## INDIANA GAMING COMMISSION BUSINESS MEETING

The Indiana Gaming Commission First Quarter 2010
Business Meeting, taken by Paula A. Morgan, Notary
Public in and for the County of Hamilton, State of
Indiana, held at Indiana Live Casino, 4200 North
Michigan Road, 2nd Floor Clubhouse, Shelbyville,
Indiana, commencing at 1:00 p.m. on March 4, 2010.

ASSOCIATED REPORTING, INC.
Two Market Square Center, Suite 940
251 East Ohio Street
Indianapolis, Indiana 46204
(317) 631-0940

APPEARANCES On behalf of the Gaming Commission: Tim Murphy, Chairman Tom Swihart, Commissioner Mary Shy, Commissioner Marc Fine, Commissioner Robert Morgan, Commissioner V. Sue Shields, Commissioner Ernest Yelton, Executive Director Jennifer Reske, Deputy Director Phil Sicuso, General Counsel Tami Timberman-Wright, Administrative Assistant 

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

17

16

19

20

18

2122

23

24

25

## MARCH 4, 2010

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Good afternoon, everyone.

Welcome to the first quarter 2010 meeting of the

Indiana Gaming Commission. The meeting is now called
to order, and the first item will be roll call of the

Commissioners. Commissioner Swihart.

COMMISSIONER SWIHART: Present.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Commissioner Fine.

COMMISSIONER FINE: Here.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Commissioner Shy.

COMMISSIONER SHY: Here.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Commissioner Morgan.

COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Present.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Commissioner Shields.

COMMISSIONER SHIELDS: Present.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: The Chair is present. All Commissioners are present, and we have a quorum.

First item of business will be the approval of the minutes of the last meeting. Commissioners, you've been supplied with the minutes in your early-mailing material. Are there any questions?

COMMISSIONER SWIHART: Move to accept.

COMMISSIONER SHY: Second.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: It's been moved and seconded.

All those in favor of approval?

(All said aye.)

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: The Chair will abstain, as I was not here for the last meeting. The minutes of the last meeting are approved by a vote of five out of six.

The next item of business will be the report of the Executive Director.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR YELTON: Thank you, Chairman Murphy, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Commission. I'll begin with the Staff Update. I can't see anything. I think there are some shadows out there that tells me there's some people, but I couldn't recognize you. So I'll call your name, and hopefully you're there.

At our last meeting I had announced that Kesha Rich was selected to replace Andy Means as our documents analyst for the Commission. And today I am pleased to inform you that Sherry Green, who came from our Human Resources division, will serve as our new Occupational License Coordinator. Sherry, thank you.

In our Financial Investigator division we welcome Stephanie Berry, who was chosen to replace Joel DeCapua. As you may remember, Joel was selected to be a Special Agent for the FBI. And Stephanie comes to us with a very solid background in Trust

2

3 4

5 6

7

8 9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

from Fifth Third, I believe it was; is that correct? Welcome.

Additionally, we would also announce that Rick Grisel from the Indiana State Police has become our latest investigator for Charity Gaming. But he's in the field on duty this morning, up in northern Indiana, and will not be able to join us.

And, finally, once again, we are very fortunate to have another intern from the Indiana School of Law, Brian Brenn, Fort Wayne. Brian, there you are. Brian will spend each of his Thursdays with us at the Commission, working with the legal department, and will serve in that capacity through the entire semester.

Next is the Commission's Reinvestigations Report. Suppliers WMS, AC Coin, and Shuffle Master were reinvestigated for their three-year period for their licenses during the quarter. We have submitted to the members of the Commission the reports. And, as I indicated, this is not a renewal of their license. This is just the reinvestigation. However, if you have any questions, they can be entertained at this time, and members from our Investigations staff will be more than glad to try and answer them for you.

COMMISSIONER SHIELDS: Are you taking them in any

order?

1.1

1.3

1.8

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR YELTON: We're taking them with you, Commissioner Shields.

COMMISSIONER SHIELDS: Thank you. I had a question. Well, two questions. One was with Shuffle Master. And you'll forgive me. I'm relatively new to the Commission. But in looking through Item V, the gaming regulation violations on Page 8, or commencing on Page 8, if you look at the history back from 2002, relatively few, one in '02, one in '03, one in '04, one in '05, one in '06, two in '07, one in '08. And then we come to '09, and we have one, two, three, four, five, six, and already one in '10. I just wondered if there was -- I found that kind of interesting.

MR. BROWN: Yes. Thank you. Good afternoon, Commissioners and executive staff. Garth Brown, Director of Background Investigations.

We have noted this. Shuffle Master actually does a terrific job of notifying us of their faults and things that -- incidents that have come up. We typically get reports from them at least quarterly, if not, you know, every month or so, if there's been something material that's happened. So we have been able to follow these.

We'd be happy to pursue these further. I don't know -- I don't believe that what happened in 2009 is going to be typical of what we should see going forward, but we definitely will monitor it.

COMMISSIONER SHIELDS: And why do you think it will not be typical? I mean, has there been some internal controls? Because a lot of them seem to be of the same type and errors that should be easily corrected.

MR. BROWN: Correct. As far as the internal controls and whatnot, I don't believe that I could answer that specifically. I think if -- maybe if we were very concerned, maybe Shuffle Master's people could be here to address that.

COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Could we request that?

MR. BROWN: Yes, yes. I believe, going forward with this, that it would be wise to request that they come to kind of explain some of these.

COMMISSIONER SHIELDS: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER MORGAN: And one other thing pertaining to Shuffle Master also, the company litigation, some 70 cases that --

MR. BROWN: Yes.

COMMISSIONER MORGAN: -- we're a party to.

MR. BROWN: Yes.

MR. BROWN: I don't have a lot of enlightenment on it. A majority of the -- well, the ones that concerned us the most have been noted specifically in the chart for you. And, again, those are pending.

And, again, they do update us on those. So as things start to evolve in those cases, we're going to be following them, and we'll notify you.

COMMISSIONER MORGAN: And we could request -MR. BROWN: Again, yes, this would be something
that they could speak for on their behalf.

COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Very good. We will request that.

MR. BROWN: Sure.

COMMISSIONER SHIELDS: Then I had one other question on WMS. If you note at Page 6, it was just the comment that it was investigated and found WMS to be uncooperative.

