

Item Title: relocation services

No. of Firms Recommended to be selected

4

#	Consultant Name	Ally Conlin K	Rank	Yohler, John	Rank	Suzi Wagner	Rank	Paste Here Scores 4	Rank	Paste Here Scores 5	Rank	Rank Total	Ranking
4	Wm. Todd Clift	105.0	1	100.0	1	90.0	1					3	1
3	Stankoven and Company Inc	90.0	2	100.0	1	60.0	2					5	2
1	HMB Professional Engineers Inc	57.7	3	67.7	3	22.7	3					9	3
2	O R Colan Associates of Florida, LLC	40.0	4	60.0	4	10.0	4					12	4

Scoring Team Leader Signature:

*[Signature]*

Title:

Chief Relo. Specialist

Date:

Feb. 7, 2007

**Central Office Selection Committee Action:**

The selection committee has reviewed the recommendations and associated documentation to verify procedure compliance and has considered capacity guidelines and any known ongoing disputes with these firms and takes the following action without direction from outside of the committee.

- Selection of the proposed top \_\_\_ ranked firms is approved as recommended with the next 2 ranked firms approved, in order, as alternates.
- Selection of the top \_\_\_ ranked firms is approved as indicated above after elimination of one indicated firm for the reasons noted below. The next 2 ranked firms are approved, in order, as alternates.
- Selection based on the recommendations and the associated documentation is denied for the reasons noted below.

Contract Administration Director

*[Signature]*  
Date: 22 February 2007

Production Management Director

*[Signature]*  
Date: 22 Feb 07

Economic Opportunity Director

*absent*  
Date:

Planning Director

*[Signature]*  
Date: 2/22/07


Sheet # / Total
2
4

Selection Rating for RFP- No.:	07-01
Item No. :	12
Services Description:	relocation services
Consultant Name:	O R Colan Associates of Florida, LLC

Evaluation Criteria to be Rated by Scorers					
Category	Scoring Criteria	Scale	Score	Weight	Weighted Score
Capacity of Team to do Work	Evaluation of the team's personnel and equipment to perform the project on time.				
	Availability of more than adequate capacity that results in added value to INDOT.	1	1	20	20
	Adequate capacity to meet the schedule.	0			
	Insufficient available capacity to meet the schedule.	-1			
Team's Demonstrated Qualifications	Technical expertise: Unique Resources & Equipment that yield a relevant added value or efficiency to the deliverable.				
	Demonstrated outstanding expertise and resources identified for req'd services for value added benefit.	2	1	15	15
	Demonstrated high level of expertise and resources identified for req'd services for value added benefit.	1			
	Expertise and resources at appropriate level.	0			
	Insufficient expertise and/or resources.	-3			
Project Manager	Rating of predicted ability to manage the project, based on: experience in size, complexity, type, subs, documentation skills.				
	Demonstrated outstanding experience in similar type and complexity.	2	1	10	10
	Demonstrated high level of experience in similar type and complexity.	1			
	Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume'.	0			
	Experience in different type or lower complexity.	-1			
	Insufficient experience.	-3			
Approach to Project	Understanding and Innovation that gives INDOT cost and/or time savings.				
	High level of understanding and viable inovative ideas proposed.	2	0	15	0
	High level of understanding of the project.	1			
	Basic understanding of the project.	0			
	Lack of project understanding.	-3			
Location (This score will be automated in the future.)	Location of assigned staff office relative to project.				
	Within 50 mi.	1	-1	5	-5
	51 to 150 mi.	0			
	151 to 500 mi.	-1			
	Greater than 500 mi.	-2			
	For 100% state funded agreements, non-Indiana firms.	-3			
				Weighted Sub-Total	40

The scores assigned above represent my best judgement of the consultant's abilities for the rating categories.

Name: Kelly Conlin Keim  
 Title: Chief Relocation Specialist

Signed:   
 Date: 1/29/2007

Evaluation Ratings to be Assigned from Office of Contracts Data Sources					
Disputes	Outstanding Agreement Disputes.				
	No outstanding unresolved agreement disputes > 3 mos. old.	0		20	0
	Outstanding unresolved agreement disputes more than 3 mos. old.	-3			
Past Performance	Performance evaluation score averages from historical performance data.				
	Quality score for similar work from performance database.		0.0	12	0.0
	Schedule score from performance database.		0.0	7	0.0
	Responsiveness score from performance database.		0.0	7	0.0
	*Budget score from performance database.		N/A	7	
	*Constructability score from performance database.		N/A	7	
				Weighted Sub-Total	0.0

For categories that are not relevant to the particular item being evaluated leave the category score as N/A. This is to be  
 \* Only applicable for transportation project development contracts. Data not available yet.

