## Description

This table presents the CRFs/CMFs for safety countermeasures that were identified as being the most suitable for Indiana based on the criteria presented in the Joint Transportation Research Program technical report, "Updating the Crash Modification Factors and Calibrating the IHSDM for Indiana". The table contains 82 safety countermeasures spanning 16 different categories. For each countermeasure, the applicable areas type (urban and/or rural), facility type, and CRF/CMF values for various crash types and severities are presented. Finally, the state(s) where each study was conducted and the corresponding reference are provided in the table.

Category	Countermeasure	Area Type	Facility type	Crash Type	CRF	CMF	States and (reference number)
1 00000	Install two way laft turn			Total	36.0	0.640	
Access	Install two-way left-turn lane (TWLTL)	Rural	Two-lane highways	KABC	34.8	0.652	AR, CA, IL, NC (29)
management	Talle (TWLTL)			Rear-end	46.8	0.532	
				Total	23	0.77	
				PDO	33	0.67	
A	Declass TW/I TI suith		Principal arterials;	KABC	21	0.79	
Access	Replace TWLTL with raised median	Urban	minor arterials;	Rear-end	19	0.81	NV (24)
management			collectors	Sideswipe	21	0.79	
				Angle	36	0.64	
				Head-on	47	0.53	
Access	Reduce driveway density	Rural	Two-lane highways	Total	2.3	0.977	TX (11)
management	by 1 driveway per mile*	Kural	Four-lane highways	Total	0.4	0.996	1A(11)
Access	Reduce driveway density	Rural	Two-lane highways	Total	4.5	0.955	$\mathbf{TV}(11)$
management	by 2 driveways per mile*	Kurai	Four-lane highways	Total	0.7	0.993	TX (11)
Access	Reduce driveway density	Rural	Two-lane highways	Total	6.7	0.933	$\mathbf{T}\mathbf{Y}(11)$
management	by 3 driveways per mile*		Four-lane highways	Total	1.1	0.989	TX (11)
			Principal arterials, minor arterials, or collectors with raised medians	Total	4.7	0.953	
		Linkson		PDO	3.5	0.965	
				KABC	2.9	0.971	
				Rear-end	1.5	0.985	
Access	Reduce driveway density			Angle	4.3	0.957	NUL (24)
management	by 5 driveways per mile*	Urban		Total	4.4	0.956	NV (24)
_			Principal arterials,	PDO	4.6	0.954	
			minor arterials, or	KABC	1.3	0.987	
			collectors with TWLTLs	Rear-end	3.8	0.962	
				Angle	4.1	0.959	
				Total	9.2	0.908	
			Principal arterials, minor arterials, or	PDO	6.9	0.931	
			collectors with raised	KABC	5.7	0.943	
D. 1 1	Dellas latera landi		medians	Rear-end	3.0	0.970	
Access	Reduce driveway density	Urbon	moutans	Angle	8.3	0.917	NIV (24)
management	by 10 driveways per mile*	Urban	Data at a standat	Total	8.6	0.914	NV (24)
	ninie		Principal arterials,	PDO	9.0	0.910	
			minor arterials, or collectors with	KABC	2.6	0.974	-
			TWLTLs	Rear-end	7.4	0.926	
				Angle	8.1	0.919	

Category	Countermeasure	Area Type	Facility type	Crash Type	CRF	CMF	States and (reference number)
				Total	13.4	0.866	
			Principal arterials,	PDO	10.1	0.899	
			minor arterials, or collectors with raised	KABC	8.5	0.915	
	Reduce driveway density		medians	Rear-end	4.4	0.956	
Access	by 15 driveways per	Urban	medians	Angle	12.2	0.878	NV (24)
management	mile*	Orban	Dringing lartarials	Total	12.6	0.874	199 (24)
	mile		Principal arterials, minor arterials, or	PDO	13.2	0.868	
			collectors with	KABC	3.8	0.962	
			TWLTLs	Rear-end	10.9	0.891	
			I WLILS	Angle	11.8	0.882	
			Principal arterials, minor arterials, or collectors with raised	Total	17.5	0.825	
				PDO	13.2	0.868	
				KABC	11.1	0.889	
	Reduce driveway density		medians	Rear-end	5.8	0.942	
Access	by 20 driveways per	Urban	medians	Angle	16.0	0.840	NV (24)
management	mile*	Ulball	Dringing lottorials	Total	16.5	0.835	IN V (24)
	mile		Principal arterials, minor arterials, or	PDO	17.1	0.829	
			collectors with	KABC	5.1	0.949	
			TWLTLs	Rear-end	14.3	0.857	
				Angle	15.5	0.845	
Alignmont	Flatten crest of curve	Rural	Arterials, collectors	Total	19.6	0.804	OH (19)
Alignment	Fratten crest of curve	Kulai	Anteriais, collectors	KABC	51.2	0.488	011 (19)

Category	Countermeasure	Area Type	Facility type	Crash Type	CRF	CMF	States and (reference number)
Alignment	Reduce the average grade rate by 1%*	Rural	Two-lane roads	PDO KABC	2.0 1.9	0.980 0.981	IN (42)
Alignment	Reduce the average grade rate by 2%*	Rural	Two-lane roads	PDO KABC	4.0 3.8	0.960 0.962	IN (42)
Alignment	Reduce the average grade rate by 3%*	Rural	Two-lane roads	PDO KABC	6.0 5.7	0.940 0.943	IN (42)
Alignment	Reduce the average grade rate by 4%*	Rural	Two-lane roads	PDO KABC	7.9 7.5	0.921 0.925	IN (42)
Alignment	Reduce the average grade rate by 5%*	Rural	Two-lane roads	PDO KABC	9.7 9.3	0.903	IN (42)
Alignment	Reduce the average degree of curve by 1	Rural	Two-lane roads	PDO	1.9	0.981	IN (42)
	degree* Reduce the average			KABC PDO	2.9 3.8	0.971 0.962	
Alignment	degree of curve by 2 degrees*	Rural	Two-lane roads	KABC	5.7	0.943	IN (42)
Alignment	Reduce the average degree of curve by 3 degrees*	Rural	Two-lane roads	PDO KABC	5.7 8.4	0.943 0.916	IN (42)
Alignment	Reduce the average degree of curve by 4 degrees*	Rural	Two-lane roads	PDO KABC	7.5 11.1	0.925 0.889	IN (42)
Alignment	Reduce the average degree of curve by 5 degrees*	Rural	Two-lane roads	PDO KABC	9.3 13.6	0.907 0.864	IN (42)
Highway lighting	Install lighting on a roadway segment	Urban and rural	Not specified	Nighttime Nighttime KABC	20.0 29.0	0.80 0.71	Not specified (17)
Highway	Install lighting at a	Urban	Not specified	Daytime Nighttime	-3.0 3.0	1.03 0.97	MN (6)
lighting	signalized intersection	Rural	Not specified	Daytime Nighttime	2.0 2.0	0.98	
Highway lighting	Install lighting at a stop- controlled intersection	Urban	Not specified	Daytime Nighttime	-5.0 9.0	1.05 0.91	MN (6)
lighting	controlled intersection	Rural Urban	Not specified	Daytime Nighttime Total	-9.0 -7.0 50.4	1.09 1.07 0.496	
Highway lighting	Install lighting at an interchange	and and	Arterials, collectors	KABC	26.0	0.496	OH (19)

