## Description

This table presents the CRFs/CMFs for safety countermeasures that were identified as being the most suitable for Indiana based on the criteria presented in the Joint Transportation Research Program technical report, "Updating the Crash Modification Factors and Calibrating the IHSDM for Indiana". The table contains 82 safety countermeasures spanning 16 different categories. For each countermeasure, the applicable areas type (urban and/or rural), facility type, and CRF/CMF values for various crash types and severities are presented. Finally, the state(s) where each study was conducted and the corresponding reference are provided in the table.

CRFs and CMFs Most Suitable for Indiana

| Category | Countermeasure | Area Type | Facility type | Crash Type | CRF | CMF | States and (reference number) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Access management | Install two-way left-turn lane (TWLTL) | Rural | Two-lane highways | Total | 36.0 | 0.640 | AR, CA, IL, NC (29) |
|  |  |  |  | KABC | 34.8 | 0.652 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Rear-end | 46.8 | 0.532 |  |
| Access management | Replace TWLTL with raised median | Urban | Principal arterials; minor arterials; collectors | Total | 23 | 0.77 | NV (24) |
|  |  |  |  | PDO | 33 | 0.67 |  |
|  |  |  |  | KABC | 21 | 0.79 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Rear-end | 19 | 0.81 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Sideswipe | 21 | 0.79 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Angle | 36 | 0.64 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Head-on | 47 | 0.53 |  |
| Access management | Reduce driveway density by 1 driveway per mile* | Rural | Two-lane highways | Total | 2.3 | 0.977 | TX (11) |
|  |  |  | Four-lane highways | Total | 0.4 | 0.996 |  |
| Access management | Reduce driveway density by 2 driveways per mile* | Rural | Two-lane highways | Total | 4.5 | 0.955 | TX (11) |
|  |  |  | Four-lane highways | Total | 0.7 | 0.993 |  |
| Access management | Reduce driveway density by 3 driveways per mile* | Rural | Two-lane highways | Total | 6.7 | 0.933 | TX (11) |
|  |  |  | Four-lane highways | Total | 1.1 | 0.989 |  |
| Access management | Reduce driveway density by 5 driveways per mile* | Urban | Principal arterials, minor arterials, or collectors with raised medians | Total | 4.7 | 0.953 | NV (24) |
|  |  |  |  | PDO | 3.5 | 0.965 |  |
|  |  |  |  | KABC | 2.9 | 0.971 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Rear-end | 1.5 | 0.985 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Angle | 4.3 | 0.957 |  |
|  |  |  | Principal arterials, minor arterials, or collectors with TWLTLs | Total | 4.4 | 0.956 |  |
|  |  |  |  | PDO | 4.6 | 0.954 |  |
|  |  |  |  | KABC | 1.3 | 0.987 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Rear-end | 3.8 | 0.962 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Angle | 4.1 | 0.959 |  |
| Access management | Reduce driveway density by 10 driveways per mile* | Urban | Principal arterials, minor arterials, or collectors with raised medians | Total | 9.2 | 0.908 | NV (24) |
|  |  |  |  | PDO | 6.9 | 0.931 |  |
|  |  |  |  | KABC | 5.7 | 0.943 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Rear-end | 3.0 | 0.970 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Angle | 8.3 | 0.917 |  |
|  |  |  | Principal arterials, minor arterials, or collectors with TWLTLs | Total | 8.6 | 0.914 |  |
|  |  |  |  | PDO | 9.0 | 0.910 |  |
|  |  |  |  | KABC | 2.6 | 0.974 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Rear-end | 7.4 | 0.926 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Angle | 8.1 | 0.919 |  |


| Category | Countermeasure | Area Type | Facility type | Crash Type | CRF | CMF | States and (reference number) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Access management | Reduce driveway density by 15 driveways per mile* | Urban | Principal arterials, minor arterials, or collectors with raised medians | Total | 13.4 | 0.866 | NV (24) |
|  |  |  |  | PDO | 10.1 | 0.899 |  |
|  |  |  |  | KABC | 8.5 | 0.915 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Rear-end | 4.4 | 0.956 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Angle | 12.2 | 0.878 |  |
|  |  |  | Principal arterials, minor arterials, or collectors with TWLTLs | Total | 12.6 | 0.874 |  |
|  |  |  |  | PDO | 13.2 | 0.868 |  |
|  |  |  |  | KABC | 3.8 | 0.962 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Rear-end | 10.9 | 0.891 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Angle | 11.8 | 0.882 |  |
| Access management | Reduce driveway density by 20 driveways per mile* | Urban | Principal arterials, minor arterials, or collectors with raised medians | Total | 17.5 | 0.825 | NV (24) |
|  |  |  |  | PDO | 13.2 | 0.868 |  |
|  |  |  |  | KABC | 11.1 | 0.889 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Rear-end | 5.8 | 0.942 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Angle | 16.0 | 0.840 |  |
|  |  |  | Principal arterials, minor arterials, or collectors with TWLTLs | Total | 16.5 | 0.835 |  |
|  |  |  |  | PDO | 17.1 | 0.829 |  |
|  |  |  |  | KABC | 5.1 | 0.949 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Rear-end | 14.3 | 0.857 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Angle | 15.5 | 0.845 |  |
| Alignment | Flatten crest of curve | Rural | Arterials, collectors | Total | 19.6 | 0.804 | OH (19) |
|  |  |  |  | KABC | 51.2 | 0.488 |  |