Weighted Total 40.0

Sheet # / Total
2
4


Selection Rating for RFP- No.:	07-01
Item No. :	12
Services Description:	relocation services
Consultant Name:	O R Colan Associates of Florida, LLC

**Evaluation Criteria to be Rated by Scorers**

Category	Scoring Criteria	Scale	Score	Weight	Weighted Score
Capacity of Team to do Work	Evaluation of the team's personnel and equipment to perform the project on time.				
	Availability of more than adequate capacity that results in added value to INDOT.	1	1	20	20
	Adequate capacity to meet the schedule.	0			
	Insufficient available capacity to meet the schedule.	-1			
Team's Demonstrated Qualifications	Technical expertise: Unique Resources & Equipment that yield a relevant added value or efficiency to the deliverable.				
	Demonstrated outstanding expertise and resources identified for req'd services for value added benefit.	2	1	15	15
	Demonstrated high level of expertise and resources identified for req'd services for value added benefit.	1			
	Expertise and resources at appropriate level.	0			
	Insufficient expertise and/or resources.	-3			
Project Manager	Rating of predicted ability to manage the project, based on: experience in size, complexity, type, subs, documentation skills.				
	Demonstrated outstanding experience in similar type and complexity.	2	1	10	10
	Demonstrated high level of experience in similar type and complexity.	1			
	Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume.	0			
	Experience in different type or lower complexity.	-1			
	Insufficient experience.	-3			
Approach to Project	Understanding and Innovation that gives INDOT cost and/or time savings.				
	High level of understanding and viable innovative ideas proposed.	2	1	15	15
	High level of understanding of the project.	1			
	Basic understanding of the project.	0			
	Lack of project understanding.	-3			
Location (This score will be automated in the future.)	Location of assigned staff office relative to project.				
	Within 50 mi	1	0	5	0
	51 to 150 mi	0			
	151 to 500 mi	-1			
	Greater than 500 mi	-2			
	For 100% state funded agreements, non-Indiana firms.	-3			
				Weighted Sub-Total	60

The scores assigned above represent my best judgement of the consultant's abilities for the rating categories.

Name: Yohler, John  
 Title: Senior Relo Agent

Signed:   
 Date: 1/29/2007

**Evaluation Ratings to be Assigned from Office of Contracts Data Sources**

Disputes	Outstanding Agreement Disputes.				
	No outstanding unresolved agreement disputes > 3 mos. o.d.	0		20	0
	Outstanding unresolved agreement disputes more than 3 mos. o.d.	-3			
Past Performance	Performance evaluation score averages from historical performance data.				
	Quality score for similar work from performance database.		0.0	12	0.0
	Schedule score from performance database.		0.0	7	0.0
	Responsiveness score from performance database.		0.0	7	0.0
	*Budget score from performance database.		N/A	7	
	*Constructability score from performance database.		N/A	7	
				Weighted Sub-Total	0.0

For categories that are not relevant to the particular item being evaluated leave the category score as N/A. This is to be

\* Only applicable for transportation project development contracts. Data not available yet.

Weighted Total 60.0


Sheet # / Total
2
4

Selection Rating for RFP- No.:	07-01
Item No. :	12
Services Description:	relocation services
Consultant Name:	O R Colan Associates of Florida, LLC

Evaluation Criteria to be Rated by Scorers					
Category	Scoring Criteria	Scale	Score	Weight	Weighted Score
Capacity of Team to do Work	Evaluation of the team's personnel and equipment to perform the project on time.				
	Availability of more than adequate capacity that results in added value.	1	0	20	0
	Adequate capacity to meet the schedule.	0			
	Insufficient available capacity to meet the schedule.	-1			
Team's Demonstrated Qualifications	Technical expertise: Unique Resources & Equipment that yield a relevant added value or efficiency to the deliverable.				
	Demonstrated outstanding expertise and resources identified for req'd services for value added benefit.	2	0	15	0
	Demonstrated high level of expertise and resources identified for req'd services for value added benefit.	1			
	Expertise and resources at appropriate level.	0			
Project Manager	Rating of predicted ability to manage the project, based on: experience in size, complexity, type, subs, documentation skills.				
	Demonstrated outstanding experience in similar type and complexity.	2	1	10	10
	Demonstrated high level of experience in similar type and complexity.	1			
	Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume.	0			
	Experience in different type or lower complexity.	-1			
Approach to Project	Understanding and Innovation that gives INDOT cost and/or time savings.				
	High level of understanding and viable inovative ideas proposed.	2	0	15	0
	High level of understanding of the project.	1			
	Basic understanding of the project.	0			
Location (This score will be automated in the future.)	Location of assigned staff office relative to project.				
	Within 50 mi	1	0	5	0
	51 to 150 mi	0			
	151 to 500 mi	-1			
	Greater than 500 mi	-2			
	For 100% state funded agreements, non-Indiana firms.	-3			
				<b>Weighted Sub-Total</b>	<b>10</b>

The scores assigned above represent my best judgement of the consultant's abilities for the rating categories.