Category	Countermeasure	Area Type	Facility type	Crash Type	CRF	CMF	States and (reference number)	
	Add a left-turn lane on	Urban	Three-leg intersections	Total	7.0	0.930		
Intersection		Urban	Four-leg intersections	Total	10.0	0.900	IA, IL, LA, MN, NE, NC,	
geometry	one major approach to a signalized intersection	Rural	Three-leg intersections	Total	15.0	0.850	OR, VA (18)	
	signalized intersection	Kurai	Four-leg intersections	Total	18.0	0.820		
	Add a left-turn lane on	Urban	Three-leg intersections	Total				
Intersection		Ulball	Four-leg intersections	Total	27.0	0.730	IA, IL, LA, MN, NE, NC,	
geometry	one major approach to an unsignalized intersection	Rural	Three-leg intersections	Total	44.0	0.560	OR, VA (18)	
	unsignanzed intersection	Kurai	Four-leg intersections	Total	28.0	0.720		
Intersection geometry	Add a right-turn lane on one major approach to a signalized intersection	Urban	Four-leg intersections	Total	4.0	0.960	IA, IL, LA, MN, NE, NC, OR, VA (18)	
Intersection geometry	Add a right-turn lane on one major approach to an unsignalized intersection	Rural	Four-leg intersections	Total	14.0	0.860	IA, IL, LA, MN, NE, NC, OR, VA (18)	
				Total	33	0.67		
	8 8 8 8	Convert diamond		Duin air al antanial	Injury	41	0.59	
Intersection		Urban	Principal arterial, an other freeways and	Angle	67	0.33	KY MO NY TN (20)	
geometry		Urban	expressways	Rear-end	36	0.64	KY, MO, NY, TN (20)	
	(DDI)		expressways	Sideswipe	-27	1.27		
				Single-vehicle	24	0.76		
	Convert intersection on	Urban	Intersections where all	Total	-9.9	1.099		
Intersection geometry	low-speed road to a roundabout	and rural	approaches are low- speed (less than 45 mph)	KABC	52.7	0.473	WI (31)	
	Convert intersection on	Urban	Intersections where at	Total	34.1	0.659		
Intersection geometry	high-speed road to a roundabout	and rural	least one approach is high-speed (45 mph or greater)	KABC	49.4	0.506	WI (31)	
Intersection	Convert intersection to a	Urban	Intersections with low-	Total	36.0	0.640		
geometry	single-lane roundabout	and rural	and high-speed approaches	KABC	18.2	0.818	WI (31)	
Intersection	Convert intersection to a	Urban	Intersections with low-	Total	-6.2	1.062		
geometry	multilane roundabout	and rural	and high-speed approaches	KABC	63.3	0.367	WI (31)	
	Convert two-way stop-	Urban	Intersections on two-	Total	27.0	0.73	CA, CO, CT, FL, KS, MD,	
Intersection	controlled intersection to	Crouii	or four-lane roads	KABC	58.1	0.419	ME, MI, MO, MS, NV, OR,	
geometry		Rural	Intersections on two-	Total	48.2	0.518	SC, UT, VT, WA WI	
		Iturul	or four-lane roads	KABC	61.2	0.388	(31,33)	

Category	Countermeasure	Area Type	Facility type	Crash Type	CRF	CMF	States and (reference number)
	Convert all way stop	Urban		Total	-7.4	1.074	CA, CO, CT, FL, KS, MD,
Intersection geometry	Convert all-way stop- controlled intersection to a roundabout	and rural	Intersections on two- or four-lane roads	KABC	8.7	0.913	ME, MI, MO, MS, NV, OR, SC, UT, VT, WA WI (31,33)
	Convert signalized	Urban	Intersections on two-	Total	12.4	0.876	CA, CO, CT, FL, IN, KS,
Intersection	Convert signalized intersection to a	Ulball	or four-lane roads	KABC	66.1	0.339	MD, ME, MI, MO, MS,
geometry	roundabout	Rural	Intersections on two-	Total	26.2	0.738	NC, NV, NY, OR, SC, UT,
	Toundabout	Kulai	or four-lane roads	KABC	71.5	0.285	VT, WA, WI (15,31,33)
	Convert a non-controlled	Urban		Total	-24.2	1.242	
Intersection geometry	or yield-controlled intersection to a roundabout	and rural	Intersections on two- or four-lane roads	KABC	100.0	0	WI (31)
	Convert two way stop		Intersections of four-	Total	34.8	0.652	
Intersection geometry	Convert two-way stop- controlled intersection to J-turn intersection	Rural	lane divided, high- speed roads and minor roads	KABC	53.7	0.463	MO (8)
	T 16.4 1	<b>T</b> T 1		Total	33.8	0.662	
Intersection	Improve left-turn lane offset to create positive	Urban and	Four-leg intersections	KABC	35.6	0.644	WI (30)
geometry	offset	rural	Four-leg intersections	Left-turn	38.0	0.62	W1 (30)
	oliset			Rear-end	31.7	0.683	
		Urban		Total	33.0	0.67	Based on AK, AZ, CA, IA, KY, MO (13)
Intersection geometry	Improve intersection sight distance	and	Not specified	Right-angle	21.0	0.79	Based on AZ, MO, MN (13)
		Turai		Left-turn	13.0	0.87	Based on AZ, MO (13)
				Sideswipe	43.0	0.57	Based on AK, MO (13)
	Change left-turn phasing			Total	-8.1	1.081	
Intersection	on one approach from			KABC	0.5	0.995	
traffic control	permitted to	Urban	Four-leg intersections	Left-turn	7.5	0.925	NC, Toronto (39)
	protected/permitted phasing			Rear-end	-9.4	1.094	
	Change left-turn phasing			Total	4.2	0.958	
Intersection	on more than one			KABC	8.6	0.914	
traffic control	approach from permitted Urb	Urban	Four-leg intersections	Left-turn	21.3	0.787	NC, Toronto (39)
traine cond of	to protected/permitted phasing			Rear-end	-5.0	1.050	