| Category | Countermeasure | Area Type | Facility type | Crash Type | CRF | CMF | States and (reference number) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alignment | Reduce the average grade rate by $1 \%$ * | Rural | Two-lane roads | PDO | 2.0 | 0.980 | IN (42) |
|  |  |  |  | KABC | 1.9 | 0.981 |  |
| Alignment | Reduce the average grade rate by $2 \%$ * | Rural | Two-lane roads | PDO | 4.0 | 0.960 | IN (42) |
|  |  |  |  | KABC | 3.8 | 0.962 |  |
| Alignment | Reduce the average grade rate by $3 \%$ * | Rural | Two-lane roads | PDO | 6.0 | 0.940 | IN (42) |
|  |  |  |  | KABC | 5.7 | 0.943 |  |
| Alignment | Reduce the average grade rate by $4 \%$ * | Rural | Two-lane roads | PDO | 7.9 | 0.921 | IN (42) |
|  |  |  |  | KABC | 7.5 | 0.925 |  |
| Alignment | Reduce the average grade rate by $5 \%$ * | Rural | Two-lane roads | PDO | 9.7 | 0.903 | IN (42) |
|  |  |  |  | KABC | 9.3 | 0.907 |  |
| Alignment | Reduce the average degree of curve by 1 degree* | Rural | Two-lane roads | PDO | 1.9 | 0.981 | IN (42) |
|  |  |  |  | KABC | 2.9 | 0.971 |  |
| Alignment | Reduce the average degree of curve by 2 degrees* | Rural | Two-lane roads | PDO | 3.8 | 0.962 | IN (42) |
|  |  |  |  | KABC | 5.7 | 0.943 |  |
| Alignment | Reduce the average degree of curve by 3 degrees* | Rural | Two-lane roads | PDO | 5.7 | 0.943 | IN (42) |
|  |  |  |  | KABC | 8.4 | 0.916 |  |
| Alignment | Reduce the average degree of curve by 4 degrees* | Rural | Two-lane roads | PDO | 7.5 | 0.925 | IN (42) |
|  |  |  |  | KABC | 11.1 | 0.889 |  |
| Alignment | Reduce the average degree of curve by 5 degrees* | Rural | Two-lane roads | PDO | 9.3 | 0.907 | IN (42) |
|  |  |  |  | KABC | 13.6 | 0.864 |  |
| Highway lighting | Install lighting on a roadway segment | Urban and rural | Not specified | Nighttime | 20.0 | 0.80 | Not specified (17) |
|  |  |  |  | Nighttime KABC | 29.0 | 0.71 |  |
| Highway lighting | Install lighting at a signalized intersection | Urban | Not specified | Daytime | -3.0 | 1.03 | MN (6) |
|  |  |  |  | Nighttime | 3.0 | 0.97 |  |
|  |  | Rural | Not specified | Daytime | 2.0 | 0.98 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Nighttime | 2.0 | 0.98 |  |
| Highway lighting | Install lighting at a stopcontrolled intersection | Urban | Not specified | Daytime | -5.0 | 1.05 | MN (6) |
|  |  |  |  | Nighttime | 9.0 | 0.91 |  |
|  |  | Rural | Not specified | Daytime | -9.0 | 1.09 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Nighttime | -7.0 | 1.07 |  |
| Highway lighting | Install lighting at an interchange | Urban and rural | Arterials, collectors | Total | 50.4 | 0.496 | OH (19) |
|  |  |  |  | KABC | 26.0 | 0.74 |  |


| Category | Countermeasure | Area Type | Facility type | Crash Type | CRF | CMF | States and (reference number) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection geometry | Add a left-turn lane on one major approach to a signalized intersection | Urban | Three-leg intersections | Total | 7.0 | 0.930 | IA, IL, LA, MN, NE, NC, OR, VA (18) |
|  |  |  | Four-leg intersections | Total | 10.0 | 0.900 |  |
|  |  | Rural | Three-leg intersections | Total | 15.0 | 0.850 |  |
|  |  |  | Four-leg intersections | Total | 18.0 | 0.820 |  |
| Intersection geometry | Add a left-turn lane on one major approach to an unsignalized intersection | Urban | Three-leg intersections | Total | 33.0 | 0.670 | IA, IL, LA, MN, NE, NC, OR, VA (18) |
|  |  |  | Four-leg intersections | Total | 27.0 | 0.730 |  |
|  |  | Rural | Three-leg intersections | Total | 44.0 | 0.560 |  |
|  |  |  | Four-leg intersections | Total | 28.0 | 0.720 |  |
| Intersection geometry | Add a right-turn lane on one major approach to a signalized intersection | Urban | Four-leg intersections | Total | 4.0 | 0.960 | $\begin{gathered} \text { IA, IL, LA, MN, NE, NC, } \\ \text { OR, VA (18) } \end{gathered}$ |
| Intersection geometry | Add a right-turn lane on one major approach to an unsignalized intersection | Rural | Four-leg intersections | Total | 14.0 | 0.860 | IA, IL, LA, MN, NE, NC, OR, VA (18) |
| Intersection geometry | Convert diamond interchange to diverging diamond interchange (DDI) | Urban | Principal arterial, other freeways and expressways | Total | 33 | 0.67 | KY, MO, NY, TN (20) |
|  |  |  |  | Injury | 41 | 0.59 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Angle | 67 | 0.33 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Rear-end | 36 | 0.64 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Sideswipe | -27 | 1.27 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Single-vehicle | 24 | 0.76 |  |
| Intersection geometry | Convert intersection on low-speed road to a roundabout | Urban and rural | Intersections where all approaches are lowspeed (less than 45 mph ) | Total | -9.9 | 1.099 | WI (31) |
|  |  |  |  | KABC | 52.7 | 0.473 |  |
| Intersection geometry | Convert intersection on high-speed road to a roundabout | Urban and rural | Intersections where at least one approach is high-speed ( 45 mph or greater) | Total | 34.1 | 0.659 | WI (31) |
|  |  |  |  | KABC | 49.4 | 0.506 |  |
| Intersection geometry | Convert intersection to a single-lane roundabout | Urban and rural | Intersections with lowand high-speed approaches | Total | 36.0 | 0.640 | WI (31) |
|  |  |  |  | KABC | 18.2 | 0.818 |  |
| Intersection geometry | Convert intersection to a multilane roundabout | Urban and rural | Intersections with lowand high-speed approaches | Total | -6.2 | 1.062 | WI (31) |
|  |  |  |  | KABC | 63.3 | 0.367 |  |
| Intersection geometry | Convert two-way stopcontrolled intersection to a roundabout | Urban | Intersections on twoor four-lane roads | Total | 27.0 | 0.73 | CA, CO, CT, FL, KS, MD, ME, MI, MO, MS, NV, OR, SC, UT, VT, WA WI $(31,33)$ |
|  |  |  |  | KABC | 58.1 | 0.419 |  |
|  |  | Rural | Intersections on twoor four-lane roads | Total | 48.2 | 0.518 |  |
|  |  |  |  | KABC | 61.2 | 0.388 |  |