Name: Suzi Wagner  
 Title: Senior Relocation Specialist

Signed:   
 Date: 1/29/2007

Evaluation Ratings to be Assigned from Office of Contracts Data Sources					
Disputes	Outstanding Agreement Disputes.				
	No outstanding unresolved agreement disputes > 3 mos. old.	0		20	0
	Outstanding unresolved agreement disputes more than 3 mos. old.	-3			
Past Performance	Performance evaluation score averages from historical performance data.				
	Quality score for similar work from performance database.		0.0	12	0.0
	Schedule score from performance database.		0.0	7	0.0
	Responsiveness score from performance database.		0.0	7	0.0
	*Budget score from performance database.		N/A	7	
	*Constructability score from performance database.		N/A	7	
				<b>Weighted Sub-Total</b>	<b>0.0</b>

For categories that are not relevant to the particular item being evaluated leave the category score as N/A. This is to be  
 \* Only applicable for transportation project development contracts. Data not available yet.

**Weighted Total** 10.0


Sheet # / Total
1
4

Selection Rating for RFP- No.:	07-01
Item No. :	12
Services Description:	relocation services
Consultant Name:	HMB Professional Engineers Inc

Evaluation Criteria to be Rated by Scorers					
Category	Scoring Criteria	Scale	Score	Weight	Weighted Score
Capacity of Team to do Work	Evaluation of the team's personnel and equipment to perform the project on time.				
	Availability of more than adequate capacity that results in added value to INDOT.	1	1	20	20
	Adequate capacity to meet the schedule.	0			
	Insufficient available capacity to meet the schedule.	-1			
Team's Demonstrated Qualifications	Technical expertise: Unique Resources & Equipment that yield a relevant added value or efficiency to the deliverable.				
	Demonstrated outstanding expertise and resources identified for req'd services for value added benefit.	2	1	15	15
	Demonstrated high level of expertise and resources identified for req'd services for value added benefit.	1			
	Expertise and resources at appropriate level.	0			
Project Manager	Rating of predicted ability to manage the project, based on: experience in size, complexity, type, subs, documentation skills.				
	Demonstrated outstanding experience in similar type and complexity.	2	1	10	10
	Demonstrated high level of experience in similar type and complexity.	1			
	Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume!	0			
	Experience in different type or lower complexity.	-1			
Approach to Project	Understanding and Innovation that gives INDOT cost and/or time savings.				
	High level of understanding and viable inovative ideas proposed.	2	0	15	0
	High level of understanding of the project.	1			
	Basic understanding of the project.	0			
	Lack of project understanding.	-3			
Location (This score will be automated in the future.)	Location of assigned staff office relative to project.				
	Within 50 mi.	1	1	5	5
	51 to 150 mi.	0			
	151 to 500 mi.	-1			
	Greater than 500 mi.	-2			
	For 100% state funded agreements, non-Indiana firms.	-3			
<b>Weighted Sub-Total</b>					<b>50</b>

The scores assigned above represent my best judgement of the consultant's abilities for the rating categories.

Name: Kelly Conlin Keim  
 Title: Chief Relocation Specialist

Signed:   
 Date: 1/29/2007

Evaluation Ratings to be Assigned from Office of Contracts Data Sources					
Disputes	Outstanding Agreement Disputes.				
	No outstanding unresolved agreement disputes > 3 mos. old.	0		20	0
	Outstanding unresolved agreement disputes more than 3 mos. old.	-3			
Past Performance	Performance evaluation score averages from historical performance data.				
	Quality score for similar work from performance database.		0.0	12	0.0
	Schedule score from performance database.		0.1	7	0.7
	Responsiveness score from performance database.		1.0	7	7.0
	*Budget score from performance database.		N/A	7	
	*Constructability score from performance database.		N/A	7	
<b>Weighted Sub-Total</b>					<b>7.7</b>

For categories that are not relevant to the particular item being evaluated leave the category score as N/A. This is to be  
 \* Only applicable for transportation project development contracts. Data not available yet.