Category	Countermeasure	Area Type	Facility type	Crash Type	CRF	CMF	States and (reference number)			
	Change left-turn phasing			Total	1	0.99				
Intersection traffic control	from permitted or permitted/protected to protected-only phasing	Urban	Signalized intersections	Left-turn	99	0.01	NC (17)			
	Supplement left-turn			Total	24.7	0.753				
Intersection traffic control	phasing from at least one permitted approach with flashing yellow arrow	Urban	Four-leg intersections	Left-turn	36.5	0.635	NC, OR, WA (39)			
Intersection	Change left-turn phasing			Total	7.8	0.922				
traffic control	from protected/permitted to flashing yellow arrow	Urban	Four-leg intersections	Left-turn	19.4	0.806	NC, OR, WA (39)			
Intersection	Change left-turn phasing			Total	-33.8	1.338				
traffic control	from protected to flashing yellow arrow	Urban	Four-leg intersections	Left-turn	-124.2	2.242	NC, OR, WA (39)			
	Convert true and the r	I I ula e u		Total	68	0.32				
Intersection	Convert two-way stop control to all-way stop	Urban and	Four-leg intersections	KABC	77	0.23	NC (34)			
traffic control	control	rural		Frontal impact	75	0.25	NC (34)			
	control	Turai		Ran stop sign	15	0.85				
			Four-leg intersections	Daytime PDO	9.9	0.901				
Intersection				Daytime KABC	-0.4	1.004				
traffic control	Improve signal visibility	Urban	Urban	Urban	Urban	on three- to four-lane	Nighttime PDO	13.3	0.867	British Columbia (9)
			roads	Nighttime KABC	9.8	0.902				
				Total	-14.1	1.141				
Intersection	Increase yellow change	Urban	Three- and four-leg	KABC	-7.3	1.073	CA, MD (39)			
traffic control	interval (1.0 seconds)	Orban	intersections	Rear-end	6.6	0.934	CA, MD(39)			
				Angle	-7.6	1.076				
	Increase all red clearance			Total	20.2	0.798				
Intersection	interval (average of 1 1	Urban	Three- and four-leg	KABC	13.7	0.863	CA, MD (39)			
trattic control	seconds)	Orbail	intersections	Rear-end	19.6	0.804	CA, MD (37)			
	,			Angle	3.4	0.966				
	Increase yellow interval			Total	1.0	0.990				
Intersection	(average of 0.8 seconds)	Urban	Three- and four-leg	KABC	-2.0		CA, MD (39)			
traffic control and add all-red interv	and add all-red interval	Orbail	intersections	Rear-end	-11.7	1.117	C/1, MD (57)			
	(average of 1.2 seconds)			Angle	3.9	0.961				

Category	Countermeasure	Area Type	Facility type	Crash Type	CRF	CMF	States and (reference number)
			Three-leg intersections	Total	-22.3	1.223	
	Install transverse rumble		on major collectors	PDO	-28.4	1.284	
Intersection	strips on approaches to	Rural	on major concetors	KA	59	0.41	IA, MN (38)
traffic control	stop-controlled intersection	Kulai	Four-leg intersections	Total	-6.6	1.066	$\mathbf{IA}, \mathbf{WIN} (38)$
			on major collectors	PDO	-13.8	1.138	
			on major concetors	KA	34.8	0.652	
				KABC	14	0.86	
			Three-leg intersections	Right-angle KABC	34	0.66	
		Linkow		Rear-end KABC	-50	1.5	CA, FL, MD, VA, WI,
		Urban		KABC	23	0.77	Toronto (25)
traffic control at previously stop-	Install new traffic signal at previously stop-		Four-leg intersections	Right-angle KABC	67	0.33	
	controlled intersection			Rear-end KABC	-38	1.38	
		Rural	Three- and four-leg intersections	Total	44	0.56	
				Right-angle	77	0.23	
				Rear-end	-58	1.58	CA, MN (17)
				Left-turn	60	0.40	
	Replace standard stop	Urban		Left turn	00	0.40	
Intersection traffic control	sign with flashing LED stop sign	and rural	Two-lane highways	Right-angle	41.5	0.585	MN (7)
				Total	8	0.92	
				KABC	12	0.88	
				Rear-end	-12	1.12	
				Rear-end KABC	-8	1.08	
	Detime signal shares			Angle	4	0.96	
Intersection	Retime signal change intervals to Institute of			Angle KABC	-6	1.06	
traffic control	Transportation Engineers	Urban	Four-leg intersections	Vehicle/bicycle			NY (32)
	(ITE) standards			and vehicle/pedestri	37	0.63	
				an			
				Vehicle/bicycle and vehicle/pedestri an KABC	37	0.63	1

Category	Countermeasure	Area Type	Facility type	Crash Type	CRF	CMF	States and (reference number)
ITS and	Install actuated advance	Urban	Equalons high speed	Total	8.2	0.918	
advanced	intersection warning	and	Four-lane high-speed divided highways	KABC	11.3	0.887	NE (2)
technology	system at high-speed	rural	(major road)	Rear-end	1.2	0.988	INE(2)
technology	intersection	Turai	(major road)	Right-angle	43.6	0.564	
ITS and advanced technology	Install changeable horizontal curve speed warning signs	Rural	Two-lane highways	Total	5.0	0.95	AZ, FL, IA, OH, OR, TX, WA (16)
ITS and advanced technology	Install variable speed limit signs	Urban	Principal arterial interstates	Total	8.0	0.92	MO (5)
	Install "Vehicle Entering			Total	32	0.68	
ITS and	When Flashing" (VEWF)	Urban	Highways with 35-55	KABC	27	0.73	]
advanced technology	system with advance post mounted signs on major approach and loops on minor approach	and rural	mph mainline approach speeds	Target (angle, head-on, left- turn, and right- turn)	32	0.68	NC (35)
	Improve pavement			Total	-3.0	1.03	
Pavement	condition from poor (critical condition index below 60) to good (critical condition index above 70)	Rural	Two-lane highways	KABC	26.0	0.74	VA (46)
Pedestrians	Construct pedestrian bridge or tunnel	Urban	Not specified	Pedestrian	86	0.14	Based on AK, AZ, KY, MO (13)
	Install High intensity		Crossings of four- to	Total	29	0.71	
Pedestrians	Activated crossWalK	Urban	six-lane roads	KA	15	0.85	AZ (12)
	(HAWK) at intersection		SIX-Talle Toaus	Pedestrian	69	0.31	
Pedestrians	Install sidewalk	Urban	Not specified	Pedestrian	74	0.26	Based on AK, AZ, KY, MO, OK (13)
Railroads	Build grade-separated crossing	Urban and rural	Not specified	Total	39	0.61	Based on IA (13)
Railroads	Eliminate railroad crossing	Urban and rural	Not specified	Total	75	0.25	Based on IA (13)
Railroads	Install gates at crossings with signs	Urban and rural	Arterials, collectors, local roads	Total	93	0.07	Canada (26)

Category	Countermeasure	Area Type	Facility type	Crash Type	CRF	CMF	States and (reference number)
Railroads	Upgrade signs to flashing lights	Urban and rural	Arterials, collectors, local roads	Total	77	0.23	Canada (26)
Roadside	Increase median width from 10 feet to 20 feet	Rural	Four-lane divided highways	Multiple vehicle	9	0.91	CA, KY, MN (40)
Roadside	Increase median width from 10 feet to 30 feet	Rural	Four-lane divided highways	Multiple vehicle	17	0.83	CA, KY, MN (40)
Roadside	Increase median width from 10 feet to 40 feet	Rural	Four-lane divided highways	Multiple vehicle	25	0.75	CA, KY, MN (40)
Roadside	Increase median width from 10 feet to 50 feet	Rural	Four-lane divided highways	Multiple vehicle	32	0.68	CA, KY, MN (40)
Roadside	Increase median width from 10 feet to 60 feet	Rural	Four-lane divided highways	Multiple vehicle	38	0.62	CA, KY, MN (40)
Roadside	Increase median width from 10 feet to 70 feet	Rural	Four-lane divided highways	Multiple vehicle	43	0.57	CA, KY, MN (40)
Roadside	Increase median width from 10 feet to 80 feet	Rural	Four-lane divided highways	Multiple vehicle	49	0.51	CA, KY, MN (40)
				Total	11	0.890	
		Urban		BC	40	0.600	Based on AZ, IA, IN, KY,
Roadside	Install guardrail	and	Not specified	KA	65	0.350	MO (13)
		rural		Run-off-the- road	30	0.700	
Roadside	Install cable median barrier (high-tensioned) on depressed median of 50 feet wide or wider	Rural	Principal arterial interstates	Multiple- vehicle, opposite direction (cross median, frontal and opposing direction sideswipe, head-on) Single-vehicle crashes (fixed object, run-off- the-road)	96 -72	0.04	IN (45)

