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appeals will report the determinations on five
cases, and we will then -- we have no Other --
Motions are Other Filings, and then we will
assign new appeals to Commissioners, and that
will be it. We have no Review of ALJ Recommended
Decisions and Orders, nor do we have any final
orders to read into the record.
        VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: Thank you.
    Hearing that, let's move to approving the
previous meeting minutes. We'll need to take
roll call. I need a motion to approve.
    COMM. TOLLIVER: So moved.
    VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: I need a second.
    COMM. SILBERBERG: Second.
    VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: All those in
```

favor, David -- I'm sorry -- Michael?
MR. LOSTUTTER: Michael.
All in favor of approving last month's
meeting records, say aye.
COMM. SILBERBERG: Aye.
VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: Aye. You need to
do a roll call.
MR. FLEISCHHACKER: Yeah, you need to

```
do roll call.
```

MR. LOSTUTTER: Okay.
Chair Slash?
(No response.)
VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: Did we lose her?
Are you there still, Adrianne -- I'm
sorry -- Chair Slash?
(No response.)
VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: Chair Slash?
CHAIR SLASH: I'm sorry.
VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: We just made a
motion to approve the agenda.
CHAIR SLASH: Aye.
VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: Aye, we have an
"aye" from Chair Slash.
MR. LOSTUTTER: Chair -- or
Comm. Silberberg?
COMM. SILBERBERG: Aye.
MR. LOSTUTTER: Comm. Tolliver?
COMM. TOLLIVER: Aye.
MR. LOSTUTTER: Vice-Chair Ramos?
VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: Aye.
All right. We'll move on to the
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Director's Report.
MR. FLEISCHHACKER: Thank you,
Vice-Chair Ramos. We've had a busy last month and a half. We had the ICRC Civil Rights Conference in mid-June that went extremely well, had a lot of great speakers, a lot of great events, was well attended. We had close to 350 in person and a similar number, 350 , 400 , joining us virtually as well. So, we've heard really positive feedback about the Conference and about the content that was shared, so we feel really good about the product that we put out and had people engage in, and our staff here did a phenomenal job of putting that together and engaging in that. So, that was a great success and accomplishment for the Commission.

And then $I$ recently had a number of events associated with Summer Celebration for Indiana Black Expo. The Governor's Reception, where we hand out and recognize a number of community leaders, and then also handed out our Harold Hatcher Award for a staff member, and that went to Fred Bremer this year, a well-deserving
individual, an attorney who's been with the Commission for longer than the Indiana Fair Housing Act has been around, so that's a testament to his commitment to civil rights here in the State of Indiana. So, that was a great recognition for him.

A couple of upcoming things: We continue to do CORE. We have CORE coming up the first week of August, and then $I$ think some calendar reservations went out, at least to the staff, hopefully to you as well, if not we'll get them on your calendar, but on September $19 t h$ and 20 th are going to be our Days of Service for this year, where we go out into the community and do some beautification projects and work with a number of agencies and a number of other entities out in the public to make those happen, but those are the dates that those Days of Service are scheduled for.

As far as projects go, ongoing stuff, Genesis and CMS continue to be viable products and assisting us in doing some of our work. Obviously, as with any technology, there's some
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bumps along the way that we're working through on some of those, but overall, especially with

Genesis, and then as we're starting to get into our Power BI reports and so for CMS, those will definitely assist in our reporting capabilities and tracking capabilities for the things that are in each of those systems.

Our Fair Housing testing is ongoing.
We've -- I think I reported last month that we've got ten Director-initiated complaints that are in process right now, and a number of which, the entities have indicated a desire to engage in settlement discussions to resolve those, so hopefully we can bring those to a conclusion and assist those entities in changing their practices and policies to ensure that they're adhering to the Fair Housing Laws.

We -- at the end of June, we completed our HUD contract for this last cycle, and it was another successful year. It looks like we'll end the year at around 165 cases, and last year we ended that contract year at 168 , so right around the same number that we did the prior year, and
this year we didn't really have any testing cases in, just based on how the testing played out. So, a great job with our Intake staff, REPACE staff, and everyone involved in moving those along, getting those resolved and investigated and played out.

So, the EEOC contract ends at the end of September, that's when that fiscal year ends and when that contract period ends, and we're on track to meet our -- the EEOC contract was for 350 cases, and we're on track to meet that, and we have close to 400 current files that are in our office to be investigated, so we're well positioned to meet that same contract for next year already. So, good things going on in those areas.