**Weighted Total** 57.7


Sheet # / Total
1
4

Selection Rating for RFP- No.:	07-01
Item No.:	12
Services Description:	relocation services
Consultant Name:	HMB Professional Engineers Inc

Evaluation Criteria to be Rated by Scorers					
Category	Scoring Criteria	Scale	Score	Weight	Weighted Score
Capacity of Team to do Work	Evaluation of the team's personnel and equipment to perform the project on time.				
	Availability of more than adequate capacity that results in added value to INDOT.	1	1	20	20
	Adequate capacity to meet the schedule.	0			
	Insufficient available capacity to meet the schedule.	-1			
Team's Demonstrated Qualifications	Technical expertise: Unique Resources & Equipment that yield a relevant added value or efficiency to the deliverable.				
	Demonstrated outstanding expertise and resources identified for req'd services for value added benefit.	2	1	15	15
	Demonstrated high level of expertise and resources identified for req'd services for value added benefit.	1			
	Expertise and resources at appropriate level.	0			
	Insufficient expertise and/or resources.	-3			
Project Manager	Rating of predicted ability to manage the project, based on: experience in size, complexity, type, subs, documentation skills.				
	Demonstrated outstanding experience in similar type and complexity.	2	1	10	10
	Demonstrated high level of experience in similar type and complexity.	1			
	Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume.	0			
	Experience in different type or lower complexity.	-1			
	Insufficient experience.	-3			
Approach to Project	Understanding and Innovation that gives INDOT cost and/or time savings.				
	High level of understanding and viable inovative ideas proposed.	2	1	15	15
	High level of understanding of the project.	1			
	Basic understanding of the project.	0			
	Lack of project understanding.	-3			
Location (This score will be automated in the future.)	Location of assigned staff office relative to project.				
	Within 50 mi.	1	0	5	0
	51 to 150 mi.	0			
	151 to 500 mi.	-1			
	Greater than 500 mi.	-2			
	For 100% state funded agreements, non-Indiana firms.	-3			
					Weighted Sub-Total 60

The scores assigned above represent my best judgement of the consultant's abilities for the rating categories.

Name: Yohler, John  
 Title: Senior Relo Agent

Signed:   
 Date: 1/29/2007

Evaluation Ratings to be Assigned from Office of Contracts Data Sources					
Disputes	Outstanding Agreement Disputes.				
	No outstanding unresolved agreement disputes > 3 mos. o.d.	0		20	0
	Outstanding unresolved agreement disputes more than 3 mos. o.d.	-3			
Past Performance	Performance evaluation score averages from historical performance data.				
	Quality score for similar work from performance database.		0.0	12	0.0
	Schedule score from performance database.		0.1	7	0.7
	Responsiveness score from performance database.		1.0	7	7.0
	*Budget score from performance database.		N/A	7	
	*Constructability score from performance database.		N/A	7	
					Weighted Sub-Total 7.7

For categories that are not relevant to the particular item being evaluated leave the category score as N/A. This is to be  
 \* Only applicable for transportation project development contracts. Data not available yet.

Weighted Total 67.7

Sheet # / Total
1
4

Selection Rating for RFP- No.:	07-01
Item No.:	12
Services Description:	relocation services
Consultant Name:	HMB Professional Engineers Inc

**Evaluation Criteria to be Rated by Scorers**

Category	Scoring Criteria	Scale	Score	Weight	Weighted Score
Capacity of Team to do Work	Evaluation of the team's personnel and equipment to perform the project on time.				
	Availability of more than adequate capacity that results in added value to INDOT.	1	0	20	0
	Adequate capacity to meet the schedule.	0			
	Insufficient available capacity to meet the schedule.	-1			
Team's Demonstrated Qualifications	Technical expertise: Unique Resources & Equipment that yield a relevant added value or efficiency to the deliverable.				
	Demonstrated outstanding expertise and resources identified for req'd services for value added benefit.	2	0	15	0
	Demonstrated high level of expertise and resources identified for req'd services for value added benefit.	1			
	Expertise and resources at appropriate level.	0			
	Insufficient expertise and/or resources.	-3			
Project Manager	Rating of predicted ability to manage the project, based on: experience in size, complexity, type, subs, documentation skills.				
	Demonstrated outstanding experience in similar type and complexity.	2	0	10	0
	Demonstrated high level of experience in similar type and complexity.	1			
	Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume.	0			
	Experience in different type or lower complexity.	-1			
	Insufficient experience.	-3			
Approach to Project	Understanding and Innovation that gives INDOT cost and/or time savings.				
	High level of understanding and viable innovative ideas proposed.	2	1	15	15
	High level of understanding of the project.	1			
	Basic understanding of the project.	0			
	Lack of project understanding.	-3			
Location (This score will be automated in the future.)	Location of assigned staff office relative to project.				
	Within 50 mi.	1	0	5	0
	51 to 150 mi.	0			
	151 to 500 mi.	-1			
	Greater than 500 mi.	-2			
	For 100% state funded agreements, non-Indiana firms.	-3			
<b>Weighted Sub-Total</b>					<b>15</b>


The scores assigned above represent my best judgement of the consultant's abilities for the rating categories.

