TABLE OF CONTENTS

Table of Contents 1

List of Figures 3
72-2A Sideslope Acceptability 3
72-2B Inspection Report Layout 3
72-2C Evaluating Alternative Improvement Strategies (Based on a 6% Discount Rate) 3
72-3A Grinding 3
72-3B Asphalt Wedge Detail 3
72-4A Widening Precast Prestressed Girder Bridge 3
72-4B Widening Steel Girder Bridge 3
72-5A Design Data for Anchor Systems 3
72-5B Edge Distance and Spacing Requirements 3
72-7A Historic-Bridge Structural Capacity 3
72-7B Historic-Bridge Minimum Clear-Roadway Width 3

Chapter Seventy-two 4

72-1.0 BRIDGE INSPECTION AND BRIDGE MANAGEMENT 4
72-1.01 National Bridge Inspection Standards (NBIS) 4
72-1.02 Definitions 5
72-1.03 INDOT Bridge-Inspection Program 7
72-1.04 Bridge-Rehabilitation Project 7
72-1.05 INDOT Bridge Management System (IBMS) 8

72-2.0 CONDITION SURVEYS AND TESTS 8
72-2.01 Selection of Surveys and Tests 8
72-2.01(01) Office of Materials Management Involvement 9
72-2.01(02) Field Survey 10
72-2.02 Bridge Deck 11
72-2.02(01) Visual Inspection 12
72-2.02(02) Sounding 13
72-2.02(03) Half-Cell Method 13
72-2.02(04) Coring 13
72-2.02(05) Chloride Analysis 14
72-2.03 Superstructure 14
72-2.03(01) Visual Inspection 15
72-2.03(02) Fracture-Critical Member 15
72-2.03(03) Tests for Cracking in Metals 16
72-2.03(04) Fatigue Analysis 17
72-2.04 Substructure or Foundation 17
72-2.04(01) Visual Inspection 17
72-2.04(02) Other Test Methods 18
72-2.04(03) Scour Analysis 18

72-2.05 Bridge Field Inspection and Inspection Report 19
72-2.05(01) Field Inspection 19
72-2.05(02) Bridge Inspection Report 20

72-3.0 BRIDGE REHABILITATION TECHNIQUES 21
72-3.01 Bridge Deck 22
72-3.01(01) *Indiana Design Manual* Reference 22
72-3.01(02) Typical Department Practices 22
72-3.01(03) Rehabilitation Techniques 23
72-3.02 Steel Superstructure 35
72-3.02(01) Indiana Design Manual Reference 35
72-3.02(02) Rehabilitation Techniques 35
72-3.03 Concrete Superstructure 46
72-3.03(01) *Indiana Design Manual* References 46
72-3.03(02) Rehabilitation Techniques 46
72-3.04 Substructure or Foundation 51
72-3.04(01) *Indiana Design Manual* Reference 51
72-3.04(02) Integral End Bents 52
72-3.04(03) Rehabilitation Techniques 52
72-3.05 Seismic Retrofit 62
72-3.05(01) Seismic Evaluation 62
72-3.05(02) Application 63
72-3.05(03) Typical Practices 64
72-3.05(04) Seismic-Retrofit Techniques 64
72-3.06 Miscellaneous Approach Items 71

72-4.0 BRIDGE WIDENING 72
72-4.01 Introduction 72
72-4.02 Existing Structure with Substandard Capacity 72
72-4.03 Girder-Type Selection 73
72-4.04 Bridge-Deck Longitudinal Joints 73
72-4.05 Effects of Dead-Load Deflection 74
72-4.06 Vehicular Vibration During Construction 75
72-4.07 Substructure 76
72-4.08 Details 76
72-4.09 Design Criteria Historical Background 76

72-5.0 CHEMICAL ANCHOR SYSTEMS 76

72-6.0 OTHER BRIDGE-REHABILITATION-PROJECT ISSUES 78
72-6.01 Plans Preparation 78
72-6.02 Geometric Design 78
72-6.03 Roadside Safety 78
72-6.04 Maintenance and Protection of Traffic During Construction 79

72-6.05 Project-Development Procedures 79
72-6.06 Environmental Procedures and Permits 79
72-6.07 Circular-Crown Cross Slope 79

72-7.0 HISTORIC BRIDGE ON LOW-VOLUME LOCAL ROAD 80
72-7.01 Types of Historic Bridges 80
72-7.01(01) Select Bridge 80
72-7.01(02) Non-Select Bridge 81
72-7.02 Design Criteria 81
72-7.02(01) Structural Capacity 81
72-7.02(02) Hydraulic Capacity 81
72-7.02(03) Bridge Width 81
72-7.02(04) Bridge Railing 81
72-7.02(05) Approach Guardrail 82
72-7.02(06) Design Speed 82
72-7.02(07) Approach Roadways' Horizontal and Vertical Alignment 82
72-7.03 Economic and Other Criteria 82
72-7.03(01) Select Bridge 82
72-7.03(02) Non-Select Bridge 83

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure **Title**

72-2A Sideslope Acceptability
72-2B Inspection Report Layout
72-2C Evaluating Alternative Improvement Strategies (Based on a 6% Discount Rate)
72-3A Grinding
72-3B Asphalt Wedge Detail
72-4A Widening Precast Prestressed Girder Bridge
72-4B Widening Steel Girder Bridge
72-5A Design Data for Anchor Systems
72-5B Edge Distance and Spacing Requirements
72-7A Historic-Bridge Structural Capacity
72-7B Historic-Bridge Minimum Clear-Roadway Width

CHAPTER SEVENTY-TWO

BRIDGE REHABILITATION

The State contains approximately 18 000 bridges on its public roads and streets. Over 5500 of these are on the State highway system. These bridges are designed and constructed to provide an adequate margin of safety and service life for the traveling public through the application of stringent design criteria and construction specifications. Nevertheless, all structural elements deteriorate over time, sometimes prematurely, and they will eventually present a hazard to the bridge users. Periodically, these bridges require repair or rehabilitation work which exceeds the scope of normal maintenance. In these situations, the bridge work is programmed as a capital improvement project. For the purpose of this Chapter, rehabilitation refers to the following:

1. restoration to its former state or capacity;
2. improving serviceability (structural or traffic);
3. strengthening; or
4. widening.

This Chapter describes procedures and design criteria for a bridge rehabilitation project. The Chapter addresses the following:

1. overall activities which may lead to a bridge rehabilitation project;
2. condition surveys and analyses;
3. rehabilitation techniques; and
4. widening.

72-1.0 BRIDGE INSPECTION AND BRIDGE MANAGEMENT

72-1.01 National Bridge Inspection Standards (NBIS)

The National Bridge Inspection Standards (NBIS), a nationwide inspection and inventory program, is intended to detect structural problems to minimize the probability of structural failure. The Federal Highway Administration has promulgated regulations to establish the applicable criteria which must be met.

The following is brief discussion of the operational requirements of the NBIS.

1. Frequency of Inspections. Each bridge must be inspected at regular intervals not to exceed two years. Examples of structures requiring more frequent inspections include the following:

a. new structure types;
b. those with details without known performance history;
c. those with potential foundation or scour problems;
d. nonredundant structures; and
e. bridges with structural problems.

The NBIS, however, allows the State to inspect certain bridges at less often than a two-year interval, if properly justified by the State and approved by the FHWA.

2. Qualifications of Personnel. The key element of the State's bridge inspection program is the qualifications of its inspection personnel. This includes both the individual in charge of the overall organization and the field inspection personnel. The NBIS Federal Regulation lists the minimum qualifications for all bridge inspection personnel.

3. Inspection Procedures and Reports. The State must have a systematic strategy for conducting field inspections and reporting their findings. It must be clear to the inspection team which structural elements to investigate and what to look for. The bridge inspection report should accurately and clearly record all findings and should include photographs of the overall structure and of significant defects.

4. Records. The State must have a systematic means of entering, storing, and retrieving all bridge inspection data. The records must meet the requirements of the NBIS and the Structure Inventory and Appraisal (SI&A) data. They should contain a full history of the structure including the following:

a. all inspections;
b. recommendations for maintenance or repair work;
c. maintenance or repair work performed;
d. calculations; and
e. structure ratings.

5. Ratings. Each bridge must be rated according to its load-carrying capacity. This includes both the Operating and Inventory Ratings. See Section 72-1.02 for definitions. The ratings provide an indication of the bridge's capacity to safely resist the loads it is likely to be subjected to. This information assists in the determination of necessary posting, the issuance of special overload permits, and the scheduling for rehabilitation or replacement.

72-1.02 Definitions

The following definitions apply to the NBIS and its implementation.

1. Damage Inspection. This is an unscheduled inspection to assess structural damage resulting from environmental or man-inflicted causes. The scope of inspection should be sufficient to determine the need for emergency load restrictions or closure of the bridge to traffic and to assess the level of effort necessary to affect a repair.

2. In-Depth Inspection. This is a physical inspection of the bridge above or below water level (where applicable) to detect a deficiency not readily detectable using Routine Inspection procedures.

3. Interim Inspection. This is an inspection scheduled at the discretion of the individual in responsible charge of bridge-inspection activities. An Interim Inspection is typically used to monitor a particular known or suspected deficiency, e.g., foundation settlement or scour, member conditions, the public's use of a load-posted bridge.

4. Inventory Inspection. This is the initial inspection of a bridge intended to determine the SI&A data and all other relevant information required by the Department, and to collect basic structural data, e.g., identification of structure type, fracture critical members.

5. Inventory Rating. This is the load level which can be safely resisted by a structure for an indefinite period of time.

6. National Bridge Inspection Standards (NBIS). These consist of federal regulations establishing requirements for inspection procedures, frequency of inspections, qualifications of personnel, inspection reports, and preparation and maintenance of the State's bridge inventory. The NBIS apply to each structure defined as a bridge located on a public road.

7. National Bridge Inventory (NBI). This consists of the aggregation of structure inventory and appraisal data collected to fulfill the requirements of the National Bridge Inspection Standards which require that the State prepares and maintains an inventory of all bridges subject to the NBIS.

8. National Bridge Inventory (NBI) Record. This consists of data which have been coded according to the *Recording and Coding Guide for the Structure Inventory and Appraisal of the Nation's Bridges* for each structure carrying highway traffic or each inventory route which passes beneath a structure. These data are stored within the Planning Division and are also furnished to the FHWA.

9. Operating Rating. This is the maximum permissible load level to which a structure may be subjected.

10. Routine Inspection. This is a regularly scheduled, intermediate-level inspection consisting of observations or measurements sufficient to determine the physical and functional conditions of a bridge; to identify developing problems or changes from Inventory or previously recorded conditions; and to ensure that the bridge continues to satisfy present service requirements.

11. Structure Inventory and Appraisal (SI&A) Sheet. This consists of the graphic representation of the data recorded and stored for each NBI record in accordance with the *Guide*.

12. Sufficiency Rating. A numerical value from 0 to 100% which indicates a bridge's overall sufficiency to remain in service. The Rating is calculated from the SI&A data and reflects the factors as follows:

    a. structural adequacy and safety;
    b. serviceability and functional obsolescence;
    c. essentiality for public use; and
    d. special considerations.

72-1.03 INDOT Bridge-Inspection Program

The Planning Division's Bridge Management Team is responsible for collecting, maintaining, and reporting bridge-inspection information and also for ensuring that the Indiana Bridge-Inspection Program is in compliance with the requirements of the NBIS.

72-1.04 Bridge-Rehabilitation Project

As determined through the INDOT Bridge-Inspection Program, the need for bridge rehabilitation may be based on a number of deficiencies. This may include the following:

1. deterioration of structural elements;
2. insufficient load-carrying capacity;
3. inadequate seismic resistance;
4. insufficient traffic-carrying capacity;
5. safety hazard, e.g., substandard bridge railing, substandard guardrail-to-bridge-railing transition; or
6. geometric deficiency (e.g., narrow bridge width, inadequate horizontal alignment).

The Production Management Division's Bridge Rehabilitation Team is responsible for rehabilitation projects included in the Department's capital-improvement program.

72-1.05 INDOT Bridge Management System (IBMS)

The INDOT Bridge Management System (IBMS) will be used as a planning tool to identify proposed projects with a recommended action, cost, priority ranking, and optimized listing based on a set budget. Programming of bridge projects is based on recommended actions of the IBMS, district review and recommendations, and integration with other management systems. See Section 4-2.02 for more information on IBMS.

72-2.0 CONDITION SURVEYS AND TESTS

To identify the appropriate scope of bridge rehabilitation work, the designer should select and perform the proper array of condition surveys, tests, and analyses, as described below.

72-2.01 Selection of Surveys and Tests

The decisions on the type and extent of bridge rehabilitation are based on information acquired from condition surveys and tests. The selection of these condition surveys and tests for a proposed project is based on a per-project assessment of the specific bridge site. The structural factors to be considered are as follows:

1. age;
2. estimated remaining life (i.e., before bridge replacement is necessary);
3. size;
4. historic significance; and
5. potential investment in bridge rehabilitation.

The information normally available that may be requested if deemed pertinent is as follows:

1. original design plans and previous rehabilitation plans;
2. as-built plans;
3. shop drawings;
4. pile-driving records;
5. geotechnical report;
6. previous surveys;
7. accident records;
8. flood and scour data, if applicable;
9. traffic data;
10. roadway functional classification;

11. bridge inspection reports;
12. structural ratings (sufficiency, operating, inventory); and
13. maintenance work performed to date.

The condition surveys and tests to be selected will be those are appropriate for the bridge site conditions based on an assessment of the structural factors and the available information.

72-2.01(01) Office of Materials Management Involvement

The Office of Materials Management may be consulted if the designer believes such consultation is warranted. The Office of Materials Management can offer support in the areas as follows:

1. geotechnical evaluation and foundation recommendations;
2. pavement design and analysis;
3. subgrade design;
4. concrete coring, and strength and chloride determination;
5. slope stability analysis and recommendations;
6. geologic information such as Karst or mine subsidence;
7. foundation geometry and type, if unknown;
8. existing loading conditions; and
9. cohesiveness of existing embankment.

Where little or no bridge widening is planned, the existing substructure condition, supplemented with the pile-driving records, may be used to determine foundation types. Foundations will be widened with the same system as the original construction. Spread footings will be designed next to existing spread footings, H piles will be driven to anticipated rock, and 356-mm shell piles will be used where friction piles exist. Friction piles of 355 kN may be used where existing timber piles were driven. The time and cost associated with geotechnical investigations do not normally justify their use where only one or two pile spaces will be driven outside the existing foundations. Where more extensive widening is required, foundation settlement is noted, additional pile capacity is sought, the existing foundation type cannot be determined, or any other unusual situation occurs, a geotechnical investigation will be requested.

Where more than 60 m of total pavement replacement beyond the RCBA is anticipated or where added lanes are included, a geotechnical investigation and pavement design should be requested. Where such pavement length is 60 m or less, the existing pavement section may be reproduced and the Geotechnical Section may provide the subgrade-treatment requirements.

If it is determined after the preliminary field check that further information on the concrete strength or chloride content is needed, testing may be requested from the Office of Materials Management. The designer and the testing engineer should work together to determine the

number and location of samples needed. Additional traffic maintenance will be required if cores are to be taken in the traveled way.

If soil instability is observed on the preliminary field check, the Office of Materials Management should be notified and given the opportunity to perform the necessary testing and analysis to make recommendations for remediation.