| Category | Countermeasure | Area Type | Facility type | Crash Type | CRF | CMF | States and (reference number) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection geometry | Convert all-way stopcontrolled intersection to a roundabout | Urban and rural | Intersections on twoor four-lane roads | Total | -7.4 | 1.074 | CA, CO, CT, FL, KS, MD, ME, MI, MO, MS, NV, OR, SC, UT, VT, WA WI $(31,33)$ |
|  |  |  |  | KABC | 8.7 | 0.913 |  |
| Intersection geometry | Convert signalized intersection to a roundabout | Urban | Intersections on twoor four-lane roads | Total | 12.4 | 0.876 | CA, CO, CT, FL, IN, KS, MD, ME, MI, MO, MS, NC, NV, NY, OR, SC, UT, VT, WA, WI $(15,31,33)$ |
|  |  |  |  | KABC | 66.1 | 0.339 |  |
|  |  | Rural | Intersections on twoor four-lane roads | Total | 26.2 | 0.738 |  |
|  |  |  |  | KABC | 71.5 | 0.285 |  |
| Intersection geometry | Convert a non-controlled or yield-controlled intersection to a roundabout | Urban and rural | Intersections on twoor four-lane roads | Total | -24.2 | 1.242 |  |
|  |  |  |  | KABC | 100.0 | 0 | WI (31) |
| Intersection geometry | Convert two-way stopcontrolled intersection to J-turn intersection | Rural | Intersections of fourlane divided, highspeed roads and minor roads | Total | 34.8 | 0.652 |  |
|  |  |  |  | KABC | 53.7 | 0.463 | MO (8) |
| Intersection geometry | Improve left-turn lane offset to create positive offset | Urban and rural | Four-leg intersections | Total | 33.8 | 0.662 | WI (30) |
|  |  |  |  | KABC | 35.6 | 0.644 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Left-turn | 38.0 | 0.62 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Rear-end | 31.7 | 0.683 |  |
| Intersection geometry | Improve intersection sight distance | Urban and rural | Not specified | Total | 33.0 | 0.67 | Based on AK, AZ, CA, IA, KY, MO (13) |
|  |  |  |  | Right-angle | 21.0 | 0.79 | Based on AZ, MO, MN (13) |
|  |  |  |  | Left-turn | 13.0 | 0.87 | Based on AZ, MO (13) |
|  |  |  |  | Sideswipe | 43.0 | 0.57 | Based on AK, MO (13) |
| Intersection traffic control | Change left-turn phasing on one approach from permitted to protected/permitted phasing | Urban | Four-leg intersections | Total | -8.1 | 1.081 | NC, Toronto (39) |
|  |  |  |  | KABC | 0.5 | 0.995 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Left-turn | 7.5 | 0.925 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Rear-end | -9.4 | 1.094 |  |
| Intersection traffic control | Change left-turn phasing on more than one approach from permitted to protected/permitted phasing | Urban | Four-leg intersections | Total | 4.2 | 0.958 | NC, Toronto (39) |
|  |  |  |  | KABC | 8.6 | 0.914 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Left-turn | 21.3 | 0.787 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Rear-end | -5.0 | 1.050 |  |


| Category | Countermeasure | Area Type | Facility type | Crash Type | CRF | CMF | States and (reference number) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection traffic control | Change left-turn phasing from permitted or permitted/protected to protected-only phasing | Urban | Signalized intersections | Total | 1 | 0.99 | NC (17) |
|  |  |  |  | Left-turn | 99 | 0.01 |  |
| Intersection traffic control | Supplement left-turn phasing from at least one permitted approach with flashing yellow arrow | Urban | Four-leg intersections | Total | 24.7 | 0.753 | NC, OR, WA (39) |
|  |  |  |  | Left-turn | 36.5 | 0.635 |  |
| Intersection traffic control | Change left-turn phasing from protected/permitted to flashing yellow arrow | Urban | Four-leg intersections | Total | 7.8 | 0.922 | NC, OR, WA (39) |
|  |  |  |  | Left-turn | 19.4 | 0.806 |  |
| Intersection traffic control | Change left-turn phasing from protected to flashing yellow arrow | Urban | Four-leg intersections | Total | -33.8 | 1.338 | NC, OR, WA (39) |
|  |  |  |  | Left-turn | -124.2 | 2.242 |  |
| Intersection traffic control | Convert two-way stop control to all-way stop control | Urban and rural | Four-leg intersections | Total | 68 | 0.32 | NC (34) |
|  |  |  |  | KABC | 77 | 0.23 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Frontal impact | 75 | 0.25 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Ran stop sign | 15 | 0.85 |  |
| Intersection traffic control | Improve signal visibility | Urban | Four-leg intersections on three- to four-lane roads | Daytime PDO | 9.9 | 0.901 | British Columbia (9) |
|  |  |  |  | Daytime KABC | -0.4 | 1.004 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Nighttime PDO | 13.3 | 0.867 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Nighttime KABC | 9.8 | 0.902 |  |
| Intersection traffic control | Increase yellow change interval (1.0 seconds) | Urban | Three- and four-leg intersections | Total | -14.1 | 1.141 | CA, MD (39) |
|  |  |  |  | KABC | -7.3 | 1.073 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Rear-end | 6.6 | 0.934 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Angle | -7.6 | 1.076 |  |
| Intersection traffic control | Increase all-red clearance interval (average of 1.1 seconds) | Urban | Three- and four-leg intersections | Total | 20.2 | 0.798 | CA, MD (39) |
|  |  |  |  | KABC | 13.7 | 0.863 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Rear-end | 19.6 | 0.804 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Angle | 3.4 | 0.966 |  |
| Intersection traffic control | Increase yellow interval (average of 0.8 seconds) and add all-red interval (average of 1.2 seconds) | Urban | Three- and four-leg intersections | Total KABC | $\begin{array}{r} 1.0 \\ -2.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.990 \\ & 1.020 \end{aligned}$ | CA, MD (39) |
|  |  |  |  | Rear-end | -11.7 | 1.117 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Angle | 3.9 | 0.961 |  |


