



Liberty Behavioral Health Corporation Indiana Sex Offender Management and Monitoring Program Monthly Utilization and Status Report

January 31, 2009

I. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

All INSOMM Program counseling staff who were designated for transfer to New Castle Correctional Facility (NCCF) have now transferred to that facility. Currently, there are no INSOMM Program staff members providing services at either Miami or Plainfield Correctional Facilities. A total of eight Counselors, two Team Leaders, and Clinical Director Cari Rezman, are now providing all INSOMM Program Phase II sex offender treatment services to adult male sex offenders at NCCF. Several INSOMM Program staff were unable to make the transition to New Castle, and recruiting efforts to replace these staff are well underway, with two new counselors hired in January (see Personnel/Human Resources below).

Understandably, the last several weeks have been very busy for the INSOMM Program counseling staff as they have made the transition of treatment services from two facilities to NCCF. As programming at NCCF now only includes treatment of medium and high risk sexual offenders, treatment services with low risk sex offenders was terminated upon the departure of staff from Miami and Plainfield. Currently, about 700 sex offenders have been transferred to NCCF, with about than half of those offenders presently participating in INSOMM Program Phase II sex offender treatment. Both the total number of treatment eligible sex offenders housed at New Castle and the number of sex offenders active in treatment will continue to increase in the next few months.

As a result of the change in location of Phase II treatment services for adult male sex offenders, the reader will notice some changes in the format of the INSOMM Program Monthly Report. Areas of the report that previously reported data for services provided at Plainfield and Miami Correctional Facilities have been re-labeled as New Castle Correctional Facility, or NCCF, to indicate where these services are now being delivered.

An INSOMM Program Management Committee Meeting was held on January 6, 2009. Several important issues were addressed at the meeting, including continued discussion of the IDOC's flagging system for identifying INSOMM Program offenders, the polygraph examination cancellation rate for Phase III offenders, pending changes in the IDOC disciplinary process that could impact INSOMM Program offenders, and the decision making process for discharging juvenile sex offenders from Phase III of the INSOMM Program.

Melissa Gharst, INSOMM Assistant Director, provided training on January 15, 2009 to Hamilton County Community Corrections. The training covered risk based community supervision of sex offenders, sex offender risk assessment tools, sex offender registration, and an overview of the INSOMM Program Phase II treatment program.

Adam Deming, Melissa Gharst, and Cari Rezman met with Jennifer French, Assistant Superintendent for Re-Entry, at New Castle Correctional Facility (NCCF), and several other NCCF staff, on January 20, 2009. The purpose of this meeting was to discuss the re-entry process for sexual offenders at New Castle, and to begin planning to program these offenders into the INSOMM Program Registration and Placement Classes provided by the INSOMM Program District Re-entry and Resource Coordinators. There will be two DRRC's providing these classes at NCCF beginning in February, 2009.

II. CONTRACT DELIVERABLES AND PERFORMANCE INDICATORS

A. Phases I and II and Re-Entry – Facility Based Services

SCREENING AND ASSESSMENT FOR ADULT MALE OFFENDERS	Total this Month	Fiscal Year Total to Date 10/08 – 1/09	Total Since 07/06
Number of Static-99's Completed <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Low Risk ▪ Medium Risk ▪ High Risk ▪ Total 	19 30 23 72	127 203 154 484	937 1,611 1,257 3,805
Number of Offenders Screened for Group <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Number Accepting Treatment ▪ Number Refusing Treatment ▪ Total Screened 	106 0 106	370 5 375	3,780 71 3,851

SCREENING AND ASSESSMENT FOR ADULT FEMALE OFFENDERS	Total this Month	Fiscal Year Total to Date 10/08 – 1/09	Total Since 10/07
Number of Assessments Completed	1	10	14
Number of Offenders Screened for Group <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Number Accepting Treatment ▪ Number Refusing Treatment ▪ Total Screened 	1 0 1	10 0 10	37 0 37

