

INDIANA DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND (DWSRF) LOAN PROGRAM
2018 Project Priority List, April 2, 2018, 4th Quarter
Projects Applying for Financial Assistance (20 Year Loan) In State Fiscal Year 2018 (July 1, 2017- June 30, 2018)

Preliminary Engineering Reports

PPL Rank ¹	PPL Score	Participant	MHI ^{2,3}	Population	PWSID #	SRF Project No.	Project Description	EPA's Sustain-ability Policy Category ⁴	Green Project Reserve Cost	Green Project Reserve Category ⁵	Current User Rate (per 4,000 gallons) ²	Post-Project User Rate (per 4,000 gallons) ²	Total Project Cost	Cumulative DWSRF Request
1	87	East Chicago	\$27,215	29,698	5245012	DW161645 04	Age, capacity and condition of system require improvements to the distribution system and a new storage tank as well as lead service line replacement.	1	TBD	TBD	\$10.86	\$16.60	\$14,150,000	\$14,150,000
2	37	Andrews	\$33,333	1,149	5235001	DW160935 01	Age of plant requires replacement.	1	TBD	TBD	\$29.60	\$67.20	\$2,739,000	\$16,889,000
3	37	Lizton	\$40,694	488	TBD	DW180632 01	Age, capacity and quality of well water requires replacement. Connection to Citizens Water.	1	TBD	TBD	\$0.00	\$57.05	\$3,770,000	\$20,659,000
4	36	Patoka	\$39,714	735	5226007	DW171126 03	Failure of distribution line requires replacement.	1	TBD	TBD	\$64.25	\$67.64	\$149,000	\$20,808,000
5	35	Peru Utilities	\$33,713	11,060	5252016	DW170652 02	Age of system requires updates to the water mains, building and SCADA.	1, 2, 3	TBD	TBD	\$28.03	\$28.03	\$1,100,000	\$21,908,000
6	34	Lawrence	\$49,849	46,001	5249005	DW170149 01	Age, capacity and condition of system require improvements to the WTP, collection system and a new storage tank.	1, 2, 3	TBD	TBD	\$22.41	\$44.65	\$9,395,000	\$31,303,000
7	18	BBP Water Corporation	\$30,348	9,903	5260001	DW171060 02	Inadequate well water requires expansion of the distribution system with a booster station and a new water storage tower.	1	TBD	TBD	\$37.88	\$51.00	\$10,316,000	\$41,619,000
8	17	South Whitley	\$46,094	1,751	5292007	DW161492 01	The town does not have a water filtration plant. A new plant and improvements to the undersized water mains are needed.	1,2	TBD	TBD	\$25.06	\$38.65	\$1,000,000	\$42,619,000
9	17	Walkerton	\$41,156	2,144	5271017	DW170971 02	The existing system is aged and requires replacing the WTP, and a new well. Distribution improvements include upsizing of lines.	1, 2	TBD	TBD	\$38.90	\$71.19	\$6,248,400	\$48,867,400
10	17	Holland	\$43,594	1,039	5219006	DW180519 01	Age of a tank and inadequate storage in the system require rehabilitation of the existing tank and a second new tank.	1	TBD	TBD	\$37.72	TBD	\$1,150,000	\$50,017,400
11	15	Watson	\$50,496	14,265	5210016	DW160210 01	Increased demand requires new wells, new storage tank, and water main extensions.	1,2	TBD	TBD	\$25.00	TBD	\$5,000,000	\$55,017,400
12	16	Shirley	\$36,538	1,080	5233013	DW150330 01	Water loss and security issues. Pipe replacement, new hydrants and valves, new generator and new fence.	1, 2	TBD	EE, GI	\$21.67	\$31.02	\$721,855	\$55,739,255
13	16	Gibson Water, Inc.	\$56,211	500	5226009	DW180826 01	Inadequate pressure in distribution requires extensions and reinforcement to the mains.	1, 2	TBD	TBD	\$29.88	TBD	\$3,580,000	\$59,319,255
14	16	Mishawaka	\$38,143	48,679	5271009	DW180771 03	Age of system require upgrades and rehabilitation to the source, treatment and distribution of the system.	1	TBD	TBD	\$22.99	\$22.99	\$14,000,000	\$73,319,255
15	15	North Judson	\$37,202	1,800	5275003	DW180175 01	The existing system is aged and requires updates to the wells, WTP, and storage tanks. A generator is also needed.	1, 2, 3	TBD	TBD	\$16.70	\$23.14	\$1,818,000	\$75,137,255
16	14	Crown Point	\$63,754	29,176	5245008	DW180445 01	Storage and freezing conditions require improvements and construction of a new tank. Chlorination is needed at several areas in the community. Pressure issues require additional booster stations in the city.	1, 2, 3	TBD	TBD	\$38.13	TBD	\$19,306,000	\$94,443,255
17	13	Clinton	\$37,888	4,811	5283004	DW171283 02	Age of wells and distribution system requires improvements to both the wells and the distribution system.	1, 2	TBD	TBD	\$19.99	TBD	\$1,112,000	\$95,555,255
18	13	Batesville	\$62,045	2,935	5269001	DW170569 01	Rainfall dependant community looking for groundwater. New wells, transmission main and new groundwater treatment plant.	1	TBD	TBD	\$27.45	TBD	\$16,500,000	\$112,055,255
19	12	Charlestown	\$43,046	7,802	5210003	DW161310 02	Age of system contributes to poor circulation in the distribution lines. Improvements include looping of lines, new line to storage tank and storage tank rehabilitation.	1	TBD	TBD	\$14.64	\$17.24	\$3,000,000	\$115,055,255
20	12	Greensburg	\$45,363	11,492	5216002	DW170716 03	The plant is currently not meeting demand and will be replaced with a larger surface water treatment plant.	1, 2, 3	TBD	TBD	\$20.29	\$29.75	\$18,036,000	\$133,091,255