Category	Countermeasure	Area Type	Facility type	Crash Type	CRF	CMF	States and (reference number)
				Single-vehicle	-120	2.2	
Roadside	Install concrete median	Rural	Interstates	Multiple- vehicle, same direction	20	0.8	CO, IL, IN, MO, NY, OH,
	barrier			Multiple- vehicle opposite direction	100	0	OR, WA (41)
Roadside	Change in sideslope from 1V:3H to 1V:4H	Rural	Not specified	PDO KABC	29 42	0.71 0.58	Not specified (10)
	Change in sideslope from			PDO	42 24	0.38	
Roadside	1V:4H to 1V:6H	Rural	Not specified	KABC	24	0.70	Not specified (10)
	Remove or relocate fixed	Urban		Total	38.2	0.618	
Roadside	objects outside of clear zone	and rural	Arterials, collectors	KABC	38.1	0.619	OH (19)
Road diet	Re-stripe four-lane undivided road to three- lane (with TWLTL)	Urban	Minor arterials	Total	29	0.71	CA, IA, WA (17)
Roadway				Total	53	0.47	Based on MT (13)
delineation	Add no passing striping	Rural	Not specified	Head-on	40	0.60	Based on KY, MO (13)
ucinication				Sideswipe	40	0.60	Dased on K1, WO (15)
	<b>T</b> , <b>H</b> , <b>H</b> , <b>H</b>	Urban	Two-lane roads	Target (head- on, opposite- direction sideswipe)	40	0.60	
Roadway	Install centerline rumble			Target KABC	64	0.36	CA, CO, DE, MD, MN, OR, PA, WA (43)
delineation	strips			Total	9	0.91	PA, WA (43)
		Rural	Two-lane roads	KABC	12	0.88	
		Kurai	1 wo-lane loads	Target	30	0.70	
				Target KABC	44	0.56	
			Two-lane roads	Run-off-the- road	15	0.85	
Roadway	Install shoulder rumble	Dumol	i wo-ialle toaus	Run-off-the- road KABC	29	0.71	
delineation	strips	Rural		Run-off-the- road	11	0.89	– MN, MO, PA (43)
			Freeways	Run-off-the- road KABC	16	0.84	

Category	Countermeasure	Area Type	Facility type	Crash Type	CRF	CMF	States and (reference number)
				Total	18.6	0.814	
				KABC	22.9	0.771	
				Head-on	36.8	0.632	
Roadway delineation	Install centerline plus shoulder rumble strips	Rural	Two-lane roads	Run-off-the- road	25.8	0.742	KY, MI, MO, PA (21,23)
				Opposite- direction sideswipe	23.3	0.767	
		Rural		Total	25.9	0.741	
Roadway delineation	Install edgeline pavement markings on curves		Two-lane highways	Run-off-the- road	11.0	0.89	TX (44)
uenneation	markings on curves			Speed-related (nighttime)	3.7	0.963	
				Total	6.1	0.939	
Roadway	Install edgeline pavement markings on tangent sections	Rural	Two-lane highways	Run-off-the- road	13.4	0.866	TX (44)
delineation				Speed-related (nighttime)	3.4	0.966	

Category	Countermeasure	Area Type	Facility type	Crash Type	CRF	CMF	States and (reference number)
			Two-lane highways with AADT 0-5000, curve radius R => 1640 ft	Nighttime	-16	1.16	
			Two-lane highways with AADT 5001- 15000, curve radius R => 1640 ft	Nighttime	1	0.99	
			Two-lane highways with AADT 15001- 20000, curve radius R => 1640 ft	Nighttime	24	0.76	IL, NJ, NY, PA (4)
Roadway delineation		Rural	Two-lane highways with AADT 0-5000, curve radius R < 1640 ft	Nighttime	-43	1.43	IL, NJ, NT, FA (+)
uenneation	markers		Two-lane highways with AADT 5001- 15000, curve radius R < 1640 ft	Nighttime	-26	1.26	
			Two-lane highways with AADT 15001- 20000, curve radius R < 1640 ft	Nighttime	-3	1.03	
			Four-lane freeways with AADT <= 20000	Nighttime	-13	1.13	
			Four-lane freeways with AADT 20001- 60000	Nighttime	6	0.94	MO, NY, PA, WI (4)
			Four-lane freeways with AADT > 60000	Nighttime	33	0.67	
Segments	Increase in number of	Urban	Multilane	PDO	61.3	0.387	IN (42)
 	through lanes by 1 lane*		Before: Two-lane	KABC Total	66.5 65.9	0.335 0.341	· · /
			roadway	PDO	64.9	0.341	
Segments	Convert two-lane roadway to four-lane	Urban	After: Four-lane divided roadway	KABC	63.3	0.367	FL (1)
segments	divided roadway		Before: Two-lane	Total	28.8	0.712	$\Gamma L(1)$
		Rural	roadway After: Four-lane divided roadway	PDO KABC	30.9 45.1	0.691 0.549	

Category	Countermeasure	Area Type	Facility type	Crash Type	CRF	CMF	States and (reference number)
Segments	Convert 12 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders to 11 foot lanes and 7 foot shoulders	Rural	Two-lane highways	Run-off-the- road, head-on, sideswipe	10	0.90	PA (14)
Segments	Convert 12 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders to 10 foot lanes and 8 foot shoulders	Rural	Two-lane highways	Run-off-the- road, head-on, sideswipe	62	0.38	PA (14)
Segments	Convert 12 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders to 12 foot lanes and 5 foot shoulders	Rural	Two-lane highways	Run-off-the- road, head-on, sideswipe	13	0.87	PA (14)
Segments	Convert 12 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders to 11 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders	Rural	Two-lane highways	Run-off-the- road, head-on, sideswipe	16	0.84	PA (14)
Segments	Convert 12 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders to 10 foot lanes and 7 foot shoulders	Rural	Two-lane highways	Run-off-the- road, head-on, sideswipe	-96	1.96	PA (14)
Segments	Convert 12 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders to 12 foot lanes and 4 foot shoulders	Rural	Two-lane highways	Run-off-the- road, head-on, sideswipe	-4	1.04	PA (14)
Segments	Convert 12 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders to 11 foot lanes and 5 foot shoulders	Rural	Two-lane highways	Run-off-the- road, head-on, sideswipe	-6	1.06	PA (14)
Segments	Convert 12 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders to 10 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders	Rural	Two-lane highways	Run-off-the- road, head-on, sideswipe	25	0.75	PA (14)
Segments	Convert 12 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders to 12 foot lanes and 3 foot shoulders	Rural	Two-lane highways	Run-off-the- road, head-on, sideswipe	-11	1.11	PA (14)
Segments	Convert 12 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders to 11 foot lanes and 4 foot shoulders	Rural	Two-lane highways	Run-off-the- road, head-on, sideswipe	-14	1.14	PA (14)
Segments	Convert 12 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders to 10 foot lanes and 5 foot shoulders	Rural	Two-lane highways	Run-off-the- road, head-on, sideswipe	-22	1.22	PA (14)
Segments	Convert 12 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders to 12 foot lanes and 2 foot shoulders	Rural	Two-lane highways	Run-off-the- road, head-on, sideswipe	-16	1.16	PA (14)
Segments	Convert 12 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders to 11 foot lanes and 3 foot shoulders	Rural	Two-lane highways	Run-off-the- road, head-on, sideswipe	-19	1.19	PA (14)
Segments	Convert 12 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders to 10 foot lanes and 4 foot shoulders	Rural	Two-lane highways	Run-off-the- road, head-on, sideswipe	-20	1.20	PA (14)

