



*Protecting our children,  
families and future*

# Department of Child Services

## Practice Indicator Reports

### For May 2010



# Region 18

## May 2010

Data in the report (both historical and current) may be subject to change as it is continually being validated and updated. This may result in statistical data in previous reports to be inconsistent with statistics found in more recent reports even when the data pertain to the same time period.



**Published**  
**June 2010**



# DCS Practice Indicator Report Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

For May 2010

## Report Description

This report uses data collected over a rolling three month period ending with the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use a rolling three month period ending with the historical month listed.

**Reports Taken** – This section has data regarding the reports taken during the three month period ending with the report month.

**Count** – This is the total number of reports of abuse or neglect that are entered into ICWIS during the period ending with the report month.

**Percent Resulting in Screen Outs** – This is the percentage of reports that are screened out divided by the total reports taken during the period ending with the report month.

**Assessments Substantiated** – This section has data regarding the number of assessments with substantiated findings of abuse and/or neglect during the three month period ending with the report month.

**Count** – This is the total number of assessments with substantiated findings of abuse and/or neglect during the period ending with the report month.

**Percent Resulting in Removal** – This is the percentage of substantiated assessments during the period that resulted in removal of the child from the home by the end of the report month divided by the total number of substantiated assessments during the period ending with the report month.



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### For May 2010

#### May 2010

Location	Reports Taken For Mar 2010 to May 2010		Assessments Substantiated For Mar 2010 to May 2010	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	34,281	19.3%	7,427	30.9%
Region 18	1,473	14.66%	344	35.17%
Clark	523	16.4%	123	33.3%
Floyd	330	13.9%	42	40.5%
Harrison	134	5.2%	55	27.3%
Scott	321	17.8%	84	46.4%
Washington	165	12.1%	40	22.5%


#### Statewide Percent Removal Trend



#### Region 18 For May 2009 to May 2010

Month	Reports Taken For Mar 2010 to May 2010		Assessments Substantiated For Mar 2010 to May 2010	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
May 2010	1,473	14.7%	344	35.2%
Apr 2010	1,304	12.1%	327	28.1%
Mar 2010	1,177	11.7%	339	26.5%
Feb 2010	1,112	9.4%	347	21.6%
Jan 2010	1,185	9.8%	356	29.2%
Dec 2009	1,218	8.7%	406	30.5%
Nov 2009	1,274	8.4%	419	31.0%
Oct 2009	1,303	6.8%	410	27.1%
Sep 2009	1,262	6.7%	350	24.3%
Aug 2009	1,220	7.8%	339	18.9%
Jul 2009	1,237	10.4%	370	21.4%
Jun 2009	1,391	11.6%	418	22.5%
May 2009	1,462	13.2%	451	19.7%

#### Region 18 Percent Removal





# DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS Placements by County

For May 2010

## Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the end of the historical month listed. This report looks at CHINS children from CHINS cases that were open on the last day of the report month. It also collects where that child is located (in their own home or in substitute care).

**Total CHINS Count** – This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the report month.

**CHINS** – This section has data regarding all children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month.

**In Home** – This section has data regarding children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home divided by the total number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month.

**Foster Care** – This section has data regarding children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in out of home placement.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in out of home placement.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in out of home placement divided by the total number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month.

**Out of Home Placements** – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in out of home placement.

**Relative Homes** – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed with relatives.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed with relatives.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed with relatives divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**Foster Homes** – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in foster homes.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in foster homes.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in foster homes divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**Residential** – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in residential setting.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in residential setting.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in residential setting divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**Other** – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in other placement settings. Example would be a court ordered unlicensed placement.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in other placement settings.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in other placement settings divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.




# DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS Placements by County

For May 2010

## May 2010

Location	Total CHINS Count	CHINS				Out of Home Placements							
		In Home		Foster Care		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential		Other	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	15334	4446	29.0%	10,888	71.0%	3838	35.2%	5517	50.7%	1297	11.9%	236	2.2%
Region 18	707	292	41.3%	415	58.7%	164	39.5%	195	47.0%	48	11.6%	8	1.9%
Clark	267	92	34.5%	175	65.5%	71	40.6%	83	47.4%	18	10.3%	3	1.7%
Floyd	88	41	46.6%	47	53.4%	9	19.1%	30	63.8%	7	14.9%	1	2.1%
Harrison	97	44	45.4%	53	54.6%	18	34.0%	22	41.5%	9	17.0%	4	7.5%
Scott	194	81	41.8%	113	58.2%	59	52.2%	44	38.9%	10	8.8%	0	0.0%
Washington	61	34	55.7%	27	44.3%	7	25.9%	16	59.3%	4	14.8%	0	0.0%


## Statewide Foster Care Placement Breakdown



## Region 18 For May 2009 to May 2010

Month	Total CHINS Count	CHINS				Out of Home Placements							
		In Home		Foster Care		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential		Other	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
May 2010	707	292	41.3%	415	58.7%	164	39.5%	195	47.0%	48	11.6%	8	1.9%
Apr 2010	699	296	42.3%	403	57.7%	158	39.2%	188	46.7%	45	11.2%	12	3.0%
Mar 2010	688	311	45.2%	377	54.8%	145	38.5%	188	49.9%	40	10.6%	4	1.1%
Feb 2010	688	317	46.1%	371	53.9%	127	34.2%	196	52.8%	42	11.3%	6	1.6%
Jan 2010	651	292	44.9%	359	55.1%	122	34.0%	184	51.3%	47	13.1%	6	1.7%
Dec 2009	663	293	44.2%	370	55.8%	130	35.1%	185	50.0%	50	13.5%	5	1.4%
Nov 2009	638	251	39.3%	387	60.7%	130	33.6%	191	49.4%	49	12.7%	17	4.4%
Oct 2009	634	251	39.6%	383	60.4%	121	31.6%	194	50.7%	52	13.6%	16	4.2%
Sep 2009	612	241	39.4%	371	60.6%	126	34.0%	188	50.7%	46	12.4%	11	3.0%
Aug 2009	596	248	41.6%	348	58.4%	119	34.2%	174	50.0%	48	13.8%	7	2.0%
Jul 2009	568	228	40.1%	340	59.9%	116	34.1%	176	51.8%	39	11.5%	9	2.6%
Jun 2009	565	213	37.7%	352	62.3%	114	32.4%	183	52.0%	46	13.1%	9	2.6%
May 2009	559	201	36.0%	358	64.0%	113	31.6%	183	51.1%	54	15.1%	8	2.2%

## Region 18 Foster Care Placement Breakdown





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Use of Foster Care by County

May 2010

## Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the end of the historical month listed. This report looks at CHINS children from CHINS cases that were open on the last day of the report month. It also collects where that child is located (in their own home or in substitute care).

**Total CHINS Count** – This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the report month.

**In Home or Relative Care** – This section has data regarding children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home or in relative care.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home or in relative care.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home or in relative care divided by the total number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month.

**Target (At or Above) %** – The target for the percent of the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home or in relative care to the total number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month is 50% or higher.

**Non Relative Foster Care** – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are not in their own home or in relative care.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are not in their own home or in relative care.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are not in their own home or in relative care divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**Target (At or below) %** – The target for the percent of the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are not in their own home or in relative care to the total number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month is 50% or higher.




# DCS Practice Indicator Report Use of Foster Care by County

For May 2010

## May 2010

Location	Total CHINS	In Home or Relative Care		Target (At or Above) %	Non-Relative Foster Care		Target (At or Below) %
	Count	Count	%		Count	%	
Statewide	15334	8284	54.0%	50.0%	7,050	46.0%	50.0%
Region 18	707	456	64.5%	50.0%	251	35.5%	50.0%
Clark	267	163	61.0%	50.0%	104	39.0%	50.0%
Floyd	88	50	56.8%	50.0%	38	43.2%	50.0%
Harrison	97	62	63.9%	50.0%	35	36.1%	50.0%
Scott	194	140	72.2%	50.0%	54	27.8%	50.0%
Washington	61	41	67.2%	50.0%	20	32.8%	50.0%


