIZED FOR: 2018	INSPECTION DATE: 9-15-15
PAVEMENT TYPE: AC	FEATURE'S HIGH PCI: 67
FEATURE AREA: 50,677	FEATURE'S LOW PCI: 60
INSPECTED AREA: 17,000	AVERAGE PCI: 64 FAIR
MINIMUM SERVICE LEVEL: 60	ESTIMATED PCI IS: 59 in 2018

#### COMMENTS/HISTORY FOR FEATURE 5005, RUNWAY 2-20 KEEL

1995 - 4.5" P401 SURFACE ON 1980: 7" RECYCLED BASE ON 1" P208 SUBBASE \*

# DISTRESS QUANTITIES FOR FEATURE 5005

DISTRESS TYPE	SEVERITY	MEASURED QUANTITY	ESTIMATED TOTAL QUANTITIY	UNITS	PERCENTAGE OF All DISTRESS	
LONG.& TRANS. CRACK	MED	52	155	L.F.	12.6	
LONG.& TRANS. CRACK	LOW	1,838	5,479	L.F.	62.4	
WEATHERING	MED	1,200	3,577	S.F.	10.9	
WEATHERING	LOW	15,500	46,205	S.F.	13.9	

APPROXIMATE AMOUNT OF DISTRESS RELATED TO LOAD ON THE PAVEMENT IS:	0 %
APPROXIMATE AMOUNT OF DISTRESS RELATED TO MATERIALS PROBLEMS IN THE FEATURE IS:	58 %
APPROXIMATE AMOUNT OF DISTRESS RELATED TO AGE OF PAVEMENT AND TRAFFIC REPETITIONS IS:	42 %



# FEATURE: 5005


ANALYSIS YEAR: 2015 OPTIMIZED FOR: 2018 PAVEMENT TYPE: AC CONSTRUCTION YEAR: 1995 MINIMUM SERVICE LEVEL: 60

#### **DESCRIPTION:** RUNWAY 2-20 KEEL

INSPECTION DATE:9-15-15AVERAGE PCI AT INSPECTION:64 FAIRESTIMATED PCI IS:59 in 2018NORMAL PCI FOR THIS AGE:56

### THE FOLLOWING PROJECTS HAVE BEEN SELECTED AS VIABLE ALTERNATIVES

LEGEND	DESCRIPTION	COST	LIFE EXTENSION
<b>A</b>	RESURFACING	\$72,974	20 YEARS
▼	SURFACE TREATMENT	\$19,956	8 YEARS
\$	CRACK REPAIR	\$6,986	1 YEAR
$\bigcirc$	NO ACTION	N/A	N/A



#### AIRPAV FEATURE ANALYSIS PROGRAM OUTPUT

FEATURE: 5010	DESCRIPTION: RUNWAY 2-20
ANALYSIS YEAR: 2015 OPTIMIZED FOR: 2019	INSPECTION DATE: 9-15-15
PAVEMENT TYPE: AC	FEATURE'S HIGH PCI: 72
<b>FEATURE AREA:</b> 130,922	FEATURE'S LOW PCI: 62
INSPECTED AREA: 30,250	AVERAGE PCI: 66 FAIR
MINIMUM SERVICE LEVEL: 60	ESTIMATED PCI IS: 59 in 2019

#### COMMENTS/HISTORY FOR FEATURE 5010, RUNWAY 2-20

1995 - 4.5" P401 SURFACE ON 1958: 7" RECYCLED BASE ON 2.5" P208 SUBBASE \*

\*

# DISTRESS QUANTITIES FOR FEATURE 5010

DISTRESS TYPE	SEVERITY	MEASURED QUANTITY	ESTIMATED TOTAL QUANTITIY	UNITS	PERCENTAGE OF All DISTRESS
LONG.& TRANS. CRACK	MED	33	142	L.F.	5
LONG.& TRANS. CRACK	LOW	3,323	14,381	L.F.	66.6
WEATHERING	MED	3,050	13,200	S.F.	14
WEATHERING	LOW	26,200	113.393	S.F.	14.3

APPROXIMATE AMOUNT OF DISTRESS RELATED TO LOAD ON THE PAVEMENT IS:	0 %
APPROXIMATE AMOUNT OF DISTRESS RELATED TO MATERIALS PROBLEMS IN THE FEATURE IS:	57 %
APPROXIMATE AMOUNT OF DISTRESS RELATED TO AGE OF PAVEMENT AND TRAFFIC REPETITIONS IS:	43 %