Name: Suzi Wagner

Title: Senior Relocation Specialist

Signed:

Date

  
1/29/2007

**Evaluation Ratings to be Assigned from Office of Contracts Data Sources**

Disputes	Outstanding Agreement Disputes.				
	No outstanding unresolved agreement disputes > 3 mos. old.	0		20	0
	Outstanding unresolved agreement disputes more than 3 mos. old.	-3			
Past Performance	Performance evaluation score averages from historical performance data.				
	Quality score for similar work from performance database.		0.0	12	0.0
	Schedule score from performance database.		0.1	7	0.7
	Responsiveness score from performance database.		1.0	7	7.0
	*Budget score from performance database.		N/A	7	
	*Constructability score from performance database.		N/A	7	
<b>Weighted Sub-Total</b>					<b>7.7</b>

For categories that are not relevant to the particular item being evaluated leave the category score as N/A. This is to be

\* Only applicable for transportation project development contracts. Data not available yet.

**Weighted Total** 22.7

Sheet # / Total
3
4


Selection Rating for RFP- No.:	07-01
Item No.:	12
Services Description:	relocation services
Consultant Name:	Stankoven and Company Inc

**Evaluation Criteria to be Rated by Scorers**

Category	Scoring Criteria	Scale	Score	Weight	Weighted Score
Capacity of Team to do Work	Evaluation of the team's personnel and equipment to perform the project on time.				
	Availability of more than adequate capacity that results in added value to INDOT.	1	1	20	20
	Adequate capacity to meet the schedule.	0			
	Insufficient available capacity to meet the schedule.	-1			
Team's Demonstrated Qualifications	Technical expertise: Unique Resources & Equipment that yield a relevant added value or efficiency to the deliverable.				
	Demonstrated outstanding expertise and resources identified for req'd services for value added benefit.	2	2	15	30
	Demonstrated high level of expertise and resources identified for req'd services for value added benefit.	1			
	Expertise and resources at appropriate level.	0			
	Insufficient expertise and/or resources.	-3			
Project Manager	Rating of predicted ability to manage the project, based on: experience in size, complexity, type, subs, documentation skills.				
	Demonstrated outstanding experience in similar type and complexity.	2	2	10	20
	Demonstrated high level of experience in similar type and complexity.	1			
	Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume.	0			
	Experience in different type or lower complexity.	-1			
	Insufficient experience.	-3			
Approach to Project	Understanding and Innovation that gives INDOT cost and/or time savings.				
	High level of understanding and viable inovative ideas proposed.	2	1	15	15
	High level of understanding of the project.	1			
	Basic understanding of the project.	0			
	Lack of project understanding.	-3			
Location (This score will be automated in the future.)	Location of assigned staff office relative to project.				
	Within 50 mi.	1	1	5	5
	51 to 150 mi.	0			
	151 to 500 mi.	-1			
	Greater than 500 mi.	-2			
	For 100% state funded agreements, non-Indiana firms.	-3			
<b>Weighted Sub-Total</b>					<b>90</b>

The scores assigned above represent my best judgement of the consultant's abilities for the rating categories.

Name: Kelly Conlin Keim  
 Title: Chief Relocation Specialist

Signed:   
 Date: 1/29/2007

**Evaluation Ratings to be Assigned from Office of Contracts Data Sources**

Disputes	Outstanding Agreement Disputes.				
	No outstanding unresolved agreement disputes > 3 mos. old.	0		20	0
	Outstanding unresolved agreement disputes more than 3 mos. old.	-3			
Past Performance	Performance evaluation score averages from historical performance data.				
	Quality score for similar work from performance database.		0.0	12	0.0
	Schedule score from performance database.		0.0	7	0.0
	Responsiveness score from performance database.		0.0	7	0.0
	*Budget score from performance database.		N/A	7	
	*Constructability score from performance database.		N/A	7	
<b>Weighted Sub-Total</b>					<b>0.0</b>

For categories that are not relevant to the particular item being evaluated leave the category score as N/A. This is to be  
 \* Only applicable for transportation project development contracts. Data not available yet.

**Weighted Total** 90.0

Sheet # / Total
3
4


Selection Rating for RFP- No.:	07-01
Item No. :	12
Services Description:	relocation services
Consultant Name:	Stankoven and Company Inc