## Statewide Foster Care Placement Breakdown



## Region 18 For May 2009 to May 2010

Month	Total CHINS	In Home or Relative Care		Target (At or Above) %	Non-Relative Foster Care		Target (At or Below) %
	Count	Count	%		Count	%	
May 2010	707	456	64.5%	50.0%	251	35.5%	50.0%
April 2010	699	454	64.9%	50.0%	245	35.1%	50.0%
March 2010	688	456	66.3%	50.0%	232	33.7%	50.0%
February 2010	688	444	64.5%	50.0%	244	35.5%	50.0%
January 2010	651	414	63.6%	50.0%	237	36.4%	50.0%
December 2009	663	423	63.8%	50.0%	240	36.2%	50.0%
November 2009	638	381	59.7%	50.0%	257	40.3%	50.0%
October 2009	634	372	58.7%	50.0%	262	41.3%	50.0%
September 2009	612	367	60.0%	50.0%	245	40.0%	50.0%
August 2009	596	367	61.6%	50.0%	229	38.4%	50.0%
July 2009	568	344	60.6%	50.0%	224	39.4%	50.0%
June 2009	565	327	57.9%	50.0%	238	42.1%	50.0%
May 2009	559	314	56.2%	50.0%	245	43.8%	50.0%

## Region 18 Foster Care Placement Breakdown





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Locally Placed CHINS

For May 2010

## Report Description

This report uses data collected over at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the historical month listed.

**Total Out of Home Placements** – This is the total number of CHINS children that are in out of home placement on the last day of the report month.

**Placed in Same County** – This is the total number of CHINS children that are placed in the same county as the county of their case.

**Percent Locally Placed** – This is the percentage of CHINS children that are placed in the same county as the county of their case divided by the total number of CHINS children which are in out of home placement on the last day of the report month.



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Locally Placed CHINS

### For May 2010

#### May 2010

Location	Total Out of Home Placements	Placed in Same County	Percent Locally Placed
Statewide	10,888	8,082	74.2%
Region 18	415	289	69.6%
Clark	175	124	70.9%
Floyd	47	28	59.6%
Harrison	53	41	77.4%
Scott	113	81	71.7%
Washington	27	15	55.6%


#### Statewide Percent Placed Locally



#### Region 18 For May 2009 to May 2010

Month	Total Out of Home Placements	Placed in Same County	Percent Locally Placed
May 2010	415	289	69.6%
Apr 2010	403	284	70.5%
Mar 2010	377	271	71.9%
Feb 2010	371	264	71.2%
Jan 2010	359	257	71.6%
Dec 2009	370	263	71.1%
Nov 2009	387	275	71.1%
Oct 2009	383	278	72.6%
Sep 2009	371	261	70.4%
Aug 2009	348	223	64.1%
Jul 2009	340	227	66.8%
Jun 2009	352	229	65.1%
May 2009	358	233	65.1%

#### Region 18 Percent Placed Locally





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Average Number of Placements

For May 2010

## Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the historical month listed.

**Average Number of Placements Per Child** – This is the total number of out of home placements for each CHINS child in out of home placement on the last day of the report month. This includes all placements during the current removal episode. If a child had at least one placement end in the first 8 days from removal, then that child's number of placements was reduced by one.

This number is divided by the total number CHINS children who are in out of home placement on the last day of the report month.




# DCS Practice Indicator Report Average Number of Placements

For May 2009 to May 2010

## May 2010

Location	Average Number of Placements Per Child
Statewide	2.5
Region 18	2.3
Clark	2.8
Floyd	2.0
Harrison	2.5
Scott	2.0
Washington	1.3


## Statewide Average Number of Placements



## Region 18 For May 2009 to May 2010

Month	Average Number of Placements Per Child
May 2010	2.3
April 2010	2.4
March 2010	2.4
February 2010	2.6
January 2010	2.6
December 2009	2.6
November 2009	2.6
October 2009	2.7
September 2009	2.8
August 2009	2.8
July 2009	2.8
June 2009	2.8
May 2009	2.7

## Region 18 Average Number of Placements





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Sibling Placement Report

For May 2010

## Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the end of the historical month listed.