Many older bridge embankments were constructed with steeper sideslopes than would be used today. Narrow widening of these embankments may be necessary to meet modern roadside safety criteria. Geotechnical slope stability should also be considered where an existing slope is widened, especially if the widening is relatively narrow. Narrow widening should include a benching detail. Figure 72-2A, Sideslope Acceptability, indicates slopes that are geotechnically acceptable based on slope inclination and Plastic Index of the existing embankment material. Final slope-stability recommendations must be made by the Office of Materials Management.

If a new retaining wall is required, the Office of Materials Management should be contacted to provide the appropriate soil-design parameters. Also, if fill is added to an embankment where the designer is aware of underlying peat deposits, the Office of Materials Management should be contacted for recommendations.

If the Office of Materials Management is involved in a rehabilitation project, the schedule should be re-evaluated to account for the time required for the investigation.

For additional information on geotechnical investigation, see Chapter Eighteen and Section 3-1.04.

72-2.01(02) Field Survey

If a rehabilitation project involves deck replacement, superstructure replacement, or widening of the substructure, a field survey will be required. A typical survey will involve a structure profile extending approximately 150 m from each end of the bridge and a check of features such as cap and bridge seat elevations.

The purpose of the survey is to verify elevations so that datum corrections can be made in the plans and do not have to be determined in the field during construction. The Bridge Rehabilitation Team will approve the extent of survey before field work begins.

Vertical and horizontal railroad clearances must be measured and included in the bridge inspection report if the project involves a railroad.

72-2.02 Bridge Deck

For the purpose of this Chapter, the deck includes the structural continuum directly supporting the riding surface, deck joints and their immediate supports, curbs, barriers, reinforced-concrete bridge approaches, and utility hardware. The bridge deck and its appurtenances provide the services as follows:

1. support and transmittal of wheel loads to the primary structural components;
2. protection for the structural components beneath the deck;
3. lateral bracing for girders;
4. a smooth riding surface;
5. drainage of surface runoff; and
6. safe passageway for vehicular and bicycle or pedestrian traffic, e.g., skid-resistant surface, bridge railings, guardrail-to-bridge-railing transitions.

Deterioration in these services warrants investigation and possible remedial action. The most common cause of bridge-deck deterioration is the intrusion of chloride ions from roadway deicing salts into the concrete. The chloride causes formation of corrosive cells on the steel reinforcement, and the corrosion product (rust) induces stresses in the concrete resulting in cracking, delamination and spalling. Chloride-ion (salt) penetration is a time-dependent phenomenon. There is no known way to prevent penetration, but it can be decelerated such that the service life of the deck is not less than that of the structure. Salt penetration is, however, not the only cause of bridge-deck deterioration. Other significant problems include the following:

1. Freeze-Thaw. This results from inadequate air content of the concrete. Freezing of the free water in the concrete causes random, alligator-type cracking of the concrete and then complete disintegration. There is no known remedy other than replacement.

2. Impact Loading. This results from vehicular kinetic energy released by vertical discontinuities in the riding surface, such as surface roughness, delamination, and inadequately-set or damaged deck joints. Remedial actions include surface grinding, overlaying or replacement of deck concrete, or rebuilding deck joints.

3. Abrasion. This results from contact with metallic objects, such as chains or studs attached to tires. Remedial actions include surface grinding or overlaying.

The objective of the condition surveys and tests is to quantify the extent of deterioration based on INDOT criteria to determine the appropriate remedial action. Methods are discussed below to establish the level and extent of deterioration after the deterioration is visually detected. The first test to be performed is sounding. This may be followed by half-cell measurement. If permeability is measured, a reading of less than 2000 coulombs indicates that the concrete is capable of resisting intrusion of chlorides. Then, either by coring or by chemical analysis of

pulverized samples, the chloride-concentration profile is established. Other methods, such as thermographic (infrared) testing, are also becoming established as reliable tools for mapping delaminations.

72-2.02(01) Visual Inspection

1. Description. A visual inspection of the bridge deck should establish the following:

   a. the approximate extent of cracking, delamination, spalling and joint opening;
   b. evidence of corrosion;
   c. evidence of efflorescence, discoloration, or wetness at the bottom of deck;
   d. deformation in the riding surface or ponding of water;
   e. operation of deck joints;
   f. functionality of deck drainage system;
   g. bridge railings' and guardrail-to-bridge-railing transitions' accordance with current Department standards.
   h. deterioration and loss in a wood deck; and
   i. geometric compatibility with design criteria.

2. Purpose. The visual inspection of the bridge deck will achieve the following.

   a. By establishing the approximate extent of cracking, corrosion, delamination, and spalling, and by having evidence of other deterioration, one can determine if a more-extensive inspection is warranted.

   b. The inspector will identify substandard roadside safety appurtenances.

3. Consideration. Visual inspection should be used for each potential deck rehabilitation project.

4. Analysis of Data. Based on the extent of bridge-deck delamination, the following will apply.

   a. Five percent delamination of surface area is a rough guide for triggering remedial action.

   b. Thirty to 40% delamination is a rough guide for triggering bridge-deck replacement.

   In addition, the following should be considered.

a. traffic control;
b. timing of repair;
c. age of structure;
d. AADT;
e. slab depth;
f. structure type; and
g. hazard potential to other traffic (vehicular, cyclist, pedestrian, etc.).

72-2.02(02) Sounding

1. Description. Sounding establishes the presence of delamination, based on audible observation by chain drag, hammer, or electromechanical sounding device. It is based on the observation that delaminated concrete responds with a hollow sound when struck by a metal object.

2. Purpose. Its purpose is to determine the location and area of delamination.

3. Consideration. Sounding should be used on each deck rehabilitation project, except where proper traffic control cannot be provided during the test.

4. Analysis of Data. Quantities are approximate for bid purposes only and should be rounded off to the nearest 5%.

72-2.02(03) Half-Cell Method

1. Description. Copper or copper-sulphate half-cell method for the measurement of electrical potential is used as an indicator of corrosive chemical activity in the concrete.

2. Purpose. Its purpose is to determine the level of activity of corrosive cells in the bridge deck.

3. Consideration. The method should be used if non-destructive testing is warranted.

4. Analysis of Data. A potential difference of -0.35 V or greater indicates active corrosion. A potential difference of -0.20 V or less indicates a state of no corrosion. The range between -0.20 and -0.35 V is considered questionably active.

72-2.02(04) Coring

1. Description. Cores of 100- or 150-mm diameter are taken by means of a water-cooled, diamond-edged rotating shell. In an older deck with a large amount of reinforcement, it is difficult to avoid cutting steel if 150-mm diameter cores are used.

2. Purpose. Its purpose is to establish strength, composition of concrete, crack depth, position of reinforcing steel, delamination, and profile of chloride content and gradient.

3. Consideration. Coring should be done if questions exist relating to the compressive strength or soundness of the concrete, or if the visual condition of the reinforcement is desired. Also, coring should be done if compression or chloride analysis tests are requested.

4. Analysis of Data. Concrete cover of less than 50 mm is considered inadequate for corrosion protection. A concrete compressive strength of less than 21 MPa is considered inadequate. If a 100-mm diameter core of sound concrete is extracted intact, the concrete quality can be assumed to be acceptable. Core locations can have a significant impact on the findings.

72-2.02(05) Chloride Analysis

1. Description. This consists of a chemical analysis of pulverized samples of bridge-deck concrete extracted from either slices of cores or in-place drilling.

2. Purpose. Its purpose is to determine the chloride content profile from the deck surface to a depth of about 75 mm or greater.

3. Consideration. This analysis should be used if a visual inspection does not clearly indicate the corrosive potential of the deck. The analysis is relatively inexpensive once cores have been obtained.

4. Analysis of Data. A chloride content of 0.9 kg/m^3 of concrete at the level of top reinforcement is a rough threshold value for indicating a potential for corrosion occurring in the uncoated reinforcing steel of the deck. The locations of cores can have a significant impact on the findings.

72-2.03 Superstructure

For the purpose of this chapter, the superstructure includes all structural components located above the bearings, except the deck. For a bridge without bearings, such as a rigid frame, fixed arch, etc., this includes every visible structural component, except the deck. The following

describes condition surveys and tests which may be performed on the superstructure elements to determine the appropriate level of rehabilitation.

72-2.03(01) Visual Inspection

1. Description. A visual inspection of the superstructure should include an investigation of the following:

   a. surface deterioration, cracking, and spalling of concrete;
   b. major loss in concrete components;
   c. evidence of efflorescence;
   d. corrosion of reinforcing steel or prestressing strands;
   e. loss in exposed reinforcing steel or prestressing strands;
   f. corrosion of structural-metal components;
   g. loss in metal components due to corrosion;
   h. cracking in metal components;
   i. excessive deformation in metal components;
   j. loosening and loss of rivets or bolts;
   k. deterioration and loss in wood components;
   l. damage due to collision by vehicles, vessels, or debris;
   m. damage due to leakage through deck joints;
   n. ponding of water on abutment seats;
   o. state and functionality of bearings; and
   p. presence of low-fatigue-life components.

   Structural-steel members should be checked to ensure that there are no intersecting welds. There should be a gap of 4 times the web thickness with 50 mm as the absolute allowable minimum between two weld toes. If such welds are found, it should be noted in the inspection report, and the Planning Division's bridge inspection engineer should be notified in writing.

2. Purpose. Its purpose is to record all deterioration and signs of potential distress for comparison with earlier records and for initiating rehabilitation procedures if warranted.

3. Consideration. It should be used on each bridge rehabilitation project.

4. Analysis of Data. Analysis should be made as required.

72-2.03(02) Fracture-Critical Member

A fracture-critical member is defined as a structural metal component in tension whose failure would render the bridge dysfunctional or cause its collapse. A major portion of this determination relates to redundancy. For example, loss of a girder in a multi-girder or continuous girder structure may not be critical, while inadequate welding of a stiffener in other situations may be critical.

If the issue arises, criticality should be investigated by an experienced structural engineer.

72-2.03(03) Tests for Cracking in Metals

Such tests are used to determine the appropriate remedial action if the visual inspection revealed the existence of cracking in a steel structure. The extent and size of cracks should be established. The following are the most common test methods used in locating cracks in a steel structure, and for measuring their extent and size:

1. Dye-Penetration Test. The surface of the steel is cleaned and then painted with a red dye. The dye is wiped off. If a crack is present, the dye penetrates the crack. A white developer is painted on the cleaned steel and cracks are indicated where the red dye bleeds from the crack.

2. Magnetic-Particle Test. The surface of the steel is cleaned and sprinkled with fine iron filings while a strong magnetic field is induced in the steel. Magnetism is not resisted by the void in the cracks; therefore, the particles form a footprint thereof.

3. Acoustic Test. Although the above two methods require no special equipment, the acoustic method needs both a transmitter and a receiver. The method works on the principle that cracks reflect acoustic waves. It can only establish the presence of cracks.

4. Radiogram. This is a highly reliable but cumbersome and expensive test because it requires a medium for producing x-rays which penetrate the cracks and mark the film located at the other side.

5. Ultrasonic Test. This consists of the use of testing devices that use high-frequency sound waves to detect cracks, discontinuities, and flaws in materials. The testing depends a great deal on the expertise of the one conducting the test to interpret the results.

All tests must be conducted by, at a minimum, a Level II ANSI approved technician. For more information, see *FHWA-RD-89-167, Fatigue Cracking of Steel Bridge Structures*; AASHTO *Guide Specifications for Fatigue Evaluation of Existing Steel Bridges*; or *FHWA-NH1-90-043, Economic Design of Fracture Critical Members*.

72-2.03(04) Fatigue Analysis

1. Description. Fatigue is defined as a progression in the crack size caused by cyclical loading to a critical dimension at which cracking is no longer effectively resisted, thus, leading to fracture of the component. The progression is a function of the following:

   a. crack size;
   b. location of crack (i.e., structural detail);
   c. energy absorbing characteristics of metal;
   d. temperature; and
   e. frequency and level of stress range (transient stresses).

2. Purpose. Its purpose is to establish type and urgency of remedial action.

3. Consideration. This analysis should be used where cracks, found by visual inspection, are believed to be either caused by fatigue or are progression-prone under transient loading. It should also be used if members are known to have a low fatigue life.

4. Analysis of Data. For the analysis, fatigue characteristics of the metal should be established. For the stress range, the *LRFD Bridge Design Specifications* provides an upper-bound criterion of 75% of the weight of one design truck plus impact per bridge. The actual stress range of a given bridge component may be far lower than that specified by the *Specifications*, and it may be warranted to establish it by physical means. See Section 64-4.0 for further discussion.

72-2.04 Substructure or Foundation

The substructure transfers loads to the foundation such as rock or earth. Substructures include unframed piers, bents or abutments, footings, piles, and drilled shafts. A substructure including piles and drilled shafts is referred to as a deep foundation. They also include fenders and dolphins used in navigable waterways. The following briefly describes those condition surveys and tests which may be performed on these elements to determine the appropriate level of rehabilitation.

72-2.04(01) Visual Inspection

1. Description. A visual inspection of the substructure components should address the following:

a. surface deterioration, cracking, and spalling of concrete;
b. major loss in concrete components;
c. distress in pedestals and bearing seats;
d. evidence of corrosion of reinforcing steel;
e. loss in exposed reinforcing steel;
f. deterioration or loss in wood components;
g. leakage through joints and cracks;
h. dysfunctional drainage facilities;
i. collision damage;
j. changes in geometry such as settlement, rotation of wingwalls, tilt of retaining walls, etc.;
k. compliance with current INDOT seismic-design standards;
l. accumulation of debris;
m. erosion of protective covers;
n. changes in embankment and water channel;
o. evidence of significant scour; and
p. underwater inspection (as needed).

2. Purpose. Its purpose is to record all deterioration and signs of potential distress for comparison with earlier records and for initiating rehabilitation procedures if warranted.

3. Consideration. It should be used on each bridge rehabilitation project.

4. Analysis of Data. Analysis should be made as required.

72-2.04(02) Other Test Methods

Other test methods described in Section 72-2.02 for a bridge deck may be used to determine the level and extent of deterioration of the substructure components.

72-2.04(03) Scour Analysis

A scour analysis should be included for each bridge that crosses over water. INDOT's methodology for performing this analysis is discussed in Section 32-5.0. The specific performance criteria for this analysis are discussed in Section 32-3.02(06).

Scour analysis for a consultant-designed bridge-rehabilitation project should be performed by the consultant. Scour analysis for an INDOT-designed project should be performed by the Production Management Division's Hydraulics Team.

Scour countermeasures may be deemed necessary based on the results of the scour analysis. All scour countermeasures must be approved by the Hydraulics Team leader.

72-2.05 Bridge Field Inspection and Inspection Report

The procedures for the field inspection and the suggested format and content of the Bridge Inspection Report are described below.

72-2.05(01) Field Inspection

After assimilation of the relevant background material, e.g., as-built plans, SI&A data, traffic data, the designer will conduct a field inspection of the bridge site. The primary objective is to conduct the visual inspections for the various structural elements as described in the condition tests and surveys in Section 72-2.0. The following guidelines apply to the field inspection.

1. Attendees. If the project is consultant-designed, personnel from both the consultant and the Production Management Division will attend the field inspection. Depending on the nature of the bridge rehabilitation, attendees may include the representatives as follows:

    a. consultant (not more than two representatives, unless there are special circumstances);
    b. Production Management Division's Bridge Rehabilitation Team;
    c. Production Management Division's Railroads Team;
    d. district office, construction area engineer;
    e. district office, operations engineer;
    f. district office, development engineer;
    g. FHWA (if bridge is subject to oversight); or
    h. local public agency (if bridge is not on the State highway system).

2. As-Built Plans. The designer should request a set of the existing plans from the Planning Division's Records Team at least three weeks before the field inspection.