# FEATURE: 5010


ANALYSIS YEAR: 2015 OPTIMIZED FOR: 2019 PAVEMENT TYPE: AC CONSTRUCTION YEAR: 1995 MINIMUM SERVICE LEVEL: 60

# **DESCRIPTION:** RUNWAY 2-20

INSPECTION DATE: 9-15-15 AVERAGE PCI AT INSPECTION: 66 FAIR ESTIMATED PCI IS: 59 in 2019 NORMAL PCI FOR THIS AGE: 54

### THE FOLLOWING PROJECTS HAVE BEEN SELECTED AS VIABLE ALTERNATIVES

LEGEND	DESCRIPTION	COST	LIFE EXTENSION
<b>A</b>	RESURFACING	\$188,527	20 YEARS
▼	SURFACE TREATMENT	\$51,235	8 YEARS
$\bigcirc$	NO ACTION	N/A	N/A



#### AIRPAV FEATURE ANALYSIS PROGRAM OUTPUT

<b>FEATURE:</b> 5015	DESCRIPTION: RUNWAY 2-20 KEEL
ANALYSIS YEAR: 2015 OPTIMIZED FOR: 2017	INSPECTION DATE: 9-15-15
PAVEMENT TYPE: AC	FEATURE'S HIGH PCI: 67
<b>FEATURE AREA:</b> 66,000	FEATURE'S LOW PCI: 57
INSPECTED AREA: 19,250	AVERAGE PCI: 63 FAIR
MINIMUM SERVICE LEVEL: 60	ESTIMATED PCI IS: 60 in 2017

#### COMMENTS/HISTORY FOR FEATURE 5015, RUNWAY 2-20 KEEL

1995 - 4.5" P401 AC SURFACE ON 7" RECYCLED BASE ON 1985 P208 SUBBASE  $\ast$ 

# DISTRESS QUANTITIES FOR FEATURE 5015

DISTRESS TYPE	SEVERITY	MEASURED QUANTITY	ESTIMATED TOTAL QUANTITIY	UNITS	PERCENTAGE OF All DISTRESS
DEPRESSION	LOW	50	171	S.F.	3.4
LONG.& TRANS. CRACK	MED	50	171	L.F.	4.3
LONG.& TRANS. CRACK	LOW	2,582	8,852	L.F.	62.5
WEATHERING	MED	3,300	11,314	S.F.	18
WEATHERING	LOW	15,650	53,657	S.F.	11.6

APPROXIMATE AMOUNT OF DISTRESS RELATED TO LOAD ON THE PAVEMENT IS:	0 %
APPROXIMATE AMOUNT OF DISTRESS RELATED TO MATERIALS PROBLEMS IN THE FEATURE IS:	58 %
APPROXIMATE AMOUNT OF DISTRESS RELATED TO AGE OF PAVEMENT AND TRAFFIC REPETITIONS IS:	42 %



# FEATURE: 5015


ANALYSIS YEAR: 2015 OPTIMIZED FOR: 2017 PAVEMENT TYPE: AC CONSTRUCTION YEAR: 1995 MINIMUM SERVICE LEVEL: 60

#### **DESCRIPTION:** RUNWAY 2-20 KEEL

INSPECTION DATE:9-15-15AVERAGE PCI AT INSPECTION:63 FAIRESTIMATED PCI IS:60 in 2017NORMAL PCI FOR THIS AGE:58

### THE FOLLOWING PROJECTS HAVE BEEN SELECTED AS VIABLE ALTERNATIVES

LEGEND	DESCRIPTION	COST	LIFE EXTENSION
▲	RESURFACING	\$95,040	20 YEARS
▼	SURFACE TREATMENT	\$25,952	8 YEARS
•	NO ACTION	N/A	N/A
	MINIMUM SERVICE LEVEL, CURRENT	FLY 60	



#### AIRPAV FEATURE ANALYSIS PROGRAM OUTPUT

FEATURE: 5020	<b>DESCRIPTION:</b> RUNWAY 2 EXT
ANALYSIS YEAR: 2015 OPTIMIZED FOR: 2020	INSPECTION DATE: 9-15-15
PAVEMENT TYPE: AC	FEATURE'S HIGH PCI: 69
FEATURE AREA: 46,252	FEATURE'S LOW PCI: 66
INSPECTED AREA: 18,750	AVERAGE PCI: 68 FAIR
MINIMUM SERVICE LEVEL: 60	ESTIMATED PCI IS: 59 in 2020