**Count of Sibling Cases** – This is the number of open cases as of the end of the report month which have more than one child in out of home placement at the end of the report month.

**Cases With All Children Placed Together** – This is the number of cases with more than one child in out of home placement where all of the children are placed in the same resource with the same resource ID.

**Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases**– This is the percentage of the number of cases where all of the children in out of home placement are placed together divided by the number of open cases with more than one child in out of home placement as of the end of the report month.

**Average Number of Children per Case** – This number takes the number of children in out of home placement from cases with more than one child in out of home placement and divides it by the number of cases with more than one child in out of home placement as of the end of the report month.




# DCS Practice Indicator Report Sibling Placement Report

May 2010

## May 2010

Location	Count of Sibling Cases	Cases With All Children Placed Together	Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases	Average Number of Children per Case
Statewide	2776	1981	71.4%	2.67
Region 18	106	86	81.1%	2.55
Clark	44	38	86.4%	2.55
Floyd	10	9	90.0%	2.80
Harrison	12	9	75.0%	2.33
Scott	33	23	69.7%	2.48
Washington	7	7	100.0%	2.86


## Statewide Percent Together



## Region 18 For May 2009 to May 2010

Month	Count of Sibling Cases	Cases With All Children Placed Together	Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases	Average Number of Children per Case
May 2010	106	86	81.1%	2.55
April 2010	96	77	80.2%	2.63
March 2010	89	71	79.8%	2.62
February 2010	84	66	78.6%	2.65
January 2010	78	61	78.2%	2.71
December 2009	80	64	80.0%	2.70
November 2009	90	71	78.9%	2.64
October 2009	87	67	77.0%	2.63
September 2009	86	63	73.3%	2.63
August 2009	83	61	73.5%	2.52
July 2009	79	57	72.2%	2.53
June 2009	85	63	74.1%	2.55
May 2009	85	64	75.3%	2.54

## Region 18 Percent Together





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Length of Stay in Out of Home Placement

### Children in Out of Home Placement by Length of Time Since Latest Removal

For May 2010

#### Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the end of the historical month listed. This report looks at CHINS children that were in out of home placement at the end of the month. It measures the number of days since the child was last removed from its home.

**One Year - 0 to 365 Days**– This is column shows data for CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 0 and 365 days since the child's removal.

**Count-** This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 0 and 365 days since the child's last removal.

**Percent-** This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 0 and 365 days since the child's last removal divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**One Year - 366 to 730 Days**– This is column shows data for CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 366 and 730 days since the c last removal.

**Count-** This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 366 and 730 days since the child's last removal.

**Percent-** This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 366 and 730 days since the child's last removal divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**One Year - 731 to 1095 Days**– This is column shows data for CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 731 to 1095 days since the child's last removal.

**Count-** This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 731 to 1095 days since the child's last removal.

**Percent-** This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 731 to 1095 days since the child's last removal divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**One Year - 1096 Days+**– This is column shows data for CHINS children that have been in out of home placement 1096 days or more since the child's last removal.

**Count-** This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement 1096 days or more since the child's last removal.

**Percent-** This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement 1096 days or more since the child's last removal divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**Length Per Child** – This column shows data for length of time of CHINS children who were in out of home placement at the end of the report month have been in out of home placement since the child's last removal from their home.

**Average-** This column calculates the average or "mean" length of time in out of home placement since the last removal per child in out of home placement at the end of the report month.

**Median-** This column calculates the median length of time in out of home placement since the last removal per child in out of home placement at the end of the report month.