MR. BROWN: Correct. That statement was actually made by Frank Brady. And, unfortunately, he is not here to speak on that. But our investigator did meet with Frank, discussed the case. And, again, as you saw within this, Frank did consider the investigation of what happened still open.

But this would be another example of where they

would be able to come and specifically address this.

COMMISSIONER MORGAN: We would request that as well.

MR. BROWN: Yes, absolutely.

COMMISSIONER SHIELDS: Thank you.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR YELTON: Anything further? Thank you, Mr. Brown.

Since November the IGC has placed 21 individuals on our Exclusion List, which permanently bars these patrons from entering any casino in the state of Indiana. I'll give you a brief description of each.

Todd Kennard past-posted Caribbean Stud bets on five separate instances in one day at French Lick. A charge of felony cheating at a gambling game is pending in the Orange County Superior Court.

Robert Boggan was seen at Hollywood taking an envelope containing \$900 from another person. A charge of felony theft is still pending in the Dearborn Superior Court.

Jason Howard was seen at Indiana Live taking two TITO tickets worth approximately \$2,168 from another patron. A charge of felony theft is still pending in the Shelby County Court.

Xiaofei Zhou past-posted 21+3 Blackjack bets on five separate instances in one day at Horseshoe

4 5

Southern Indiana. A charge of felony cheating at a gambling game is still pending in the Harrison County Superior Court.

Cory Wray and Derek Hackney were seen taking possession of another patron's wallet at French Lick Casino. Both entered diversion agreements and paid restitution after being charged with felony theft in the Orange County Superior Court.

Joey Simpson was seen at Hollywood taking another patron's wallet. A charge of felony theft is still pending in the Dearborn Superior Court.

Lucille Johnson was seen at Hoosier Park taking a TITO worth approximately \$702 from another person.

Dorothy Quate was seen at Hoosier Park taking a TITO ticket worth approximately \$701 from another person. She admitted to her actions but failed to make restitution.

Chee Keong Onn was observed in the Grand
Victoria, Hollywood, and Belterra making or
attempting to make fraudulent credit card advances in
an attempt to defraud the casinos. He's admitted to
his actions.

Cynthia Herring was seen at Hollywood taking from another patron a TITO worth approximately \$691. She pled guilty to theft in the Dearborn Superior Court

and received Alternate Misdemeanor Sentencing.

Tenzin Tamding was observed at Indiana Live taking from another patron a TITO worth approximately \$1,000. A felony theft charge is still pending in the Shelby County Superior Court.

Michael Riportella was employed as a poker dealer at the Belterra Casino and was seen taking casino chips designated for the Bad Beat Jackpot and placing them in his tip box instead of in the Jackpot fund. He pled guilty to conversion, as a class-A misdemeanor, in the Switzerland County Superior Court.

Cheryl Thacker was seen at Hoosier Park taking unlawful possession of another patron's wallet. The wallet contained approximately \$1,000.

Scott McGaha was observed at Horseshoe Southern Indiana capping his bets on five separate instances during the same day while playing Three Card Poker. Two counts of felony cheating at a gambling game are still pending in the Harrison County Superior Court.

Tony Grider was observed at Hollywood on four separate occasions accepting money as a loan under false pretences from other patrons. He was charged with three counts of felony theft in the Dearborn County Superior Court.

Dehaven Butts was observed at Indiana Live taking another patron's Players Card. Mr. Butts, thereafter, used the points on the card at restaurants and stores at the casino. While being questioned by IGC Agents, he admitted to his actions and turned over three other patrons' Players Cards that he unlawfully possessed.

Lynn Bowling was working at Hoosier Park and gave lost and misplaced TITO tickets to Thomas Taite, so that he could cash the tickets and split the proceeds with her. She was fired and charged with misdemeanor conversion in Anderson City Court.

Gloria Farrington was observed at French Lick taking unlawful possession of an envelope containing three TITO tickets worth \$489 and approximately \$1,700 in cash. While being questioned by the IGC Agents, she admitted her actions with respect to the TITOs but denied taking the cash. She was charged with theft, as a class-D felony, and it's still pending in the Orange County Superior Court.

Finally, Charles Whetstone, while working at
Horseshoe Hammond, admitted to IGC Agents to passing
handwritten TITO tickets to his girlfriend, who would
then cash the tickets for cash. Horseshoe fired
Whetstone. He was charged with felony theft in the

1.4

Lake County Superior Court, not to be confused with Representative Matt Whetstone.

The IGC placed 33 individuals on the Exclusion List in 2009, bringing the total to 141 individuals who are barred from participating or entering Indiana casinos.

Pursuant to 68 IAC 2-3-5, the Commission issues
Certificates of Registration to junketeer and
junketeer operator applicants who meet the criteria
established by the Commission in our Administrative
Rules. A Certificate of Registration may be renewed
annually if the junketeer/operator submits the
appropriate payment not fewer than thirty days before
the Certificate expires.

Since the Commission's meeting in September, the Commission has issued Certificates of Registration to eight new junketeer applicants and seven new junket operator applicants, bringing the total number of junketeers to 19 and the total number of junket operators to 18 since the Commission began issuing its Certificates in March of 2009. Also, the Commission renewed one Certificate of Registration since our last meeting.

And, finally, in our Waiver Summary, there were only two. Hollywood was granted a waiver allowing

generic layout for poker tables used only for special tournaments.

And Majestic Star's request was approved to temporarily allow the casino to cash Majestic Star's payroll checks for those casino employees that do not have direct deposit. That will only be in effect during the bankruptcy proceedings for Majestic Star.

And, Mr. Chair and members of the Commission, that concludes the Executive Director's report, unless there are any questions.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Thank you, Executive Director Yelton. There being no old business, we'll move on to Patron matters. In the Voluntary Exclusion Program we'll hear from Matt Shouse.

Matt, if I'm correct, and Commissioners, we'll take all of the orders and will hear questions on each -- call for questions, excuse me, on each order and vote at the end on all exclusions.

MR. SHOUSE: Good afternoon, Commissioners. You have before you 32 orders regarding the Voluntary Exclusion Program. Pursuant to the rules of the program, the identities of Voluntary Exclusion Program participants must remain confidential.