As far as some metrics go, year to date for 2023 , we've recorded 4,968 calls into the agency into our queues, and that's an increase from 1,819 last year during that same time period, but again, a lot of that is a byproduct of Genesis and being able to better track the calls that are coming in.

As far as inquiries go, we've had 1,417 inquiries through the end of June, compared to 1,031 in the same time period last year; that's a 37-and-a-half-percent increase. We've formalized 586 complaints through the end of June, so at the half-year mark, compared to 345 the year -- last year; that's a 70-percent increase, so we're looking to possibly eclipse the thousand mark on formalized complaints for 2023 if that trend continues.

And then so far in 2023, or through the end of June, we've had over $\$ 472,000$ in monetary relief through our resolved settlements, compared to 423,000 in the same time period last year, so an eleven-and-a-half-percent increase in our monetary awards.

I always like to point to our inquiry -our percentage of inquiries that result in formal complaints. In 2023, for the first half of the year, we had a 41 percent rate of inquiries being turned into formalized complaints, compared to 34 percent in the same time period last year.

And then at the end of June, we had 49
open litigation cases, compared to 75 at the same point last year. However, I just -- you know, prior to the meeting, I mentioned closing 45 or so notices of findings. Eight of those, however, were cause cases, so those will get added into our open litigation files. We've closed a couple, so we'll be in the low to mid 50's as far as open litigation cases, likely, for the end, once the end of June comes about.

So, a lot of great work going on. I think I say that every month. We're getting a lot of people coming into the office and contacting us about things going on in their lives. I think that's a testament to the heightened awareness that we have going on, getting our name out, and I think anecdotally, probably some, you know, return to pre-COVID kind of workplace scenarios and housing scenarios.

So, I think a lot of those different things are working together to increase the amount of people and the amount of traffic that we're having come into the agency. And then our staff are doing a great job of getting that work
done and moving cases through in a timely manner.
VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: Thank you, Deputy
Director. I've heard nothing but phenomenal
things about that, you know, the Housing
Conference that you had. It was, you know,
fantastic. I participated in some of it, and I know I also received an invitation for the Women's Commission Awards Dinner that's coming up here.

MR. FLEISCHHACKER: Yeah, their
Torchbearers, I think, is sometime in September as well, so that event's coming up, yep.

VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: That's great. So,
I commend the office. You're doing a great job, and everybody is right on track and we're ahead of everything from year to year, so that's fantastic.

Commissioners, comments?
(No response.)
VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: Okay. So, we
will -- thank you, again, very much. We will
move on to the next order of business. That's old business. Comm. Tolliver, you had the case
of Scott Gribbons versus Henry County Planning Commission, Case No. HOha23020175.

COMM. TOLLIVER: Yes. There are
three issues, and $I$ recommend that we approve the Commission's finding of no reasonable cause under the Indiana Fair Housing Act, and no probable cause under the Indiana Civil Rights Act for issue one. Do you want me to do all three issues?

VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: YOu can do them all together.

COMM. TOLLIVER: The second issue would be the same, no reasonable cause under the Indiana Fair Housing Act, and no probable cause under the Indiana Civil Rights Law.

And then on the third issue, no reasonable cause under the Indiana Fair Housing Act, and no probable cause under the Indiana Civil Rights Law.

VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: I need a motion to approve.

COMM. SILBERBERG: Motion to approve.
VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: I'm -- I can
second, as Chair, so, then $I$ will -- and Commissioner -- I'm sorry -- Vice-Chair Slash, I know that you're through the woods and stuff, so hopefully you're still hanging with us, but we'll go ahead and do a vote, and we'll find out real quickly.

CHAIR SLASH: I'm still here.
VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: Oh, excellent.
MR. LOSTUTTER: There we go.
VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: Thank you.
MR. LOSTUTTER: We're calling the roll.

Chair Slash?
CHAIR SLASH: Chair Slash, aye.
MR. LOSTUTTER: Comm. Silberberg?
COMM. SILBERBERG: Aye.
MR. LOSTUTTER: Comm. Tolliver?
COMM. TOLLIVER: Aye.
MR. LOSTUTTER: And Vice-Chair Ramos?
VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: Aye.
MR. LOSTUTTER: The ayes have it.
VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: All right.
The next case is Comm. Jackson, do we have

```
a ruling on that one?
```

MR. LOSTUTTER: We - - his
recommendation was to uphold the notice of
finding in the matter.

VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: Okay. Of no
probable cause under Indiana Civil Rights --

MR. LOSTUTTER: Yes.

VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: -- Law?
So, I need a motion to approve.
COMM. TOLLIVER: So moved.
VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: I need a second.
COMM. SILBERBERG: Second.
VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: All those in
favor?
MR. LOSTUTTER: We'll call the roll.
Chair Slash?
CHAIR SLASH: Chair Slash, aye.
MR. LOSTUTTER: I'm pretty sure she
said "aye."
COMM. SILBERBERG: Yeah.
VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: Was it "aye, aye,
aye"?
MR. LOSTUTTER: Comm. Silberberg?

COMM. SILBERBERG: Aye.
MR. LOSTUTTER: Comm. Tolliver?
COMM. TOLLIVER: Aye.
MR. LOSTUTTER: Vice-Chair Ramos?
VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: Aye.
MR. LOSTUTTER: The ayes have it.
VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: Thank you.
The next case is Bianca Smith versus
Highmark Residential. The Case Number
is Hora23030233. And in this case, I recommend
that we uphold the Director's finding of no probable cause under Indiana Civil Rights Law, and no reasonable cause under Indiana Fair Housing Act.

I do need a motion to --
COMM. SILBERBERG: So moved.
COMM. TOLLIVER: Second.
VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: Second by --
MR. LOSTUTTER: We will call --
VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: -- Comm. Tolliver.
MR. LOSTUTTER: -- the roll.
Chair Slash?
CHAIR SLASH: Chair Slash, aye.

MR. LOSTUTTER: Comm. Silberberg?
COMM. SILBERBERG: Aye.
MR. LOSTUTTER: Comm. Tolliver?
COMM. TOLLIVER: Aye.
MR. LOSTUTTER: Vice-Chair Ramos?
VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: Aye.
MR. LOSTUTTER: The ayes have it.
VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: Point of order. I
did not call the case for Jackson, so I'm going to read that into the record --

MR. LOSTUTTER: Oh.
VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: - formally.
That's Bob Denham versus Amcore Flexibles North America, Case No. EMha22110691, and we have already approved to uphold that.

The next case is LaToya Richardson versus Indiana University and Purdue University Indianapolis. The Case Number is EDra22110652. Chair Slash has made a recommendation.

Chair, are you still with us?
CHAIR SLASH: Yes, I am, and my recommendation is that we uphold the Deputy Director's finding of no probable cause.

| 1 | VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: I need a motion to |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | approve. |
| 3 | COMM. TOLLIVER: So moved. |
| 4 | VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: I need a second. |
| 5 | COMM. SILBERBERG: Second. |
| 6 | VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: Roll call. |
| 7 | MR. LOStutter: We'll call the roll. |
| 8 | Chair Slash? |
| 9 | CHAIR SLASH: Chair Slash, aye. |
| 10 | MR. LOSTUTTER: Comm. Silberberg? |
| 11 | COMM. SILBERBERG: Aye. |
| 12 | MR. LOSTUTTER: Comm. Tolliver? |
| 13 | COMM. TOLLIVER: Aye. |
| 14 | MR. LOStutter: And Vice-Chair Ramos? |
| 15 | VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: Aye. |
| 16 | MR. LOSTUTTER: The ayes have it. |
| 17 | VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: The next case is |
| 18 | Sumit Ghosh versus Creekside Commons Homeowners |
| 19 | Association, Inc. The Case Number |
| 20 | is Hora 3010028. Comm. Harrington did provide |
| 21 | her recommendation -- |
| 22 | MR. LOSTUTTER: She did. |
| 23 | VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: -- and her |

recommendation is to uphold the Director's
finding of no probable cause under Indiana Civil
Rights Law, and no reasonable cause under the
Indiana Fair Housing Act.
I need a motion to approve.
COMM. SILBERBERG: So moved.
VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: I need a second.
COMM. TOLLIVER: Second.
VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: Those in favor?
MR. LOSTUTTER: We will call the roll.

Chair Slash?
CHAIR SLASH: Chair Slash, aye.