| Category | Countermeasure | Area Type | Facility type | Crash Type | CRF | CMF | States and (reference number) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection traffic control | Install transverse rumble strips on approaches to stop-controlled intersection | Rural | Three-leg intersections on major collectors | Total | -22.3 | 1.223 | IA, MN (38) |
|  |  |  |  | PDO | -28.4 | 1.284 |  |
|  |  |  |  | KA | 59 | 0.41 |  |
|  |  |  | Four-leg intersections on major collectors | Total | -6.6 | 1.066 |  |
|  |  |  |  | PDO | -13.8 | 1.138 |  |
|  |  |  |  | KA | 34.8 | 0.652 |  |
| Intersection traffic control | Install new traffic signal at previously stopcontrolled intersection | Urban | Three-leg intersections | KABC | 14 | 0.86 | CA, FL, MD, VA, WI, Toronto (25) |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Right-angle } \\ & \text { KABC } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 34 | 0.66 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Rear-end KABC | -50 | 1.5 |  |
|  |  |  | Four-leg intersections | KABC | 23 | 0.77 |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Right-angle } \\ & \text { KABC } \end{aligned}$ | 67 | 0.33 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Rear-end KABC | -38 | 1.38 |  |
|  |  | Rural | Three- and four-leg intersections | Total | 44 | 0.56 | CA, MN (17) |
|  |  |  |  | Right-angle | 77 | 0.23 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Rear-end | -58 | 1.58 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Left-turn | 60 | 0.40 |  |
| Intersection traffic control | Replace standard stop sign with flashing LED stop sign | Urban and rural | Two-lane highways | Right-angle | 41.5 | 0.585 | MN (7) |
| Intersection traffic control | Retime signal change intervals to Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) standards | Urban | Four-leg intersections | Total | 8 | 0.92 | NY (32) |
|  |  |  |  | KABC | 12 | 0.88 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Rear-end | -12 | 1.12 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Rear-end KABC | -8 | 1.08 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Angle | 4 | 0.96 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Angle KABC | -6 | 1.06 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Vehicle/bicycle and vehicle/pedestri an | 37 | 0.63 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Vehicle/bicycle and vehicle/pedestri an KABC | 37 | 0.63 |  |


| Category | Countermeasure | Area Type | Facility type | Crash Type | CRF | CMF | States and (reference number) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ITS and advanced technology | Install actuated advance intersection warning system at high-speed intersection | Urban and rural | Four-lane high-speed divided highways (major road) | Total | 8.2 | 0.918 | NE (2) |
|  |  |  |  | KABC | 11.3 | 0.887 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Rear-end | 1.2 | 0.988 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Right-angle | 43.6 | 0.564 |  |
| ITS and advanced technology | Install changeable horizontal curve speed warning signs | Rural | Two-lane highways | Total | 5.0 | 0.95 | AZ, FL, IA, OH, OR, TX, WA (16) |
| ITS and advanced technology | Install variable speed limit signs | Urban | Principal arterial interstates | Total | 8.0 | 0.92 | MO (5) |
| ITS and advanced technology | Install "Vehicle Entering When Flashing" (VEWF) system with advance post mounted signs on major approach and loops on minor approach | Urban and rural | Highways with 35-55 mph mainline approach speeds | Total | 32 | 0.68 | NC (35) |
|  |  |  |  | KABC | 27 | 0.73 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Target (angle, head-on, leftturn, and rightturn) | 32 | 0.68 |  |
|  | Improve pavement |  |  | Total | -3.0 | 1.03 |  |
| Pavement | (critical condition index below 60) to good (critical condition index above 70) | Rural | Two-lane highways | KABC | 26.0 | 0.74 | VA (46) |
| Pedestrians | Construct pedestrian bridge or tunnel | Urban | Not specified | Pedestrian | 86 | 0.14 | Based on AK, AZ, KY, MO (13) |
| Pedestrians | Install High intensity Activated crossWalK (HAWK) at intersection | Urban | Crossings of four- to six-lane roads | Total | 29 | 0.71 | AZ (12) |
|  |  |  |  | KA | 15 | 0.85 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Pedestrian | 69 | 0.31 |  |
| Pedestrians | Install sidewalk | Urban | Not specified | Pedestrian | 74 | 0.26 | Based on AK, AZ, KY, MO, OK (13) |
| Railroads | Build grade-separated crossing | Urban and rural | Not specified | Total | 39 | 0.61 | Based on IA (13) |
| Railroads | Eliminate railroad crossing | Urban and rural | Not specified | Total | 75 | 0.25 | Based on IA (13) |
| Railroads | Install gates at crossings with signs | Urban and rural | Arterials, collectors, local roads | Total | 93 | 0.07 | Canada (26) |


| Category | Countermeasure | Area Type | Facility type | Crash Type | CRF | CMF | States and (reference number) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Railroads | Upgrade signs to flashing lights | Urban and rural | Arterials, collectors, local roads | Total | 77 | 0.23 | Canada (26) |
| Roadside | Increase median width from 10 feet to 20 feet | Rural | Four-lane divided highways | Multiple vehicle | 9 | 0.91 | CA, KY, MN (40) |
| Roadside | Increase median width from 10 feet to 30 feet | Rural | Four-lane divided highways | Multiple vehicle | 17 | 0.83 | CA, KY, MN (40) |
| Roadside | Increase median width from 10 feet to 40 feet | Rural | Four-lane divided highways | Multiple vehicle | 25 | 0.75 | CA, KY, MN (40) |
| Roadside | Increase median width from 10 feet to 50 feet | Rural | Four-lane divided highways | Multiple vehicle | 32 | 0.68 | CA, KY, MN (40) |
| Roadside | Increase median width from 10 feet to 60 feet | Rural | Four-lane divided highways | Multiple vehicle | 38 | 0.62 | CA, KY, MN (40) |
| Roadside | Increase median width from 10 feet to 70 feet | Rural | Four-lane divided highways | Multiple vehicle | 43 | 0.57 | CA, KY, MN (40) |
| Roadside | Increase median width from 10 feet to 80 feet | Rural | Four-lane divided highways | Multiple vehicle | 49 | 0.51 | CA, KY, MN (40) |
| Roadside | Install guardrail | Urban and rural | Not specified | Total | 11 | 0.890 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Based on AZ, IA, IN, KY, } \\ \text { MO (13) } \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  |  | BC | 40 | 0.600 |  |
|  |  |  |  | KA | 65 | 0.350 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Run-off-theroad | 30 | 0.700 |  |
| Roadside | Install cable median barrier (high-tensioned) on depressed median of 50 feet wide or wider | Rural | Principal arterial interstates | Multiple- vehicle, opposite direction (cross median, frontal and opposing direction sideswipe, head-on) | 96 | 0.04 | IN (45) |
|  |  |  |  | Single-vehicle crashes (fixed object, run-off-the-road) | -72 | 1.72 |  |