Pursuant to 68 IAC 6-3-2 (g), a participant of the program agrees that if he or she violates the

terms of the program and enters the gaming area of a facility under the jurisdiction of the Commission, they will forfeit any jackpot or thing of value won as a result of a wager.

Under Orders 2010-2 through 33, a total sum of \$24,529.72 was forfeited by John Does 1 through 32. These winnings were collected at Ameristar, Belterra, Blue Chip, Grand Victoria, Hollywood, Hoosier Park, Horseshoe, Indiana Live, and Majestic Star. These winnings were withheld as required by Commission regulations. Commission staff recommends that you approve the remittance of these winnings for John Does 1 through 32.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Any questions the Commissioners have on the Voluntary Exclusion Program, Orders 2010-2 through 2010-33?

COMMISSIONER SWIHART: Move to approve.

COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Second.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: It's been moved and seconded.

All those in favor?

(All said aye.)

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Opposed, same sign. Orders 2010-2 through 2010-33 are unanimously approved.

Thank you, Mr. Shouse.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Next order of business will be

Occupational License matters. We will hear from Jeff Neuenschwander.

MR. NEUENSCHWANDER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Victor Spaulding applied for a Level 3 occupational license and was granted a temporary license on or about August 5, 2009, to work as a painter at Hollywood Casino.

On his application for licensure, Mr. Spaulding indicated that he had no criminal history.

The Commission background check revealed multiple criminal charges on Mr. Spaulding's record, including forgery, check fraud, welfare fraud, and check deception. The disposition for some, but not all, of the charges was discovered in the investigation.

On September 23, 2009, Mr. Spaulding was served a letter via Gaming Agent stating that the Commission had discovered that Mr. Spaulding had failed to disclose his criminal history on his application.

The letter stated that Mr. Spaulding had 30 days from receipt of the letter to provide court documentation on his criminal history. Mr. Spaulding failed to reply to that letter.

On January 25, 2010, Executive Director Ernest Yelton issued an order revoking Mr. Spaulding's temporary license based on failure to disclose a

criminal record, failure to provide requested documents, and resulting violations of Indiana Code 4-33 and 68 IAC.

Based on Mr. Spaulding's failure to disclose criminal history on his application and failure to provide documentation concerning his criminal history to the Commission when a further request was made, Commission staff recommends that you deny his application for permanent licensure.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Thank you, Mr. Neuenschwander. Do the Commissioners have any questions in the Victor Spaulding denial?

COMMISSIONER SHY: Move.

COMMISSIONER FINE: Second.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: It's been moved and seconded to approve Order 2010-34. All those in favor?

(All said aye.)

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Opposed? Order 2010-34 is unanimously approved.

MR. NEUENSCHWANDER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I have another matter before you that came up at the last meeting, of Mary Shick. It was a settlement agreement.

Mary Shick applied for a Level 2 occupational license to work as Director of Marketing at Argosy

artista.

2

4

5

3

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

Casino on or about October 10, 2002. She was granted a permanent occupational license on or about March 7, 2003.

On May 12 of 2009 Ms. Shick escorted two unauthorized persons onto the casino floor, through the tax-free gate. As a result of this incident, Ms. Shick agreed in writing, on November 5, 2009, to a settlement offer.

At the Commission meeting on November 12, 2009, Commission staff presented you with the facts of the above incident, as well as the proposed settlement offer.

However, Commission staff also presented you with information that was learned just prior to the meeting and called into question Ms. Shick's need for continued licensure, as her position at the company had changed at least once, and possibly twice, since the aforementioned incident, and the most recent information indicated she had accepted a position at an out-of-state casino. Her need for continued licensure in Indiana was unclear because Penn National had not submitted the Separation from Service form within the required time.

After hearing the presentation on Ms. Shick, the Commission voted to table this matter until a further

meeting when more complete information was available.

On November 16; 2009, Commission staff received an Occupational Licensee Separation from Service form from Penn National, indicating that Ms. Shick had transferred to a Director of Marketing position at a casino in New Mexico.

At that time, Ms. Shick's Indiana license was formally terminated. Because Ms. Shick is no longer licensed in Indiana, there is no license against which the Commission may take disciplinary action.

Commission staff has made a record of these proceedings, and Ms. Shick has been flagged in the IGC database, so that before she could be re-licensed in Indiana, the May 12, 2009, incident would have to be considered.

Commission staff recommends that you take no further action in this matter, as Ms. Shick is no longer an occupational licensee. Are there any questions?

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Do the Commissioners have any questions?

COMMISSIONER SHIELDS: For my own information, when was the report made of her change in position?

In other words, would New Mexico have known of the issue because she had not been reported as terminated

here?

MR. NEUENSCHWANDER: I want to make sure I understand your question.

COMMISSIONER SHIELDS: What I wanted to know was when was her change in position reported to the IGC?

MR. NEUENSCHWANDER: She -- her situation was confusing because she actually changed positions about twice. And her most final change -- we were under the impression that she had changed positions but still needed Indiana licensure because she would still be doing some work in Indiana.

COMMISSIONER SHIELDS: Right.

MR. NEUENSCHWANDER: We found out, and received the proper paperwork to know for a fact that she would not be doing that, on November 16, is when the IGC received that.

COMMISSIONER SHIELDS: November 16 of '09?
MR. NEUENSCHWANDER: 2009.

COMMISSIONER SHIELDS: And when did she cease doing the work in Indiana?

MR. NEUENSCHWANDER: It was actually at a date -- I would have to look at the exact date, because she switched, but it was actually, I believe, sometime before that.

COMMISSIONER SHIELDS: May or June? Or do you

1.3

have any idea? Was it months?

MR. NEUENSCHWANDER: It was months. It was.

COMMISSIONER SHIELDS: Okay. And she was hired in New Mexico when? Do you have that information?

MR. NEUENSCHWANDER: She switched -- she worked in Colorado for a time. We were under the impression that she would be working in Colorado and also doing some work at Hollywood. Then we found out, on November 16, that that wasn't the case.

And it seemed that it was close to the November 16 date that she was switching from Colorado to New Mexico. But we were under the impression that she had been working in Colorado and Indiana, when in fact she had only been in Colorado.

COMMISSIONER SHIELDS: That was reported to you in a timely manner?

MR. NEUENSCHWANDER: Yes.

COMMISSIONER SHIELDS: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Any other questions?

COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Thanks for following that up, so it's clear.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Commissioners, it's my understanding on this, since this matter was tabled in the last meeting, then there's no longer -- although Ms. Shick no longer has a license to act on,

that this is informational, and we're not required to 1 2 take any action. 3 MR. SICUSO: I think we could vote to reject the settlement proposal that was presented in November. 4 5 It's still an active issue, if you would like to do 6 that. If you want to take no action today, it will 7 sit there unresolved. I would probably recommend 8 that you reject the settlement proposal, or we can withdraw it. 9 10 CHAIRMAN MURPHY: That's fine. 11 COMMISSIONER FINE: I move for rejection. 12 COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Second. CHAIRMAN MURPHY: All those in favor? 13 14 (All said aye.) 15 CHAIRMAN MURPHY: That was an order, correct? MR. SICUSO: Yes. 16 17 CHAIRMAN MURPHY: So the order is rejected? 18 MR. SICUSO: Yes. 19 Thank you. Thank you, CHAIRMAN MURPHY: 20 Mr. Neuenschwander. 21 MR. NEUENSCHWANDER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN MURPHY: The next item in the 22 23 Occupational matters is the matter of Mr. William 24 Troy, Order 2010-35. 25 MS. ELLINGWOOD: Good afternoon. You have before

you Resolution 2010-35 regarding a settlement agreement between the Indiana Gaming Commission and William Troy. Mr. Troy is a dealer employed at Horseshoe Hammond. As an occupational licensee, Mr. Troy is required by 68 IAC 2-3-8(a) to renew his license annually and to submit the renewal forms to the Commission at least 30 days before his license expires.

Mr. Troy failed to renew his license for two years. As a result, he worked at the casino without a valid badge for a period of 25 months.

Commission staff initiated negotiations with Mr. Troy prior to filing a complaint against him. As part of those negotiations, Mr. Troy agreed to a two-day voluntary relinquishment of his license. That relinquishment will serve as a de facto suspension without the adverse side effects that a license suspension might have on his ability to get an occupational license in Indiana or another jurisdiction.

Mr. Troy and Executive Director Yelton have both signed the settlement agreement. Accordingly, Commission staff recommends that you approve this resolution.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Are there any questions of

Ms. Ellingwood on the matter of William Troy?

COMMISSIONER SHY: Do you know why he wasn't renewed for two years?

MS. ELLINGWOOD: We don't know why. He had the opportunity to respond in writing, which he did, but he didn't elaborate as to why he didn't fill the paperwork out.

I can tell you that he received his permanent license right around May, I think, 19th of 2007. So I don't know if he was just -- it seems as if he never got in the process of filling that paperwork out.

COMMISSIONER SHY: Should the HR department have realized that?

MS. ELLINGWOOD: I believe, and I may have to have somebody correct me, but I believe our office sends to HR a list of employees whose licenses are going to be expired, and then they contact the employee. But, obviously, there's still some burden on the employee, him or herself, to come to the Commission office to fill out that paperwork timely.

COMMISSIONER SHY: The reason I ask my question, I was wondering if the casino actually is partially at fault for not making sure to follow through.

MS. ELLINGWOOD: The casino, I believe, is -- and

2.4

I don't know if it's in this set of matters before you today, but I believe that there's a pending issue with the casino about the fact that he was working on the floor for that long without a valid badge.

COMMISSIONER SHY: Okay. Good. Thanks.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Any other questions?

COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Move.

COMMISSIONER SHY: I second.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: It's been moved and seconded to approve Order 2010-35. All those in favor?

(All said aye.)

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: All those opposed? Order 2010-35 is approved. Thank you, Ms. Ellingwood.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Next item of business under Suppliers, Supplier License matters and the Disciplinary Actions. Adam Packer.

MR. PACKER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman,

Commissioners. The first item of business that I

have for you today is Order 36, regarding Cordish

Gaming Management. As you may know, Cordish Gaming

Management is the company that manages the gambling

operations here at Indiana Live.

They are the successor to Gomes Cordish, which was issued a permanent supplier license in 2008, specifically Order Number 2008-138.

And the significance of that order is that the -that order set the license term from November 13 of
2008 through November 12 of 2009, meaning that the
Cordish organization's renewal date was November 12
of 2009. But they did not request renewal of its -it did not request renewal of its license until
December 2 of 2009.

The Gaming Commission staff entered into negotiations with Cordish Gaming Management to settle this -- the dispute over this violation prior to the initiation of a disciplinary action. And the settlement agreement exists and has been presented to you and has been signed by the appropriate parties.

Cordish Gaming Management has agreed to a fine of \$20,000 in resolution of this issue, in lieu of a disciplinary action stemming from their late renewal of their supplier license.

And I believe that there are representatives of Cordish Gaming Management here today, if you have questions for them.

COMMISSIONER SHIELDS: Did you say \$20,000?

MR. PACKER: \$20,000. That's correct.

COMMISSIONER SHIELDS: It says \$21,000 here.

MR. PACKER: There were some last-minute negotiations. The current version of the settlement

2

3 4

6

7

8

5

9 10

12

13

11

1.4

16

15

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25 agreement that Commission staff and Cordish agreed to is \$20,000.

MR. SICUSO: It's \$1,000 per day that it was late, and we counted the last day erroneously. was really twenty days, not twenty-one.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR YELTON: And also for your information -- and, of course, the Vice President is here, if you have questions -- the individual responsible for this action is no longer employed by Cordish Gaming and did not pass on the responsibility to other members of the company. But, nonetheless, it did pass.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Mr. Packer, do we have precedence for the \$1,000-a-day fine?

MR. PACKER: Not in the context of supplier license renewals, or even casino license renewals. We obviously have some precedent for occupational licensing for late renewals.

But during the analysis of this, we didn't really -- we didn't think that that paradigm matched up with the supplier license context, especially when we have a supplier licensee who is day-to-day operations at the casinos.

Cordish is a unique company with respect to the rest of the Indiana supplier licensees. So this was

a unique situation because of the character of the company and what they do in Indiana.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Any other questions of Mr. Packer?

MR. PACKER: If there aren't any other questions, the staff would recommend that you approve the settlement agreement for \$20,000 fine in lieu of disciplinary action.

COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Motion to approve.