FACILITY-BASED POLYGRAPH TESTING FOR ADULT MALE OFFENDERS								
Facility	Outcome	TEST TYPE			TESTS COMPLETED		OTHERS REFERRED FOR TESTING BUT TESTS NOT COMPLETED	
		Sexual Offense History	Instant Offense	Special Issues	Total This Month	Fiscal Year Total to Date 10/08 – 1/09	Total This Month	Fiscal Year Total to Date 10/08 – 1/09
NCCF	NDI	0	0	0	0	0		
	DI	0	0	0	0	0		
	Inconclusive	0	0	0	0	0		
	Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Facilities	NDI	0	0	0	0	0		
	DI	0	0	0	0	7		
	Inconclusive	0	0	0	0	0		
	Total	0	0	0	0	7	0	3

NDI = Non-Deception Indicated; DI = Deception Indicated

RISK-BASED TREATMENT PROGRAMMING FOR ADULT MALE OFFENDERS	Total this Month	Fiscal Year Total to Date 10/08 – 1/09	Total Since 07/06
Number of Offenders Initiating, but Failing to Complete Treatment <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Low Risk ▪ Medium Risk ▪ High Risk ▪ Total 	 85 53 48 186*	 101 77 82 260	 213 415 421 1049
Number of Offenders Currently Enrolled <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Low Risk ▪ Medium Risk ▪ High Risk ▪ Total 	 0 194 132 326		
Number of Successful Completers <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Low Risk ▪ Medium Risk ▪ High Risk ▪ Total 	 43 4 1 48	 63 59 30 152	 300 343 214 857

*One hundred, seventy-nine of these offenders remained at PCF following the transition to NCCF and three moved to Re-Entry Unit treatment before completing risk-based treatment. An additional four offenders were removed for behavioral problems. Code 116 conduct reports were written on these latter four offenders for failure to participate in mandatory facility-based treatment.

RE-ENTRY UNIT TREATMENT PROGRAMMING FOR ADULT MALE OFFENDERS	Total this Month	Fiscal Year Total to Date 10/08 – 1/09	Total Since 01/08
Number of Offenders Initiating, but Failing to Successfully Participate in Re-Entry Unit Treatment	2**	3	8
Number of Offenders Currently Enrolled	26		
Number of Offenders Who Released to the Community After Successfully Participating in Re-Entry Unit Treatment	2	160	449

**These two offenders were removed for behavioral problems. Code 116 conduct reports were written on these offenders for failure to participate in mandatory facility-based treatment.

TREATMENT PROGRAMMING FOR ADULT FEMALE OFFENDERS	Total this Month	Fiscal Year Total to Date 10/08 – 1/09	Total Since 10/07
Number of Offenders Initiating, but Failing to Successfully Participate in Treatment	0	1	5
Number of Offenders Currently Enrolled	24		
Number of Offenders Who Released to the Community After Successfully Participating in Treatment	1	2	22

TREATMENT PROGRAMMING FOR JUVENILE OFFENDERS	Total this Month	Fiscal Year Total to Date 1/09 – 1/09	Total Since 1/09
Number of Offenders Initiating, but Failing to Successfully Participate in Treatment	3***	3	3
Number of Offenders Currently Enrolled	26		
Number of Offenders Who Released to the Community After Successfully Participating in Treatment	0	0	0

***Two of these offenders were transferred to a different unit at PJCF and one was removed for behavioral problems.

TREATMENT GROUPS COMPLETED / CANCELLED (New Castle, Rockville, and Pendleton Juvenile Correctional Facilities)	Total Groups Run this Month	Total Groups Cancelled This Month	Total Groups Scheduled This Month
Number of Groups	245	106	351
% of This Month's Scheduled Groups	70%	30%	100%

REASONS FOR CANCELLED TREATMENT GROUPS	Number Of Groups	% of the 106 Cancelled Groups
Facility / Institutional Reason (No Movement – 13 Groups; Preparatory and Set-Up Activities Related to Move From PCF to New Castle Correctional Facility – 9 Groups; All Facility Programming Cancelled for Presidential Inauguration – 8 Groups; Count Letter Error – 2 Groups; Group Counselor Meeting with Facility Superintendent)	33	31%
National Holiday (New Year's Day; Martin Luther King Jr. Day)	30	28%
Group Counselor on Paid Time Off	20	19%
Winter Storm	20	19%
Group Counselor Receiving Training	2	2%
Group Counselor Meeting With Applicant For Open INSOMM Program Counselor Position	1	1%
Total	106	100%