MR. LOSTUTTER: Comm. Silberberg?
COMM. SILBERBERG: Aye.
MR. LOSTUTTER: Comm. Tolliver?
COMM. TOLLIVER: Aye.
MR. LOSTUTTER: And Vice-Chair Ramos?
VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: Aye.
MR. LOSTUTTER: The ayes have it.
VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: There are no other
Motions or Other Filings. That moves us to New
Business and the appointment of new cases to the

Commissioners. We have -- the first case is Adam Boylan versus Caravan Facilities Management, LLC. The Case Number is EMra22120710, and I'll assign that to Mr. Tolliver -- Comm. Tolliver.

The next case is Jesse Rider versus Herman and Kittle Properties and Lynhurst Park

Apartments, Case No. HOha23020142, and I'll assign that to Comm. Silberberg.

COMM. SILBERBERG: I may not be at
the next meeting --
VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: Okay.
COMM. SILBERBERG: -- but I can take it and give my recommendation.

VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: Provide the recommendation? Yeah, if you're okay with that.

COMM. SILBERBERG: Yes.
VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: Thank you very much.

And the last case is Rachel Godley versus FCA (Chrysler) US LLC's Kokomo Engine Plant. Case Number is EMra22120710, and I'll assign that case to me.

Then the next item is Motions and Other

Filings, and we have none.
The next item on the agenda is Review of ALJ Decisions and Orders, and we have none.

And the next section is ALJ Decisions Automatically Confirmed. Again, there are none on the agenda.

Which takes us to the meeting dates for 2023. Our next scheduled meeting is August 18 th.

Do we have any conflicts, Commissioners, with August 18 th?

COMM. SILBERBERG: I - -
VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: Will you be able to attend virtually, or --

COMM. SILBERBERG: I'm not sure that I will. I will do my best, but that's not a good day that day. It's problematic because of the Sabbath and when it falls and everything.

VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: Okay. Understand. Chair Slash, will you be able to attend on the 18 th of August?

CHAIR SLASH: Yes, I will.
VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: Looking at

September $15 t h$, that's in the middle of September. Are there any conflicts anyone has with the 15th?

COMM. SILBERBERG: That is the day before Rosh Hashanah, so I will not be there.

VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: Okay. All right.
So, we'll just work with the other Commissioners to see if they're able to --

COMM. SILBERBERG: When -- we had
talked about -- oh, I'm sorry.
VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: Go ahead.
COMM. SILBERBERG: -- about moving
those -- about moving to a different day.
Wouldn't it be by then?
VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: We would -- you
know, we'll make that determination next month if we have other conflicts as well. I can appreciate that, that there may be a conflict for others. I'm -- personally, I need to leave the week after that for three weeks, so it wouldn't be -- work for me, but let's figure that out next month.

The next item is -- there is no Election,

| 1 | Training or Other. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Are there any announcements? |
| 3 | (No response.) |
| 4 | VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: No announcements. |
| 5 | Are there any public comments? |
| 6 | (No response.) |
| 7 | VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: No public |
| 8 | comments, so it is -- |
| 9 | COMM. TOLLIVER: Before we adjourn, |
| 10 | can $I$ ask to change my vote on LaToya Richardson |
| 11 | versus IUPUI? I think out of an abundance of |
| 12 | caution, I should recuse myself since I teach |
| 13 | there. |
| 14 | VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: We do not have -- |
| 15 | we do not have a majority -- |
| 16 | MR. LOSTUTTER: I mean we -- that |
| 17 | would have an impact, I believe, on the outcome |
| 18 | there, because we -- |
| 19 | COMM. TOLLIVER: A recusal? |
| 20 | MR. LOStutter: Well, we would have |
| 21 | to -- |
| 22 | VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: We don't have a |
| 23 | majority -- |

MR. LOSTUTTER: Yeah, we have to hold off on making a final decision, $I$ believe, until next month, if we --

COMM. TOLLIVER: Yeah. I just don't think $I$ can vote on that.

VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: Okay. All right.
So, for the record, Case No. EDra22110652, which is LaToya Richardson versus Indiana University and Purdue University Indianapolis, Comm. Tolliver is citing a conflict of interest; is that how --

COMM. TOLLIVER: Yeah.

VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: And so -- and
recommends, for all of the right reasons, to recuse his vote. That does not provide us a majority to pass the motion, so we will defer that decision until the August Commission meeting.

COMM. TOLLIVER: Thank you.
COMM. SILBERBERG: Yeah, and
actually, I can't be there, like I said.
VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: Okay. Well, since it's back on the docket, we should be okay. We
just need to make sure we have enough votes --
MR. LOSTUTTER: Yes.
VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: -- from the others to be able to pass that.

COMM. TOLLIVER: Yeah, and mine is just a perceived conflict. I don't know any -- I don't know any of the people that were in that case, but --

VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: Right.
COMM. SILBERBERG: Same, yeah.
VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: Lindy, you got
that? Are you comfortable with that?
THE REPORTER: Yes.
VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: All right.
Thank you, Comm. Tolliver.
Any other public comments?
(No response.)
VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: Okay. And let me
see what the official time is. It's 1:30, and we'll adjourn the meeting.

Thereupon, the proceedings of July 21, 2023 were concluded at 1:30 o'clock p.m.
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| $\begin{aligned} & \text { County }[3]-1: 14 \text {, } \\ & \text { 13:1, } 26: 4 \\ & \text { couple }[2]-7: 7,11: 7 \\ & \text { Court }_{[1]}-26: 3 \\ & \text { COVID }_{[1]}-11: 17 \\ & \text { Creekside }_{[1]}-18: 18 \\ & \text { current }{ }_{[1]}-9: 12 \\ & \text { cycle }[1]-8: 19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EMha22110691[1] - } \\ & \text { 17:14 } \end{aligned}$ | formalized [3] - 10:4, |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | kind ${ }_{[1]}-11: 17$ <br> Kirchoff [1] - 2:14 <br> Kittle [1] - 20:6 <br> Kokomo [1] - 20:20 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EMra22120710 [2] - } \\ & \text { 20:3, 20:21 } \end{aligned}$ | formally [1]-17:12 <br> Fred [1] - 6:23 <br> Friday [2] - 1:16, 26:7 |  |  |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ICRC }_{[2]}-3: 22,6: 4 \\ & \text { impact }[1]-23: 17 \\ & \text { Inc }_{[1]}-18: 19 \\ & \text { increase }[5]-9: 19, \\ & \text { 10:4, 10:7, 10:15, } \\ & 11: 20 \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | end [9]-8:18, 8:20, |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & : 7,10: 2,10: 5 \\ & 0: 12,10: 23,11 \end{aligned}$ | G |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { last }[12]-4: 18,6: 3, \\ & 8: 9,8: 19,8: 21,9: 20, \\ & 10: 3,10: 6,10: 14, \\ & 10: 22,11: 2,20: 19 \end{aligned}$ |
| D | ends [3]-9:7, 9:8, 9:9 | genesis [2]-8:3, 9:2 | INDIANA [3] - 1:1, |  |
| $\text { date }[1]-9: 17$ | $8: 12$ | Godley [1]-20:19 | Indiana $[25]-1: 10$, $1: 13,1: 14,1: 16$, | 10:22, 11:2, 20:19 <br> Latoya [3] - 17:16, |
| David [2] - 2:6, 4:16 | engine [1] - 20:20 | Government [2] - $1: 14,2: 7$ <br> Governor's [1] - 6:19 | 1:13, 1:14, 1:16, 1:22, 2:7, 2:8, 6:18, | law [5] - 13:15, 13:19, |
| days [2] - 7:13, 7:18 | ensure [1]-8:16 |  | $7: 2,7: 5,13: 6,13: 7,$ | $15: 8,16: 12,19: 3$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { decision }[2]-24: 2 \text {, } \\ & 24: 17 \end{aligned}$ | 8:12, 8:15 | Governor's [1]-6:19 great $[9]-6: 6,6: 15$, | 13:14, 13:15, 13:17, | laws [1] - 8:17 <br> leaders [1] - 6:21 |
| decisions [3]-4:6, |  | $\begin{aligned} & 7: 5,9: 3,11: 10 \\ & 11: 23,12: 13,12: 14 \end{aligned}$ | $13: 18,15: 6,16: 12,$ |  |
| $21: 3,21: 4$ | especially ${ }_{[1]}-8: 2$ | Gribbons [1] - 13:1 | 19:4, 24:8, 26:4, |  |