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Length of Stay in Out of Home Placement

### Children in Out of Home Placement by Length of Time Since Latest Removal For May 2010

#### May 2010

Location	One Year 0 to 365 Days		Two Years 366 to 730 Days		Three Years 731 to 1095 Days		Three + Years 1096 Days+		Length Per Child	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Average	Median
Statewide	5,420	49.8%	2,537	23.3%	1,238	11.4%	1,692	15.5%	598.8	368.0
Region 18	241	58.2%	79	19.1%	44	10.6%	50	12.1%	510.3	293.4
Clark	92	52.6%	35	20.0%	21	12.0%	27	15.4%	646.6	326.6
Floyd	23	50.0%	10	21.7%	8	17.4%	5	10.9%	540.0	326.6
Harrison	36	67.9%	6	11.3%	9	17.0%	2	3.8%	365.6	248.5
Scott	73	64.6%	26	23.0%	5	4.4%	9	8.0%	364.5	256.6
Washington	17	63.0%	2	7.4%	1	3.7%	7	25.9%	469.7	216.3


#### Statewide Median Length



#### Region 18 For May 2009 to May 2010

Month	One Year 0 to 365 Days		Two Years 366 to 730 Days		Three Years 731 to 1095 Days		Three + Years 1096 Days+		Length Per Child	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Average	Median
May 2010	241	58.2%	79	19.1%	44	10.6%	50	12.1%	510.3	293.4
April 2010	233	58.0%	73	18.2%	44	10.9%	52	12.9%	526.5	297.6
March 2010	214	56.9%	78	20.7%	32	8.5%	52	13.8%	538.6	301.5
February 2010	213	57.6%	75	20.3%	31	8.4%	51	13.8%	536.2	295.3
January 2010	201	56.1%	77	21.5%	34	9.5%	46	12.8%	539.1	291.4
December 2009	213	57.7%	78	21.1%	30	8.1%	48	13.0%	516.8	270.0
November 2009	221	57.3%	80	20.7%	35	9.1%	50	13.0%	510.2	265.6
October 2009	199	52.1%	89	23.3%	44	11.5%	50	13.1%	549.4	313.6
September 2009	191	51.6%	81	21.9%	45	12.2%	53	14.3%	581.3	357.4
August 2009	170	48.9%	79	22.7%	46	13.2%	53	15.2%	606.2	379.5
July 2009	167	49.1%	83	24.4%	35	10.3%	55	16.2%	613.9	382.5
June 2009	169	48.0%	86	24.4%	46	13.1%	51	14.5%	586.6	395.0
May 2009	165	46.1%	85	23.7%	50	14.0%	58	16.2%	613.3	399.5

#### Region 18 Median Length





# DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS and IA Permanency Report

For May 2010

## Report Description

This report uses CHINS and IA case types that have case type status end date occurring during the month. It then groups these cases using the closure reasons codes into categories of closure reasons. Case with closure reason code “Case opened in error – disregard” are filtered out for the purpose of this report.

Closure Reasons are group by:

Description	Category	Description	Category
Child Reunited with Parents	Reunification	Case closed; AG case created	Guardianship
Child never Removed from own home, wardship terminated	Reunification	Child placed with relatives	Relative
Extended wardship terminated (on court order for age 18 to 21)	Emancipation	Child Placed with Legal guardian	Guardianship
Child Returned to Primary Home	Reunification	Child Reached Age of Majority (age 18)	Emancipation
Period of IA ended code used for Informal Adjustment cases only	Reunification	Emancipated Court Ordered (age 18)	Emancipation
Child Adoption Finalized	Adoption	Child Already Reunited with parent (s) when wardship terminated	Reunification

The Permanency column “Total” refers to the total case with reason codes in the Reunification, Adoption, Relative, Guardianship, and Emancipation categories.

The Permanency column “Total within 24 months” refers to the total case that ended within 24 month of the case status type begin date in the Reunification, Adoption, Relative, Guardianship, and Emancipation categories.




# DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS and IA Permanency Report

For May 2010

## May 2010

Location	Closed Cases Types with Permanency Outcomes					Permanency		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation	Total	Within 24 Months	24 Month Rate
Statewide	681	107	10	40	27	865	744	86.0
Region 18	25	10	1	2	2	40	33	82.5
Clark	9	3	1	0	2	15	11	73.3
Floyd	4	0	0	0	0	4	4	100.0
Harrison	3	1	0	0	0	4	3	75.0
Scott	2	3	0	1	0	6	6	100.0
Washington	7	3	0	1	0	11	9	81.8