3. Schedule. The Bridge Rehabilitation Team will schedule the date of the field inspection, and will notify all attendees. For a project not on the State highway system, the consultant must notify the attendees.

4. Equipment. The items which should be available are as follows:

    a. plans for existing structure;
    b. inspection forms filled in with all available information;

c. camera and film;
d. clipboard, pencils, eraser, scale, and scratch pad;
e. tape measure;
f. hammer, cold-chisel;
g. chain or other sounding device;
h. safety gear; and
i. other equipment as may be necessary.

5. Procedure. Before the inspection, the designer should perform the following:

a. study the existing plans, maintenance or repair history, and other items described in Section 72-2.01;
b. review the design requirements for the roadway classification; and
c. note particular concerns, e.g., fatigue-critical components, guardrail, width of structure, alignment, utilities (overhead), age of structure.

After arriving at the site, the designer should perform the following:

a. complete the Inspection Report, noting unusual conditions not covered under general categories;
b. take necessary photographs showing approaches, side view, all four quadrants of the bridge, the feature being crossed, and deficient features to be highlighted in the report; and
c. ensure that all information is gathered as necessary to complete the Bridge Inspection Report.

72-2.05(02) Bridge Inspection Report

The Bridge Inspection Report has the purposes as follows:

1. document the findings from the field inspection, including photographs;
2. make recommendations on the proposed bridge-rehabilitation improvements;
3. provide a preliminary project cost estimate;
4. identify a proposed strategy for maintenance and protection of traffic during construction;
5. serve as design-approval documentation including compliance with Level One design criteria; and
6. document findings from the scour analysis, if the bridge is over water.

The format, content, and order of the Bridge Inspection Report to be used should match that shown in Figure 72-2B. Not all features described therein will pertain to each project. However, unusual features will require more in-depth coverage in the Report than described as follows.

The following guidelines will apply to the Bridge Inspection Report.

1. Structure. Prepare a separate Bridge Inspection Report for each structure, i.e., for each Des number.

2. Page Size. Prepare the Report on 216 mm x 279 mm paper.

3. Font. Use a 12-point font size. The font may be either Times New Roman or Arial.

4. Page Numbering. Number the pages consecutively through the Report, including the pages with schematics and photographs. The page number should be at the bottom of the page.

5. Page Header. Place the bridge file number and Des number at the top of each page, including those with schematics and photographs.

6. Presentation of Information. The objective of the Report is to communicate critical information on the existing bridge and proposed scope of rehabilitation. Statements should be direct, clear, and concise. The Report should be formatted as shown in Figure 72-2B.

7. Timeliness. Prepare and submit the Report as soon as practical after the field inspection, but not later than 30 days after the field inspection, unless otherwise approved by the project manager.

8. Number of Copies and Submission. Submit the required number of copies of the Report as stated in the project manager's letter to the Bridge Rehabilitation Team.

72-3.0 BRIDGE REHABILITATION TECHNIQUES

As discussed in Section 72-2.0, the bridge-condition surveys, tests, analyses, and reports will indicate the extent of the problems and the objectives of rehabilitation. This Section provides specific bridge-rehabilitation techniques which may be employed to address the identified deficiencies. This Section is segregated by structural element. For each technique, Section 72-3.0 presents a brief description.

Where applicable, several typical Department practices are provided which apply to each bridge-rehabilitation project. The discussion in Section 72-3.0 is not intended to be all-inclusive, but it provides a starting point on the more-common bridge-rehabilitation techniques used by INDOT. For each individual project and individual application, the designer is encouraged to review the

highway-engineering literature for more information. The INDOT *Standard Specifications* should also be consulted for more detailed information.

72-3.01 Bridge Deck

72-3.01(01) *Indiana Design Manual* Reference

Chapter Sixty-one provides an in-depth discussion on the design of the deck for a new bridge. Many of the design and detailing principles provided in the Chapter also apply to deck rehabilitation. Therefore, the designer should review Chapter Sixty-one to determine its potential application to a bridge rehabilitation project.

72-3.01(02) Typical Department Practices

The Department has adopted the following typical practices for bridge-deck rehabilitation.

1. Bridge Deck Overlay.

    a. Patching. Patching the bridge deck should be considered a temporary measure to provide a reasonably-acceptable riding surface until a more-permanent solution can be applied.

    b. Latex-Modified Overlay. This is typically applied in conjunction with deck patching. Since the early 1970s, the latex-modified overlay has been the most-common bridge-overlay technique used, and it has provided an average service life of 15 years.

    c. Microsilica Concrete. A microsilica-concrete overlay may be used if providing a low diffusivity concrete overlay is desirable. This method has been used since the early 1990s.

    d. Asphalt Overlay with Sheet Membrane. This method was used in the 1960s and early 1970s with limited success. The difficult construction tolerances for surface preparation, membrane discontinuities, and application temperature have caused poor results.

    A damaged waterproofing system is counterproductive in that it retains salt-laden water and continues supplying it to the deck which, thus, never dries out. Also, rain water or washing efforts cannot remove the salt. Due to its low reliability, INDOT no longer uses this rehabilitation technique.

e. Low-Slump Concrete. A dense low-slump concrete overlay, of 56-mm depth, has been specified as an alternative to a latex-modified overlay for over 25 years. This option has been seldom used. Because this product has the same characteristics as the latex-modified overlay and is more expensive, it is no longer specified.

f. Second Overlay. It is acceptable to remove an existing overlay and replace it with a new one. Department policy is to not allow a new overlay to be placed over an existing bridge deck overlay, because it is counterproductive and adds to the dead weight of the structure.

2. Joints. The Department recognizes that the service life of bridge-deck expansion joints is much shorter than that of the bridge. Leaking and faulty joints represent a hazard for the deck and the main structural components. Therefore, the standard procedure is to eliminate all expansion joints as part of a bridge-rehabilitation project where practical. The elimination of joints may require substantial alterations and may have structural implications which should be investigated. Where applicable, the bridge-deck rehabilitation should be consistent with the criteria described in Section 61-4.06(03) relative to the design of bridge-deck expansion joints.

   Compression seals (type BS joints) are not permitted on a bridge-deck rehabilitation project. Therefore, all such existing joints should be removed.

3. Minimum Patching Quantities. The quantity summaries for a bridge-rehabilitation project only include an estimate of the percent of bridge-deck patching. The exact amount of patching needed is determined in the field during construction. However, the minimum amount of bridge-deck patching shown in the quantities summary will be either 5% of the bridge deck area, or 28 m^2, whichever is greater.

4. Additional Bridge-Deck Overlay. The Estimate of Quantities will include a pay item for additional bridge-deck overlay. The estimate for this quantity should be calculated as follows:

   Additional Bridge-Deck Overlay (m^3) =
   (0.0508)(Patching, m^2) + (0.00167)(Overlay, m^2)

72-3.01(03) Rehabilitation Techniques

Brief descriptions of bridge-deck rehabilitation techniques which may be considered are shown below. The designer should review the technique, determine its applicability to the project, and

discuss implementation of the technique with the Production Management Division's Bridge Rehabilitation Team. The techniques include the following:

BD-1	Patching
BD-2	Epoxy Resin Injection
BD-3	Low Viscosity Sealant for Crack Repair
BD-4	Concrete Overlay
BD-5	Cathodic Protection
BD-6	Deck Drainage Improvements
BD-7	Upgrade Bridge Railings
BD-8	Upgrade Guardrail-to-Bridge-Railing Transitions
BD-9	Joint Elimination
BD-10	Concrete Sealants
BD-11	Corrosion Inhibitors
BD-12	Prefabricated Bridge Deck

Bridge-Rehabilitation Technique
BRIDGE DECK
Reference Number: BD-1 Title: Patching
Description: The area to be patched is defined by sounding. The concrete is then removed by means of an approved method that will not damage sound concrete. Exposed reinforcing steel is cleaned and coated with a thick, viscous epoxy from a point where it projects from the existing concrete for a distance of about 450 mm. The existing concrete surface should remain free from this material because it will destroy the bond. A bonding agent is then applied to the existing concrete surface. Usually, a sand-cement grout is brushed onto the concrete surface. If polymer concrete is used for patching, a coating of epoxy or other polymer is applied to prime the existing concrete surface. Although conventional portland cement concrete is often used, many other materials have been developed to permit early opening of the deck to traffic, such as accelerators, fast-setting cements and polymer compounds. It is essential that the manufacturer's specifications for mixing, placing, and curing be followed. For inorganic concrete, wet curing with a vapor barrier should be used if possible. Overheating and excessive evaporation may cause debonding of the patch regardless of how well it has performed. For a bridge deck, partial-depth patching quantities are estimated during the field check and subsequent testing. The estimated percentage of the deck to be patched should be shown on the General Plan. Traditionally, more patching is needed during construction than is determined by chaining the deck on a field check. If partial-depth patching is required, a minimum of 5% should be estimated. Full-depth patching should be estimated as the number of square meters to be replaced. A minimum of 3% of the deck area or 25 m^2 should be estimated if full-depth patching is required. If a large contiguous area of the structure is to be replaced, consideration should be given to providing details including replacement of reinforcing steel. The remaining concrete should be capable of resisting its own weight, superimposed dead load, live load (if the bridge will be repaired under traffic), formwork, equipment, and the plastic concrete. Deck patching alone is usually only moderately successful, extending the service life of the deck from one to three years.

Bridge-Rehabilitation Technique
BRIDGE DECK
Reference Number: BD-2 Title: Epoxy Resin Injection
Description: Epoxy resin injection is seldom used in correcting delamination, because the liquid pressure applied in the process may increase the severity of the problem. Its primary use is to fill cracks in selected areas. Holes are drilled into the cracks between reinforcing bars by pachometer to a depth as appropriate. A suitable epoxy system capable of bonding to a wet surface is injected into the entry hole under pressure until it appears in the exit hole. A pumping system, in which the two components of the epoxy are mixed at the injection nozzle, is usually employed. Epoxy resin injection can also be used for re-attaching a loose non-composite deck to beams. For selecting the epoxy resin and for the method of application, advice from the suppliers of the resin should be sought.

Bridge-Rehabilitation Technique
BRIDGE DECK
Reference Number: BD-3 Title: Low-Viscosity Sealant for Crack Repair
Description: A low-viscosity epoxy or other organic liquid compound is brushed into the crack, and it fills the crack by gravity. Accordingly, the success of this operation depends on the crack size, selection of the appropriate compound, temperature, contamination on the crack walls, and the skill of the operator. Its success rate is typically low. These products are also known as sealer/healers. Acceptable products are included on INDOT's Approved List of Sealers/Healers.

Bridge-Rehabilitation Technique
BRIDGE DECK
Reference Number: BD-4 Title: Concrete Bridge-Deck Overlay
Description: Latex modified bridge-deck overlays have been successfully used by INDOT since the 1970s. These overlays typically protect the bridge deck for 15 ± 5 years. The variation depends on the quality of the placement, annual truck traffic, and amount of winter salting. An overlay protects the deck by providing a non-permeable sacrificial layer that prevents water and chlorides from penetrating to the reinforcing steel in the deck. An overlay is placed at 44 mm thick after 6 mm of the deck is removed, producing a net 38-mm grade raise. The grade is adjusted by adding an HMA wedge on each approach. An overlay is not to be used over an existing overlay. The existing overlay must be milled off the deck prior to other preparation. Removal of a 6-mm layer of the bridge deck, either by milling or hydrodemolition, creates a clean, rough surface for the latex-modified overlay to adhere to. After this removal, additional milling or patching may be required to create a sound base surface. Microsilica-based overlays have been used on a trial basis by INDOT. This type of overlay may be considered with the approval of the Bridge Rehabilitation Team.

Bridge-Rehabilitation Technique
BRIDGE DECK
Reference Number: BD-5 Title: Cathodic Protection

Description:

The advantage of cathodic protection is that it can halt the progress of corrosion without the removal of chloride-contaminated concrete. Corrosion requires an anode, a point on the reinforcing steel where ions are released. Cathodic protection is the application of direct current such that the steel becomes cathodic to artificial anodes located on the deck. These anodes usually consist of sheets of thin wire mesh. A relatively small DC rectifier operating on AC line voltage and a control panel are located beneath the bridge.

A cathodic protection system need not operate 24 h per day to be beneficial. Therefore, it can be powered by means of solar panels or in line with the highway-lighting system.

A cathodic protection system should be considered for a location where traffic-maintenance costs are very high and where a few years of additional service between repairs would be advantageous.

Cathodic protection is seldom used due to the disadvantages as follows:

1. need for expertise in design and construction;
2. need for periodic adjustment;
3. power requirement; and
4. possible debonding of the overlay.

For more information, see *Guide Specifications for Cathodic Protection of Concrete Bridge Decks*, 1994, AASHTO Task Force 29.

Bridge-Rehabilitation Technique
BRIDGE DECK
Reference Number: BD-6 Title: Deck Drainage Improvements

Description:

The most common drainage problems are as follows:

1. deterioration of and around drainage facilities;

2. an inappropriate number of facilities;

3. clogging of the facility due to lack of grating and insufficient size; and

4. spilling water onto other structural components or the roadway below or causing erosion.

The drainage facility should be positively attached to the existing structure and should permit proper consolidation of the new concrete in the deck. Abandoned drainage facilities should be permanently sealed such that no ponding will occur at their locations.

For more information, see the following:

1. AASHTO *LRFD Bridge Design Specifications* Section 2, which includes information on drains. Its relevant requirements should be followed as practical.

2. Chapter Thirty-three.

Bridge-Rehabilitation Technique
BRIDGE DECK
Reference Number: BD-7 Title: Upgrade Bridge Railings
Description: The evaluation and disposition of existing bridge railings will be as follows. 1. Operational. Section 61-6.01(02) describes those bridge railings which are operational. If the existing bridge railing is not operational, the designer must upgrade the railing to an operational type. If the system is operational, see the next step. 2. Test Level. Section 49-9.0 provides the methodology for determining the required Test Level (TL) based on specific site conditions. If a new railing will be installed, its TL will be based on this methodology. If an existing bridge railing is operational and serviceable but does not meet the TL requirements of Section 49-9.0, a decision on its replacement will be based on a per-project evaluation, considering the following: a. implications of other necessary work (e.g., need to upgrade guardrail-to-bridge-railing transition, bridge widening, curb removal); and b. other relevant factors, such as accident history.

Bridge-Rehabilitation Technique
BRIDGE DECK
Reference Number: BD-8 Title: Upgrade Guardrail-to-Bridge-Railing Transitions
Description: Section 61-6.01(05) describes those guardrail-to-bridge-railing transitions which are operational. All existing transitions should be upgraded to the current operational systems. This includes both the type of system and the location/design requirements shown in Section 61-6.01(05).

Bridge-Rehabilitation Technique
BRIDGE DECK
Reference Number: BD-9 Title: Joint Elimination
Description: The deck joint may often be eliminated by making the concrete deck continuous. This can be achieved by removing sufficient concrete on both sides of the joint to permit adequate lap joints in the longitudinal steel, then form and place the concrete. Making the concrete deck continuous may not succeed because the new concrete is likely to crack due to the concentrated rotation at this point of the superstructure. For this situation, a properly-conducted rehabilitation should include deck-joint considerations as follows: 1. the beams or stringers supporting the deck should be made continuous; 2. a portion of the deck concrete is removed to permit placement of negative-moment steel; 3. the effects of additional longitudinal movements are investigated at the end of the non-continuous deck; 4. for an integrated superstructure, the effects of these movements on the substructure should be considered; 5. the need for discontinuity in the barriers should be considered at the points where the joints are eliminated; and 6. if two bearings are used, the effects of increased eccentricity of reaction forces on the substructure should be considered.

