

Indiana Portion of the Illiana Expressway & I-65 Added Capacity Project
RFQ Questions and Answer Matrix #2
(December 23, 2013)

The following matrix includes IFA's response to questions and comments regarding the Indiana Portion of the Illiana Expressway & I-65 Added Capacity Project received after issuance of Addenda No. 1.

No.	Doc / Section / Page No.	Questions/Comments	Response	Date of Response
1.	Part B – Volume 3 Section B – Surety Letter (page B-16)	<p>The lead contractor is generally the entity that procures the surety letter rather than the “Proposer team.” Further, when the sureties for the lead contractor conduct an analysis for the purposes of issuing a performance and payment bond, the sureties limit their evaluation and representations to lead contractor. Please make the following changes to the first two paragraphs of this section:</p> <p><i>A letter from an Eligible Surety indicating that the Proposer or lead contractor, as applicable, team is capable of obtaining both a payment bond (or bonds) and a performance bond (or bonds), each in an aggregate stated amount of \$100 million, as evidence of Proposer’s or lead contractor’s bonding capacity. Letters indicating “unlimited” bonding capability are not acceptable.</i></p> <p><i>The letter must specifically state that the surety/insurance company has read this RFQ and any addenda and evaluated Proposer’s (or and, if applicable, lead</i></p>	No change.	

Indiana Portion of the Illiana Expressway & I-65 Added Capacity Project
RFQ Questions and Answer Matrix #2
(December 23, 2013)

No.	Doc / Section / Page No.	Questions/Comments	Response	Date of Response
		<i>contractor's) backlog and work-in-progress in determining its bonding capacity.</i>		
2.	Part B – Volume 3 Section B – Surety Letter (page B-16)	<p>Please confirm that the following language means that the certification for the review of the proposed or anticipated material changes in the financial condition is limited to a review of those changes submitted by the Proposer, lead contractor or other entity supplying the surety letter. In other words, if the lead contractor is the only entity providing the surety letter, the certification only applies to any proposed or anticipated material changes of the lead contractor. Again, this is because the sureties limit their evaluation and representations to the lead contractor in providing a letter on lead contractor's bonding capacity and do not consider the financial condition of other entities:</p> <p><i>In instances where the response to this <u>Part B, Volume 3, Section B</u> contains descriptions of proposed or anticipated material changes in the financial condition, as applicable, of Proposer, lead contractor or any other entity for which financial information is submitted as required hereby for the next reporting period, a certification that the Eligible</i></p>	IFA confirms your interpretation of the provision cited.	

Indiana Portion of the Illiana Expressway & I-65 Added Capacity Project
RFQ Questions and Answer Matrix #2
(December 23, 2013)

No.	Doc / Section / Page No.	Questions/Comments	Response	Date of Response
		<p><i>Surety's or Eligible Financial Institution's analysis specifically incorporates a review of the factors surrounding the proposed or anticipated material changes in the financial condition of Proposer, the lead contractor or such other entity for which financial information is submitted, as applicable, and identifying any special conditions which may be imposed before issuance of surety bonds or a letter of credit for the Indiana Project.</i></p>		
3.	<p>Part B – Volume 3 Section C – Personnel Qualifications (page B-17)</p>	<p>Is it acceptable to IFA if the Key Personnel for the Indiana Project are the same as the Key Personnel that are submitted for the Illinois portion? Please confirm.</p>	<p>IFA envisions that certain key personnel positions, including some or all of those set forth in the RFQ, will require 100% commitment to the Indiana Project. Details of those requirements, as well as additional key personnel positions that will be required, will be set forth in the RFP. Proposers are strongly encouraged to propose individuals for the identified key personnel positions that will be able to meet such commitments and should not rely upon or assume that IFA/INDOT will approve changes to key personnel submitted by a Proposer in its SOQ.</p>	