Category	Countermeasure	Area Type	Facility type	Crash Type	CRF	CMF	States and (reference number)
Segments	Convert 12 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders to 12 foot lanes and 1 foot shoulders	Rural	Two-lane highways	Run-off-the- road, head-on, sideswipe	-85	1.85	PA (14)
Segments	Convert 12 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders to 11 foot lanes and 2 foot shoulders	Rural	Two-lane highways	Run-off-the- road, head-on, sideswipe	-12	1.12	PA (14)
Segments	Convert 12 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders to 10 foot lanes and 3 foot shoulders	Rural	Two-lane highways	Run-off-the- road, head-on, sideswipe	-13	1.13	PA (14)
Segments	Convert 12 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders to 12 foot lanes and 0 foot shoulders*	Urban	Urban and suburban arterials	Total	-42.7	1.427	IL (22)
Segments	Convert 12 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders to 12 foot lanes and 1 foot shoulders*	Urban	Urban and suburban arterials	Total	-34.5	1.345	IL (22)
Segments	Convert 12 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders to 12 foot lanes and 2 foot shoulders*	Urban	Urban and suburban arterials	Total	-26.7	1.267	IL (22)
Segments	Convert 12 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders to 12 foot lanes and 3 foot shoulders*	Urban	Urban and suburban arterials	Total	-19.4	1.194	IL (22)
Segments	Convert 12 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders to 12 foot lanes and 4 foot shoulders*	Urban	Urban and suburban arterials	Total	-12.6	1.126	IL (22)
Segments	Convert 12 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders to 12 foot lanes and 5 foot shoulders*	Urban	Urban and suburban arterials	Total	-6.1	1.061	IL (22)
Segments	Convert 12 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders to 12 foot lanes and 7 foot shoulders*	Urban	Urban and suburban arterials	Total	5.8	0.942	IL (22)
Segments	Convert 12 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders to 12 foot lanes and 8 foot shoulders*	Urban	Urban and suburban arterials	Total	11.2	0.888	IL (22)

Category	Countermeasure	Area Type	Facility type	Crash Type	CRF	CMF	States and (reference number)
Segments	Convert 12 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders to 12 foot lanes and 9 foot shoulders*	Urban	Urban and suburban arterials	Total	16.3	0.837	IL (22)
Segments	Convert 12 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders to 12 foot lanes and 10 foot shoulders*	Urban	Urban and suburban arterials	Total	21.1	0.789	IL (22)
Segments	Convert 12 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders to 10 foot lanes and 0 foot shoulders*	Urban	Urban and suburban arterials	Total	-270.5	3.705	IL (22)
Segments	Convert 12 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders to 10 foot lanes and 1 foot shoulders*	Urban	Urban and suburban arterials	Total	-248.4	3.484	IL (22)
Segments	Convert 12 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders to 10 foot lanes and 2 foot shoulders*	Urban	Urban and suburban arterials	Total	-227.6	3.276	IL (22)
Segments	Convert 12 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders to 10 foot lanes and 3 foot shoulders*	Urban	Urban and suburban arterials	Total	-208	3.08	IL (22)
Segments	Convert 12 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders to 10 foot lanes and 4 foot shoulders*	Urban	Urban and suburban arterials	Total	-189.6	2.896	IL (22)
Segments	Convert 12 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders to 10 foot lanes and 5 foot shoulders*	Urban	Urban and suburban arterials	Total	-172.3	2.723	IL (22)
Segments	Convert 12 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders to 10 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders*	Urban	Urban and suburban arterials	Total	-156	2.56	IL (22)
Segments	Convert 12 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders to 10 foot lanes and 7 foot shoulders*	Urban	Urban and suburban arterials	Total	-140.7	2.407	IL (22)

Category	Countermeasure	Area Type	Facility type	Crash Type	CRF	CMF	States and (reference number)
Segments	Convert 12 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders to 10 foot lanes and 8 foot shoulders*	Urban	Urban and suburban arterials	Total	-126.3	2.263	IL (22)
Segments	Convert 12 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders to 10 foot lanes and 9 foot shoulders*	Urban	Urban and suburban arterials	Total	-112.8	2.128	IL (22)
Segments	Convert 12 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders to 10 foot lanes and 10 foot shoulders*	Urban	Urban and suburban arterials	Total	-100.1	2.001	IL (22)
Segments	Convert 12 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders to 11 foot lanes and 0 foot shoulders*	Urban	Urban and suburban arterials	Total	-14.2	1.142	IL (22)
Segments	Convert 12 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders to 11 foot lanes and 1 foot shoulders*	Urban	Urban and suburban arterials	Total	-10.4	1.104	IL (22)
Segments	Convert 12 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders to 11 foot lanes and 2 foot shoulders*	Urban	Urban and suburban arterials	Total	-6.8	1.068	IL (22)
Segments	Convert 12 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders to 11 foot lanes and 3 foot shoulders*	Urban	Urban and suburban arterials	Total	-3.3	1.033	IL (22)
Segments	Convert 12 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders to 11 foot lanes and 4 foot shoulders*	Urban	Urban and suburban arterials	Total	0.1	0.999	IL (22)
Segments	Convert 12 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders to 11 foot lanes and 5 foot shoulders*	Urban	Urban and suburban arterials	Total	3.4	0.966	IL (22)
Segments	Convert 12 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders to 11 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders*	Urban	Urban and suburban arterials	Total	6.6	0.934	IL (22)

Category	Countermeasure	Area Type	Facility type	Crash Type	CRF	CMF	States and (reference number)
Segments	Convert 12 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders to 11 foot lanes and 7 foot shoulders*	Urban	Urban and suburban arterials	Total	9.7	0.903	IL (22)
Segments	Convert 12 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders to 11 foot lanes and 8 foot shoulders*	Urban	Urban and suburban arterials	Total	12.6	0.874	IL (22)
Segments	Convert 12 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders to 11 foot lanes and 9 foot shoulders*	Urban	Urban and suburban arterials	Total	15.5	0.845	IL (22)
Segments	Convert 12 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders to 11 foot lanes and 10 foot shoulders*	Urban	Urban and suburban arterials	Total	18.3	0.817	IL (22)
Segments	Convert 12 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders to 13 foot lanes and 0 foot shoulders*	Urban	Urban and suburban arterials	Total	-23.8	1.238	IL (22)
Segments	Convert 12 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders to 13 foot lanes and 1 foot shoulders*	Urban	Urban and suburban arterials	Total	-16.8	1.168	IL (22)
Segments	Convert 12 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders to 13 foot lanes and 2 foot shoulders*	Urban	Urban and suburban arterials	Total	-10.1	1.101	IL (22)
Segments	Convert 12 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders to 13 foot lanes and 3 foot shoulders*	Urban	Urban and suburban arterials	Total	-3.8	1.038	IL (22)
Segments	Convert 12 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders to 13 foot lanes and 4 foot shoulders*	Urban	Urban and suburban arterials	Total	2.1	0.979	IL (22)
Segments	Convert 12 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders to 13 foot lanes and 5 foot shoulders*	Urban	Urban and suburban arterials	Total	7.6	0.924	IL (22)