Bridge-Rehabilitation Technique
BRIDGE DECK
Reference Number: BD-10 Title: Epoxy or Silane Seal
Description: One method of preventing entry of chloride ions into the concrete is sealing its surface. This can be achieved by removing and rebuilding all deteriorated concrete in the surface, sandblasting the surface, and applying a thin organic compound with brooms or mechanical means. Then, the surface is seeded with hard granular aggregate to increase its skid-resistance and wearing resistance. The success of this method depends on the adherence of the seal to the concrete. This can only be attained under ideal conditions and, therefore, the rate of success has been rather disappointing. The useful life of this sealant is usually not more than three years. However, the minor costs associated with this technique give it a favorable cost-benefit ratio. It also adds protection during the early period of the concrete's curing. INDOT maintains a List of Approved Portland Cement Concrete Sealers which includes information identifying the manufacturer, sealer designation, and additional requirements for specific sealers.

Bridge-Rehabilitation Technique
BRIDGE DECK
Reference Number: BD-11 Title: Corrosion Inhibitors
Description: Corrosion inhibitors are added to the concrete mix. They bond to the chloride ions to stop or slow their migration through the concrete.

Bridge-Rehabilitation Technique
BRIDGE DECK
Reference Number: BD-12 Title: Prefabricated Bridge Deck
Description: Lack of adequate detours, or seasonal or other time limitations, may preclude traditional methods of rehabilitation including the use of cast-in-place concrete. The prefabricated-deck systems available for these applications are described as follows. 1. Solid-Concrete Slab. This is prefabricated as traditionally reinforced, prestressed, or a combination of the two. Panels are placed on the tops of the beams in a mortar bed with space or opening for shear connectors. Panels are joined by wet joints wide enough to permit lapping of steel. If the deck is post-tensioned, epoxied match-cast joints may be used. 2. Steel Grid. Normally employed only on steel beams, this is lightweight and easy to install. It does, however, deny protection to other structural components. It is noisy, slippery when wet, and can lead vehicular wheels. At the expense of cost and lightness, these problems can be rectified if the grid is partially or fully filled with concrete. 3. Exodermic Deck. This is similar to a filled-steel grid, except that the concrete slab is reinforced and is located on top of the steel grid to which it is connected by means of shear connectors.

72-3.02 Steel Superstructure

72-3.02(01) Indiana Design Manual Reference

Chapter Sixty-four provides a detailed discussion on the structural design of a steel superstructure for a new bridge. Many of the design and detailing practices provided therein also apply to the rehabilitation of an existing steel superstructure. Therefore, the designer should review Chapter Sixty-four to determine its potential application to a bridge-rehabilitation project.

72-3.02(02) Rehabilitation Techniques

Brief descriptions of steel-superstructure-rehabilitation techniques which may be considered are shown below. These include the following:

SS-1	Grinding
SS-2	Peening
SS-3	Gas Tungsten Arc Remelt
SS-4	Drilled Holes
SS-5	Bolted Splices
SS-6	Welding
SS-7	Addition of Cover Plates — Strengthening
SS-8	Introduction of Composite Action — Strengthening
SS-9	Addition of New Stringers — Strengthening
SS-10	Bearings
SS-11	Post-Tensioning — Strengthening
SS-12	Heat-Straightening

Bridge-Rehabilitation Technique

STEEL SUPERSTRUCTURE

Reference Number: SS-1
Title: Grinding

Description:

If the penetration of surface cracks is small, the cracked material can be removed by means of selective grinding without substantial loss in structural material. Grinding should be performed parallel to the principal tensile stresses. Surface striations should be removed because they may initiate future cracking.

The most common application of grinding is to the toe of the fillet weld at the end of the cover plate so that it is in accordance with the fatigue requirements. Grinding can also be used where beams have been nicked due to the sawing off of an old deck.



GRINDING
Figure 72-3A

Bridge-Rehabilitation Technique
STEEL SUPERSTRUCTURE
Reference Number: SS-2 Title: Peening
Description: Peening is an inelastic reshaping of the steel at the surface locations of cracks, or of potential cracks, by using a mechanical hammer. This procedure not only smoothens and shapes the transition between weld and parent metal, it also introduces compressive residual stresses that inhibit the cracking. Peening is most commonly used at the ends of cover plates to reduce fatigue potential.

Bridge-Rehabilitation Technique
STEEL SUPERSTRUCTURE
Reference Number: SS-3 Title: Gas Tungsten Arc Remelt
Description: This process remelts the metal at the toe of a weld and eliminates cracks that are present. The tungsten electrode, which does not melt, is manually moved along the weld toe at a constant rate causing a small volume of the weld and the parent metal to melt and, thus, fuse together. The melted metal transits local irregularities and removes non-metallic intrusions. This technique can be used in lieu of bolting over the connections. This process does not correct a fatigue-prone connection but does reset the fatigue cycles back to zero.

Bridge-Rehabilitation Technique
STEEL SUPERSTRUCTURE
Reference Number: SS-4 Title: Drilled Holes
Description: At the tip of a crack, the tensile stress exceeds the ultimate strength of the metal, causing rapid progression if the crack size attains a critical level. The concept the use of drilled holes is in removing the tip. The location of the tip should therefore be established by means of one of the crack-detection methods provided in Section 72-2.03. Missing the tip renders this process useless. Drilling holes at the crack tip may be a final solution for a distortion-induced fatigue crack, but it is not a final solution for a load-induced fatigue crack. Sections must be checked to ensure that the reduced-member capacity due to the crack and the drilled hole is still adequate, but this is typically not a critical concern. The mitigation of the stress concentration at the tip is much more critical than the loss of net section. As such, the hole should be as large as can be tolerated in terms of net section. Drill bits of 21- and 27-mm diameter are common due to their use for fabricating bolt holes. Larger-diameter holes should be avoided to reduce loss of cross-sectional area. If holes overlap, the sides of the slots should be ground smooth to remove projecting surfaces. This will create one oblong hole.

Bridge-Rehabilitation Technique
STEEL SUPERSTRUCTURE
Reference Number: SS-5 Title: Bolted Splice
Description: Where rivets or bolts in a connection are replaced, or where a new connection is made as part of the rehabilitation effort, the strength of the connection should not be less than 75% of the capacity or the average of the resistance of and the factored force effect in the adjoining components. Almost exclusively, the connections are made with high-strength bolts. The connection should be designed by a structural engineer. This method can also be used to span a cracked flange or web, provided that such connection is designed to replace the tension part of the element or component.

Bridge-Rehabilitation Technique
STEEL SUPERSTRUCTURE
Reference Number: SS-6 Title: Welding
Description: It is common practice to use welding for shop fabrication of steel members and for welding pieces in preparation for rehabilitation work. Field welding is often difficult to perform properly in a high-stressed area. Individuals with the necessary skill and physical ability are required. The proper inspection of field welds is equally difficult. Field welding should only be permitted on secondary members, for temporary repairs, or where analysis shows minimal fatigue-stress potential.

Bridge-Rehabilitation Technique
STEEL SUPERSTRUCTURE
Reference Number: SS-7 Title: Beam Strengthening: Addition of Cover Plates
Description: If the deck is deteriorated and removed, adding cover plates to strengthen a beam becomes a viable alternative. The *LRFD Bridge Design Specifications* places fully-welded cover plates into category EN at the ends of the cover plates, which is the lowest fatigue designation. Therefore, the process may be counterproductive from the design perspective. If bolts designed in accordance with *LRFD* Article 6.10.12.2.3 are used at the ends of the cover plates, fatigue category B is applied. Because this requires the presence of drilling equipment and work platforms, consideration should be given to fully-bolted cover plate construction.

Bridge-Rehabilitation Technique
STEEL SUPERSTRUCTURE
Reference Number: SS-8 Title: Beam Strengthening: Introduction of Composite Action
Description: Introducing composite action between the deck and the supporting beams is a cost-effective way to increase the strength of the superstructure. The *LRFD Bridge Design Specifications* mandate the use of composite action wherever current technology permits. Composite action can be achieved by means of welded studs or high-strength bolts. Shear connectors should be designed in accordance with *LRFD* Article 6.10.10. Composite action considerably improves the strength of the upper flange in a positive-moment area, but its beneficial effect on the beam as a whole is only marginal. The combination of composite action in conjunction with selective cover plating of the lower flange is the most effective way of beam strengthening. Introducing composite action near joints prevents the deck from separating from the beams, thus increasing the service life of the deck. This should be done on each bridge that will have its deck removed for other reasons.

Bridge-Rehabilitation Technique
STEEL SUPERSTRUCTURE
Reference Number: SS-9 Title: Beam Strengthening: Addition of New Stringers
Description: If the deck is removed, a new set of stringers added to the existing bridge is one alternative to strengthen the superstructure. To ensure proper distribution of live load, the rigidity of the new stringers should be close to that of the existing ones. The old stringers may also need rehabilitation, in which case, their replacements may be considered as both a structurally and economically more proper alternative. Using modern deck designs and composite action, continuous stringers with a large spacing should be considered as an alternative.

Bridge-Rehabilitation Technique
STEEL SUPERSTRUCTURE
Reference Number: SS-10 Title: Beam Strengthening: Post-Tensioning
Description: External post-tensioning can be applied to either steel or concrete beams to reduce tensile stresses, to strengthen beams, or to make simply-supported beams continuous. There is a variety of successful methods of post-tensioning in the literature. The *LRFD Bridge Design Specifications* requires that resistance at the ultimate-limit state be established, at which the interaction between the parent and the post-tensioning systems should be investigated. Because they are always close to the beam ends, post-tensioning anchorages are vulnerable to salt-laden water seeping through imperfectly-sealed deck joints. The tendons should be protected with corrosion-resistant ducts, either grease filled or grouted, especially if being exposed to airborne salts such as at an overpass. The post-tensioning system is basically a put-on harness which is difficult to conceal or to make architecturally appealing. It should not be, therefore, the first choice in a high-visibility area.

Bridge-Rehabilitation Technique
STEEL SUPERSTRUCTURE
Reference Number: SS-11 Title: Bearings
Description: The existing bearings may often only need to be cleaned or repositioned. Extensive deterioration, or the recurrence of frozen bearings, may indicate that the design should be modified. A variety of commercially-available elastomeric devices may be substituted for sliding- or roller-bearing assemblies. If the reason for deterioration is a leak in the deck joint, it should be sealed. Rocker bearings and elastomeric bearings should not be mixed on the same pier or bent, due to differences in movement. If the bearing is seriously dislocated, its anchor bolts badly bent or broken, or the concrete seat or pedestal is structurally cracked, the bridge may have a system-wide problem usually caused by temperature or settlement, and should be so investigated. The bearing design may require alteration if so warranted by seismic effects. See Section 67-4.0 for more information on bearings.

Bridge-Rehabilitation Technique
STEEL SUPERSTRUCTURE
Reference Number: SS-12 Title: Heat-Straightening
Description: This technique is restricted to hot-rolled steels. Steels deriving their strength from cold drawing or rolling tend to weaken when heated. The premise of heat-straightening is that the steel, when heated to an appropriate temperature, usually to cherry color, loses some of its elasticity and deforms in a plastic (inelastic) manner. This enables the steel to rid itself of built-up stresses or permits forcing the steel into a desirable shape or straightness. The steel should not be overheated. Accordingly, this technique should be implemented only by those individuals having experience with it. The heating temporarily reduces the resistance of the structure. Measures such as vehicular restriction, temporary support, temporary post-tensioning, etc., may be applied as appropriate.

72-3.03 Concrete Superstructure

72-3.03(01) *Indiana Design Manual* References

Chapters Sixty-two and Sixty-three provide a detailed discussion on the design of a concrete superstructure for a new bridge of reinforced concrete or prestressed concrete. Many of the design and detailing principles provided therein also apply to the rehabilitation of an existing concrete superstructure. Therefore, these Chapters should be reviewed to determine their potential application to a bridge-rehabilitation project.

72-3.03(02) Rehabilitation Techniques

Brief descriptions of concrete-superstructure rehabilitation techniques which may be considered are shown below. These include the following:

CS-1 Remove or Replace Deteriorated Concrete
CS-2 Pneumatically-Placed Mortar
CS-3 Epoxy Injection
CS-4 Low-Viscosity Sealant
CS-5 Grouting
CS-6 Concrete-Bridge-Seat Extension

CS-7 Beam Strengthening: Post-Tensioning Tendons

Bridge-Rehabilitation Technique
CONCRETE SUPERSTRUCTURE
Reference Number: CS-1 Title: Remove or Replace Deteriorated Concrete
Description: A clean, sound surface is required for each repair operation; therefore, all physically unsound concrete, including all delaminations and previous patches, should be removed. The construction engineer will mark these areas in the field. If additional sections, such as those known to have high chloride counts, are to be removed, they should be shown on the plans. If a large contiguous area of the substructure is to be replaced, consideration should be given to providing details including those for replacement of reinforcing steel. The remaining concrete should be capable of resisting its own weight, superimposed dead load, live load (if the bridge will be repaired under traffic), formwork, equipment, and the plastic concrete. Substructure patching should be by means of repointing masonry in structure. This method of patching has historically had some bonding problems, but it is adequate for a small area. For a larger area to be surface patched, pneumatically-placed mortar should be used.

Bridge-Rehabilitation Technique
CONCRETE SUPERSTRUCTURE
Reference Number: CS-2 Title: Pneumatically-Placed Mortar
Description: Instead of placing new concrete in forms, it may be applied at high velocity by means of a pump through a hose and nozzle. For this application, the concrete should have a high cement content, low water-cement ratio, and the coarse aggregates replaced with fine aggregates. Forming a thin patch on a vertical or overhead surface is often difficult, as is placing and consolidating a thick layer. This method is not economical for a small piece of work because of the high mobilization costs. Troweling or other finishing should be discouraged because they tend to disturb bonding. Scraping or cutting may be used to remove a high point or material that has exceeded the limits of the repair after the concrete has become sufficiently stiff to withstand the pull of the cutting device. Dimensions are difficult to control with this method, and the finish is often rough. It should not be used on an exposed surface in an urban area. For additional information, see the *Guide Specifications for Shotcrete Repair of Highway Bridges*, 1998, AASHTO Task Force 37.

Bridge-Rehabilitation Technique
CONCRETE SUPERSTRUCTURE
Reference Number: CS-3 Title: Epoxy Injection
Description: See the discussion for Technique BD-2, Epoxy Resin Injection.

Bridge-Rehabilitation Technique
CONCRETE SUPERSTRUCTURE
Reference Number: CS-4 Title: Low-Viscosity Sealant
Description: See the discussion for Technique BD-3, Low-Viscosity Sealant for Crack Repair. This technique cannot be used on a vertical surface.

Bridge-Rehabilitation Technique
CONCRETE SUPERSTRUCTURE
Reference Number: CS-5 Title: Grouting
Description: Because of the availability of epoxy injection, grouting is no longer used in crack repair unless the crack width is greater than 10 mm. Its application is limited to filling post-tensioning ducts and to provide mortar-beds for precast concrete deck components, barriers, or bearings.

Bridge-Rehabilitation Technique
CONCRETE SUPERSTRUCTURE
Reference Number: CS-6 Title: Concrete-Bridge-Seat Extension
Definition: Concrete-bridge-seat extension refers to widening the bridge seat to increase the bearing area for the longitudinal concrete beams. Application: Where a concrete beam has deteriorated from scaling and spalling induced by water, salt, or corrosion, or has been damaged due to breakout or cracking in the bearing area, a repair of the beam is often inadequate. The following procedure is most effective. Procedure: At a minimum, the steps that apply are as follows. 1. Determine the cause of beam damage and include corrective measures to ensure that damage will not progress to the new bearing area. 2. Complete a structural evaluation of the suggested method to ensure that the extension will support the beams. 3. Perform a structural evaluation of the existing seat or cap to ensure that it is capable of supporting the extension and the nonsymmetrical loading that will result from the extension.