#### COMMENTS/HISTORY FOR FEATURE 5020, RUNWAY 2 EXT

2002: 4.5" P-401 / 7" P-209 / COMPACTED SUBGRADE WITH UNDERDRAINS \*

\*

# DISTRESS QUANTITIES FOR FEATURE 5020

DISTRESS TYPE	SEVERITY	MEASURED QUANTITY	ESTIMATED TOTAL QUANTITIY	UNITS	PERCENTAGE OF All DISTRESS
LONG.& TRANS. CRACK	MED	122	300	L.F.	23.7
LONG.& TRANS. CRACK	LOW	2,519	6,213	L.F.	76.2

APPROXIMATE AMOUNT OF DISTRESS RELATED TO LOAD ON THE PAVEMENT IS:	0 %
APPROXIMATE AMOUNT OF DISTRESS RELATED TO MATERIALS PROBLEMS IN THE FEATURE IS:	67 %
APPROXIMATE AMOUNT OF DISTRESS RELATED TO AGE OF PAVEMENT AND TRAFFIC REPETITIONS IS:	33 %




# FEATURE: 5020

ANALYSIS YEAR: 2015 OPTIMIZED FOR: 2020 PAVEMENT TYPE: AC CONSTRUCTION YEAR: 2002 MINIMUM SERVICE LEVEL: 60

# **DESCRIPTION:** RUNWAY 2 EXT

INSPECTION DATE:9-15-15AVERAGE PCI AT INSPECTION:68 FAIRESTIMATED PCI IS:59 in 2020NORMAL PCI FOR THIS AGE:64

### THE FOLLOWING PROJECTS HAVE BEEN SELECTED AS VIABLE ALTERNATIVES



#### AIRPAV FEATURE ANALYSIS PROGRAM OUTPUT

FEATURE: 5025	DESCRIPTION: RUNWAY 2-20
ANALYSIS YEAR: 2015	INSPECTION DATE: 9-15-15
PAVEMENT TYPE: AC	FEATURE'S HIGH PCI: 86
<b>FEATURE AREA:</b> 20,500	FEATURE'S LOW PCI: 68
INSPECTED AREA: 10,875	AVERAGE PCI: 76 SATISFACTORY
MINIMUM SERVICE LEVEL: 60	ESTIMATED PCI IS: 76 in 2015

# COMMENTS/HISTORY FOR FEATURE 5025, RUNWAY 2-20

2002 AC 4.5" P-401/ 7" P-209/ Compacted Subgrade W/underdrains \*

\*

# DISTRESS QUANTITIES FOR FEATURE 5025

DISTRESS TYPE	SEVERITY	MEASURED QUANTITY	ESTIMATED TOTAL QUANTITIY	UNITS	PERCENTAGE OF All DISTRESS
LONG.& TRANS. CRACK	MED	33	62	L.F.	13.1
LONG.& TRANS. CRACK	LOW	1,032	1,945	L.F.	80.4
WEATHERING	LOW	1,500	2,827	S.F.	6.3

APPROXIMATE AMOUNT OF DISTRESS RELATED TO LOAD ON THE PAVEMENT IS:	0 %
APPROXIMATE AMOUNT OF DISTRESS RELATED TO MATERIALS PROBLEMS IN THE FEATURE IS:	65 %
APPROXIMATE AMOUNT OF DISTRESS RELATED TO AGE OF PAVEMENT AND TRAFFIC REPETITIONS IS:	35 %



FEATURE: 5025


ANALYSIS YEAR: 2015 PAVEMENT TYPE: AC CONSTRUCTION YEAR: 2002 MINIMUM SERVICE LEVEL: 60

# **DESCRIPTION:** RUNWAY 2-20

INSPECTION DATE: 9-15-15 AVERAGE PCI AT INSPECTION: 76 SATISFACTORY ESTIMATED PCI IS: 76 in 2015 NORMAL PCI FOR THIS AGE: 71

# THE FOLLOWING PROJECTS HAVE BEEN SELECTED AS VIABLE ALTERNATIVES

LEGEND	DESCRIPTION	COST	LIFE EXTENSION
•	NO ACTION	N/A	N/A
-	MINIMUM SERVICE LEVEL, CURRENTLY 60		



#### AIRPAV FEATURE ANALYSIS PROGRAM OUTPUT

FEATURE: 5030	DESCRIPTION: RUNWAY 2-20 WING
ANALYSIS YEAR: 2015 OPTIMIZED FOR: 2021	INSPECTION DATE: 9-15-15
PAVEMENT TYPE: AC	FEATURE'S HIGH PCI: 74
FEATURE AREA: 61,819	FEATURE'S LOW PCI: 66
INSPECTED AREA: 26,200	AVERAGE PCI: 69 FAIR
MINIMUM SERVICE LEVEL: 60	ESTIMATED PCI IS: 59 in 2021

