


Item Title: First Aid / CPR

No. of Firms Recommended to be selected

1

#	Consultant Name	Mark Wilson	Rank	Calvin Lee	Rank	Len Gritzer	Rank	Paste Here Name 4	Rank	Paste Here Name 5	Rank	Rank Scores Total	Ranking
1	American Safety & First Aid	50		120		85						255	
2													
3													
4													
5													
6													
7													
8													
9													
10													

Scoring Team Leader Signature:



Title:

Safety Manager

Date:

10/2/06

Central Office Selection Committee Action:

The selection committee has reviewed the recommendations and associated documentation to verify procedure compliance and has considered capacity guidelines and any known ongoing disputes with these firms and takes the following action without direction fr

- Selection of the proposed top 1 ranked firms is approved as recommended with the next 2 ranked firms approved, in order, as alternates.
- Selection of the top ___ ranked firms is approved as indicated above after elimination of one indicated firm for the reasons noted below. The next 2 ranked firms are approved, in order, as alternates.
- Selection based on the recommendations and the associated documentation is denied for the reasons noted below.

Contract Administration Director

Absent
Date:

Economic Opportunity Director

[Signature]
Date: 10/4/06

Production Management Director

[Signature]
Date: 4 Oct 06 yes

Planning Director


[Signature]
Date: 10/4/06

Selection Rating for RFP- No.:	06-11
Item No.:	1
Services Description:	Basic First Aid/CPR Training
Consultant Name:	American Safety & First Aid

Category	Scoring Criteria	Scale	Score	Weight	Weighted Score
Disputes	Outstanding Agreement Disputes.				
	No outstanding unresolved agreement disputes > 3 mos. old.	0	0	20	0
	Outstanding unresolved agreement disputes more than 3 mos. old.	-3			
Past Performance	Historical Performance.				
	Timeliness score from performance database.		0	15	0
	Quality/Budget score on similar work from performance database.		0	15	0
	Quality/Budget score on all INDOT work from performance database.		0	10	0
Capacity of Team to do Work	Evaluation of the team's personnel and equipment to perform the project on time				
	Availability of more than adequate capacity that results in added value to INDOT.	1	1	20	20
	Adequate capacity to meet the schedule.	0			
	Insufficient available capacity to meet the schedule.	-3			
Team's Demonstrated Qualifications	Technical expertise: Unique Resources & Equipment that yield a relevant added value or efficiency to the deliverable.				
	Demonstrated outstanding expertise and resources identified for req'd services for value added benefit.	2	1	15	15
	Demonstrated high level of expertise and resources identified for req'd services for value added benefit.	1			
	Expertise and resources at appropriate level.	0			
	Insufficient expertise and/or resources.	-3			
Project Manager	Rating of predicted ability to manage the project, based on: experience in size, complexity, type, subs, documentation skills.				
	Demonstrated outstanding experience in similar type and complexity.	2	1	5	5
	Demonstrated high level of experience in similar type and complexity.	1			
	Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume.	0			
	Experience in different type or lower complexity.	-1			
	Insufficient experience.	-3			
	Historical Performance of Firm's Project Management from database.		0	5	0
Approach to Project	Understanding and Innovation that gives INDOT cost and/or time savings.				
	High level of understanding and viable inovative ideas proposed.	2	1	10	10
	High level of understanding of the project.	1			
	Basic understanding of the project.	0			
	Lack of project understanding.	-3			
Location	Location of assigned staff office relative to project.				
	Within 50 mi.	1	0	5	0
	51 to 150 mi.	0			
	151 to 500 mi.	-1			
	Greater than 500 mi.	-2			
	For 100% state funded agreements, non-Indiana firms.	-3			
				Weighted Total	50

For categories that are not relevant to the particular agreement being evaluated leave the category score as N/A. This is to be as documented in the RFP.

The scores assigned above represent my best judgement of the consultant's abilities for the rating categories.