RE-ENTRY PROGRAMMING FOR ADULT MALE OFFENDERS						
	PAROLE PLACEMENT AND EDUCATION			SEX OFFENDER REGISTRATION EDUCATION		
Facility	Total This Month	Fiscal Year Total to Date 10/08 – 1/09	Total Since 01/06	Total This Month	Fiscal Year Total to Date 10/08 – 1/09	Total Since 09/06
NCCF	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Facilities	0	178	2,671	0	143	1,772
Total	0	178	2,671	0	143	1,772

RE-ENTRY PROGRAMMING FOR ADULT FEMALE OFFENDERS						
	PAROLE PLACEMENT AND EDUCATION			SEX OFFENDER REGISTRATION EDUCATION		
Facility	Total This Month	Fiscal Year Total to Date 10/08 – 1/09	Total Since 10/07	Total This Month	Fiscal Year Total to Date 10/08 – 1/09	Total Since 10/07
RCF	0	0	10	0	0	21
Other Facilities	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	10	0	0	21

B. Phase III - Community-Based Services

PAROLE TREATMENT PARTICIPATION SUMMARY FOR ADULT OFFENDERS	Number of Offenders
Number of Current INSOMM Adult Parolees Not Enrolled in Community-Based Treatment	
▪ Unknown Risk	5
▪ Low Risk	3
▪ Medium Risk	11
▪ High Risk	9
▪ Total	28
Number of Current INSOMM Adult Parolees Enrolled in Community-Based Treatment	
▪ Unknown Risk	55
▪ Low Risk	150
▪ Medium Risk	242
▪ High Risk	203
▪ Total	650
Number of Current INSOMM Adult Parolees Who Have Successfully Completed Community-Based Treatment	
▪ Unknown Risk	0
▪ Low Risk	7
▪ Medium Risk	7
▪ High Risk	2
▪ Total	16
Total Current INSOMM Adult Parolees	694

PAROLE TREATMENT PARTICIPATION SUMMARY FOR JUVENILE OFFENDERS	Number of Offenders
Number of Current INSOMM Juvenile Parolees Not Enrolled in Community-Based Treatment	1
Number of Current INSOMM Juvenile Parolees Enrolled in Community-Based Treatment	53
Number of Current INSOMM Juvenile Parolees Who Have Successfully Completed Community-Based Treatment	1
Total Current INSOMM Juvenile Parolees	55

REASONS FOR NOT BEING IN TREATMENT AMONG ADULT OFFENDERS	Number Of Offenders	% of the 28 Offenders Not In Treatment
Not Compliant with Treatment Requirements	7	25%
Pending Charge / Jail Detainer / Outstanding Warrant / Delinquent / Incarcerated on VOP	5	18%
Financially Unable to Pay or Suspended from Treatment Due to Prior Non-Payment for Services	3	11%
Medical Reasons	3	11%
No Parole Stipulations	3	11%
Agent Decision Not to Refer Offender For Treatment Due To Short Time Remaining on Parole	3	11%
Unable to Benefit From Treatment (Due To Mental Status / Cognitive Deficits)	2	7%
Transportation Problems	1	4%
Waiting for Agent to Indicate That Offender Has Stable Residence	1	4%
Total	28	100%

REASONS FOR NOT BEING IN TREATMENT AMONG JUVENILE OFFENDERS	Number Of Offenders	% of the Offenders Not In Treatment
Offender Not in Treatment Due to Pending Discharge	1	100%
Total	1	100%

PAROLE POLYGRAPH PARTICIPATION SUMMARY FOR ADULT OFFENDERS	Total This Month	Fiscal Year Total to Date 10/08 – 1/09	Total Since Program Inception
Total Polygraphs Scheduled	128	558	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Polygraphs For Which Offenders Were Unavailable for Testing After Scheduling (Due to Incarceration, VOP, Delinquency, Discharge, Inter-State Transfer, INS Custody, or Deportation) 	32	118	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Polygraphs Cancelled (See Reasons Below) 	51	187	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Polygraphs Completed 	45	253	4,902