| Category | Countermeasure | Area Type | Facility type | Crash Type | CRF | CMF | States and (reference number) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Roadside | Install concrete median barrier | Rural | Interstates | Single-vehicle | -120 | 2.2 | $\begin{gathered} \text { CO, IL, IN, MO, NY, OH, } \\ \text { OR, WA (41) } \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Multiple- } \\ & \text { vehicle, same } \\ & \text { direction } \end{aligned}$ | 20 | 0.8 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Multiplevehicle opposite direction | 100 | 0 |  |
| Roadside | Change in sideslope from $1 \mathrm{~V}: 3 \mathrm{H}$ to $1 \mathrm{~V}: 4 \mathrm{H}$ | Rural | Not specified | PDO | 29 | 0.71 | Not specified (10) |
|  |  |  |  | KABC | 42 | 0.58 |  |
| Roadside | Change in sideslope from $1 \mathrm{~V}: 4 \mathrm{H}$ to $1 \mathrm{~V}: 6 \mathrm{H}$ | Rural | Not specified | PDO | 24 | 0.76 | Not specified (10) |
|  |  |  |  | KABC | 22 | 0.78 |  |
| Roadside | Remove or relocate fixed objects outside of clear zone | Urban and rural | Arterials, collectors | Total | 38.2 | 0.618 | OH (19) |
|  |  |  |  | KABC | 38.1 | 0.619 |  |
| Road diet | Re-stripe four-lane undivided road to threelane (with TWLTL) | Urban | Minor arterials | Total | 29 | 0.71 | CA, IA, WA (17) |
| Roadway delineation | Add no passing striping | Rural | Not specified | Total | 53 | 0.47 | Based on MT (13) |
|  |  |  |  | Head-on | 40 | 0.60 | Based on KY, MO (13) |
|  |  |  |  | Sideswipe | 40 | 0.60 |  |
| Roadway delineation | Install centerline rumble strips | Urban | Two-lane roads | Target (headon, oppositedirection sideswipe) | 40 | 0.60 | $\mathrm{CA}, \mathrm{CO}, \mathrm{DE}, \mathrm{MD}, \mathrm{MN}, \mathrm{OR},$PA, WA (43) |
|  |  |  |  | Target KABC | 64 | 0.36 |  |
|  |  | Rural | Two-lane roads | Total | 9 | 0.91 |  |
|  |  |  |  | KABC | 12 | 0.88 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Target | 30 | 0.70 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Target KABC | 44 | 0.56 |  |
| Roadway delineation | Install shoulder rumble strips | Rural | Two-lane roads | $\begin{gathered} \text { Run-off-the- } \\ \text { road } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 15 | 0.85 | MN, MO, PA (43) |
|  |  |  |  | Run-off-theroad KABC | 29 | 0.71 |  |
|  |  |  | Freeways | Run-off-theroad | 11 | 0.89 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Run-off-theroad KABC | 16 | 0.84 |  |


| Category | Countermeasure | Area Type | Facility type | Crash Type | CRF | CMF | States and (reference number) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Roadway delineation | Install centerline plus shoulder rumble strips | Rural | Two-lane roads | Total | 18.6 | 0.814 | KY, MI, MO, PA $(21,23)$ |
|  |  |  |  | KABC | 22.9 | 0.771 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Head-on | 36.8 | 0.632 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Run-off-theroad | 25.8 | 0.742 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Oppositedirection sideswipe | 23.3 | 0.767 |  |
| Roadway delineation | Install edgeline pavement markings on curves | Rural | Two-lane highways | Total | 25.9 | 0.741 | TX (44) |
|  |  |  |  | Run-off-theroad | 11.0 | 0.89 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Speed-related (nighttime) | 3.7 | 0.963 |  |
| Roadway delineation | Install edgeline pavement markings on tangent sections | Rural | Two-lane highways | Total | 6.1 | 0.939 | TX (44) |
|  |  |  |  | Run-off-theroad | 13.4 | 0.866 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Speed-related (nighttime) | 3.4 | 0.966 |  |


| Category | Countermeasure | Area Type | Facility type | Crash Type | CRF | CMF | States and (reference number) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Roadway delineation | Install raised pavement markers | Rural | Two-lane highways with AADT 0-5000, curve radius $\mathrm{R}=>1640 \mathrm{ft}$ | Nighttime | -16 | 1.16 | IL, NJ, NY, PA (4) |
|  |  |  | Two-lane highways with AADT 500115000 , curve radius $\mathrm{R} \Rightarrow 1640 \mathrm{ft}$ | Nighttime | 1 | 0.99 |  |
|  |  |  | Two-lane highways with AADT 1500120000, curve radius $\mathrm{R} \Rightarrow 1640 \mathrm{ft}$ | Nighttime | 24 | 0.76 |  |
|  |  |  | Two-lane highways with AADT 0-5000, curve radius R < 1640 ft | Nighttime | -43 | 1.43 |  |
|  |  |  | Two-lane highways with AADT 500115000, curve radius $\mathrm{R}<1640 \mathrm{ft}$ | Nighttime | -26 | 1.26 |  |
|  |  |  | Two-lane highways with AADT 1500120000, curve radius $\mathrm{R}<1640 \mathrm{ft}$ | Nighttime | -3 | 1.03 |  |
|  |  |  | Four-lane freeways with AADT <= 20000 | Nighttime | -13 | 1.13 | MO, NY, PA, WI (4) |
|  |  |  | Four-lane freeways with AADT 2000160000 | Nighttime | 6 | 0.94 |  |
|  |  |  | Four-lane freeways with AADT > 60000 | Nighttime | 33 | 0.67 |  |
| Segments | Increase in number of through lanes by 1 lane* | Urban | Multilane | PDO | 61.3 | 0.387 | IN (42) |
|  |  |  |  | KABC | 66.5 | 0.335 |  |
| Segments | Convert two-lane roadway to four-lane divided roadway | Urban | Before: Two-lane roadway <br> After: Four-lane divided roadway | Total | 65.9 | 0.341 | FL (1) |
|  |  |  |  | PDO | 64.9 | 0.351 |  |
|  |  |  |  | KABC | 63.3 | 0.367 |  |
|  |  | Rural | Before: Two-lane roadway <br> After: Four-lane divided roadway | Total | 28.8 | 0.712 |  |
|  |  |  |  | PDO | 30.9 | 0.691 |  |
|  |  |  |  | KABC | 45.1 | 0.549 |  |