#### COMMENTS/HISTORY FOR FEATURE 5030, RUNWAY 2-20 WING

2002: 4.5" P-401 / 7" P-209 / COMPACTED SUBGRADE WITH UNDERDRAINS \*

# DISTRESS QUANTITIES FOR FEATURE 5030

DISTRESS TYPE	SEVERITY	MEASURED QUANTITY	ESTIMATED TOTAL QUANTITIY	UNITS	PERCENTAGE OF All DISTRESS
DEPRESSION	LOW	44	103	S.F.	2.4
LONG.& TRANS. CRACK	MED	133	313	L.F.	18.5
LONG.& TRANS. CRACK	LOW	2,815	6,642	L.F.	67.1
SWELL	LOW	290	684	S.F.	8.1
WEATHERING	LOW	3,000	7,078	S.F.	3.6

APPROXIMATE AMOUNT OF DISTRESS RELATED TO LOAD ON THE PAVEMENT IS:	0 %
APPROXIMATE AMOUNT OF DISTRESS RELATED TO MATERIALS PROBLEMS IN THE FEATURE IS:	69 %
APPROXIMATE AMOUNT OF DISTRESS RELATED TO AGE OF PAVEMENT AND TRAFFIC REPETITIONS IS:	31 %



# FEATURE: 5030


ANALYSIS YEAR: 2015 OPTIMIZED FOR: 2021 PAVEMENT TYPE: AC CONSTRUCTION YEAR: 2002 MINIMUM SERVICE LEVEL: 60

# DESCRIPTION: RUNWAY 2-20 WING

INSPECTION DATE:9-15-15AVERAGE PCI AT INSPECTION:69 FAIRESTIMATED PCI IS:59 in 2021NORMAL PCI FOR THIS AGE:63

# THE FOLLOWING PROJECTS HAVE BEEN SELECTED AS VIABLE ALTERNATIVES

LEGEND	DESCRIPTION	COST	LIFE EXTENSION
▲	RESURFACING	\$89,019	20 YEARS
▼	SURFACE TREATMENT	\$24,497	8 YEARS
<b>\$</b>	CRACK REPAIR	\$8,624	1 YEAR
•	NO ACTION	N/A	N/A



#### AIRPAV FEATURE ANALYSIS PROGRAM OUTPUT

FEATURE: 5105	DESCRIPTION: RUNWAY 14-32
ANALYSIS YEAR: 2015 OPTIMIZED FOR: 2018	INSPECTION DATE: 9-15-15
PAVEMENT TYPE: AC	FEATURE'S HIGH PCI: 70
FEATURE AREA: 175,237	FEATURE'S LOW PCI: 58
INSPECTED AREA: 36,000 MINIMUM SERVICE LEVEL: 60	AVERAGE PCI: 65 FAIR ESTIMATED PCI IS: 60 in 2018
WINNING SERVICE LEVEL. 00	ESTIMATED FOLIS. 00 III 2018

#### COMMENTS/HISTORY FOR FEATURE 5105, RUNWAY 14-32

2001: 3" AC / 8" CABC

\*

DISTRESS QUANTITIES FOR FEATURE 5105

DISTRESS TYPE	SEVERITY	MEASURED QUANTITY	ESTIMATED TOTAL QUANTITIY	UNITS	PERCENTAGE OF All DISTRESS
LONG.& TRANS. CRACK	MED	440	2,141	L.F.	26.6
LONG.& TRANS. CRACK	LOW	4,619	22,483	L.F.	63.4
WEATHERING	MED	1,500	7,301	S.F.	4.7
WEATHERING	LOW	7,000	34,073	S.F.	5

APPROXIMATE AMOUNT OF DISTRESS RELATED TO LOAD ON THE PAVEMENT IS:	0 %
APPROXIMATE AMOUNT OF DISTRESS RELATED TO MATERIALS PROBLEMS IN THE FEATURE IS:	63 %
APPROXIMATE AMOUNT OF DISTRESS RELATED TO AGE OF PAVEMENT AND TRAFFIC REPETITIONS IS:	37 %



# FEATURE: 5105


ANALYSIS YEAR: 2015 OPTIMIZED FOR: 2018 PAVEMENT TYPE: AC CONSTRUCTION YEAR: 2001 MINIMUM SERVICE LEVEL: 60

# **DESCRIPTION:** RUNWAY 14-32

INSPECTION DATE:9-15-15AVERAGE PCI AT INSPECTION:65 FAIRESTIMATED PCI IS:60 in 2018NORMAL PCI FOR THIS AGE:66

### THE FOLLOWING PROJECTS HAVE BEEN SELECTED AS VIABLE ALTERNATIVES

LEGEND	DESCRIPTION	COST	LIFE EXTENSION
<b>A</b>	RESURFACING	\$252,341	20 YEARS
▼	SURFACE TREATMENT	\$70,997	9 YEARS
<b>♦</b>	CRACK REPAIR	\$30,533	2 YEARS
ightarrow	NO ACTION	N/A	N/A





This page intentionally left blank



# Appendix F. Airport Responsibilities

# **Grant Assurances**

In 1995, Congress mandated that the FAA require, as a condition of grant funding, that airport sponsors prepare documentation of a maintenance management program on pavement that has been constructed, reconstructed, or repaired with Federal assistance.