Category	Countermeasure	Area Type	Facility type	Crash Type	CRF	CMF	States and (reference number)
Segments	Convert 12 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders to 13 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders*	Urban	Urban and suburban arterials	Total	12.9	0.871	IL (22)
Segments	Convert 12 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders to 13 foot lanes and 7 foot shoulders*	Urban	Urban and suburban arterials	Total	17.9	0.821	IL (22)
Segments	Convert 12 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders to 13 foot lanes and 8 foot shoulders*	Urban	Urban and suburban arterials	Total	22.5	0.775	IL (22)
Segments	Convert 12 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders to 13 foot lanes and 9 foot shoulders*	Urban	Urban and suburban arterials	Total	26.9	0.731	IL (22)
Segments	Convert 12 foot lanes and 6 foot shoulders to 13 foot lanes and 10 foot shoulders*	Urban	Urban and suburban arterials	Total	31.1	0.689	IL (22)
Segments	Extend on-ramp acceleration lane by 30 meters (about 100 feet)	Urban and rural	Grade-separated junctions	Total	11	0.89	Not specified (10)
Segments	Extend off-ramp deceleration lane by 30 meters (about 100 feet)	Urban and rural	Grade-separated junctions	Total	7	0.93	Not specified (10)
				Total	33	0.67	
				KABC	29	0.71	
Segments	Install passing relief lane	Rural	Two-lane highways	Target (head- on, rear-end, run-off-the- road, sideswipe)	47	0.53	MI (3)
				Peak month (June, July, August)	46	0.54	
				Off-peak month	28	0.72	

Category	Countermeasure	Area Type	Facility type	Crash Type	CRF	CMF	States and (reference number)
			<b>T</b> 1 1 1.	PDO	6.6	0.934	
		TT-1	Two-lane roads	KABC	14.2	0.858	
		Urban		PDO	2.0	0.980	
G (	Increase lane width by 1		Multilane roads	KABC	14.1	0.859	<b>DI</b> (40)
Segments	foot*		<b>T</b> 1 1.	PDO	8.2	0.918	IN (42)
		D 1	Two-lane roads	KABC	7.4	0.926	
		Rural	Maltilana na da	PDO	17.7	0.823	
			Multilane roads	KABC	21.2	0.788	
			True lane and	PDO	12.7	0.873	
		TT-1	Two-lane roads	KABC	26.3	0.737	
		Urban		PDO	4.0	0.960	
<b>C</b>	Increase lane width by 2		Multilane roads	KABC	26.2	0.738	DI (42)
Segments	feet*		<b>T</b> 1 1.	PDO	15.7	0.843	IN (42)
		Rural -	Two-lane roads	KABC	14.3	0.857	
			Multilane roads	PDO	32.2	0.678	
				KABC	37.9	0.621	
	Increase lane width by 3 feet*		Two-lane roads	PDO	18.4	0.816	IN (42)
		Urban - Rural -		KABC	36.8	0.632	
			Multilane roads	PDO	6.0	0.940	
G (				KABC	36.6	0.634	
Segments			Two-lane roads	PDO	22.6	0.774	
				KABC	20.7	0.793	
			M 1/1	PDO	44.2	0.558	
			Multilane roads	KABC	51.1	0.489	
			TT 1 1	PDO	23.8	0.762	
		<b>TT 1</b>	Two-lane roads	KABC	45.7	0.543	
		Urban		PDO	7.9	0.921	
<b>G</b> (	Increase lane width by 4		Multilane roads	KABC	45.6	0.544	<b>D</b> L (42)
Segments	feet*		TT 1 1	PDO	28.9	0.711	IN (42)
		D 1	Two-lane roads	KABC	26.6	0.734	
		Rural		PDO	54.0	0.460	
			Multilane roads	KABC	61.5	0.385	
		TT 1	Two-lane roads	PDO	1.7	0.983	
<b>GL</b> 11		Urban	Multilane roads	PDO	1.6	0.984	
Shoulder	Increase right shoulder			PDO	2.3	0.977	IN (42)
treatment	width by 1 foot*	Rural	Two-lane roads	KABC	2.8	0.972	× /
			Multilane roads	KABC	4.0	0.960	1

Category	Countermeasure	Area Type	Facility type	Crash Type	CRF	CMF	States and (reference number)
			Two-lane roads	PDO	3.5	0.965	/
<b>GL 11</b>	T 1, 1 11	Urban	Multilane roads	PDO	3.1	0.969	
Shoulder treatment	Increase right shoulder width by 2 feet*		True lane woods	PDO	4.6	0.954	IN (42)
treatment	width by 2 leet*	Rural	Two-lane roads	KABC	5.4	0.946	
			Multilane roads	KABC	7.9	0.921	
		Urban	Two-lane roads	PDO	5.1	0.949	
Shoulder	Increase right shoulder	UIDall	Multilane roads	PDO	4.7	0.953	
treatment	width by 3 feet*		Two-lane roads	PDO	6.8	0.932	IN (42)
treatment	which by 5 leet	Rural	I wo-falle foaus	KABC	8.0	0.920	
			Multilane roads	KABC	11.6	0.884	
		Urban	Two-lane roads	PDO	6.8	0.932	
Shoulder	Increase right shoulder	UIUall	Multilane roads	PDO	6.2	0.938	
treatment	width by 4 feet*			PDO	8.9	0.911	IN (42)
treatment		Rural		KABC	10.6	0.894	
			Multilane roads	KABC	15.2	0.848	
Shoulder	Increase left/inside shoulder width by 1 foot*	Urban	Multilane roads	KABC	18.5	0.815	
treatment		Rural	Multilane roads	PDO	4.3	0.957	IN (42)
treatment				KABC	6.7	0.933	
Shoulder	Increase left/inside	Urban	Multilane roads	KABC	33.6	0.664	IN (42)
treatment	shoulder width by 2 feet*	Rural	Multilane roads	PDO	8.5	0.915	
ti catiliciti	shoulder width by 2 leet			KABC	13.0	0.870	
Shoulder	Increase left/inside	Urban	Multilane roads	KABC	45.9	0.541	
treatment	shoulder width by 3 feet*	Rural	Multilane roads	PDO	12.4	0.876	IN (42)
treatment	shoulder width by 5 feet	Kurai		KABC	18.9	0.811	
Shoulder	Increase left/inside	Urban	Multilane roads	KABC	56.0	0.440	
treatment	shoulder width by 4 feet*	Rural	Multilane roads	PDO	16.2	0.838	IN (42)
treatment	shoulder width by 4 feet	Kurai	Wutthane Toads	KABC	24.3	0.757	
				Total	4.3	0.957	
				KABC	16.4	0.836	
Signs	Install chevron signs on	Rural	Two-lane highways	Lane departure	5.9	0.941	WA(37)
Signs	horizontal curves	Kulai	I wo-talle highways	Nighttime	24.5	0.755	WA (37)
				Nighttime lane departure	22.1	0.779	