MR. SICUSO: Second.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: It's been moved and seconded.
All those in favor?

(All said aye.)

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Opposed? Order 2010-36 is unanimously approved. Thank you, Mr. Packer.

And the next item of business will be Licensing matters. And Mr. Packer.

MR. PACKER: Thank you, Mr. Chair. The next order that I'll be presenting is Order 37, regarding the licensing of Data Financial. Data Financial provides electronic gaming device services in Indiana.

The licensing process for Data Financial began back in June of 2008. They inquired as to whether they would need a supplier license to do business in

the state of Indiana.

1.1

1.2

1.3

1.7

2.3

After viewing a Letter of Intent that Data

Financial obtained from a casino customer in Indiana
and doing some internal analysis, Commission staff
determined that Data Financial did need a license.

They submitted their applications in March of 2009. They were granted a temporary license in June of 2009, which allowed them to begin doing business in Indiana. Commission staff completed its investigation in November of 2009, and the fruits of that investigation have been made available to you in the form of final reports for both the company and the key persons.

Investigation staff is also available if you have any questions of them. If you do not have any questions of the Investigations staff, the final conclusion of Investigations was that Data Financial is substantially compliant with the regulations and the statutes, and they have fulfilled their responsibilities for supplier licensure. And for that reason the Commission staff recommends that you approve Order 37, granting a permanent supplier license to Data Financial.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Any questions regarding 2010-37? If not, is there a motion to approve?

COMMISSIONER SHY: I move to approve.

2

COMMISSIONER FINE: Second.

3

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: It's been moved and seconded.

4

Al those in favor of approval?

5

(All said aye.)

6

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Opposed? Order 2010-37 was

7

unanimously approved.

key persons.

8

MR. PACKER: The next order under Supplier

9

Licensing will be for Incredible Technologies.

10

Incredible Technologies inquired as to whether they

11

would need a supplier license to do business in

12

Indiana in March of 2009.

13

Incredible Technologies is mostly known for the

14

popular Golden Tee video game that you'll find in

bars and restaurants. And they are branching out

15

16

into electronic gaming devices.

17

So they obtained a Letter of Intent from Indiana

18

Casino in June of '09. That is the prerequisite to submitting supplier applications. They submitted

19 20

their applications in July of 2009. They obtained a

21

temp license in August of 2009. And the final

22

reports, which were completed last month, have been

23

made available to you for both the company and the

24

25

Investigations staff is available if you have any

questions about the final reports. And, if not, due to the fact that Incredible Technologies' investigation found that they were substantially compliant with the regs and the statutes and they are suitable to be a supplier licensee, Commission staff recommends that you approve Order Number 38, which would grant a permanent supplier license to Incredible Technologies.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Any questions for Mr. Packer on Order Number 38? If not, is there a motion to approve?

COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Motion.

COMMISSIONER FINE: Second.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: It's been moved and seconded. All those in favor?

(All said aye.)

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Opposed? Order 2010-38 is unanimously approved. The next item of business will be Transfer of Minority Interest. And, Adam, will you also handle that?

MR. PACKER: I will. The order for transfer of minority interest in a supplier licensee is Order 39, regarding AC Coin and ALEA Partners.

AC Coin is licensed by the Indiana Gaming

Commission as a supplier and provides various gaming

COMMISSIONER SHY: Move to COMMISSIONER FINE: Second.

products to Indiana casinos. And, as you heard earlier in the Executive Director's report, AC Coin has just undergone its three-year reinvestigation.

ALEA Partners, LLC has entered into an agreement to acquire minority interest in AC Coin. The terms of that acquisition and the Investigations division's final reports on ALEA Partners and its key persons have been provided to you. And if you have any questions about ALEA Partners or about the investigation, Investigations staff is available to take those questions.

AC Coin and ALEA have submitted all appropriate applications. The Investigations' final reports and Commission staff have determined that ALEA meets the requirements and the regulations in the statute for suitability as a substantial owner of AC Coin.

And for that reason and in accordance with 68 IAC 5-2, the transfer regulations, the Commission staff recommends that you approve Order 39, which would approve the transfer of minority interest in AC Coin to ALEA Partners.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Any questions on Order

Number 39? If not, is there a motion to approve?

COMMISSIONER SHY: Move to approve.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: It's been moved and seconded.
All those in favor?

(All said aye.)

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Opposed? Order 2010-39 is unanimously approved.

MR. PACKER: Thank you, Chair.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Thank you, Mr. Packer. The next item of business on the agenda will be Renewal matters, to be presented by Sherry Green.

MS. GREEN: Thank you and good afternoon. You have before you Order 2010-40, concerning the renewal of supplier licenses. Pursuant to Indiana Code 4-33 and 68 IAC 2-2, the Commission has previously approved a permanent supplier's license for the following four companies: Cordish Gaming Management, LLC, IGT, Midwest Game Supply Company, and AC Coin, Inc.

A supplier's license is valid for a period of one year, pursuant to IC 4-33-7-8 and 68 IAC 2-2-8. A supplier's license must be renewed annually, and payment of \$7,500 for the annual renewal fee must be resubmitted.

Each of these licensees has requested renewal of their license and has paid the appropriate renewal fees. The Commission staff recommends that you

25

1

4

6

approve the renewal of the licenses for the four suppliers.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Any questions on Order Number 40 for Ms. Green? If not, is there a motion to approve?

COMMISSIONER SHIELDS: I so move.

COMMISSIONER SHY: I second.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: It's been moved and seconded. All those in favor?

(All said aye.)

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Opposed? Order Number 2010-40 is unanimously approved. Thank you, Ms. Green, and congratulations on your promotion.

MS. GREEN: Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Next item of business will be Casino matters. And Jeff Neuenschwander on Financing.

MR. NEUENSCHWANDER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. This is concerning Order 2010-41 of Pinnacle Entertainment. Pinnacle Entertainment, through counsel, requested an interim approval for a financing request on December 15, 2009.

In accordance with the procedures identified in Resolution 2008-74, Commission Chair Tim Murphy and Executive Director Ernest Yelton considered

Pinnacle's request and consulted with Commission financial analyst David Charlesworth, CFA. Chairman Murphy and Director Yelton agreed that the proposed debt transaction should be approved, and Director Yelton issued an interim approval letter on February 2, 2010.