Bridge-Rehabilitation Technique
CONCRETE SUPERSTRUCTURE
Reference Number: CS-7 Title: Beam Strengthening: Post-Tensioning Tendons
Definition: The addition of post-tensioned tendons is used to restore the strength of a prestressed-concrete beam where original strands or tendons have been damaged. Strengthening by means of post-tensioning is also applied to unprestressed-concrete beams and steel girders, and not only as a result of a collision. Application: Collision of an over-height vehicle or equipment with a bridge constructed with prestressed-concrete beams may result in breaking off the concrete cover and subsequent damage to or severing of the beam tendons. Exposure to water and salt may also cause damage, particularly if the concrete cover is damaged or cracked. Because the steel tendons determine the load-carrying capacity of the beam, damage impairs resistance and must be repaired. Procedure. At a minimum, the steps that apply are as follows. 1. Conduct a structural evaluation to determine the extent of the damage. 2. Evaluate the existing diaphragms to ensure their adequacy to support the end anchorage of the tendons. 3. Determine the placement of the temporary load to be applied to the existing beams prior to removal and the placement of concrete in prestressed concrete beams, if any. The post-tensioning system should be designed and constructed in accordance with the manufacturer's recommendations. All wedge-type anchorages are susceptible to seating losses. Therefore, for short lengths, rolled steel bars are preferred. A special provision should be developed for setting forth the work to be accomplished for completion of this technique.

72-3.04 Substructure or Foundation

72-3.04(01) *Indiana Design Manual* Reference

Chapters Sixty-six and Sixty-seven provide a detailed discussion on the structural design of the substructure and foundation for a new bridge. Many of the design and detailing principles provided therein also apply to the rehabilitation of the substructure or foundation of an existing bridge. Therefore, these chapters should be reviewed to determine their potential application to a bridge-rehabilitation project.

72-3.04(02) Integral End Bents

Section 67-1.0 discusses the geometric conditions, e.g., skew angle and continuous deck length, where integral end bents may be considered for a new bridge. For an existing bridge, the design criteria described in Section 67-1.0 should be used if converting an existing structure to an integral-end-bent structure. As an example, if the mudwalls of existing end bents will be removed as part of the project, it may be cost effective to convert the structure to an integral- or semi-integral-end-bent structure. For additional information, see Technique BD-9.

72-3.04(03) Rehabilitation Techniques

Brief descriptions of substructure- and foundation-rehabilitation techniques which may be considered are shown below. These include the following:

SF-1	Remove or Replace Deteriorated Concrete
SF-2	Enlarge Footing
SF-3	Riprap
SF-4	Wingwall Repair
SF-5	Deadman Anchorage
SF-6	Drainage Improvements
SF-7	Grout-Bag Underpinning
SF-8	Pile-Section-Loss Repair
SF-9	Jacketing Piers and Piles

Bridge-Rehabilitation Technique
SUBSTRUCTURE OR FOUNDATION
Reference Number: SF-1 Title: Remove or Replace Deteriorated Concrete
Description: A clean, sound surface is required for each repair operation. Therefore, all physically unsound concrete, including all delaminations and previous patches, should be removed. The construction engineer will mark these areas in the field. If additional sections, such as those known to have high chloride counts, are to be removed, they should be shown on the plans. Patching quantities are estimated during the field check and subsequent testing. The estimated area to be patched should be shown on the plans. Traditionally, more patching is needed during construction than is determined visually on a field check. If a large contiguous area of the substructure is to be replaced, consideration should be given to providing details including those for replacement of reinforcing steel. The remaining concrete should be capable of resisting its own weight, superimposed dead load, live load (if the bridge will be repaired under traffic), formwork, equipment, and the plastic concrete. Each area of the substructure requiring patching should be by means of Patching Concrete Structures. This method of patching is adequate for a small area. For a larger area of surface patching, pneumatically-placed mortar should be used.

Bridge-Rehabilitation Technique

SUBSTRUCTURE OR FOUNDATION

Reference Number: SF-2
Title: Enlarge Footing

Description:

The most common needs to enlarging a footing are widening the structure, inadequate strength, excessive settlement, or scour.

The method of rehabilitation is enlargement of the spread footing, enlargement of the spread footing with piles, or enlargement of the pile cap with additional piles.

Enlarging an Existing Spread Footing:

1. The preferred alternative is to design the spread-footing extensions using the original soil or rock bearing pressure. For wide extensions, the designer should contact the Office of Geotechnical Services for additional geotechnical information.

2. Where a scour condition exists at a spread footing in a stream, the footing should be extended by means of piles. The designer should contact the Office of Geotechnical Services for additional geotechnical information. Piles should be designed to carry all loads.

Enlarging an Existing Pile-Supported Footing:

1. The footing should be extended with additional piles of similar capacity to the original piles. For example, if original 305-mm diameter piles were designed with a 310-kN bearing capacity, 355-mm diameter piles should be used with a bearing capacity of 355 kN. Pile-driving records for the existing structure should be checked.

2. For a large extension, the Office of Geotechnical Services should be requested to investigate.

Overhead clearances from beams, deck, or cantilever caps should be checked upon determining the locations of new piles.

Bridge-Rehabilitation Technique
SUBSTRUCTURE OR FOUNDATION
Reference Number: SF-2 (Continued) Title: Enlarge Footing
Description: The use of a chemical anchorage system is the preferred method for tying the new footing to the existing footing. Such an anchorage system is adequate for transferring shear and pull-out forces, but is not long enough to transfer moment to the existing reinforcement. The entire height of the footing, stem, plus cap connections should be considered for transmitting moments. See Section 72-5.0 for more detailed information. For forming, placement of steel, pouring and curing concrete, the same criteria apply as for new construction.

Bridge-Rehabilitation Technique
SUBSTRUCTURE OR FOUNDATION
Reference Number: SF-3 Title: Riprap
Description: The stability of a streambed and banks is largely a function of water velocity and the size of the material constituting such bed and banks. If the size exceeds critical dimensions, scour will not likely occur. Artificially placed protective material can be of natural stone, specially-made concrete, or recycled (crushed) concrete. The weight of the riprap material should be considered in the design of footings and foundations. For steeper embankments, the riprap may be enclosed in galvanized, wire mesh envelopes called gabions. For more information, see Chapter Thirty-eight.

Bridge-Rehabilitation Technique
SUBSTRUCTURE OR FOUNDATION
Reference Number: SF-4 Title: Wingwall Repair
Description: In many old concrete abutments, the wingwalls tend to break off and separate from the main body due to earth pressure and differential settlement. If the opening has been stable, the do-nothing option may be the best policy. If not stable, the wings should be removed and completely rebuilt. Footings for the new walls should be at the same level as that of the main body. The entire new concrete structure should be attached to the old one by means of anchors as described in Section 72-5.0. If the wingwall is deteriorated, the impaired concrete should be removed and the structure jacketed. The application of jacketing is a variation of enlargement as discussed for Technique SF-2, Enlarge Footing. Stabilization of existing wingwalls can also be attained with the application of gabions or, alternatively, replaced by gabions or by installing sheet piling.

Bridge-Rehabilitation Technique
SUBSTRUCTURE OR FOUNDATION
Reference Number: SF-5 Title: Deadman Anchorage
Description: The lateral force exerted by retained earth or stone, and superimposed gravitational loads thereon, tends to push forward and rotate an abutment or retaining wall. One solution for this problem is the application of a deadman. A deadman is a heavy solid mass, usually concrete blocks that are connected to the retaining structure by long steel rods. A deadman is located in a stable earth mass well behind the structure. For wingwalls, or walls located on both sides of the roadway, they can be connected together by steel rods. The rods should be protected against corrosion, and the effects of differential settlement should be considered. Since this stabilization technique modifies the wall support from a cantilever to simple span pinned, the wall reinforcement should be checked for the revised moments.

Bridge-Rehabilitation Technique
SUBSTRUCTURE OR FOUNDATION
Reference Number: SF-6 Title: Drainage Improvements
Description: Water is the primary cause of instability of a fill or embankment. As the water content of a fill behind a retaining structure increases, lateral pressure on the structure is amplified. If the fill contains excessive amounts of silt or clay, it should be internally drained. This can be achieved either by means of perforated plastic pipes or french drains. The latter is a deep trough, the bottom of which is filled with crushed stone or riverbed gravel of equal size. The gravel is covered with a plastic sheet to prevent intrusion of the fill above. Both systems should have exits to ditches permitting unimpaired gravity flow. Water retention behind a retaining structure, such as an abutment or wall, is caused either due to non-existing or undersized drainage pipes or by clogging thereof. New weep holes of adequate size can be drilled into the concrete if so required. Clogged holes should be cleaned. To prevent future clogging, the entry sides of the holes should be provided with a filter or a lump of crushed stone or gravel, covered with a plastic sheet. Drainage-improvement measures that should be considered for preventing erosion of the embankment surfaces at the corners of a structure caused by surface runoff include erosion control mats, riprap drainage turnouts, and curb inlets with piping. Only the resodding of these areas has limited short-term benefits.

Bridge-Rehabilitation Technique
SUBSTRUCTURE OR FOUNDATION
Reference Number: SF-7 Title: Grout-Bag Underpinning

Description:

Scour may cause excessive settlement or tilting of a spread footing. Grout-filled bags offer a reasonably simple and economical method of rehabilitation. The construction procedure is as follows:

1. Install a concrete leveling sill to ensure pier stability during excavation. The sill consists of an appropriately-positioned, concrete-filled grout bag extending the entire width of the pier.

2. Remove protruding boulders under the footing.

3. Using high-pressure water jets, excavate to level footing. This will lower the pier.

4. Install preformed-grout bags and fill with pressurized concrete to mold to and completely fill the cavity under the pier.

5. Place grout bags around the periphery of the pier to increase footing size and depth, thereby reducing further potential for undermining.

6. Install horizontal and vertical reinforcement through the grout bags.

7. Drill and grout dowels on 1.0-m centers into the existing stem and footing to anchor new work to old.

8. After jacking and blocking superstructure, build new seats or pedestals and install bearings.

Bridge-Rehabilitation Technique
SUBSTRUCTURE OR FOUNDATION
Reference Number: SF-8 Title: Pile-Section-Loss Repair

Description:

For section loss in a steel pile, the following will apply.

1. Small Loss. Remove all oxidized material, sandblast the area to be repaired, then build up the section with layers of welding and grind the new surface to reasonable smoothness.

2. Medium Loss. The missing cross section is rebuilt by adding plates to the flanges or web as appropriate by either welding or bolting.

3. Extensive Loss. Install the new pile whether the damaged pile may or may not be removed. This method can only be used where the clearance for pile driving can be obtained.

For a wood pile, section loss may be repaired by means of partial replacement, epoxy injection, or jacketing.

More information on wood piling can be found in *Timber Bridges - Design, Construction, Inspection and Maintenance*, Chapter 14, by M. A. Ritter, United States Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, EM 7700-8, or *Evaluation, Maintenance and Upgrading of Wood Structures – A Guide and Commentary*, by American Society of Civil Engineers.

Bridge-Rehabilitation Technique
SUBSTRUCTURE OR FOUNDATION
Reference Number: SF-9 Title: Jacketing Pier or Piles
Description: This technique is applied in the surface rehabilitation of a steel, concrete, or wood substructure. It includes removing all deteriorated material, constructing a formwork for the jacket, placing a reinforcing-steel cage of appropriate size in the formwork and filling it with compacted concrete. The technique has extensive literature on its application. A treatment similar that shown on the INDOT *Standard Drawings* may be used.

72-3.05 Seismic Retrofit

72-3.05(01) Seismic Evaluation

An earthquake causes what is best described as a shaking of the entire bridge structure. The ability to predict the forces developed by this motion is limited by the complexity of predicting the acceleration and displacements of the underlying earth material and the response of the structure. The motion can be described as independent rotation, in any direction, of each bridge abutment or pier, in or out of phase with each other, combined with sudden vertical displacements. The ground between piers can distort elastically and sometimes rupture or liquefy.

The bridge failures induced by the motions of the abutments and piers stem from two major inadequacies of many bridge designs: the lack of adequate connections between segments of a bridge, and inadequately-reinforced columns or hinge points. Other deficiencies include inadequately-reinforced footing or bent cap concrete; insufficiently reinforced, or too few, shear keys; and inadequate design-force levels considering the likelihood of an earthquake at the location.

Fortunately, tying the segments of an existing bridge together is an effective means of preventing a prevalent failure, such as the spans falling off the bearings, abutments, or piers. It is also the least expensive of the inadequacies to correct. A bridge with single-column bents is particularly vulnerable if segments are not connected.

Columns which are inadequately reinforced, because of too few and improperly-detailed ties and spirals or short-lapped splices, do not sufficiently confine the concrete. This is particularly critical in a single-column bent. Low flexural strength is an inadequacy of some columns.

Determining the retrofit technique to use involves the considerations as follows:

1. mode of failure anticipated;
2. influence on other parts of the bridge under seismic and normal loadings;
3. interference with traffic flow; and
4. cost of fabrication and installation.

Some retrofit procedures are designed to correct inadequacies a bridge as related to earthquake resistance. The procedures may be categorized by the function the retrofit serves, including the following:

1. restraining uplift;
2. restraining longitudinal motion;
3. restraining hinges;
4. widening bearings;
5. strengthening columns; and
6. restraining transverse motion.

For more information, see *Seismic Design and Retrofit Manual for Highway Bridges*, FHWA-IP-87-6, and *Seismic Retrofitting Manual for Highway Bridges*, FHWA-RD-94-052.

72-3.05(02) Application

The policy for seismic evaluation of an existing bridge is as follows:

1. Seismic Zone 2. Zone 2 includes the counties of Gibson, Posey, and Vanderburgh. For the rehabilitation of an existing bridge within Zone 2, a seismic evaluation of the structure should be performed if major rehabilitation, i.e., deck replacement or superstructure widening, is anticipated.

2. Seismic Zone 1. All other counties are in Zone 1. The performance of a seismic evaluation on these existing bridges will be made as required considering, for example, the following:

    a. the scope of the rehabilitation work, i.e., for more extensive rehabilitation work, a seismic evaluation may be appropriate; and

b. the importance of the structure, i.e., for a major structure, a seismic evaluation may be appropriate even if the proposed scope of work is limited.

72-3.05(03) Typical Practices

The following summarizes the typical practices for the seismic evaluation of an existing bridge.

A bridge that is selected for seismic retrofitting should be investigated for the same basic criteria as that required for a new bridge, including minimum support length and minimum bearing force demands. Bridge failures have occurred at relatively low levels of ground motion.

For a Zone 2 bridge, the retrofitting measures should include modification or elimination of existing steel rollers. A major reconstruction project in Zone 1 may also be a candidate for the elimination of existing steel rollers. Decisions concerning the elimination of steel rollers on a Zone 1 bridge will be made as required.

See Section 67-4.0 if considering the use of seismic isolation bearings.