| Category | Countermeasure | Area Type | Facility type | Crash Type | CRF | CMF | States and (reference number) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Segments | Convert 12 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders to 11 foot lanes and 7 foot shoulders | Rural | Two-lane highways | Run-off-theroad, head-on, sideswipe | 10 | 0.90 | PA (14) |
| Segments | Convert 12 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders to 10 foot lanes and 8 foot shoulders | Rural | Two-lane highways | Run-off-theroad, head-on, sideswipe | 62 | 0.38 | PA (14) |
| Segments | Convert 12 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders to 12 foot lanes and 5 foot shoulders | Rural | Two-lane highways | Run-off-theroad, head-on, sideswipe | 13 | 0.87 | PA (14) |
| Segments | Convert 12 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders to 11 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders | Rural | Two-lane highways | Run-off-theroad, head-on, sideswipe | 16 | 0.84 | PA (14) |
| Segments | Convert 12 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders to 10 foot lanes and 7 foot shoulders | Rural | Two-lane highways | Run-off-theroad, head-on, sideswipe | -96 | 1.96 | PA (14) |
| Segments | Convert 12 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders to 12 foot lanes and 4 foot shoulders | Rural | Two-lane highways | Run-off-theroad, head-on, sideswipe | -4 | 1.04 | PA (14) |
| Segments | Convert 12 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders to 11 foot lanes and 5 foot shoulders | Rural | Two-lane highways | Run-off-theroad, head-on, sideswipe | -6 | 1.06 | PA (14) |
| Segments | Convert 12 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders to 10 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders | Rural | Two-lane highways | Run-off-theroad, head-on, sideswipe | 25 | 0.75 | PA (14) |
| Segments | Convert 12 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders to 12 foot lanes and 3 foot shoulders | Rural | Two-lane highways | Run-off-theroad, head-on, sideswipe | -11 | 1.11 | PA (14) |
| Segments | Convert 12 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders to 11 foot lanes and 4 foot shoulders | Rural | Two-lane highways | Run-off-theroad, head-on, sideswipe | -14 | 1.14 | PA (14) |
| Segments | Convert 12 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders to 10 foot lanes and 5 foot shoulders | Rural | Two-lane highways | Run-off-theroad, head-on, sideswipe | -22 | 1.22 | PA (14) |
| Segments | Convert 12 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders to 12 foot lanes and 2 foot shoulders | Rural | Two-lane highways | Run-off-theroad, head-on, sideswipe | -16 | 1.16 | PA (14) |
| Segments | Convert 12 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders to 11 foot lanes and 3 foot shoulders | Rural | Two-lane highways | Run-off-theroad, head-on, sideswipe | -19 | 1.19 | PA (14) |
| Segments | Convert 12 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders to 10 foot lanes and 4 foot shoulders | Rural | Two-lane highways | Run-off-theroad, head-on, sideswipe | -20 | 1.20 | PA (14) |


| Category | Countermeasure | Area Type | Facility type | Crash Type | CRF | CMF | States and (reference number) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Segments | Convert 12 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders to 12 foot lanes and 1 foot shoulders | Rural | Two-lane highways | Run-off-theroad, head-on, sideswipe | -85 | 1.85 | PA (14) |
| Segments | Convert 12 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders to 11 foot lanes and 2 foot shoulders | Rural | Two-lane highways | Run-off-theroad, head-on, sideswipe | -12 | 1.12 | PA (14) |
| Segments | Convert 12 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders to 10 foot lanes and 3 foot shoulders | Rural | Two-lane highways | Run-off-theroad, head-on, sideswipe | -13 | 1.13 | PA (14) |
| Segments | Convert 12 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders to 12 foot lanes and 0 foot shoulders* | Urban | Urban and suburban arterials | Total | -42.7 | 1.427 | IL (22) |
| Segments | Convert 12 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders to 12 foot lanes and 1 foot shoulders* | Urban | Urban and suburban arterials | Total | -34.5 | 1.345 | IL (22) |
| Segments | Convert 12 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders to 12 foot lanes and 2 foot shoulders* | Urban | Urban and suburban arterials | Total | -26.7 | 1.267 | IL (22) |
| Segments | Convert 12 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders to 12 foot lanes and 3 foot shoulders* | Urban | Urban and suburban arterials | Total | -19.4 | 1.194 | IL (22) |
| Segments | Convert 12 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders to 12 foot lanes and 4 foot shoulders* | Urban | Urban and suburban arterials | Total | -12.6 | 1.126 | IL (22) |
| Segments | Convert 12 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders to 12 foot lanes and 5 foot shoulders* | Urban | Urban and suburban arterials | Total | -6.1 | 1.061 | IL (22) |
| Segments | Convert 12 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders to 12 foot lanes and 7 foot shoulders* | Urban | Urban and suburban arterials | Total | 5.8 | 0.942 | IL (22) |
| Segments | Convert 12 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders to 12 foot lanes and 8 foot shoulders* | Urban | Urban and suburban arterials | Total | 11.2 | 0.888 | IL (22) |