## Statewide Permanency within 24 Months



## Region 18 For May 2009 to May 2010

Location	Closed Cases Types with Permanency Outcomes					Permanency		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation	Total	Within 24 Months	24 Month Rate
May 2010	25	10	1	2	2	40	33	82.5
Apr 2010	37	1	0	4	2	44	43	97.7
Mar 2010	30	2	0	8	0	40	34	85.0
Feb 2010	35	1	2	3	3	44	41	93.2
Jan 2010	35	2	2	1	1	41	39	95.1
Dec 2009	25	1	1	9	0	36	33	91.7
Nov 2009	22	11	0	3	2	38	28	73.7
Oct 2009	70	5	2	4	0	81	75	92.6
Sep 2009	38	0	2	4	1	45	42	93.3
Aug 2009	34	1	0	2	4	41	38	92.7
Jul 2009	34	1	0	3	4	42	39	92.9
Jun 2009	25	0	1	3	3	32	28	87.5
May 2009	19	0	0	3	2	24	21	87.5

## Region 18 Permanency within 24 Months





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Family Case Manager Contacts Report

For May 2010

## Report Description

This report uses data collected over at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the historical month listed. For the purpose of this report, a person is considered family for the child if they have the following relationships:

- Father
- Mother
- Stepfather
- Stepmother
- Grandfather
- Grandmother
- Pre-adoptive father
- Pre-adoptive mother
- Adoptive grandparent
- Legal Guardian

**Children Out of Home Entire Month** – This column shows the number of children in out of home placement at the end of the report month who also have a removal date prior to the first of the report month.

**Contact Information** – This section of the reports shows information regarding FCM contacts (from the contact screens) during the report month.

**FCM with Family** – This section of the report shows FCM contacts with the child's family (as described above) during the report month.

**Children** – This is the total number of children that the FCM had at least one contact with a member of the child's family during the report month.

**Rate** – This is the total number of children that FCM had at least one contact with a member of the child's family divided by the total number of children in out of home placement for the entire month (as described above).

**FCM with Child** – This section of the report shows FCM contacts with the child during the report month.

**Children** – This is the total number of children that the FCM had at least one contact with a the child during the report month.

**Rate** – This is the total number of children that FCM had at least one contact with the child divided by the total number of children in out of home placement for the entire month (as described above).

**FCM with Family and Child** – This section of the report shows FCM contacts with the child's family (as described above) **AND** the child during the report month. Contact with both had to have occurred for the child to be included in this section. The family and child do not need to present at the same contact, contact with each could have been made in two separate contacts.

**Children** – This is the total number of children that the FCM had at least one contact with a member of the child's family **and** at least one contact with the child during the report month.

**Rate** – This is the total number of children that FCM had at least one contact with a member of the child's family **and** at least one contact with the child during the report month divided by the total number of children in out of home placement for the entire month (as described above).



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Family Case Manager Contacts Report

### For May 2010

#### May 2010

Location	Children out of home entire month	Contact Information					
		FCM with Family		FCM with Child		FCM with Family and Child	
		Children	Rate	Children	Rate	Children	Rate
Statewide	10,479	2,927	27.9%	10,078	96.2%	2,889	27.6%
Region 18	395	135	34.2%	375	94.9%	132	33.4%
Clark	169	48	28.4%	162	95.9%	47	27.8%
Floyd	45	20	44.4%	45	100.0%	20	44.4%
Harrison	51	4	7.8%	43	84.3%	4	7.8%
Scott	104	50	48.1%	99	95.2%	48	46.2%
Washington	26	13	50.0%	26	100.0%	13	50.0%


#### Statewide Child Contact Rate



#### Region 18 For May 2009 to May 2010

Month	Children out of home entire month	Contact Information					
		FCM with Family		FCM with Child		FCM with Family and Child	
		Children	Rate	Children	Rate	Children	Rate
May 2010	395	135	34.2%	375	94.9%	132	33.4%
Apr 2010	384	129	33.6%	374	97.4%	126	32.8%
Mar 2010	357	144	40.3%	343	96.1%	142	39.8%
Feb 2010	354	132	37.3%	335	94.6%	131	37.0%
Jan 2010	350	118	33.7%	339	96.9%	118	33.7%
Dec 2009	353	130	36.8%	335	94.9%	130	36.8%
Nov 2009	366	150	41.0%	346	94.5%	144	39.3%
Oct 2009	352	134	38.1%	344	97.7%	133	37.8%
Sep 2009	352	142	40.3%	343	97.4%	141	40.1%
Aug 2009	325	97	29.8%	312	96.0%	97	29.8%
Jul 2009	332	124	37.3%	326	98.2%	123	37.0%
Jun 2009	339	136	40.1%	324	95.6%	134	39.5%
May 2009	345	127	36.8%	321	93.0%	122	35.4%