**Evaluation Criteria to be Rated by Scorers**

Category	Scoring Criteria	Scale	Score	Weight	Weighted Score
Capacity of Team to do Work	Evaluation of the team's personnel and equipment to perform the project on time.				
	Availability of more than adequate capacity that results in added value INDOT.	1	1	20	20
	Adequate capacity to meet the schedule.	0			
	Insufficient available capacity to meet the schedule.	-1			
Team's Demonstrated Qualifications	Technical expertise: Unique Resources & Equipment that yield a relevant added value or efficiency to the deliverable.				
	Demonstrated outstanding expertise and resources identified for req'd services for value added benefit.	2	2	15	30
	Demonstrated high level of expertise and resources identified for req'd services for value added benefit.	1			
	Expertise and resources at appropriate level.	0			
	Insufficient expertise and/or resources.	-3			
Project Manager	Rating of predicted ability to manage the project, based on: experience in size, complexity, type, subs, documentation skills.				
	Demonstrated outstanding experience in similar type and complexity.	2	2	10	20
	Demonstrated high level of experience in similar type and complexity.	1			
	Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume'.	0			
	Experience in different type or lower complexity.	-1			
	Insufficient experience.	-3			
Approach to Project	Understanding and Innovation that gives INDOT cost and/or time savings.				
	High level of understanding and viable inovative ideas proposed.	2	2	15	30
	High level of understanding of the project.	1			
	Basic understanding of the project.	0			
	Lack of project understanding.	-3			
Location (This score will be automated in the future.)	Location of assigned staff office relative to project.				
	Within 50 mi.	1	0	5	0
	51 to 150 mi.	0			
	151 to 500 mi.	-1			
	Greater than 500 mi.	-2			
	For 100% state funded agreements, non-Indiana firms.	-3			
<b>Weighted Sub-Total</b>					<b>100</b>

The scores assigned above represent my best judgement of the consultant's abilities for the rating categories.

Name: Yohler, John  
 Title: Senior Relo Agent

Signed:   
 Date: 1/29/2007

**Evaluation Ratings to be Assigned from Office of Contracts Data Sources**

Disputes	Outstanding Agreement Disputes.				
	No outstanding unresolved agreement disputes > 3 mos. old.	0		20	0
	Outstanding unresolved agreement disputes more than 3 mos. old.	-3			
Past Performance	Performance evaluation score averages from historical performance data.				
	Quality score for similar work from performance database.		0.0	12	0.0
	Schedule score from performance database.		0.0	7	0.0
	Responsiveness score from performance database.		0.0	7	0.0
	*Budget score from performance database.		N/A	7	
	*Constructability score from performance database.		N/A	7	
<b>Weighted Sub-Total</b>					<b>0.0</b>

For categories that are not relevant to the particular item being evaluated leave the category score as N/A. This is to be

\* Only applicable for transportation project development contracts. Data not available yet.

**Weighted Total** 100.0

Sheet # / Total
3
4


Selection Rating for RFP- No.:	07-01
Item No.:	12
Services Description:	relocation services
Consultant Name:	Stankoven and Company Inc

**Evaluation Criteria to be Rated by Scorers**

Category	Scoring Criteria	Scale	Score	Weight	Weighted Score
Capacity of Team to do Work	<b>Evaluation of the team's personnel and equipment to perform the project on time.</b>				
	Availability of more than adequate capacity that results in added value to INDOT.	1	1	20	20
	Adequate capacity to meet the schedule.	0			
	Insufficient available capacity to meet the schedule.	-1			
Team's Demonstrated Qualifications	<b>Technical expertise: Unique Resources &amp; Equipment that yield a relevant added value or efficiency to the deliverable.</b>				
	Demonstrated outstanding expertise and resources identified for req'd services for value added benefit.	2	1	15	15
	Demonstrated high level of expertise and resources identified for req'd services for value added benefit.	1			
	Expertise and resources at appropriate level.	0			
	Insufficient expertise and/or resources.	-3			
Project Manager	<b>Rating of predicted ability to manage the project, based on: experience in size, complexity, type, subs, documentation skills.</b>				
	Demonstrated outstanding experience in similar type and complexity.	2	1	10	10
	Demonstrated high level of experience in similar type and complexity.	1			
	Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume.	0			
	Experience in different type or lower complexity.	-1			
	Insufficient experience.	-3			
Approach to Project	<b>Understanding and Innovation that gives INDOT cost and/or time savings.</b>				
	High level of understanding and viable innovative ideas proposed.	2	1	15	15
	High level of understanding of the project.	1			
	Basic understanding of the project.	0			
	Lack of project understanding.	-3			
Location (This score will be automated in the future.)	<b>Location of assigned staff office relative to project.</b>				
	Within 50 mi.	1	0	5	0
	51 to 150 mi.	0			
	151 to 500 mi.	-1			
	Greater than 500 mi.	-2			
	For 100% state funded agreements, non-Indiana firms.	-3			
				<b>Weighted Sub-Total</b>	<b>60</b>

The scores assigned above represent my best judgement of the consultant's abilities for the rating categories.

Name: Suzi Wagner  
 Title: Senior Relocation Specialist

Signed:   
 Date: 1/29/2007

**Evaluation Ratings to be Assigned from Office of Contracts Data Sources**

Disputes	<b>Outstanding Agreement Disputes.</b>				
	No outstanding unresolved agreement disputes > 3 mos. old.	0		20	0
	Outstanding unresolved agreement disputes more than 3 mos. old.	-3			
Past Performance	<b>Performance evaluation score averages from historical performance data.</b>				
	Quality score for similar work from performance database.		0.0	12	0.0
	Schedule score from performance database.		0.0	7	0.0
	Responsiveness score from performance database.		0.0	7	0.0
	*Budget score from performance database.		N/A	7	
	*Constructability score from performance database.		N/A	7	
				<b>Weighted Sub-Total</b>	<b>0.0</b>

For categories that are not relevant to the particular item being evaluated leave the category score as N/A. This is to be  
 \* Only applicable for transportation project development contracts. Data not available yet.