| Category | Countermeasure | Area Type | Facility type | Crash Type | CRF | CMF | States and (reference number) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Segments | Convert 12 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders to 12 foot lanes and 9 foot shoulders* | Urban | Urban and suburban arterials | Total | 16.3 | 0.837 | IL (22) |
| Segments | Convert 12 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders to 12 foot lanes and 10 foot shoulders* | Urban | Urban and suburban arterials | Total | 21.1 | 0.789 | IL (22) |
| Segments | Convert 12 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders to 10 foot lanes and 0 foot shoulders* | Urban | Urban and suburban arterials | Total | -270.5 | 3.705 | IL (22) |
| Segments | Convert 12 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders to 10 foot lanes and 1 foot shoulders* | Urban | Urban and suburban arterials | Total | -248.4 | 3.484 | IL (22) |
| Segments | Convert 12 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders to 10 foot lanes and 2 foot shoulders* | Urban | Urban and suburban arterials | Total | -227.6 | 3.276 | IL (22) |
| Segments | Convert 12 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders to 10 foot lanes and 3 foot shoulders* | Urban | Urban and suburban arterials | Total | -208 | 3.08 | IL (22) |
| Segments | Convert 12 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders to 10 foot lanes and 4 foot shoulders* | Urban | Urban and suburban arterials | Total | -189.6 | 2.896 | IL (22) |
| Segments | Convert 12 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders to 10 foot lanes and 5 foot shoulders* | Urban | Urban and suburban arterials | Total | -172.3 | 2.723 | IL (22) |
| Segments | Convert 12 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders to 10 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders* | Urban | Urban and suburban arterials | Total | -156 | 2.56 | IL (22) |
| Segments | Convert 12 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders to 10 foot lanes and 7 foot shoulders* | Urban | Urban and suburban arterials | Total | -140.7 | 2.407 | IL (22) |


| Category | Countermeasure | Area Type | Facility type | Crash Type | CRF | CMF | States and (reference number) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Segments | Convert 12 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders to 10 foot lanes and 8 foot shoulders* | Urban | Urban and suburban arterials | Total | -126.3 | 2.263 | IL (22) |
| Segments | Convert 12 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders to 10 foot lanes and 9 foot shoulders* | Urban | Urban and suburban arterials | Total | -112.8 | 2.128 | IL (22) |
| Segments | Convert 12 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders to 10 foot lanes and 10 foot shoulders* | Urban | Urban and suburban arterials | Total | -100.1 | 2.001 | IL (22) |
| Segments | Convert 12 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders to 11 foot lanes and 0 foot shoulders* | Urban | Urban and suburban arterials | Total | -14.2 | 1.142 | IL (22) |
| Segments | Convert 12 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders to 11 foot lanes and 1 foot shoulders* | Urban | Urban and suburban arterials | Total | -10.4 | 1.104 | IL (22) |
| Segments | Convert 12 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders to 11 foot lanes and 2 foot shoulders* | Urban | Urban and suburban arterials | Total | -6.8 | 1.068 | IL (22) |
| Segments | Convert 12 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders to 11 foot lanes and 3 foot shoulders* | Urban | Urban and suburban arterials | Total | -3.3 | 1.033 | IL (22) |
| Segments | Convert 12 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders to 11 foot lanes and 4 foot shoulders* | Urban | Urban and suburban arterials | Total | 0.1 | 0.999 | IL (22) |
| Segments | Convert 12 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders to 11 foot lanes and 5 foot shoulders* | Urban | Urban and suburban arterials | Total | 3.4 | 0.966 | IL (22) |
| Segments | Convert 12 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders to 11 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders* | Urban | Urban and suburban arterials | Total | 6.6 | 0.934 | IL (22) |


| Category | Countermeasure | Area Type | Facility type | Crash Type | CRF | CMF | States and (reference number) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Segments | Convert 12 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders to 11 foot lanes and 7 foot shoulders* | Urban | Urban and suburban arterials | Total | 9.7 | 0.903 | IL (22) |
| Segments | Convert 12 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders to 11 foot lanes and 8 foot shoulders* | Urban | Urban and suburban arterials | Total | 12.6 | 0.874 | IL (22) |
| Segments | Convert 12 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders to 11 foot lanes and 9 foot shoulders* | Urban | Urban and suburban arterials | Total | 15.5 | 0.845 | IL (22) |
| Segments | Convert 12 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders to 11 foot lanes and 10 foot shoulders* | Urban | Urban and suburban arterials | Total | 18.3 | 0.817 | IL (22) |
| Segments | Convert 12 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders to 13 foot lanes and 0 foot shoulders* | Urban | Urban and suburban arterials | Total | -23.8 | 1.238 | IL (22) |
| Segments | Convert 12 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders to 13 foot lanes and 1 foot shoulders* | Urban | Urban and suburban arterials | Total | -16.8 | 1.168 | IL (22) |
| Segments | Convert 12 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders to 13 foot lanes and 2 foot shoulders* | Urban | Urban and suburban arterials | Total | -10.1 | 1.101 | IL (22) |
| Segments | Convert 12 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders to 13 foot lanes and 3 foot shoulders* | Urban | Urban and suburban arterials | Total | -3.8 | 1.038 | IL (22) |
| Segments | Convert 12 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders to 13 foot lanes and 4 foot shoulders* | Urban | Urban and suburban arterials | Total | 2.1 | 0.979 | IL (22) |
| Segments | Convert 12 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders to 13 foot lanes and 5 foot shoulders* | Urban | Urban and suburban arterials | Total | 7.6 | 0.924 | IL (22) |


| Category | Countermeasure | Area Type | Facility type | Crash Type | CRF | CMF | States and (reference number) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Segments | Convert 12 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders to 13 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders* | Urban | Urban and suburban arterials | Total | 12.9 | 0.871 | IL (22) |
| Segments | Convert 12 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders to 13 foot lanes and 7 foot shoulders* | Urban | Urban and suburban arterials | Total | 17.9 | 0.821 | IL (22) |
| Segments | Convert 12 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders to 13 foot lanes and 8 foot shoulders* | Urban | Urban and suburban arterials | Total | 22.5 | 0.775 | IL (22) |
| Segments | Convert 12 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders to 13 foot lanes and 9 foot shoulders* | Urban | Urban and suburban arterials | Total | 26.9 | 0.731 | IL (22) |
| Segments | Convert 12 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders to 13 foot lanes and 10 foot shoulders* | Urban | Urban and suburban arterials | Total | 31.1 | 0.689 | IL (22) |
| Segments | Extend on-ramp acceleration lane by 30 meters (about 100 feet) | Urban and rural | Grade-separated junctions | Total | 11 | 0.89 | Not specified (10) |
| Segments | Extend off-ramp deceleration lane by 30 meters (about 100 feet) | Urban and rural | Grade-separated junctions | Total | 7 | 0.93 | Not specified (10) |
| Segments | Install passing relief lane | Rural | Two-lane highways | Total | 33 | 0.67 | MI (3) |
|  |  |  |  | KABC | 29 | 0.71 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Target (headon, rear-end, run-off-theroad, sideswipe) | 47 | 0.53 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Peak month (June, July, August) | 46 | 0.54 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Off-peak month | 28 | 0.72 |  |