The proposed financing was described in the confidential interim approval letter dated

February 2, 2010, and in confidential documents provided to the Commission.

Resolution 2008-74 requires that the interim approval be reported to you at this meeting for consideration and a final ratification or other direction from the Commission.

Commission staff recommends that you ratify Executive Director Yelton's interim approval letter.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Are there any questions?

COMMISSIONER SWIHART: Is there a representative from --

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR YELTON: Yes. It's hard to see, but I believe Libby Cierzniak, who's the Indiana counsel for Pinnacle, is here. Are you here to represent today for this matter, Libby?

MS. CIERZNIAK: I am.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR YELTON: I think the

Commissioners may have a question or two.

MS. CIERZNIAK: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I'm Libby Cierzniak from Baker & Daniels, representing Pinnacle Entertainment.

COMMISSIONER SWIHART: The question I have -- and maybe it's just more of a concern and I want to hear from you -- is when you're doing this financing and, of course, you're using Indiana assets as collateral, is some of this financing going to be back into Indiana for improvement and upkeep of the property, or is it strictly external to the state of Indiana?

MS. CIERZNIAK: Thank you, Commissioner Swihart. As you know from reading the documentation, this is in the nature of a global financing, intended to improve Pinnacle's overall liquidity and financial strength, which will allow it to continue to make investments in the Indiana property.

The form 10-K that Pinnacle filed last week showed that over the past three years Pinnacle has invested close to \$27 million in the Indiana property, including \$7 million in 2009. And we would anticipate in 2010 an increase over the 2009 investments. So the answer to your question is yes.

COMMISSIONER SWIHART: That's the reassurance I needed to hear.

MS. CIERZNIAK: Thank you. 1 2 COMMISSIONER SWIHART: Thank you. 3 CHAIRMAN MURPHY: If there are no further questions, is there a motion to approve Order 4 5 Number 41? COMMISSIONER SWIHART: So moved. 6 7 COMMISSIONER SHY: I'll second. 8 CHAIRMAN MURPHY: It's been moved and seconded. All those in favor? 9 10 (All said aye.) 11 CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Opposed? Order No. 2010-41 is 12 unanimously approved. Thank you, Mr. Neuenschwander. 13 MR. NEUENSCHWANDER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN MURPHY: The next item under Casino 14 15 matters will be Renewals, presented by Joe Hoage. 16 MR. HOAGE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, members of 17 the Commission. You have before you Order No. 18 2010-42, that would renew the owner's license for the 19 Indiana Gaming Company, otherwise known as the Hollywood Casino, for a period of one year. 20 21 On December 10, 2009, pursuant to the authority 22 granted to the Executive Director by the Commission 23 under Resolution 2003-13, Hollywood's riverboat 24 owner's license was renewed until such time as the 25 Commission would be able to consider the request at

the next regularly scheduled business meeting, which today is the date of that meeting.

Hollywood's application for renewal and fees were timely presented to the Commission. And the staff's position is that the licensee is in substantial compliance with all gaming laws in Indiana, and we would recommend at this time that the license be renewed for a period of one year.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Any questions of Mr. Hoage regarding Hollywood's licensing? If not, is there a motion to approve?

COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Motion to approve.

COMMISSIONER SHY: Second.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: It's been moved and seconded.

Order Number 2010-42 is approved. Thank you,

Mr. Hoage.

The next item under Casino matters involves
Transfer of Minority Interest. And Phil Sicuso.

MR. SICUSO: Thank you, Mr. Chair. As proposed, Order 2010-43 would approve the transfer of minority in Indiana Downs, LLC, which is the parent company of the Indiana Live Casino. The individual acquiring ownership interest would be a person by the name of Carol Cohen.

As is explained in your materials, this transfer

9 10

11

8

12 13

15 16

14

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25

is expected to take place when ANC Trust, which is a current 7.4 percent holder of the indirect ownership interest in the company, transfers its holdings to Mrs. Cohen, who is currently a 1.35 percent interest holder. At the end of the transaction she will hold approximately 8.76 percent, which puts her above the threshold of a substantial owner, which requires her to be a Level 1 licensee.

The Background and Financial Investigations unit has completed a thorough investigation of Ms. Cohen and has found no derogatory information that would prevent licensure or recommend that the Commission deny her application.

So with those facts in mind, the staff does recommend that you approve Order 2010-43 and authorize the transfer of minority ownership interest in Indiana Downs, LLC.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Any questions of Mr. Sicuso?

Second.

COMMISSIONER MORGAN:

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: It's been moved and seconded. All those in favor of the approval of Order 2010-43 signify by saying aye.

COMMISSIONER SWIHART: Motion to approve.

(All said aye.)

Opposed? Order 2010-43 is CHAIRMAN MURPHY:

unanimously approved. Thank you, Mr. Sicuso.

The next item under Casino matters will be Disciplinary issues. And Chris Gray.

Ms. Gray, this also will be an item that we will listen to each one of the orders, call for questions, but vote at the end on all together, correct?

MS. GRAY: Yes.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Thank you.

MS. GRAY: Good afternoon, Commissioners. You have before you nine settlement agreements concerning disciplinary actions.

The first settlement is with Aztar, Order 2010-44, which includes two counts. In the first count an underage person was allowed on the casino floor on two different occasions. In the second count the casino allowed an employee to work with an expired badge.

Aztar has agreed to a total monetary settlement of \$8,500 in lieu of a disciplinary action. Are there any questions?

The second order, 2010-45, is a settlement agreement with Belterra, wherein the casino violated the VEP rules. Belterra has agreed to a monetary settlement of \$1,500 in lieu of disciplinary action. Are there any questions concerning this order?

The third order, 2010-46, is a settlement agreement with Blue Chip and includes two counts. In the first count the casino violated the rule regarding the soft count room. In the second count the casino failed to timely notify the Gaming Agents of a terminated employee.

Blue Chip has agreed to a total monetary settlement of \$3,500 in lieu of a disciplinary action. Are there any questions?

Order 2010-47 is a settlement agreement with Hollywood involving six counts. In the first count the casino failed to timely notify the Gaming Agents of the termination of three employees and allowed two employees to work with an expired badge.

In the second count the casino allowed an underage person onto the casino floor.

The third count violated the rule regarding sensitive keys.

In the fourth count the casino violated the rule regarding non-value chips.