PAROLE POLYGRAPH PARTICIPATION SUMMARY FOR JUVENILE OFFENDERS	Total This Month	Fiscal Year Total to Date 10/08 – 1/09	Total Since Program Inception
Total Polygraphs Scheduled	11	37	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Polygraphs For Which Offenders Were Unavailable for Testing After Scheduling (Due to Incarceration, VOP, Delinquency, Discharge, Inter-State Transfer, INS Custody, or Deportation) 	1	6	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Polygraphs Cancelled (See Reasons Below) 	5	17	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Polygraphs Completed 	5	14	87

REASONS FOR CANCELLED OR MISSED POLYGRAPH APPOINTMENTS AMONG ADULT OFFENDERS	Number of Offenders	% of the 51 Offenders Who Missed Polygraph Appt.
Financial Reason	31	61%
No Show / Late to Appt.	9	18%
Medical Reasons	4	8%
Polygraph Examiner Cancelled (Examiner Was Subpoenaed to Court Causing Scheduling Conflict – 2 Offenders; Examiner No Longer Conducting Exams For the INSOMM Program)	3	6%
Polygraph Examiner Opted Not to Conduct Exam (Inclement Weather; Pre-Test Interview Took Too Long, So Physiological Testing Had to be Postponed to a Later Date)	2	4%
Offender Transferred to a Different Parole District	1	2%
Polygraph Examiner Error (Examiner Accidentally Deleted Offender From His Schedule)	1	2%
Total	51	100%

REASONS FOR CANCELLED OR MISSED POLYGRAPH APPOINTMENTS AMONG JUVENILE OFFENDERS	Number of Offenders	% of the 5 Offenders Who Missed Polygraph Appt.
Containment Team Decision to Cancel and/or Reschedule the Exam (Offender Moved to a Different Phase and Location Within Treatment at Lifeline Youth and Family Services Requiring Rescheduling of Examiner with an Examiner Closer to the New Location – 2 Offenders; Exam Rescheduled to an Earlier Date)	3	60%
Financial Reason	1	20%
No Show / Late to Appt.	1	20%
Total	5	100%

PAROLE-RELATED MEETINGS PERTAINING TO THE MONITORING OF ADULT OFFENDERS	Total This Month	Fiscal Year Total to Date 10/08 – 1/09	Total Since Program Inception
Offenders Reviewed in Containment Meetings	500	1,856	30,229

PAROLE-RELATED MEETINGS PERTAINING TO THE MONITORING OF JUVENILE OFFENDERS	Total This Month	Fiscal Year Total to Date 10/08 – 1/09	Total Since Program Inception
Offenders Reviewed in Containment Meetings	48	136	684

RECURRENT TRAININGS PROVIDED BY INSOMM PROGRAM STAFF MEMBERS	Total This Month	Fiscal Year Total to Date 10/08 – 1/09
IDOC New Employee Orientation Training <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Number of Meetings ▪ Number of Participants 	0 0	2 17
Parole Agent Training For The Supervision of Adult and Juvenile Sex Offenders <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Number of Meetings ▪ Number of Participants 	0 0	3 22

ONE-TIME TRAININGS PROVIDED BY INSOMM PROGRAM STAFF MEMBERS	Total This Month	Fiscal Year Total to Date 10/08 – 1/09
Training for Hamilton County Community Corrections Staff Providing an Overview of the INSOMM Program and Risk Assessment Tools for Sex Offenders <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Number of Meetings ▪ Number of Participants 	1 15	1 15
Training for GEO Staff at NCCF Providing an Overview of the INSOMM Program <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Number of Meetings ▪ Number of Participants 	0 0	6 500
HIPPA Compliance Training for All INSOMM Program Staff	0 0	1 35
Static-99 Training for INSOMM Program Counselors at MCF <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Number of Meetings ▪ Number of Participants 	0 0	1 6
Training for IDOC Staff and Other Contractual Employees at MCF Providing an Overview of the INSOMM Program <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Number of Meetings ▪ Number of Participants 	0 0	1 40