Signed: 
 Name: Mark Wilson
 Title: Employee Safety Specialist
 Date: 9/28/2006


Selection Rating for RFP- No.:	06-11
Item No. :	1
Services Description:	Basic First Aid/CPR Training
Consultant Name:	American Safety & First Aid

Category	Scoring Criteria	Scale	Score	Weight	Weighted Score
Disputes	Outstanding Agreement Disputes.				
	No outstanding unresolved agreement disputes > 3 mos. old.	0	0	20	0
	Outstanding unresolved agreement disputes more than 3 mos. old.	-3			
Past Performance	Historical Performance.				
	Timeliness score from performance database		2	15	30
	Quality/Budget score on similar work from performance database.		2	15	30
	Quality/Budget score on all INDOT work from performance database.		0	10	0
Capacity of Team to do Work	Evaluation of the team's personnel and equipment to perform the project on time				
	Availability of more than adequate capacity that results in added value to INDOT.	1	1	20	20
	Adequate capacity to meet the schedule	0			
	Insufficient available capacity to meet the schedule	-3			
Team's Demonstrated Qualifications	Technical expertise: Unique Resources & Equipment that yield a relevant added value or efficiency to the deliverable.				
	Demonstrated outstanding expertise and resources identified for req'd services for value added benefit	2	2	15	30
	Demonstrated high level of expertise and resources identified for req'd services for value added benefit	1			
	Expertise and resources at appropriate level	0			
	Insufficient expertise and/or resources	-3			
Project Manager	Rating of predicted ability to manage the project, based on: experience in size, complexity, type, subs, documentation skills.				
	Demonstrated outstanding experience in similar type and complexity.	2	2	5	10
	Demonstrated high level of experience in similar type and complexity.	1			
	Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume.	0			
	Experience in different type or lower complexity.	-1			
	Insufficient experience	-3			
	Historical Performance of Firm's Project Management from database.		0	5	0
Approach to Project	Understanding and Innovation that gives INDOT cost and/or time savings.				
	High level of understanding and viable inovative ideas proposed.	2	0	10	0
	High level of understanding of the project.	1			
	Basic understanding of the project	0			
	Lack of project understanding	-3			
Location	Location of assigned staff office relative to project.				
	Within 50 mi.	1	0	5	0
	51 to 150 mi.	0			
	151 to 500 mi.	-1			
	Greater than 500 mi.	-2			
	For 100% state funded agreements, non-Indiana firms.	-3			

Weighted Total 120

For categories that are not relevant to the particular agreement being evaluated leave the category score as N/A. This is to be as documented in the RFP.

The scores assigned above represent my best judgement of the consultant's abilities for the rating categories.


Signed: 
 Name: Calvin Lee
 Title: Safety Manager
 Date: 10/2/2006

Selection Rating for RFP- No.: 06-11
 Item No. :
 Services Description: Basic First Aid/CPR and Deffbrillator Trng
 Consultant Name: American Safety & First Aid

Category	Scoring Criteria	Scale	Score	Weight	Weighted Score
Disputes	Outstanding Agreement Disputes.				
	No outstanding unresolved agreement disputes > 3 mos. old.	0	0	20	0
	Outstanding unresolved agreement disputes more than 3 mos. old.	-3			
Past Performance	Historical Performance.				
	Timeliness score from performance database		2	15	30
	Quality/Budget score on similar work from performance database		-3	15	-45
Capacity of Team to do Work	Evaluation of the team's personnel and equipment to perform the project on time				
	Availability of more than adequate capacity that results in added value INDOT	1	1	20	20
	Adequate capacity to meet the schedule	0			
Team's Demonstrated Qualifications	Technical expertise: Unique Resources & Equipment that yield a relevant added value or efficiency to the deliverable.				
	Demonstrated outstanding expertise and resources identified for req'd services for value added benefit	2	2	15	30
	Demonstrated high level of expertise and resources identified for req'd services for value added benefit	1			
	Expertise and resources at appropriate level	0			
Project Manager	Rating of predicted ability to manage the project, based on: experience in size, complexity, type, subs, documentation skills.				
	Demonstrated outstanding experience in similar type and complexity	2			
	Demonstrated high level of experience in similar type and complexity	1	2	5	10
	Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume	0			
	Experience in different type or lower complexity	-1			
	Insufficient experience	-3			
Approach to Project	Understanding and Innovation that gives INDOT cost and/or time savings.				
	High level of understanding and viable inovative ideas proposed.	2			
	High level of understanding of the project	1	2	10	20
	Basic understanding of the project	0			
Location	Location of assigned staff office relative to project.				
	Within 50 mi.	1			
	51 to 150 mi.	0	0	5	0
	151 to 500 mi.	-1			
	Greater than 500 mi.	-2			
	For 100% state funded agreements, non-Indiana firms	-3			
Weighted Total					85

For categories that are not relevant to the particular agreement being evaluated leave the category score as N/A. This is to be as documented in the RFP.

The scores assigned above represent my best judgement of the consultant's abilities for the rating categories.

Signed: 
 Name: Lex Gritzer
 Title: Personnel Officer 2
 Date: 9/27/2006