In the fifth count the inside of a slot machine was accessible.

The sixth count violated the rule regarding playing card specifications.

Hollywood has agreed to a monetary settlement of

\$36,500 in lieu of a disciplinary action. Are there any questions?

COMMISSIONER FINE: Were these self-reported?

MS. GRAY: Let me check. No, they were all not.

A majority of them were but not all of them. Some of

them were observed by our Agents.

COMMISSIONER FINE: Okay. Thank you.

MS. GRAY: The fifth order, 2010-48, is a settlement agreement with Hoosier Park, wherein the casino failed to have the required number of employees monitoring operations.

Hoosier Park has agreed to a monetary settlement of \$5,000 in lieu of a disciplinary action. Are there any questions regarding this agreement?

Order 2010-49 is a settlement agreement with Horseshoe Hammond, wherein the casino allowed an underage person to enter the casino floor and allowed the person to leave before notifying the Gaming Agents.

Horseshoe Hammond has agreed to a monetary settlement of \$4,000 in lieu of a disciplinary action. Are there any questions regarding this agreement?

The seventh order, 2010-50, is a settlement agreement with Horseshoe Southern Indiana and

includes two counts. In the first count the casino allowed two underage persons onto the casino floor. In the second count a float lid was left unsecured.

Horseshoe Southern Indiana has agreed to a monetary settlement of \$8,000 in lieu of disciplinary action. Are there any questions?

The eighth order, 2010-51, is a settlement agreement with Indiana Live and includes five counts. The first three deal with violations occurring at the casino, while the last two were violations at the corporate level.

In the first count the casino allowed an underage person onto the casino floor.

The second count violated the VEP rules.

In the third count an employee was allowed to work with an expired badge, and the casino failed to timely report the termination of nine employees.

In the fourth count the casino continued to use the services of a supplier that had failed to timely renew its license.

In the fifth count the casino failed to disclose a substantial owner when applying for a gaming license.

Indiana Live has agreed to pay a total monetary settlement of \$49,000 in lieu of disciplinary action.

Are there any questions?

The final order, 2010-52, is a settlement agreement with Majestic Star, which includes two counts.

In the first count the casino failed to secure the float lids on table games, and the second count violated the rule regarding surveillance of a progressive display.

Majestic Star has agreed to pay a monetary settlement of \$7,500 in lieu of a disciplinary action. Are there any questions?

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Are there any questions for Ms. Gray?

COMMISSIONER FINE: I have one question. In the determination of the settlement amounts that are proposed, is there a factor that is relative to whether it's self-reported or not self-reported?

MS. GRAY: Yes, that is taken into consideration. Does that answer your question?

COMMISSIONER FINE: Yes.

MS. GRAY: Okay.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Any other questions for Ms. Gray?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR YELTON: Ms. Gray, would you again repeat, going back to Indiana Downs, because I

1 think we have an error in the materials, the total 2 amount of the settlement agreement. 3 CHAIRMAN MURPHY: \$52,000? 4 MS. GRAY: It should be \$49,000. EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR YELTON: The materials are 5 6 incorrect. Just make sure you understand what you're voting on and what's proposed. 8 CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Any other questions for 9 Ms. Gray today? If not, is there a motion to approve orders 2010-44 through 2010-52? 10 11 COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Motion to approve. 12 COMMISSIONER FINE: Second. 13 CHAIRMAN MURPHY: It's been moved and seconded. 14 All those in favor of approval of the orders signify 15 by saying aye. 16 (All said aye.) 17 CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Opposed? Orders 2010-44 18 through 2010-52 are approved. 19 MS. GRAY: Thank you. 20 CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Thank you, Ms. Gray. The next item under Casino matters will be 21 22 Trustee Agreements, to be presented by Phil Sicuso. 23 And, Phil, these are also orders that we'll hear 24 individually, take questions, and then vote in mass?

MR. SICUSO: Yes, sir. I'll present them

25

2.4

together. I'll pull one out, but I'll explain in a second.

All of these orders, 2010-53 through 65, if they are approved, would authorize the Power of Attorney agreements for each of Indiana's thirteen casinos that were mandated by House Enrolled Act 1285 and which went into effect in 2009.

Since the effective date of that law, staff has worked hard to prepare a model Power of Attorney, as is required by the statute, that would serve as the standardized template for all of the casinos.

In doing so, we did take considerable time to consider the opinions and recommendations of the industry, while at the same time remaining true to the statutory mandate, to the best interests of gaming in Indiana, and to what our understanding was of why the Power of Attorney concept was created in the first place.

Those same factors governed our negotiations with each of the casinos as they proposed particular provisions for exhibits to the model, each of which were negotiated individually but in a manner to remain consistent with all.

At the end of the day we arrived at thirteen individual Power of Attorney documents, with ten

}

separate trustees being identified for those casinos. So there are a handful of individuals who are serving as the trustee for two casinos.

The staff has made an effort and we can confirm that we've received evidence and information which has been presented to you justifying the qualifications of each of these trustees. We've also verified that we've received both appropriate signatures and licensure applications from everybody except one casino, Grand Victoria. But we expect and have confirmed that those will be received tomorrow at our office.

So we do recommend that you approve all thirteen orders. Order 2010-58 for Grand Victoria, we recommend that you make it contingent upon receipt of the licensure application for the trustee and fully executed Power of Attorney document, again, which we expect to receive tomorrow.

The other thing I'll bring your attention to is in each of these orders we have recommended that you delegate to the Executive Director the authority to approve proposed amendments to these agreements due primarily to the quarterly meeting scheduled that the Commission has. And there may be need for more immediate action. When there are material changes,

things that are not typos or simple mistakes, we will take that to the Commission for ratification at the next business meeting.

So we recommend the approval of 2010-53 through 65, again, with the caveat for 2010-58 for Grand Victoria.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Are there any questions for Mr. Sicuso? If not, is there a motion to approve the orders?

COMMISSIONER SWIHART: So moved.

COMMISSIONER FINE: Second.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: It's been moved and seconded.

All those in favor?

(All said aye.)