72-3.05(04) Seismic-Retrofit Techniques

Brief descriptions of seismic-retrofit techniques which may be considered are shown below. These include the following:

SR-1 Jacketing of Columns
SR-2 Other Techniques for Increasing Seismic Resistance of Columns
SR-3 Seat-Width Extension
SR-4 Structural Continuity
SR-5 Restrainers and Ties
SR-6 Bearing Replacement
SR-7 Seismic Isolation Bearings
SR-8 Integral End Bents

Bridge-Rehabilitation Technique
SEISMIC RETROFIT
Reference Number: SR-1 Title: Jacketing of Columns
Description: Jacketing consists of adding confinement steel to round columns and covering it with concrete or the use of a fiber wrap. The steel may be either individual reinforcing hoops tensioned with special turnbuckles or prestressing wire spirally wound by special equipment. Anchorage of the wire should be made to the original concrete core, because the first distress is normally the separation of cover. Additional information regarding fiber-wrap systems may be obtained from the Bridge Rehabilitation Team. Jacketing should be located only at the points of potential column hinge formations. It increases column rigidity, which amplifies global seismic forces, and attracts more of it to the column. Consequently, it should be limited to a height which is the greater of the following: 1. maximum cross-sectional dimensions of the column; 2. one-sixth of the clear height of the column; or 3. 450 mm. The spacing of steel should not exceed 90 mm. The steel wire should be at least 6 mm in diameter.

Bridge-Rehabilitation Technique
SEISMIC RETROFIT
Reference Number: SR-2 Title: Other Techniques for Increasing Seismic Resistance of Columns

Description:

The following techniques may be used to increase the seismic resistance of columns.

1. Steel Jacket. Non-circular columns cannot be retrofitted by the method provided in Technique SR-1, Jacketing of Columns, or its use may not be advisable due to increased rigidity. Instead, a solid-steel shell may be placed around the column with a small space which is pressure grouted for a perfect fit.

2. Flexural Reinforcement. Because of conservative design requirements, concrete columns have often been both over-designed and over-reinforced in the past. Over-reinforcement means that the steel does not yield at ultimate, resulting in both higher compressive and shear forces on the concrete. If other design criteria permit, some of the flexural steel may be cut to induce yield therein.

   If circumstances warrant, the flexural reinforcement may be increased. The vertical bars are located in a concrete jacket, which is shear-connected to the column by means of drilled and grouted dowels. This also increases the rigidity of the column, potentially rendering it counterproductive.

3. Infill Shear Wall. A concrete shear wall can be added between the individual columns of a frame bent. If the existing footing is not continuous, it should be made so. The wall should be connected to the columns by means of drilled and grouted dowels. This method substantially changes the seismic-response characteristics of the structure, requiring a complete reanalysis.

Bridge-Rehabilitation Technique
SEISMIC RETROFIT
Reference Number: SR-3 Title: Seat-Width Extension
Description: Seat-width extensions allow larger relative displacements to occur between the superstructure and substructure before support is lost and the span collapses. The *LRFD Bridge Design Specifications*, relative to the design of seat widths, should be followed as practical.

Bridge-Rehabilitation Technique
SEISMIC RETROFIT
Reference Number: SR-4 Title: Structural Continuity
Description: Some older bridges have been constructed without longitudinal continuity. Structurally-unconnected units of the superstructure tend to respond to seismic excitation differently, resulting in the dropping of the bearings or, more severely, sliding of the substructure. In an older structure, shrinkage, creep, and settlement have already occurred, and only the effects of temperature need be considered. There are no major structural reasons not to make the structure continuous. Seismic continuity is no different from continuity for gravitational loads. The structural behavior of a bridge made continuous, however, is fundamentally different from a non-continuous one and, therefore, it should be re-analyzed from every relevant perspective as if it were a new structure.

Bridge Rehabilitation Technique
SEISMIC RETROFIT
Reference Number: SR-5 Title: Restrainers and Ties
Description: Restrainers are add-on structural devices which do not participate in resisting forces other than seismic-force effects. These components are made mostly of steel. They should be designed to remain elastic during seismic action, and they should be protected against corrosion. There are three types of restrainers: longitudinal, transverse, and vertical. The purpose of the first two is to prevent unseating of the superstructure. The objective of the third is to preclude secondary dynamic (impact) forces that may result from the vertical separation of the superstructure. The restraint devices should be compatible with the geometry, strength, and detailing of the existing structure. Ties are restrainers which connect only components of the superstructure together. They are activated only by seismic excitation.

Bridge-Rehabilitation Technique
SEISMIC RETROFIT
Reference Number: SR-6 Title: Bearing Replacement
Description: Damaged or malfunctioning bearings can fail during an earthquake. Steel rockers and roller bearings perform poorly for obvious reasons. One option is to replace these bearings with steel-reinforced elastomeric bearing pads. To maintain the existing beam elevation, either a steel assembly is inserted between the beam and the elastomeric pad, or the elastomeric pad is seated on a new concrete pedestal. Existing anchor bolts may assist in resisting shear between the pedestal and the pier.

Bridge-Rehabilitation Technique
SEISMIC RETROFIT
Reference Number: SR-7 Title: Seismic Isolation Bearings
Description: There is a broad variety of patented seismic isolation bearings which are commercially available. They permit either rotation or translation or both. They have special characteristics by which the dynamic response of the bridge is altered, and some of the seismic energy is dissipated. The primary change in structural response is a substantial increase in the period of the structure's fundamental mode of vibration. The *LRFD Bridge Design Specifications* determine the equivalent lateral static design force as a function of this period. The devices are designed to perform elastically in response to normal service conditions and loads. Seismic isolation bearings sometimes included an elastomeric element. The inelastic element is a lead core, viscous liquid, or other mechanical damper whose resistance is a function of the velocity of load application. They are effective for seismic loads due to their high velocity. The liquid dampers are prone to leakage, thus requiring back-up safety devices. The application of seismic isolation bearings substantially changes the response characteristics of the bridge. Because of the inelastic behavior of the bearings, non-linear time-history analysis should be performed, and at least three ground motion time histories should be used to account for the different frequency content and duration of excitation that may actually occur. If considering these bearings, the designer should check with suppliers for available performance data and prepare a performance specification. An example specification may be obtained from the Bridge Rehabilitation Team. The bearing supplier will provide the final design calculations and shop drawings for its proprietary system. See Section 67-4.0 for more information on seismic isolation bearings.

Bridge-Rehabilitation Technique
SEISMIC RETROFIT
Reference Number: SR-8 Title: Integral End Bents
Description: One method to provide continuity between the superstructure and substructure is the integral end bent. Minimum design requirements for integral end bents are provided in Section 67-1.0. Integral end bents are only feasible if pile supported and if the arrangement of piles permits the longitudinal temperature movement of the bridge. Existing piling that is battered should be cut off below grade. A more common rehabilitation situation is the construction of semi-integral end bents. This allows the reuse of the lower cap and existing piles. The new, upper portion of the cap is allowed limited movement, but is positively connected to the lower component, thereby providing seismic restraint.

72-3.06 Miscellaneous Approach Items

The following describes typical practices for bridge-approach work as part of a bridge-rehabilitation project.

1. Asphalt Wedge. Where an asphalt wedge is used at the end of a project, the wedge should be designed as illustrated in Figure 72-3B. Quantities should be determined as follows:

    a. transition milling, in square meters;
    b. tack coat, in square meters; and
    c. asphalt wedge, in megagrams.

2. Other Asphalt Materials. Asphalt materials are used for wedges, minor shoulder widening, relief joints, etc. If a Typical Cross Sections sheet is not required, the asphalt materials should be shown on the General Plan or the Traffic Maintenance Details, as appropriate. The sheet will include Materials Notes, which will be placed below the General Notes or near the title block. As an alternate, the Materials Notes may be placed on the bridge-approach details sheet.

Asphalt materials used for widening shoulders, as asphalt wedges, etc., will be measured by the megagram. They are not be included as part of the traffic-maintenance quantities.

72-4.0 BRIDGE WIDENING

72-4.01 Introduction

It may be necessary to widen an existing bridge for the reasons as follows.

1. The existing bridge may provide an inadequate roadway width, especially substandard shoulder width.

2. The project may include adding travel lanes to a highway segment to increase the traffic-carrying capacity of the facility.

3. A bridge may be widened to add an auxiliary lane across the structure, e.g., increasing the length of an acceleration lane for a freeway entrance, adding a truck-climbing lane, or adding a weaving segment at the interior of a cloverleaf interchange.

A bridge widening can present a multitude of problems during the planning and design stages, during construction, and throughout its service life. The overall design and detailing of the widening should consider minimizing construction and maintenance problems.

The widening of a structure should be designed to coordinate with the appearance of the original bridge. If possible, the bridge's appearance should be enhanced by the work.

The following briefly summarizes the basic objectives of bridge widening.

1. Match the components of the existing structure.
2. Match the bearing types under the existing beams.
3. Do not perpetuate fatigue-prone details.

It is not warranted to modify the existing structure solely because of revisions in the *LRFD Bridge Design Specifications* which are not reflected in the existing structure.

72-4.02 Existing Structure with Substandard Capacity

A bridge to be rehabilitated should be designed for HL93 or HS-20 loading, whichever was used in the original design. The Planning Division's Bridge Inspection/Bridge Inventory Team should be consulted on the condition and the load resistance of the structure. Based on this information,

the designer will determine whether the existing structure should be strengthened to the same load-carrying capacity as the widened portion. The criteria for structural capacity of existing bridges to remain in place are provided in Chapters Fifty-three, Fifty-four, and Fifty-five. The information is segregated by functional classification, urban or rural location, and project scope of work. For the evaluation, the criteria to be considered, if appropriate, are as follows:

1. cost of strengthening the structure;
2. physical condition, operating characteristics, and remaining service life;
3. seismic resistance;
4. other site-specific conditions;
5. the structure is the only one that restricts permit loading on the route;
6. width of widening; and
7. traffic accommodation during construction.

72-4.03 Girder-Type Selection

In selecting the type of girder for a structure widening, the widened portion of the structure should be of a construction type and material type consistent with that of the existing structure. For a conventionally-reinforced-concrete girder structure it is preferable to use prestressed-concrete I-beams or box beams for the widened portion.

72-4.04 Bridge-Deck Longitudinal Joints

Past performance indicates that longitudinal expansion joints in a bridge deck between a widened portion and the existing portion have been a continuous source of bridge-maintenance problems. Therefore, longitudinal expansion joints should not be used, except for locations where concrete barrier railing is to be placed on both sides of the joint.

Experience has shown that a positive attachment of the widened and original decks by means of lapping reinforcing steel provides a better riding deck, usually presents a better appearance, and reduces maintenance problems. A positive attachment of the old and the new decks should be made for the entire length of the structure.

It may sometimes be desirable to use a type of anchorage system other than lapping reinforcing steel. Lapped reinforcing steel may be more expensive than other options because of the need to provide adequate bond length.

The following recommendations should be considered if widening an existing beam- or girder-and-deck-type structure.

1. A structure with large overhangs should be widened by removing the concrete from the overhang to a width sufficient to develop adequate length for lapping the original transverse deck reinforcing to that of the widening.

2. A structure with small overhangs, where removal of the overhang will not provide sufficient bond length, should be either doweled to the widening or have transverse reinforcing exposed and extended by means of a mechanical lap splice.

3. A structure with no overhangs should be attached by doweling the existing structure to the widening. Double-row patterns for the dowels are preferred over a single row. Benching into the existing exterior girder as a means of support has proven to be unsatisfactory and should be avoided.

4. A longitudinal construction joint should not be located over a beam flange.

5. Removal of the deck past the outside beam line will result in a cantilever slab condition. The design must ensure that the deck can resist the loadings anticipated during construction.

6. Longitudinal construction joints should preferably be aligned with the permanent lane lines. These joints tend to be more visible than the pavement markings during adverse weather conditions.

See Section 72-5.0 for detailed information on anchoring reinforcing bars into existing concrete.

72-4.05 Effects of Dead-Load Deflection

Unless the widened structure is completely prefabricated, deflection of the beams or girders will occur due to superimposed dead loads, such as the deck slab, diaphragms, railings, etc. To prevent the undesirable effects of this deflection, the widening should initially be built above the grade of the existing structure to allow for dead-load deflection. The deflected widening should approximate the grade of the existing structure. If proper provisions are not made to accommodate the dead-load deflection, construction and maintenance problems will ensue. Where the dead-load deflection exceeds 50 mm, a closure pour should be considered to complete the attachment to the existing structure. A closure pour serves two useful purposes: it defers final connection to the existing structure until after the deflection from the deck-slab weight has occurred, and it provides the width needed to make a smooth transition between differences in final grades that result from design or construction imperfections.

In terms of the effects of dead-load deflection, two groups of superstructure types can be distinguished: precast-concrete-beam or steel-beam construction. The largest percentage of

deflection occurs when the deck concrete is placed. For cast-in-place construction, e.g., a reinforced-concrete slab bridge, the deflection occurs after the falsework is released.

In precast-concrete-beam construction, dead-load deflection after placement of the deck is usually insignificant. In a cast-in-place structure, the dead-load deflection continues for a lengthy time after the falsework is released. In a conventionally-reinforced-concrete structure, approximately one half to three quarters of the total deflection occurs over a four-year period after the falsework is released due to shrinkage and creep. A theoretical analysis of differential deflection that occurs between the new and existing structures after closure will usually demonstrate that it is difficult to design for this condition. Past performance indicates, however, that theoretical overstress in the connection reinforcing has not resulted in maintenance problems, and it is assumed that some of the additional load is distributed to the original structure with no difficulty, or its effects are dissipated by inelastic relaxation. The closure width should relate to the amount of dead-load deflection that is expected to occur after the closure is placed. A minimum closure width of 500 mm is recommended.

At the present time, INDOT is satisfied with the performance of its bridge decks that are widened without the use of deck-closure pours. This satisfactory performance also applies to a deck replacement that is poured in two phases while maintaining traffic and without the use of deck-closure pours. Consequently, deck widening and phased deck replacement do not require deck-closure pours unless the designer or district representative recommends otherwise. An example of where a closure pour may be warranted is for a steel beam or girder structure where uplift could occur.

72-4.06 Vehicular Vibration During Construction

All structures deflect when subjected to live loading, and many bridge widenings are constructed with traffic on the existing structure. Fresh concrete in the deck is subjected to deflections and vibrations caused by traffic. Studies such as NCHRP 86 *Effects of Traffic-Induced Vibrations on Bridge-Deck Repairs* have shown the following:

1. good-quality reinforced concrete is not adversely affected by jarring and vibrations of low frequency and amplitude during the period of setting and early strength development;

2. traffic-induced vibrations do not cause relative movement between fresh concrete and embedded reinforcement; and

3. investigations of the condition of a widened bridge have shown the performance of attached widenings, with and without the use of a closure pour, to be satisfactory.

Therefore, no additional measures must be taken to prevent movement and vibration during concrete pouring or curing.

72-4.07 Substructure

An existing structure will ordinarily not be subjected to settlement of its footings by the time the widening is completed. Pile capacities for an existing structure should be investigated if additional loads will be imposed on it by the widening. It is possible for newly-constructed spread footings under a widened portion of a structure to settle. The new substructure should be tied to the existing substructure to prevent differential foundation settlements. If the new substructure is not tied to the existing substructure, suitable provisions should be made to prevent possible damage where such movements are anticipated.

72-4.08 Details

Figures 72-4A and 72-4B illustrate details which should provide satisfactory results. Because each widening project is unique, these details present candidate ideas rather than solutions for bridge widening.

New diaphragms for widenings should line up with the existing diaphragms.

72-4.09 Design Criteria Historical Background

When preparing plans to modify an existing structure, it is often necessary to know the live-load and stress criteria used in the original design. Since approximately 1927, with few exceptions, structures have been designed for loads and stresses specified by AASHTO.