This report fulfills many of the grant assurance requirements, including documenting:

- Locating all runways, taxiways, and aprons.
- Documenting pavement dimensions.
- Documenting types of pavement.
- Documenting year of construction or most recent major rehabilitation.

The airport owners must be an active participant in maintaining compliance. Actions taken to ensure compliance include:

- Annotating areas constructed or repaired with Federal aid.
- Conducting monthly driveby inspections to detect changes in pavement condition.
- Recording each drive-by inspection and any maintenance performed as a result.
- Keeping complete records of all maintenance activities.

		ASSURANCES Airport Sponsors			
А.	ral.				
	1.	These assurances shall be complied with in the performance of grant agreements for airport			
	2. 3.	development, airport planning, and noise compatibility program grants for airport sponsors. These assurances are required to be submitted as part of the project application by sponsors requesting funds under the provisions of Title 49, U.S.C., subtile VII, as amended. As used herein, the term "public agency sponsor" means a public agency with control of a public-use airport; the term "private sponsor" means a private owner of a public-use airport; and the term "sponsor" includes both public agency sponsors and private sponsors. Upon accentance of the grant offer by the sponsor, these assurances are incorporated in and			
	5.	become part of the grant agreement.			
B.	Duration and Applicability.				
	1.	Airport development or Noise Compatibility Program Projects Undertaken by a Public Agency Sponsor. The terms, conditions and assurances of the grant agreement shall remain in full force and effect throughout the useful life of the facilities developed or equipment acquired for an airport development or noise compatibility program project, or throughout the useful life of the project items installed within a facility under a noise compatibility program project, but in any event not to exceed twenty (20) years from the date of acceptance of a grant offer of Federal funds for the project. However, there shall be no limit on the duration of the assurances regarding Exclusive Rights and Airport Revenue so long as the airport is used as an airport. There shall be no limit on the duration of the terms, conditions, and assurances with respect to real property acquired with federal funds. Furthermore, the duration of the Civil Rights assurance shall be specified in the assurances.			
	2.	Airport Development or Noise Compatibility Projects Undertaken by a Private Sponsor. The preceding paragraph 1 also applies to a private sponsor except that the useful life of project items installed within a facility or the useful life of the facilities developed or equipment acquired under an airport development or noise compatibility program project shall be no less than ten (10) years from the date of acceptance of Federal aid for the project.			
	3.	Airport Planning Undertaken by a Sponsor. Unless otherwise specified in the grant agreement, only Assurances 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 13, 18, 30, 32, 33, and 34 in section C apply to planning projects. The terms, conditions, and assurances of the grant agreement shall remain full force and effect during the life of the project.			
C.	Spons	sor Certification. The sponsor hereby assures and certifies, with respect to this grant that:			
	1.	General Federal Requirements. It will comply with all applicable Federal laws, regulations, executive orders, policies, guidelines, and requirements as they relate to the application, acceptance and use of Federal funds for this project including but not limited to the following:			
		Federal Legislation			
		<ul> <li>a. Title 49, U.S.C., subtitle VII, as amended.</li> <li>b. Davis-Bacon Act - 40 U.S.C. 276(a), <u>et seq.</u><sup>1</sup></li> <li>c. Federal Fair Labor Standards Act - 29 U.S.C. 201, <u>et seq.</u></li> <li>d. Hatch Act - 5 U.S.C. 1501, <u>et seq.</u><sup>2</sup></li> </ul>			
Airpo	rt Assura	ances (3/2005)			

- Keeping records for 5 years.
- Documenting detailed inspection information with a history of recorded pavement deterioration by PCI survey (e.g., this report).

The table on the following pages is available for maintaining a record of drive-by inspections and maintenance repairs.



Date	Inspector	Conditions/Changes	Repairs/Work Order

# Table F-1. Monthly Pavement Inspection Log



Date	Inspector	Conditions/Changes	Repairs/Work Order



Date	Inspector	Conditions/Changes	Repairs/Work Order