Category	Countermeasure	Area Type	Facility type	Crash Type	CRF	CMF	States and (reference number)
				Total	1.2	0.988	
		Urban	Three- and four-leg	KABC	6.7	0.933	
Signs	Increase retroreflectivity	and	stop-controlled	Right-angle	-1.2	1.012	CT, SC (28)
Signs	of stop signs	rural	intersections	Rear-end	-2.2	1.022	C1, SC (28)
		Turui	intersections	Nighttime	4.4	0.956	
				Daytime	-0.1	1.001	
	Install flashing beacons at	Urban	Two-lane highways	Angle	-12	1.12	
Signs	stop-controlled intersections	Rural	Two-lane highways	Angle	16	0.84	NC, SC (36)
Speed management	Lower posted speed by 15-20 mph	Urban and rural	Nonlimited access highways	Total	6	0.94	AZ, CA, CO, CT, DE, ID, IL, IN, ME, MD, MA, MI, MS, NE, NJ, NM, OH, OK, TN, TX, VA, WV (27)
Speed management	Lower posted speed by 10 mph	Urban and rural	Nonlimited access highways	Total	4	0.96	AZ, CA, CO, CT, DE, ID, IL, IN, ME, MD, MA, MI, MS, NE, NJ, NM, OH, OK, TN, TX, VA, WV (27)
Speed management	Lower posted speed by 5 mph	Urban and rural	Nonlimited access highways	Total	-17	1.17	AZ, CA, CO, CT, DE, ID, IL, IN, ME, MD, MA, MI, MS, NE, NJ, NM, OH, OK, TN, TX, VA, WV (27)
Speed management	Raise posted speed by 5 mph	Urban and rural	Nonlimited access highways	Total	8	0.92	AZ, CA, CO, CT, DE, ID, IL, IN, ME, MD, MA, MI, MS, NE, NJ, NM, OH, OK, TN, TX, VA, WV (27)
Speed management	Raise posted speed by 10- 15 mph	Urban and rural	Nonlimited access highways	Total	15	0.85	AZ, CA, CO, CT, DE, ID, IL, IN, ME, MD, MA, MI, MS, NE, NJ, NM, OH, OK, TN, TX, VA, WV (27)
Speed management	Set appropriate speed limit	Urban and rural	Not specified	Total	28	0.72	Based on KY, MO, MT (13)

\*CRF/CMF given in the form of a function in the CMF Clearinghouse or in the report/paper. For this table, the CRFs/CMFs have been discretized for various levels of the safety countermeasure. The user is referred to the source (provided by the reference number) for the original functional form.

## **CRF/CMF** Studies

- 1. Ahmed, M. M., Abdel-Aty, M., & Park, J. (2015). Evaluation of the Safety Effectiveness of the Conversion of Two-Lane Roadways to Four-Lane Divided Roadways: Bayesian Versus Empirical Bayes. Transportation Research Record: Journal of the Transportation Research Board, 2515, 41-49.
- 2. Appiah, J., Naik, B., Wojtal, R., & Rilett, L. R. (2011). Safety Effectiveness of Actuated Advance Warning Systems. Transportation Research Record: Journal of the Transportation Research Board, 2250, 19-24.
- Bagdade, J., Ceifetz, A., Myers, M., Redinger, C., Persaud, B. N., & Lyon, C. A. (2012). Evaluating Performance and Making Best Use of Passing Relief Lanes. Lansing, Michigan: Michigan Department of Transportation.
- Bahar, G., Mollett, C., Persaud, B., Lyon, C., Smiley, A., Smahel, T., & McGee, H. (2004). Safety Evaluation of Permanent Raised Pavement Markers - NCHRP Report 518. Washington, D.C.: Transportation Research Board.
- Bham, G. H., Long, S., Baik, H., Ryan, T., Gentry, L., Lall, K., Schaeffer, B. (2010). Evaluation of Variable Speed Limits on I-270/I-255 in St. Louis. Jefferson City, Missouri: Missouri Department of Transportation.
- Bullough, J. D., Donnell, E. T., & Rea, M. S. (2013). To Illuminate or Not to Illuminate: Roadway Lighting as it Affects Traffic Safety at Intersections. Accident Analysis and Prevention, 53, 65-77.
- 7. Davis, G. A., Hourdos, J., & Xiong, H. (2014). Estimating the Crash Reduction and Vehicle Dynamics Effects of Flashing LED Stop Signs. Saint Paul, Minnesota: Minnesota Department of Transportation.
- 8. Edara, P., Sun, C., & Breslow, S. (2014). Evaluation of J-turn Intersection Design Performance in Missouri. Jefferson City, Missouri: Missouri Department of Transportation.
- El-Basyouny, K., Sayed, T., El Esawey, M., & Pump, J. (2012). Investigating Effect of Collision Aggregation on Safety Evaluations with Models of Multivariate Linear Intervention: Case Study of Program to Upgrade Signal Heads. Transportation Research Record: Journal of the Transportation Research Board, 2280, 110-117.
- 10. Elvik, R., & Vaa, T. (2004). The Handbook of Road Safety (1st ed.). Oxford, United Kingdom: Elsevier.
- 11. Fitzpatrick, K., Park, E. S., & Schneider IV, W. H. (2008). Potential Accident Modification Factors for Driveway Density on Rural Highways: From Texas Data. Transportation Research Record: Journal of the Transportation Research Board, 2083, 49-61.
- 12. Fitzpatrick, K., & Park, E. S. (2010). Safety Effectiveness of the HAWK Pedestrian Crossing Treatment. Texas Transportation Institute and Federal Highway Administration.
- 13. Gan, A., Shen, J., & Rodriguez, A. (2005). Update of Florida Crash Reduction Factors and Countermeasures to Improve the Development of District Safety Improvement Projects. Miami: Lehman Center for Transportation Research, Florida International University; Tallahassee: Florida Department of Transportation Safety Office.
- 14. Gross, F., Jovanis, P. P., Eccles, K., & Chen, K.-Y. (2009). Safety Evaluation of Lane and Shoulder Width Combinations on Rural, Two-Lane, Undivided Roads. Washington, D.C.: Federal Highway Administration.
- 15. Gross, F., Lyon, C., Persaud, B., & Srinivasan, R. (2013). Safety Effectiveness of Converting Signalized Intersections to Roundabouts. Accident Analysis and Prevention, 50, 234-241.