PPL Rank ¹	PPL Score	Participant	MHI ^{2,3}	Population	PWSID #	SRF Project No.	Project Description	EPA's Sustainability Policy Category ⁴	Green Project Reserve Cost	Green Project Reserve Category ⁵	Current User Rate (per 4,000 gallons) ²	Post-Project User Rate (per 4,000 gallons) ²	Total Project Cost	Cumulative DWSRF Request
21	12	Pittsboro	\$78,047	2,928	5232019	DW170832 02	Current capacity and treatment requires new WTP and distribution system improvements.	1, 2, 3	TBD	TBD	\$32.32	TBD	\$5,000,000	\$138,091,255
22	12	Nappanee	\$48,918	6,648	5220016	DW180320 01	Inadequate water supply requires additional wells to serve the area.	1	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	\$1,952,500	\$140,043,755
23	11	Jackson County	\$45,504	13,378	5236003	DW180236 03	Individual wells failing and marginal ground water available. Connect to municipal water system.	3	TBD	TBD	\$43.39	TBD	\$7,400,000	\$147,443,755
24	9	Mount Vernon	\$44,485	8,912	5265006	DW150165 02	Individual wells failing. Connect to municipal water system.	2	TBD	TBD	\$28.16	\$28.16	\$5,537,000	\$152,980,755

TOTAL PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING REPORTS SUBMITTED

\$152,980,755

Applications Only: Not Scored and Unranked

PPL Rank ¹	PPL Score	Participant	MHI ^{2,3}	Population	PWSID #	SRF Project No.	Project Description	EPA's Sustainability Policy Category ⁴	Green Project Reserve Cost	Green Project Reserve Category ⁵	Current User Rate (per 4,000 gallons) ²	Post-Project User Rate (per 4,000 gallons) ²	Total Project Cost	Cumulative DWSRF Request
Application Only	--	Carmel	\$106,546	86,940	5229004	DW181129 01	Age of system requires upgrades and rehabilitation to the source, treatment, distribution and storage.	1, 2, 3	TBD	TBD	\$23.83	\$26	\$50,000,000	\$50,000,000
Application Only	--	Kirklin	\$43,977	875	5212004	DW180912 02	Age of system requires upgrades and rehabilitation to the source, treatment, distribution and storage.	1, 2, 3	TBD	2, 3	\$25.36	\$54	\$2,590,000	\$52,590,000
Application Only	--	Morristown	\$44,231	1,218	5273003	DW181073 01	The drinking water plant is at the end of its useful life. A new plant is proposed. Additional lines are also needed to help loop the system.	1, 2, 3	TBD	TBD	\$15.78	\$30	\$4,050,000	\$56,640,000

TOTAL APPLICATIONS ONLY SUBMITTED

\$56,640,000

TOTAL PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING REPORTS and APPLICATIONS SUBMITTED

\$209,620,755

Footnotes:

¹A community must submit a complete Preliminary Engineering Report to the DWSRF Loan Program in order for the project to be scored and ranked on the Project Priority List (PPL).

² Additional subsidization may be provided to participants who have a low Median Household Income (MHI) and/or high post-project user rates as outlined in the Intended Use Plan (IUP). The amount of the additional subsidization shall be determined and set forth in the financial assistance agreement.

³ The Indiana DWSRF Loan Program defines a Disadvantaged Community in section VII of the IUP.

⁴ EPA's Clean Water and Drinking Water Infrastructure Sustainability Policy. Category 1: projects that are based on a "fix it first" approach that focuses on system upgrade and replacement in existing communities. Category 2: investigations, studies, or plans that improve the technical, managerial, and financial capacity of the assistance recipient to operate, maintain, and replace financed infrastructure. Category 3: preliminary planning, alternatives assessment, and eligible capital projects that reflect the full life cycle costs of infrastructure assets, conserve natural resources, or use alternative approaches to integrate natural or "green" systems into the built environment.

⁵ EE = Energy Efficiency, EI = Environmentally Innovative, GI = Green Infrastructure, WE = Water Efficiency, CR = Climate Resiliency.

* This project priority list was published on January 2, 2018 for a 2-week period.