| Category | Countermeasure | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Area } \\ \text { Type }\end{array}$ | Facility type | Crash Type | CRF | CMF | States and (reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |$]$


| Category | Countermeasure | Area Type | Facility type | Crash Type | CRF | CMF | States and (reference number) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Shoulder treatment | Increase right shoulder width by 2 feet* | Urban | Two-lane roads | PDO | 3.5 | 0.965 | IN (42) |
|  |  |  | Multilane roads | PDO | 3.1 | 0.969 |  |
|  |  | Rural | Two-lane roads | PDO | 4.6 | 0.954 |  |
|  |  |  |  | KABC | 5.4 | 0.946 |  |
|  |  |  | Multilane roads | KABC | 7.9 | 0.921 |  |
| Shoulder treatment | Increase right shoulder width by 3 feet* | Urban | Two-lane roads | PDO | 5.1 | 0.949 | IN (42) |
|  |  |  | Multilane roads | PDO | 4.7 | 0.953 |  |
|  |  | Rural | Two-lane roads | PDO | 6.8 | 0.932 |  |
|  |  |  |  | KABC | 8.0 | 0.920 |  |
|  |  |  | Multilane roads | KABC | 11.6 | 0.884 |  |
| Shoulder treatment | Increase right shoulder width by 4 feet* | Urban | Two-lane roads | PDO | 6.8 | 0.932 | IN (42) |
|  |  |  | Multilane roads | PDO | 6.2 | 0.938 |  |
|  |  | Rural | Two-lane roads | PDO | 8.9 | 0.911 |  |
|  |  |  |  | KABC | 10.6 | 0.894 |  |
|  |  |  | Multilane roads | KABC | 15.2 | 0.848 |  |
| Shoulder treatment | Increase left/inside shoulder width by 1 foot* | Urban | Multilane roads | KABC | 18.5 | 0.815 | IN (42) |
|  |  | Rural | Multilane roads | PDO | 4.3 | 0.957 |  |
|  |  |  |  | KABC | 6.7 | 0.933 |  |
| Shoulder treatment | Increase left/inside shoulder width by 2 feet* | Urban | Multilane roads | KABC | 33.6 | 0.664 | IN (42) |
|  |  | Rural | Multilane roads | PDO | 8.5 | 0.915 |  |
|  |  |  |  | KABC | 13.0 | 0.870 |  |
| Shoulder treatment | Increase left/inside shoulder width by 3 feet* | Urban | Multilane roads | KABC | 45.9 | 0.541 | IN (42) |
|  |  | Rural | Multilane roads | PDO | 12.4 | 0.876 |  |
|  |  |  |  | KABC | 18.9 | 0.811 |  |
| Shoulder treatment | Increase left/inside shoulder width by 4 feet* | Urban | Multilane roads | KABC | 56.0 | 0.440 | IN (42) |
|  |  | Rural | Multilane roads | PDO | 16.2 | 0.838 |  |
|  |  |  |  | KABC | 24.3 | 0.757 |  |
| Signs | Install chevron signs on horizontal curves | Rural | Two-lane highways | Total | 4.3 | 0.957 | WA (37) |
|  |  |  |  | KABC | 16.4 | 0.836 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Lane departure | 5.9 | 0.941 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Nighttime | 24.5 | 0.755 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Nighttime lane departure | 22.1 | 0.779 |  |


| Category | Countermeasure | Area Type | Facility type | Crash Type | CRF | CMF | States and (reference number) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Signs | Increase retroreflectivity of stop signs | Urban and rural | Three- and four-leg stop-controlled intersections | Total | 1.2 | 0.988 | CT, SC (28) |
|  |  |  |  | KABC | 6.7 | 0.933 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Right-angle | -1.2 | 1.012 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Rear-end | -2.2 | 1.022 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Nighttime | 4.4 | 0.956 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Daytime | -0.1 | 1.001 |  |
| Signs | Install flashing beacons at stop-controlled intersections | Urban | Two-lane highways | Angle | -12 | 1.12 | NC, SC (36) |
|  |  | Rural | Two-lane highways | Angle | 16 | 0.84 |  |
| Speed management | Lower posted speed by $15-20 \mathrm{mph}$ | Urban and rural | Nonlimited access highways | Total | 6 | 0.94 | AZ, CA, CO, CT, DE, ID, IL, IN, ME, MD, MA, MI, MS, NE, NJ, NM, OH, OK, TN, TX, VA, WV (27) |
| Speed management | Lower posted speed by 10 mph | Urban and rural | Nonlimited access highways | Total | 4 | 0.96 | AZ, CA, CO, CT, DE, ID, IL, IN, ME, MD, MA, MI, MS, NE, NJ, NM, OH, OK, TN, TX, VA, WV (27) |
| Speed management | Lower posted speed by 5 mph | Urban and rural | Nonlimited access highways | Total | -17 | 1.17 | AZ, CA, CO, CT, DE, ID, IL, IN, ME, MD, MA, MI, MS, NE, NJ, NM, OH, OK, TN, TX, VA, WV (27) |
| Speed management | Raise posted speed by 5 mph | Urban and rural | Nonlimited access highways | Total | 8 | 0.92 | AZ, CA, CO, CT, DE, ID, IL, IN, ME, MD, MA, MI, MS, NE, NJ, NM, OH, OK, TN, TX, VA, WV (27) |
| Speed management | Raise posted speed by $10-$ 15 mph | Urban and rural | Nonlimited access highways | Total | 15 | 0.85 | AZ, CA, CO, CT, DE, ID, IL, IN, ME, MD, MA, MI, MS, NE, NJ, NM, OH, OK, TN, TX, VA, WV (27) |
| Speed management | Set appropriate speed limit | Urban and rural | Not specified | Total | 28 | 0.72 | Based on KY, MO, MT (13) |

*CRF/CMF given in the form of a function in the CMF Clearinghouse or in the report/paper. For this table, the CRFs/CMFs have been discretized for various levels of the safety countermeasure. The user is referred to the source (provided by the reference number) for the original functional form.
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