- Hallmark, S. L., Hawkins, N., & Smadi, O. (2015). Evaluation of Dynamic Speed Feedback Signs on Curves: A National Demonstration Project. Iowa State University and Federal Highway Administration.
- Harkey, D., Srinivasan, R., Baek, J., Council, F., Eccles, K., Lefler, N., Bonneson, J. (2008). Accident Modification Factors for Traffic Engineering and ITS Improvements - NCHRP Report 617. Washington, D.C.: Transportation Research Board.
- Harwood, D. W., Bauer, K. M., Potts, I. B., Torbic, D. J., Richard, K. R., Kohlman Rabbani, E. R., . . . Griffith, M. S. (2003). Safety Effectiveness of Intersection Left- and Right-Turn Lanes. Transportation Research Record: Journal of the Transportation Research Board, 1840, 131-139.
- 19. Hovey, P., & Chowdhury, M. (2005). Development of Crash Reduction Factors. Dayton, Ohio: University of Dayton; Columbus: Ohio Department of Transportation.
- 20. Hummer, J. E., Cunningham, C. M., Srinivasan, R., Warchol, S., Claros, B., Edara, P., & Sun, C. (2016). Safety Evaluation of Seven of the Earliest Diverging Diamond Interchanges Installed in the United States. Transportation Research Record: Journal of the Transportation Research Board, 2583, 25-33.
- 21. Kay, J., Savolainen, P. T., Gates, T. J., Datta, T. K., Finkelman, J., & Hamadeh, B. (2015). Safety Impacts of a Statewide Centerline Rumble Strip Installation Program. Transportation Research Record: Journal of the Transportation Research Board, 2515, 34-40.
- 22. Le, T. Q., & Porter, R. J. (2013). Safety Effects of Cross Section Design on Urban and Suburban Roads. Transportation Research Board 92nd Annual Meeting. Washington, D.C.
- 23. Lyon, C., Persaud, B., & Eccles, K. (2015). Safety Evaluation of Centerline Plus Shoulder Rumble Strips. McLean, Virginia: Federal Highway Administration.
- 24. Mauga, T., & Kaseko, M. (2010). Modeling and Evaluating Safety Impacts of Access Management Features in the Las Vegas, Nevada, Valley. Transportation Research Record: Journal of the Transportation Research Board, 2171, 57-65.
- 25. McGee, H., Taori, S., & Persaud, B. (2003). Crash Experience Warrant for Traffic Signals NCHRP Report 491. Washington, D.C.: Transportation Research Board.
- 26. Park, Y.-J., & Saccomanno, F. F. (2005). Collision Frequency Analysis Using Tree-Based Stratification. Transportation Research Record: Journal of the Transportation Research Board, 1908, 121-129.
- 27. Parker Jr., M. R. (1997). Effects of Raising and Lowering Speed Limits on Selected Roadway Sections. McLean, Virginia: Federal Highway Administration.
- Persaud, B., Lyon, C., Eccles, K., Lefler, N., & Amjadi, R. (2008a). Safety Evaluation of Increasing Retroreflectivity of STOP Signs. Washington, D.C.: Federal Highway Administration.
- 29. Persaud, B., Lyon, C., Eccles, K., Lefler, N., Carter, D., & Amjadi, R. (2008b). Safety Evaluation of Installing Center Two-Way Left-Turn Lanes on Two-Lane Roads. Washington, D.C.: Federal Highway Administration.
- 30. Persaud, B., Lyon, C., Eccles, K., Lefler, N., & Gross, F. (2009). Safety Evaluation of Offset Improvements for Left-Turn Lanes. Washington, D.C.: Federal Highway Administration.
- 31. Qin, X., Bill, A., Chitturi, M., & Noyce, D. A. (2013). Evaluation of Roundabout Safety. Transportation Research Board 92nd Annual Meeting. Washington, D.C.
- Retting, R. A., Chapline, J. F., & Williams, A. F. (2002). Changes in Crash Risk following Re-timing of Traffic Signal Change Intervals. Accident Analysis and Prevention, 34(2), 215-220.

- 33. Rodegerdts, L., Blogg, M., Wemple, E., Myers, E., Kyte, M., Dixon, M., Carter, D. (2007). Roundabouts in the United States - NCHRP Report 572. Washington, D.C.: Transportation Research Board.
- 34. Simpson, C. L., & Hummer, J. E. (2010). Evaluation of the Conversion from Two-Way Stop Sign Control to All-Way Stop Sign Control at 53 Locations in North Carolina. Journal of Transportation Safety & Security, 2, 239-260.
- 35. Simpson, C. L., & Troy, S. A. (2013). Safety Effectiveness of "Vehicle Entering When Flashing" Signs: Evaluation of 74 Stop-Controlled Intersections in North Carolina. Transportation Research Record: Journal of the Transportation Research Board, 2384, 1-9.
- 36. Srinivasan, R., Carter, D., Eccles, K., Persaud, B., Lefler, N., Lyon, C., & Amjadi, R. (2008). Safety Evaluation of Flashing Beacons at STOP-Controlled Intersections. Washington, D.C.: Federal Highway Administration.
- 37. Srinivasan, R., Baek, J., Carter, D., Persaud, B., Lyon, C., Eccles, K., Lefler, N. (2009). Safety Evaluation of Improved Curve Delineation. Washington, D.C.: Federal Highway Administration.
- Srinivasan, R., Baek, J., & Council, F. (2010). Safety Evaluation of Transverse Rumble Strips on Approaches to Stop-Controlled Intersections in Rural Areas. Journal of Transportation Safety & Security, 2(3), 261-278.
- 39. Srinivasan, R., Baek, J., Smith, S., Sundstrom, C., Carter, D., Lyon, C., Lefler, N. (2011). Evaluation of Safety Strategies at Signalized Intersections - NCHRP Report 705. Washington, D.C.: Transportation Research Board.
- 40. Stamatiadis, N., Pigman, J., Sacksteder, J., Ruff, W., & Lord, D. (2009). Impact of Shoulder Width and Median Width on Safety NCHRP Report 633. Washington, D.C.: Transportation Research Board.
- 41. Tarko, A. P., Villwock, N. M., & Blond, N. (2008). Effect of Median Design on Rural Freeway Safety: Flush Medians with Concrete Barriers and Depressed Medians. Transportation Research Record: Journal of the Transportation Research Board, 2060, 29-37.
- 42. Tarko, A. P., Dey, A., & Romero, M. A. (2015). Performance Measure that Indicates Geometry Sufficiency of State Highways. West Lafayette, Indiana: Joint Transportation Research Program, Indiana Department of Transportation and Purdue University.
- Torbic, D. J., Hutton, J. M., Bokenkroger, C. D., Bauer, K. M., Harwood, D. W., Gilmore, D. K., . . . Lyon, C. (2009). Guidance for the Design and Application of Shoulder and Centerline Rumble Strips NCHRP Report 641. Washington, D.C.: Transportation Research Board.
- 44. Tsyganov, A. R., Warrenchuk, N. M., & Machemehl, R. B. (2009). Driver Performance and Safety Effects of Edge Lines on Rural Two-Lane Highways. Transportation Research Board 88th Annual Meeting. Washington, D.C.
- 45. Villwock, N., Blond, N., & Tarko, A. P. (2009). Safety Impact of Cable Barriers on Rural Interstates. Transportation Research Board 88th Annual Meeting. Washington, D.C.
- 46. Zeng, H., Fontaine, M. D., & Smith, B. L. (2014). Estimation of the Safety Effect of Pavement Condition on Rural, Two-Lane Highways. Transportation Research Record: Journal of the Transportation Research Board, 2435, 45-52.