**Weighted Total** 60.0

Sheet # / Total
4
4

Selection Rating for RFP- No.:	07-01
Item No.:	12
Services Description:	relocation services
Consultant Name:	Wm. Todd Cliff

Evaluation Criteria to be Rated by Scorers						
Category	Scoring Criteria	Scale	Score	Weight	Weighted Score	
Capacity of Team to do Work	Evaluation of the team's personnel and equipment to perform the project on time.					
	Availability of more than adequate capacity that results in added value to INDOT.	1	1	20	20	
	Adequate capacity to meet the schedule.	0				
	Insufficient available capacity to meet the schedule.	-1				
Team's Demonstrated Qualifications	Technical expertise: Unique Resources & Equipment that yield a relevant added value or efficiency to the deliverable.					
	Demonstrated outstanding expertise and resources identified for req'd services for value added benefit.	2	2	15	30	
	Demonstrated high level of expertise and resources identified for req'd services for value added benefit.	1				
	Expertise and resources at appropriate level.	0				
	Insufficient expertise and/or resources.	-3				
Project Manager	Rating of predicted ability to manage the project, based on: experience in size, complexity, type, subs, documentation skills.					
	Demonstrated outstanding experience in similar type and complexity.	2	2	10	20	
	Demonstrated high level of experience in similar type and complexity.	1				
	Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume.	0				
	Experience in different type or lower complexity.	-1				
	Insufficient experience.	-3				
Approach to Project	Understanding and Innovation that gives INDOT cost and/or time savings.					
	High level of understanding and viable inovative ideas proposed.	2	2	15	30	
	High level of understanding of the project.	1				
	Basic understanding of the project.	0				
	Lack of project understanding.	-3				
Location (This score will be automated in the future.)	Location of assigned staff office relative to project.					
	Within 50 mi.	1	1	5	5	
	51 to 150 mi.	0				
	151 to 500 mi.	-1				
	Greater than 500 mi.	-2				
	For 100% state funded agreements, non-Indiana firms.	-3				
					Weighted Sub-Total	105

The scores assigned above represent my best judgement of the consultant's abilities for the rating categories.

Name: Kelly Conlin Keim  
 Title: Chief Relocation Specialist

Signed:   
 Date: 1/29/2007

Evaluation Ratings to be Assigned from Office of Contracts Data Sources						
Disputes	Outstanding Agreement Disputes.					
	No outstanding unresolved agreement disputes > 3 mos. old.	0		20	0	
	Outstanding unresolved agreement disputes more than 3 mos. old.	-3				
Past Performance	Performance evaluation score averages from historical performance data.					
	Quality score for similar work from performance database.		0.0	12	0.0	
	Schedule score from performance database.		0.0	7	0.0	
	Responsiveness score from performance database.		0.0	7	0.0	
	*Budget score from performance database.		N/A	7		
	*Constructability score from performance database.		N/A	7		
					Weighted Sub-Total	0.0

For categories that are not relevant to the particular item being evaluated leave the category score as N/A. This is to be  
 \* Only applicable for transportation project development contracts. Data not available yet.

Weighted Total 105.0


Sheet # / Total
4
4

Selection Rating for RFP- No.:	07-01
Item No.:	12
Services Description:	relocation services
Consultant Name:	Wm. Todd Cliff

Evaluation Criteria to be Rated by Scorers					
Category	Scoring Criteria	Scale	Score	Weight	Weighted Score
Capacity of Team to do Work	Evaluation of the team's personnel and equipment to perform the project on time				
	Availability of more than adequate capacity that results in added value to INDOT.	1	1	20	20
	Adequate capacity to meet the schedule.	0			
	Insufficient available capacity to meet the schedule.	-1			
Team's Demonstrated Qualifications	Technical expertise: Unique Resources & Equipment that yield a relevant added value or efficiency to the deliverable.				
	Demonstrated outstanding expertise and resources identified for req'd services for value added benefit.	2	2	15	30
	Demonstrated high level of expertise and resources identified for req'd services for value added benefit.	1			
	Expertise and resources at appropriate level.	0			
	Insufficient expertise and/or resources.	-3			
Project Manager	Rating of predicted ability to manage the project, based on: experience in size, complexity, type, subs, documentation skills.				
	Demonstrated outstanding experience in similar type and complexity.	2	2	10	20
	Demonstrated high level of experience in similar type and complexity.	1			
	Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume.	0			
	Experience in different type or lower complexity.	-1			
	Insufficient experience.	-3			
Approach to Project	Understanding and Innovation that gives INDOT cost and/or time savings.				
	High level of understanding and viable inovative ideas proposed.	2	2	15	30
	High level of understanding of the project.	1			
	Basic understanding of the project.	0			
	Lack of project understanding.	-3			
Location (This score will be automated in the future.)	Location of assigned staff office relative to project.				
	Within 50 mi	1	0	5	0
	51 to 150 mi	0			
	151 to 500 mi	-1			
	Greater than 500 mi	-2			
	For 100% state funded agreements, non-Indiana firms.	-3			
Weighted Sub-Total					100