The designer should be aware of the historical perspective of design criteria, such as live loads, allowable stresses, etc., when analyzing a rehabilitated structure. For accurate and complete information on a specific structure, see the General Notes of as-built plans, old standard drawings and special provisions, and the appropriate editions of the AASHTO *Specifications*.

Throughout the years, modifications to steel-beam sections have occurred. The designer should refer to the construction-year American Institute of Steel Construction's *Steel Construction Manual* for beam properties and other data.

72-5.0 CHEMICAL ANCHOR SYSTEMS

It may not always be possible or cost effective to expose sufficient existing reinforcing steel to lap with new reinforcement. If so, an anchor system may be used to attach the new reinforcement. The allowable materials that can be used to anchor the reinforcement are found on the Approved Materials List of Steel-Reinforcement Anchors. The pay item for this work is field-drilled holes in concrete, which includes creating the hole and applying the grout.

Grout material for field-drilled holes should be either high-strength, non-shrink, non-metallic, cementatious grout in accordance with U.S. Army Corps of Engineers *Specification CRD-C 621* or an approved 100% solids chemical anchor system. Chemical, or epoxy systems, may be either sealed capsules that contain pre-measured amounts of resin, small aggregate, and catalyst, or a two-part epoxy resin injected into the hole through a mixing nozzle.

The embedment requirement to obtain a given tensile pullout value will vary somewhat between products. To maintain consistency, the plans should show the minimum required pullout value, and the reinforcing steel sized to project 150 mm into the hole. Necessary adjustment to the hole depth, diameter, or reinforcement length is the responsibility of the contractor.

Where vertical holes are to be drilled into the top of a concrete bridge deck, a minimum clearance of 50 mm should be maintained between the bottoms of the holes and the bottom of the slab. Where vertical holes are to be drilled over a concrete- or steel-beam flange, the holes may be extended to the top of the flange. Where grouted holes are specified, the diameter and length of the holes should be in accordance with the grout manufacturer's recommendations.

Figure 72-5A lists the tensile and shear design strengths required for 420-MPa reinforcing steel. These values are for the embedment of reinforcing bars. Threaded or smooth dowels and headed studs can obtain significantly different values. The designer should review the manufacturers' literature before specifying these types of connectors.

If an anchorage is to be required, a note should be placed on the plans identifying the connection as follows:

> *Field drilled hole in concrete. Embed bar 150 mm with an approved anchor system. Minimum pullout = _____ kN.*

The value should be obtained from Figure 72-5A, Design Data for Anchor Systems. The values shown are for ultimate loads. If the full strength of the reinforcing steel is required, the values for $1.25F_y$ should be used. For all other connections, F_y may be used.

If the anchorage design spacing of the holes or edge distance is not provided, a reduction in capacity occurs. See Figure 72-5B, Edge Distance and Spacing Requirements.

72-6.0 OTHER BRIDGE-REHABILITATION-PROJECT ISSUES

A bridge-rehabilitation project requires the consideration of issues other than structural design. These include the following:

1. plan-preparation conventions;
2. geometric design issues;
3. roadside-safety issues;
4. maintenance and protection of traffic through the construction zone;
5. project development;
6. environmental procedures;
7. permits; and
8. circular-crown cross slope.

These topics are discussed elsewhere in this *Manual*.

72-6.01 Plans Preparation

Chapter Fourteen discusses the preparation of plans, e.g., content of individual sheets, scales, symbols. These apply to each bridge-rehabilitation project. See Section 14-2.04 for detailed information on the submittal of construction plan sheets, and other appropriate information, at the various design stages for a bridge-rehabilitation project.

72-6.02 Geometric Design

Part V describes geometric design criteria. The geometrics which apply to a bridge-rehabilitation project are based on urban or rural location, functional classification, and project scope of work.

Section 40-6.0 describes the project scope of work. Bridge rehabilitation is most often considered a 3R project on either a freeway or non-freeway. See Chapter Fifty-four or Chapter Fifty-five to determine the applicable geometric design criteria.

72-6.03 Roadside Safety

The primary roadside-safety issues are the operational characteristics of the bridge railing, the guardrail-to-bridge-railing transition, and guardrail end treatments. All bridge railings, guardrail-to-bridge-railing transitions, and guardrail end treatments must be upgraded to meet current criteria. Section 61-6.01, and Section 72-3.01, Bridge Rehabilitation Techniques BD-7

and BD-8, discuss current criteria for bridge railing and guardrail-to-bridge-railing transitions. Other roadside-safety issues, e.g., clear zones, obstructions, roadside barriers, impact attenuators, collision walls, bridge-railing ends, are described in Chapter Forty-nine.

72-6.04 Maintenance and Protection of Traffic During Construction

The proposed strategy for maintaining traffic during construction can include alternating one-way traffic with signals, lane restrictions, median crossovers, or diverting the traffic to a detour route. See Part VIII for detailed information on policies and procedures.

72-6.05 Project-Development Procedures

Chapter Two discusses the project development process for a bridge rehabilitation project, and it provides a project development flowchart.

72-6.06 Environmental Procedures and Permits

The Office of Environmental Services will evaluate the environmental impacts of the proposed project and determine the environmental class of action. A bridge rehabilitation project will most often be a Categorical Exclusion. The designer should prepare all permit applications. The Production Management Division's Ecology and Permits Team leader will secure all necessary environmental permits or certifications related to a waterway. See Chapters Seven and Nine for more information on environmental considerations and permits.

72-6.07 Circular-Crown Cross Slope

The cross slope may sometimes be that of a circular crown or other irregular form. The options to be considered are as follows:

1. overlay the deck and retain the existing circular crown;
2. overlay the deck and change the crown to a 1.5% cross slope; or
3. replace the deck.

Factors to consider include the following:

1. condition of the existing deck;
2. cost to overlay the existing deck versus cost of a new deck;
3. overlay thickness required to achieve a 1.5% cross slope;

4. crash frequency;
5. average annual daily traffic;
6. existing approach-pavement condition;
7. future plans to upgrade the approach pavement; and
8. need to minimize traffic disruption by coordinating road and bridge work.

If it is decided to overlay the deck and retain the existing circular crown, a design exception is required. Each of the factors listed above should be addressed in the design exception request. See Section 40-8.0 for additional information.

72-7.0 HISTORIC BRIDGE ON LOW-VOLUME LOCAL ROAD

A historic bridge is one which was built prior to 1966, and is, or is eligible for inclusion, in the National Register of Historic Places. The Department has developed a listing of all publicly-owned historic bridges that are National Register-eligible or -listed.

The purpose of this Section is to define standards to be used to determine if a historic bridge on a low-volume local road can be rehabilitated for continued vehicular use. A low-volume road is defined as having a design-year ADT of less than or equal to 400.

A historic-bridge owner must first consider rehabilitating the bridge in accordance with these requirements. The rehabilitation alternatives must include the option of a one-way pair that involves rehabilitating the existing bridge and constructing a new parallel bridge. If the bridge cannot be rehabilitated in accordance with one or more of the design criteria described in Section 72-7.02, the owner may request a Level One design exception(s).

72-7.01 Types of Historic Bridges

A historic bridge will be classified as either Select or Non-Select. The Department is in the process of determining each bridge's classification in accordance with the *Programmatic Agreement Among the Federal Highway Administration, the Indiana Department of Transportation, the Indiana State Historic Preservation Officer, and the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation Regarding the Management and Preservation of Indiana's Historic Bridges (PA)*. A listing of Select and Non-Select bridges will be issued by the Production Management Division's Services and Cultural Resources Team. Until that time, each historic bridge should be regarded as Select.

72-7.01(01) Select Bridge

A Select bridge has been identified as a historic bridge that is an excellent example of its structure type to be a suitable candidate for preservation. The intent of the *PA* is to preserve Select bridges in place for continued vehicular use. If rehabilitation alternatives are not in accordance with Section 72-7.02, and the owner is not granted a design exception or does not request one, the Select bridge must be bypassed or relocated for another use. See the *PA* for further guidance on bypassing or relocating the bridge.

72-7.01(02) Non-Select Bridge

A Non-Select bridge has been identified as a historic bridge that is not an excellent example of its structure type, nor is a suitable candidate for preservation. If the rehabilitation alternatives are not in accordance with Section 72-7.02, and the owner is not granted a design exception or does not request one, the Non-Select bridge must be marketed for re-use. In accordance with the *PA*, if no party steps forward to assume ownership of the bridge, the bridge may be demolished. See the *PA* for further guidance on marketing or demolishing the bridge.

72-7.02 Design Criteria

72-7.02(01) Structural Capacity

The structural capacity should be in accordance with Figure 72-7A, Historic-Bridge Structural Capacity. The required capacity designations are those described in AASHTO *Standard Specifications for Highway Bridges*.

72-7.02(02) Hydraulic Capacity

Improvements may consist of removal of sand bars or debris, channel clearing, or adding a supplemental structure. If a bridge is to remain in place and its approaches are realigned, the removal of existing roadway fill is an option toward improving the hydraulic capacity.

72-7.02(03) Bridge Width

The minimum bridge width should be in accordance with Figure 72-7B, Historic-Bridge Minimum Clear-Roadway Width.

72-7.02(04) Bridge Railing

Bridge railing may be left in place if there is no documented crash history or other evidence of crash history within the past 5 years such as damaged railing or concerns by local police agencies. If only slightly damaged, railing should be replaced in kind. If there is evidence of crash history within the past 5 years, the possible causes should be corrected, or new bridge railing provided as described in Section 61-6.0.

72-7.02(05) Approach Guardrail

Approach guardrail, if in place, should remain. If not in place, it may be omitted if there is no documented crash history or other evidence of crash history within the last 5 years, such as vehicles hitting the ends of the bridge railing or vehicles leaving the roadway. Crash history, such as that regarding damaged ends of bridge railings, may be an indicator of the need for approach guardrail.

In addition to those guardrails which the Department has standardized, there are others which have passed NCHRP 350 crash tests for specified Test Levels. If one of these devices is desired to be used for a specific project, the documentation to be provided is as follows:

1. an acceptance letter from FHWA that approves the device for use; and

2. complete details for the device as successfully crash tested.

72-7.02(06) Design Speed

The existing posted speed should be used as the design speed. If the road is not posted, an engineering speed study should be performed and the road should be posted between logical termini.

72-7.02(07) Approach Roadways' Horizontal and Vertical Alignment

These should be analyzed within 90 m of either side of the bridge in accordance with Sections 55-4.02, 55-4.03, and 55-4.04.

72-7.03 Economic and Other Criteria

72-7.03(01) Select Bridge

To determine the appropriateness of rehabilitating a Select bridge, the cost effectiveness should be assessed as follows:

1. if the initial rehabilitation cost is less than 80% of the replacement cost, rehabilitation is warranted; or

2. if the initial rehabilitation cost is equal to or greater than 80% of the replacement cost, the owner may request further consultation with FHWA to determine rehabilitation eligibility.

A rehabilitation project should result in a 20-year design life for the rehabilitated bridge.

A Select bridge may be rehabilitated and left in place, and a new bridge and new approaches may be built adjacent to it. This effectively creates one bridge and approaches for each direction of travel. For this situation, the new bridge must meet all design standards for a new bridge. Where appropriate, the new 1-way bridge must be able to accommodate future widening to provide for 2-way travel.

72-7.03(02) Non-Select Bridge

To determine the appropriateness of rehabilitating a Non-Select bridge, the cost effectiveness and other criteria should be assessed as follows.

If the initial rehabilitation cost is greater than or equal to 40% of the replacement cost, or the bridge meets any two of the following criteria that cannot be economically corrected as part of a rehabilitation project, then replacement is warranted.

1. The bridge's waterway opening is inadequate (i.e., National Bridge Inventory Item 71 is rated 2 or 3).

2. The bridge has a documented history of catching debris due to inadequate freeboard or due to piers in the stream.

3. The bridge requires special inspection procedures (i.e., the first character of National Bridge Inventory Item 92A or 92C is Y).

4. The bridge is classified as scour-critical (i.e., National Bridge Inventory Item 113 is rated 0, 1, 2, or 3.

5. The bridge has fatigue-prone welded components that are expected to reach the end of their service lives within the next 20 years. See Section 72-2.03(04) for information on conducting a fatigue analysis.

6. The bridge has a Sufficiency Rating of lower than 35.

A rehabilitation project should result in a 20-year design life for the rehabilitated bridge.



SIDESLOPE ACCEPTABILITY

Figure 72-2A

I. COVER SHEET/TITLE PAGE

Provide a cover sheet or title page as illustrated below.

INSPECTION REPORT

PROJECT NUMBER: _____

BRIDGE FILE NUMBER: _____

DESIGNATION NUMBER: _____

ROUTE IDENTIFICATION AND FEATURE CROSSED:
_____ over _____

PROJECT LOCATION: _____

REFERENCE POINT: _____

PREPARED BY: _____ (of INDOT or name of consultant)
DATE: _____

II. TABLE OF CONTENTS

If the magnitude of the Report warrants, provide a Table of Contents segregated by major Report sections, e.g., “Existing Structure Data,” “Recommendations”.

III. FIELD INSPECTION DATA

Date of Inspection
Time of Inspection
Attendance (Name, Organization, Unit within Organization)

IV. EXISTING STRUCTURE DATA

A. Identification/History

Project No.: (Federal-aid No.)
Bridge File No.: (As determined)
Project Location: (Route Number, Feature Crossed, City / County, District)
Reference Point: (As determined)
Designation No.: (As determined)
Year Built
Years Repaired

B. Structure/Dimensions

Surface Type: (Original concrete deck, asphalt overlay, etc.)
Out to Out of Copings: (Width, mm)
Out to Out of Bridge Floor: (Length, mm)
Skew: (Angle and Direction; i.e., Left or Right)
Type of Superstructure: (Reinforced Concrete Slab, Prestressed Concrete, Structural Steel, etc.)
Spans: (No. and length of each span, mm)
Type of Substructure/Foundation: (Pier Type & Shape, Abutment/End Bent Type, Piles or Spread Footings, etc.)
Seismic Zone (only if in Zone 2):

C. Geometrics

The geometrics which apply to the bridge rehabilitation project are based on:

1. urban/rural location,
2. functional classification, and
3. project scope of work.

Section 40-6.0 describes the project scope of work. In most cases, bridge rehabilitation is considered a 3R project on either a freeway or non-freeway. See Chapter Fifty-four or Chapter Fifty-five to determine the applicable geometric design criteria. If the bridge rehabilitation is considered a reconstruction (4R) project on either a freeway or non-freeway, the applicable geometric design criteria presented in Chapter Fifty-three should be used.

Use the table shown in Figure 40-8B to document the bridge rehabilitation Level One design criteria in the Bridge Inspection Report.

D. Appurtenances

Bridge Railing: (Type, height in mm, measured from roadway surface)
Curbs: (Presence, one or both sides, height in mm, width in mm)
Sidewalks: (Presence, one or both sides, height in mm, width in mm)
Utilities: (Power, telephone, etc.)
Railroad: (Presence, if affected by project construction or maintenance of traffic)

E. Approaches

Roadway Width (mm)
Surface Type: (Asphalt or Concrete)
Guardrail: (Type)
Guardrail Transition: (Type)

V. ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE

Document the environmental factors which are likely to be involved, including the following:

1. impact on wetlands (a color photograph of each quadrant should be labeled and included);

2. need for all applicable permits (if no permits are required, state the reasons why not); and

3. historical significance of the bridge, if applicable.