III. QUALITY IMPROVEMENT

During the past month, efforts to improve the quality of services provided by the INSOMM Program have focused most heavily on the following areas:

- **Community Treatment Quality Assurance** – The INSOMM Program conducted a quality assurance audit on a Network Treatment Provider Agency in Indianapolis on January 30th. Results from the audit were rated as Provisionally Acceptable. The primary feedback that was given emphasized the need to ensure that (a) Initial Evaluation Reports and Bi-Annual Progress Reports are submitted to the INSOMM Program main office in a timely fashion. A second Network Treatment Provider audit was scheduled to occur in Indianapolis January 28th but was postponed due to inclement weather. This audit will be rescheduled to occur at some point in the spring. The next Network Treatment Provider Quality Assurance Audit is scheduled to occur at an agency in Columbus on February 16, 2009.
- **Polygraph Quality Assurance:** In late January, three polygraph examinations conducted by INSOMM Program Network Polygraph Examiners were randomly selected to be audited during the second quarter of this fiscal year. Letters were sent to the polygraph examiners who conducted the selected exams to request that they submit all needed materials for auditing within 10 business days.
- **INSOMM Program Recidivism Analyses** – The INSOMM Program Director (Dr. Adam Deming) and the INSOMM Program Quality Assurance and Research Coordinator (Dr. Todd Casbon) met in January to continue discussing a plan to enhance the utility of future INSOMM Program recidivism analyses. The development of a new database for tracking several pieces of relevant information on INSOMM Program offenders both during prison incarceration and after release to the community was discussed. Following the meeting, a preliminary database of this type of was created. A number of issues pertaining to the implementation and maintenance of this database still need to be determined. Dr. Deming and Dr. Casbon will meet with the INSOMM Program Clinical Director and Clinical Team Leaders in the near future to discuss these details and finalize a plan to initiate use of this database or a variant of it. In addition to this effort aimed at future INSOMM Program recidivism investigations, Dr. Deming, Dr. Casbon, and an IDOC Research Analyst (Aaron Garner) collaborated in January to write a research article pertaining to sex offender recidivism. The purpose of the article was to report sex offender recidivism rates in Indiana and to encourage state agencies across the United States to adopt a unified and standard approach to tracking and reporting sex offender recidivism rates. A final draft of the article was submitted to the Sex Offender Law Report at the end of January and has been accepted for publication some time this coming spring.

IV. PERSONNEL / HUMAN RESOURCES

Currently, the INSOMM Program has four counselor vacancies. As a result of the move of Phase II of the adult male sex offender treatment program from Miami and Plainfield Correctional Facilities to New Castle Correctional Facility, six INSOMM Program counselors were unable to continue their employment due to the long commute. All six counselors terminated their employment with the program on December 31, 2008. Since that time, two of these counselor positions have been filled (see below).

Additionally, the Assessment Specialist position at the New Castle Correctional Facility and the District Coordinator position for Parole District 8 are currently vacant.

Interviewing for vacant INSOMM Program positions began in January and will continue into February. The INSOMM Program is pleased to announce that it has hired three new staff in the month of January. Lisa Bohr began with the INSOMM Program on January 5 in the role of District Re-entry and Resource Coordinator providing services in Parole Districts 1 and 4B. Additionally, Melinda Flowers began with the INSOMM Program on January 26 in the role of Counselor 2 at Pendleton Juvenile Correctional Facility, and Elizabeth Hanson will begin with the program on February 16 in the role of Counselor 2 at New Castle Correctional Facility.

V. GOALS AND UPCOMING EVENTS

Goals for the month of February, 2009 include the following:

- Recruit for, interview, and fill all open INSOMM Program staff positions.
- Schedule Phase III Network Treatment Provider audits to be conducted in March of this year.
- Make final decisions regarding speakers and venue for the Annual INSOMM Program Training Conference.

Upcoming events for the INSOMM Program include:

- An INSOMM Program Management Committee Meeting is scheduled for Tuesday February 3, 2009 at 9am. The meeting will be held at the INSOMM Program Main Office in downtown Indianapolis.