The scores assigned above represent my best judgement of the consultant's abilities for the rating categories.

Name: Yohler, John  
 Title: Senior Relo Agent

Signed:   
 Date: 1/29/2007

Evaluation Ratings to be Assigned from Office of Contracts Data Sources					
Disputes	Outstanding Agreement Disputes.				
	No outstanding unresolved agreement disputes > 3 mos. old.	0		20	0
	Outstanding unresolved agreement disputes more than 3 mos. old.	-3			
Past Performance	Performance evaluation score averages from historical performance data.				
	Quality score for similar work from performance database.	0.0		12	0.0
	Schedule score from performance database.	0.0		7	0.0
	Responsiveness score from performance database.	0.0		7	0.0
	*Budget score from performance database.	N/A		7	
	*Constructability score from performance database.	N/A		7	
Weighted Sub-Total					0.0

For categories that are not relevant to the particular item being evaluated leave the category score as N/A. This is to be  
 \* Only applicable for transportation project development contracts. Data not available yet.

Weighted Total 100.0


Sheet # / Total
4
4

Selection Rating for RFP- No.:	07-01
Item No. :	12
Services Description:	relocation services
Consultant Name:	Wm. Todd Clift

Evaluation Criteria to be Rated by Scorers					
Category	Scoring Criteria	Scale	Score	Weight	Weighted Score
Capacity of Team to do Work	Evaluation of the team's personnel and equipment to perform the project on time.				
	Availability of more than adequate capacity that results in added value to INDOT.	1	1	20	20
	Adequate capacity to meet the schedule.	0			
	Insufficient available capacity to meet the schedule.	-1			
Team's Demonstrated Qualifications	Technical expertise: Unique Resources & Equipment that yield a relevant added value or efficiency to the deliverable.				
	Demonstrated outstanding expertise and resources identified for req'd services for value added benefit.	2	2	15	30
	Demonstrated high level of expertise and resources identified for req'd services for value added benefit.	1			
	Expertise and resources at appropriate level.	0			
	Insufficient expertise and/or resources.	-3			
Project Manager	Rating of predicted ability to manage the project, based on: experience in size, complexity, type, subs, documentation skills.				
	Demonstrated outstanding experience in similar type and complexity.	2	2	10	20
	Demonstrated high level of experience in similar type and complexity.	1			
	Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume.	0			
	Experience in different type or lower complexity.	-1			
	Insufficient experience.	-3			
Approach to Project	Understanding and Innovation that gives INDOT cost and/or time savings.				
	High level of understanding and viable inovative ideas proposed.	2	1	15	15
	High level of understanding of the project.	1			
	Basic understanding of the project.	0			
	Lack of project understanding.	-3			
Location (This score will be automated in the future.)	Location of assigned staff office relative to project.				
	Within 50 mi	1	1	5	5
	51 to 150 mi	0			
	151 to 500 mi	-1			
	Greater than 500 mi	-2			
	For 100% state funded agreements, non-Indiana firms.	-3			
<b>Weighted Sub-Total</b>					<b>90</b>

The scores assigned above represent my best judgement of the consultant's abilities for the rating categories.

Name: Suzi Wagner  
 Title: Senior Relocation Specialist

Signed:   
 Date: 1/29/2007

Evaluation Ratings to be Assigned from Office of Contracts Data Sources					
Disputes	Outstanding Agreement Disputes.				
	No outstanding unresolved agreement disputes > 3 mos. old.	0		20	0
	Outstanding unresolved agreement disputes more than 3 mos. old.	-3			
Past Performance	Performance evaluation score averages from historical performance data.				
	Quality score for similar work from performance database.		0.0	12	0.0
	Schedule score from performance database.		0.0	7	0.0
	Responsiveness score from performance database.		0.0	7	0.0
	*Budget score from performance database.		N/A	7	
	*Constructability score from performance database.		N/A	7	
<b>Weighted Sub-Total</b>					<b>0.0</b>

For categories that are not relevant to the particular item being evaluated leave the category score as N/A. This is to be  
 \* Only applicable for transportation project development contracts. Data not available yet.

**Weighted Total** 90.0