The Bridge Rehabilitation Scope/Environmental Compliance Certification/Permit Application Certification form will be submitted with the inspection report. Two copies are required. If no permits are required, then only the form need be resubmitted with the report. If permits are required, then this form will be updated and included with all submittals.

See Chapter Seven and Chapter Nine for more information on environmental considerations and permits.

VI. EXISTING CONDITIONS

Provide brief statements on the condition of the various structural elements. The following provides guidance on the content of this section.

A. Bridge Deck

1. General. Note the overall condition of the bridge deck (excellent, fair, poor).

2. Overlay. If applicable, indicate the type, depth, condition and year installed.

3. Surface Condition. Describe the extent and location of spalling, presence of existing patches, extent and location of cracking, relative indication of available skid resistance, etc.

4. Underside Condition. Describe the overall condition of the deck underside, extent and location of cracking, signs of leakage, etc.

5. Joints. Indicate the type, number, location and condition.

6. Drainage. Indicate the condition of bridge deck inlets. Describe the adequacy and condition of the drainage conveyance system beneath the bridge deck. If known, state any deck drainage problems, e.g., excessive ponding.

7. Bridge Railing. Indicate the type, condition and height of the bridge railing, and provide a statement on whether or not the railing meets INDOT's current performance criteria. If curbs and/or sidewalks are present, satisfying INDOT criteria must be evaluated within this context.

8. Curbs/Sidewalks. If present, provide a statement on the overall condition and, for sidewalks, their current level of pedestrian usage. If sidewalks are not present, indicate any problems, i.e., unsafe movements by pedestrians.

9. Other. See Section 72-2.02(01) for more information on bridge deck elements which should be considered and reported in the Bridge Inspection Report.

B. Superstructure

1. General. Note the overall condition of the superstructure (excellent, fair, poor).

2. Repair/Maintenance Work. If known or if visible, identify any prior repair and maintenance work performed. If applicable, note date when structure was painted.

3. Specific Deficiencies. Where applicable, identify the extent and location of any specific structural deficiencies, e.g., cracking, spalling of concrete, rust on metal components, deformation, loss in concrete or metal components.

4. Fracture-Critical Members and Low Fatigue Life Details. Identify any fracture-critical or fatigue-prone members.

5. Damage. Identify any damage due to collisions by vessels, vehicles, etc.

6. Bearings, Pedestals. State the functionality of these elements and indicate any deficiencies, including seismic compatibility.

7. Other. See Section 72-2.03(01) for more information on superstructure elements which should be considered and reported in the Bridge Inspection Report.

C. Substructures/Foundations

1. General. Note the overall condition of the substructures and foundations and slope protection (excellent, fair, poor). Also indicate the substructure and foundation types and materials.

2. Repair/Maintenance. If known or if visible, identify any prior repair or maintenance work performed, e.g., patching of concrete.

3. Specific Deficiencies. Where applicable, identify the extent and location of any specific structural deficiencies, e.g., cracking, leaching, deterioration, settlement, rotation, exposed reinforcement.

4. Seismic. Indicate the structure's ability to meet current INDOT criteria for seismic load-carrying capacity based on the Seismic Zone, e.g., adequate or inadequate support length.

5. Drainage. Indicate overall adequacy of drainage with respect to the substructure and foundation and note any problems, e.g., erosion.

6. Scour. If known for bridges in waterways, indicate any evidence (or lack of evidence) for scour, either from visual inspection or from an underwater inspection report.

7. Other. See Section 72-2.04(01) for more information on substructure/ foundation elements which should be considered and reported in the Bridge Inspection Report.

D. Approaches

1. General. Note the overall condition of the approaches (excellent, fair, poor). All features within the project limits should be checked for compliance to the latest safety standards.

2. Wedge. If applicable, indicate the type, depth, condition and year installed.

3. Approach Pavement. Indicate the condition of the original reinforced concrete bridge approach, pavement relief joints, and the approach pavement immediately adjacent to the bridge.

4. Guardrail. For each quadrant, indicate the type, length(s), and condition of the guardrail, guardrail transition (or the absence of one), and guardrail end treatment and provide a statement on whether or not the system meets current performance criteria.

5. Driveways/Public Roads. Indicate the location with respect to the bridge of any driveways or public roads which intersect the main facility near the bridge or within the traffic maintenance limits.

6. Traffic Control Devices. Describe the existing permanent traffic control devices on or approaching the bridge, e.g., signing, pavement markings, raised pavement markers, traffic signals, highway lighting.

7. Roadway Drainage and Pipes. Indicate the location and condition of ditches and pipes within the traffic maintenance limits. Describe the end sections and whether or not they meet current safety criteria.

8. Miscellaneous. Describe other pertinent features that affect driveability and safety. Mailboxes, trees, vegetation, and other features within the obstruction-free zone for 3R projects and clear zone for 4R projects should be noted.

E. Slopewalls. Note the overall condition and material of existing slopewalls (excellent, fair, poor).

F. Utilities. Identify all apparent existing utilities, attached to various structural elements, and their locations, e.g., conduits, electrical boxes, gas lines, water lines.

VII. RECOMMENDATIONS

A. Additional Condition Surveys and Tests

Section 72-2.0 identifies an array of more sophisticated condition surveys and tests. Indicate which of these, if any, should be undertaken before definitive recommendations are made.

B. Bridge Railings/Transitions

All bridge railings and guardrail-to-bridge-railing transitions must be upgraded to meet current INDOT criteria. Section 61-6.01 discusses current INDOT criteria. Identify the bridge railings and guardrail-to-bridge-railing transitions which will be used.

C. Bridge Deck

Identify the proposed work to the bridge deck. Where applicable, document the following:

1. patching or removal of the existing bridge deck;

2. the proposed bridge deck overlay in conjunction with deck patching;

3. removal, replacement and/or addition of curbs, sidewalks, and/or medians;

4. bridge expansion joint repair and/or replacement;

5. elimination of deck expansion joints and reconstruction with integral bent type construction at end bents;

6. drainage improvements; and

7. installing other safety enhancements.

Section 72-3.01 identifies bridge deck rehabilitation techniques and typical Department practices for bridge decks. See this Section for more information.

D. Superstructure

Identify the proposed work, if any, to the existing superstructure. Where applicable, document the following:

1. removing, replacing or adding structural members;
2. patching concrete structural members;
3. installing shear studs on structural steel girders;
4. replacing or repairing bearing assemblies; and
5. cleaning and painting structural steel beams.

Sections 72-3.02 and 72-3.03 identify rehabilitation techniques for steel and concrete superstructures. See these Sections for more information.

E. Substructures / Foundations

Identify the proposed work, if any, to the existing substructure and foundation. Where applicable, document the following:

1. widening end bents and interior piers/bents,
2. providing any seismic retrofit,
3. removing and replacing pier portions,
4. repointing any deteriorated concrete,
5. implementing solutions to hydraulic scour, and

6. constructing or repairing slope protection.

Section 72-3.04 identifies rehabilitation techniques for the substructure and foundation. See this Section for more information. See Section 72-3.05 for information on seismic retrofit rehabilitation techniques.

F. Approaches

Identify the proposed work to the reinforced concrete bridge approaches (RCBAs). Where applicable, document the following:

1. patching or removal and replacement of the existing RCBAs;

2. installing pavement relief joints/terminal joints at ends of RCBAs;

3. removal, replacement, and/or addition of approach guardrail and related end treatments; and

4. installing bituminous wedges at ends of RCBAs.

Section 72-3.06 identifies typical Department practices for bridge approach work as part of a bridge rehabilitation project.

G. Utilities

Identify any known utility adjustments necessitated by the bridge rehabilitation work. See Chapter Ten for more information on INDOT policies and procedures for utility adjustments.

H. Maintenance and Protection of Traffic During Construction

Identify the proposed strategy for maintaining traffic during construction. This could include alternating one-way traffic with signals, diverting the traffic to a detour route, or use of a temporary runaround. Inclusion of preliminary sketches is recommended for complex traffic control schemes. These sketches should be included with other schematics described in Section XI of the Bridge Inspection Report. See Part VIII for more information on Department policies and procedures.

VIII. PRELIMINARY COST ESTIMATE

Provide a preliminary cost estimate for the proposed bridge rehabilitation work. Minor miscellaneous items may be lumped into a lump-sum item.

The preliminary cost estimate, projected to the scheduled contract letting, should be based on INDOT's current construction cost estimating software system.

IX. ECONOMIC COST COMPARISON

A major bridge rehabilitation should include a cost estimate for rehabilitation versus replacement. The graph shown in Figure 72-2C, Evaluating Alternative Improvement Strategies, demonstrates the cost-effective relationship between the bridge rehabilitation cost, the total replacement cost and the extended service life. For example, a bridge rehabilitation project that will extend the structure life by 10 years should not cost more than 43% of the total replacement cost.

X. ESTIMATED REMAINING LIFE

A statement will be included such as the following:

> *The estimated remaining life of this structure without additional repairs is ___ years. After the recommended repairs, the remaining life will be ___ years.*

The estimated remaining life is the period of time, without additional repairs, which the structure can safely accommodate the anticipated vehicular traffic volumes. The critical component may be the driving surface of the deck or any other bridge component. The estimated remaining life should not be interpreted as the time to structural failure.

XI. SCHEMATICS

Provide schematics for the existing bridge cross section and the proposed bridge cross section. As necessary, provide separate schematics according to spans. The bridge sections should indicate the following:

1. width for:
    a. travel lanes,
    b. shoulders,
    c. clear roadway,
    d. out-to-out coping, and
    e. overhangs;
2. roadway cross slope;
3. height of curb;
4. sidewalk width;
5. bridge railing type and basic dimensions; and
6. girder type and spacing.

XII. PHOTOGRAPHS

Provide color photographs depicting in sufficient detail the overall condition of the structure and its elements. The pictures can then be used in reviewing and evaluating the existing condition and rehabilitation recommendations. The following procedures apply to photographs.

1. Log all photographs as taken.

2. A set of prints shall accompany each Inspection Report.

3. Mount photographs on 216 mm x 279 mm sheets.

4. Beneath each photograph, identify the following:

    a. the photo vantage point,
    b. the direction of the photo, and
    c. the description of the picture.

5. For those projects involving an IDNR permit, prepare a plan view of the bridge, to be placed in advance of the photographs, illustrating the photo location by photo number and the direction of the photo.

INSPECTION REPORT LAYOUT

Figure 72-2B



Example: A proposed bridge rehabilitation project will extend the service life of the bridge by an estimated 10 years. Based on the figure, the "threshold" value is 43%. If the bridge rehabilitation cost is less than 43% of the total bridge replacement cost, then bridge rehabilitation is the most cost-effective option. If the bridge rehabilitation cost is 43% or more of the total bridge replacement cost, then bridge replacement is the most cost-effective option.

EVALUATING ALTERNATIVE IMPROVEMENT STRATEGIES

(Based on a 6% Discount Rate)

Figure 72-2C

STEEL SUPERSTRUCTURES

Reference Number: SS-1

Title: Grinding

Description:

If the penetration of surface cracks is small, the cracked material can be removed by selective grinding without substantial loss in structural material. Grinding should preferably be performed parallel to the principal tensile stresses, and surface striations should carefully be removed because they may initiate future cracking.

The most common application of grinding is to the toe of the fillet weld at the end of cover plates to meet fatigue requirements. Grinding can also be used when beams are nicked while sawing off old decks.



GRINDING

Figure 72-3A



✳ WEDGE TO BE A CONTINUATION OF BRIDGE DECK PROFILE.
✳✳ TAPER AND MILLING LIMITS PER STANDARD DRAWING 402-TMPT-01.

ASPHALT WEDGE DETAIL

Figure 72-3B



GIRDER WIDENING

NOTE: DIAPHRAGMS TO BE CONSTRUCTED BEFORE DECK POUR.

WIDENING PRECAST PRESTRESSED GIRDER BRIDGES

Figure 72-4A



GIRDER WIDENING

WIDENING STEEL GIRDER BRIDGES

Figure 72-4B

Bar Size	Hole Diameter (mm)	Embedment (mm)	Tension Ultimate Bond Strength (kN)		Allowable Shear Strength
			100% F_y	125% F_y	
#13	16	117	53.4	66.7	11.7
#16	19	144	82.7	103.4	21.3
#19	25	171	117.4	146.8	33.1
#22	29	198	160.1	200.2	46.9
#25	32	225	210.8	263.5	64.0
#29	35	261	266.9	333.6	83.2

1. *Values are based on the use of 420 MPa reinforcement.*
2. *Embedments shown are considered as deep.*
3. *Anchors are considered 100% effective if the edge distance is equivalent to, or greater than, the standard embedment depth. The edge distance may be reduced to half the standard embedment depth if the strength is reduced linearly to 70%.*
4. *Anchors are considered 100% effective if the spacing is equivalent to, or greater than, the standard embedment depth. Spacing may be reduced to half the standard embedment depth if the strength is reduced linearly to 50%.*

DESIGN DATA FOR ANCHOR SYSTEMS

Figure 72-5A

Embedment (E) in Anchor Diameters (d)	Spacing	Edge Distance
E < 6d (shallow)	2.00 E	1.00 E
6d ≤ E ≤ 8d (standard)	1.50 E	1.00 E
E > 8d (deep)	1.00 E	0.75 E

EDGE DISTANCE AND SPACING REQUIREMENTS

Figure 72-5B

	Detour Length < 8 km		8 km ≤ Detour Length < 16 km		Detour Length ≥ 16 km	
Design-Year ADT	< 100	100 ≤ ADT ≤ 400	< 100	100 ≤ ADT ≤ 400	< 100	100 ≤ ADT ≤ 400
AASHTO Loading	H-15	HS-15	HS-15	HS-15	HS-15	HS-20
Required Capacity	13.6 Mg	24.5 Mg	24.5 Mg	24.5 Mg	24.5 Mg	32.7 Mg

Notes:

1. *Detour length is defined as the total additional travel a through-bound vehicle would experience from closing the bridge. This is determined by the shortest route on which a vehicle with a loading of HS-20 (32.7 Mg) is legally capable of traveling.*
2. *Vehicles that may use a bridge with AASHTO loading of H-15 (13.6 Mg) or HS-15 (24.5 Mg) include typical farm vehicle (13.6 Mg), school bus carrying up to 84 passengers (13.6 Mg), loaded garbage truck (24.5 Mg), and single-unit fire engine (24.5 Mg).*
3. *Vehicles that may use a bridge with AASHTO loading of HS-20 (32.7 Mg) include all of the H-15 and HS-15 vehicles, plus payloaded ready-mix-concrete truck (27.3 Mg), and tractor-apparatus fire engine (32.7 Mg).*
4. *A bridge on a dead-end road will be considered as having a detour length greater than 16 km.*
5. *The annual traffic growth factor used in determining Design Year ADT must be justified.*

HISTORIC-BRIDGE STRUCTURAL CAPACITY

Figure 72-7A

Lanes on Bridge	Design-Year ADT < 100	100 ≤ Design-Year ADT ≤ 400
One [1]	4.5 m	4.8 m
Two	5.4 m	6.1 m

Notes:

1. *Use the given values for rehabilitation of a Select bridge in a one-way-pair or two-way configuration. Use the given values for rehabilitation of a Non-Select bridge in a one-way-pair configuration. For rehabilitation of a Non-Select bridge in a two-way configuration, the owner must obtain a design exception.*
2. *The minimum bridge width is defined as the most restrictive minimum distance between curbs, rails, or other obstructions on the bridge roadway.*
3. *The annual traffic growth factor used in determining Design-Year ADT must be justified.*

HISTORIC-BRIDGE MINIMUM CLEAR-ROADWAY WIDTH

Figure 72-7B