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Opposed? Orders 2010-53 through 2010-65 are approved. Thank you, Mr. Sicuso.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR YELTON: Mr. Chairman, if I may interrupt here and make a couple of comments. In the five-plus years I've been Executive Director, I've never seen any more professional and qualified and expert effort as we did see for Mr. Sicuso and the legal staff in getting these documents all prepared. And they really do deserve a great deal of credit for putting these very complicated things together, which we believe would be to your

satisfaction.

1.4

And I also would be remiss if I didn't recognize the industry because -- and I assume there's one from every casino out there. I can't see. But their professionalism in working with Mr. Sicuso and the Agency and getting this accomplished was very impressive, and we're very grateful for their efforts.

MR. SICUSO: Adam Packer worked every bit as hard as I did. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Thank you, Mr. Sicuso, Mr. Packer, and Executive Director Yelton.

The next item on the agenda is MBE and WBE matters and will be presented by Lea Ellingwood.

MS. ELLINGWOOD: Yes. Thank you. There are two Minority and Women Business Enterprise issues requiring follow-up since the last meeting.

First, the Commission agreed to allow French Lick to provide additional information regarding its

Minority and Women Business participation for 2008.

At that time, data received from French Lick indicated that WBE participation in the construction category equaled 8.35 percent, short of the

Commission's established goal of 10.9 percent. This translated into a spending shortfall of \$334,273.77.

As part of a self-imposed corrective action plan implemented in 2009, French Lick exceeded the goal, achieving 21.15 percent WBE construction participation. French Lick has asked us to allow the overage for 2009 to count toward their shortfall for 2008. By agreeing to do so, the Commission would accept that French Lick exceeded the goal for the two-year period by \$58,174.25, attaining an overall participation percentage of 11.24 percent.

In considering the information presented by
French Lick, Commission staff believes compliance
achieved over a two-year period indicates good-faith
effort and that the self-imposed corrective action
plan implemented by French Lick was successful in
fulfilling the requirements of the statute.

Chris Leininger, COO at French Lick, is present if you have any questions or comments regarding this matter.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Do the Commissioners have any questions?

MS. ELLINGWOOD: The second issue requiring action is Aztar's failure to meet the WBE construction goal by 10.72 percent, or approximately \$38,000, in 2008. Aztar has submitted an action plan explaining that staff reductions and management

decisions implemented by Columbia Sussex that led to the shortfall have been corrected and that Aztar has renewed its commitment to diversity.

They have reinstated networking opportunities with MBE and WBE vendors, including a recent event where minority and women-owned firms had the opportunity to participate in a detailed overview of anticipated construction opportunities following Aztar's emergence from bankruptcy. Most importantly, Aztar has committed to making up the WBE construction shortfall as soon as possible, but no later than the end of 2012.

Commission staff recommends approval of Aztar's corrective action plan and has prepared Order 2010-66 for your consideration. This order accepts the plan and places a reporting requirement upon Aztar.

If you have any questions, both Aztar GM Grady
Aitken and Finance Director Jennifer Morgan are here
and available to address the Commission.

COMMISSIONER SHY: I have a question. It might not be that you can address it, Lea. What's determining the date of 2012 versus next year?

MS. ELLINGWOOD: I believe that that's the amount of time they indicated in their plan that they'd be able to get all the changes implemented and meet the

-14 

goals. But I certainly would defer to them to answer that question.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR YELTON: We have representatives from Aztar. Grady?

MR. AITKEN: I think the best way to address that is that under the circumstances we are under and not knowing exactly when the ownership will change over, we're not really aware of when funds will be available for us to do this over the course of the coming year.

Our full intention is to have it done over the course of the coming year. With the good news we got recently, we're hoping we will be able to get the funds to meet that obligation as quick as possible.

COMMISSIONER SHY: So the thinking is there may not be any funds for improvement until later, like in 2011?

MR. AITKEN: Not necessarily. What I'm saying is that when we prepared this report, there was still some uncertainty as to when that final date may come. And it seems we've reached that point now. So we believe that we will be able to meet that obligation much sooner than the 2012 date. At least that would be our intention. Any more questions?

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Any other questions?

MS. ELLINGWOOD: Are there any other questions for me?

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Thank you. Is there a motion to approve order 2010-66?

COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Moved.

COMMISSIONER FINE: Second.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: It's been moved and seconded.
All those in favor?

(All said aye.)

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Opposed? Order 2010-66 is unanimously approved. Thank you, Ms. Ellingwood.

We've had one request to speak before the
Commission today from the Ameristar Casino General
Manager Pete Savage. Is he here today? Welcome,
Pete.

MR. SAVAGE: Thank you. Good afternoon,
Mr. Chairman, Commissioners. I had the great
pleasure last November to meet Mr. Yelton and
Ms. Reske and Mr. Sicuso. Very nice to see you
again.

Thank you for your time today. I just wanted to stop by and introduce myself and actually compliment the great team at Ameristar in Chicago. The IGC team there, Johnny and his group, have been very helpful to the new guy and most welcoming.

We have a great team at Ameristar in Chicago, our staff. And we have many, many good guests there.

And it's been a pleasure to be there the last 90 days. Everybody's been very warm, welcoming, and embraced me. And it's wonderful to be here in Indiana, to have the opportunity to work here and live here.

So I just want to take a few minutes of your time and give you a quick history of my background in the business, off and on, for about 38 years. I have about 25 years of experience. I started out as a busboy at Caesars Palace. I've had good fortune and have been able to become a Senior Vice President and General Manager.

I've been a General Manager for about seven years now. Most recently I ran two casinos in upstate New York, so this is kind of giving me a little déjà vu here today. Prior to that I was in Colorado for about four and a half years.

Again, it's a pleasure to be here. I've always prided myself on having a great relationship with great leaders, be it Nevada, Colorado and New York, and look forward to working with you and having a great partnership. So thank you very much for your time.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Thank you, Mr. Savage.

The next item on the agenda is our next meeting.

And our next meeting will be June 17 in Indianapolis.

Also, before we adjourn, I would like to take a moment on behalf of the Commission and myself to -- and the staff as well, excuse me, to thank General Manager Richard Kline for the informative tour this morning and also for hosting us today. Thank you very much.

There being no more business to come before the Commission today, I would entertain a motion to adjourn.

COMMISSIONER SWIHART: Move.

COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Second.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: It's been moved and seconded.

All those in favor?

(All said aye.)

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Opposed? We stand adjourned. (Proceedings concluded at 2:04 p.m.)