#### Region 18 Child Contact Rate





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Child Visitation Report

For May 2010

## Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the historical month listed. For the purpose of this report, a person is considered family for the child if they have the following relationships:

- Father
- Mother
- Stepfather
- Stepmother
- Grandfather
- Grandmother
- Pre-adoptive father
- Pre-adoptive mother
- Adoptive grandparent
- Legal Guardian

**Children out of home with case plan goal of reunification** – This column shows the number of children in out of home placement at the end of the report month who also have reunification as the most recently entered primary case plan goal.

**Children with visits to their family during the month** – This section of the report shows data regarding children who have had a visit with the child's family (as described above) begin during the report month.

**Children** – This is the total number of children that the child had at least one visit with a member of the child's family during the report month.

**Rate** – This is the total number of children that the child had at least one visit with a member of the child's family divided by the total number of children in out of home placement at the end of the report month with case plan goal of reunification(as described above).



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Child Visitation Report

### For May 2010

#### May 2010

Location	Children out of home with case plan goal of reunification	Children with visits to their family during the month	
		Children	Rate
Statewide	5,795	1,010	17.4%
Region 18	248	87	35.1%
Clark	119	25	21.0%
Floyd	22	14	63.6%
Harrison	23	14	60.9%
Scott	67	25	37.3%
Washington	17	9	52.9%


#### Statewide Visitation Rate



#### Region 18 For May 2009 to May 2010

Month	Children out of home with case plan goal of reunification	Children with visits to their family during the month	
		Children	Rate
May 2010	248	87	35.1%
Apr 2010	238	95	39.9%
Mar 2010	208	49	23.6%
Feb 2010	211	39	18.5%
Jan 2010	187	36	19.3%
Dec 2009	184	44	23.9%
Nov 2009	203	55	27.1%
Oct 2009	175	51	29.1%
Sep 2009	163	43	26.4%
Aug 2009	179	50	27.9%
Jul 2009	186	42	22.6%
Jun 2009	191	46	24.1%
May 2009	171	47	27.5%

#### Region 18 Visitation Rate





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Absence of Repeat Maltreatment

For May 2010

## Report Description

This is a description of the key elements used in this report.

**Time Period** – The time period used in this report is the 12 months prior to the month of the report.

**Assessments during time period** – The assessment used in this report are assessment with BOTH report date AND approval date during the time period (12 months prior to the month of the report).

**Victim Selection** – The children used in this report were identified as having at least one substantiated allegation of abuse or neglect on an assessment during the time period.

**First Occurrence** – This looks at the victims of substantiated abuse or neglect during the first 6 months of the time period (6 to 12 months prior to the month of the report). If a child was a victim in multiple assessments with substantiated abuse or neglect, the assessment with the earliest report date is considered the first occurrence.

**Recurrence Time Period** – The time period used to see if a child is a substantiated victim in a recurring assessment of abuse or neglect is based off the report date of the first occurrence. The recurrence period begins one day after the first occurrence report date and ends 183 after the first occurrence report date ( $\geq 1^{\text{st}}$  Occurrence +1 and  $\leq 1^{\text{st}}$  Occurrence +183). If a child has multiple recurrences, the earliest report date in the recurring time period is considered the recurring assessment.

Here is a visual representation of an example. This is a report for December of a given year. The time period to review assessments would run from Jan 1<sup>st</sup> to Dec 31<sup>st</sup>. The time period for a first occurrence would be Jan 1<sup>st</sup> to Jun 30<sup>th</sup>. If a child had a first occurrence on Feb 7<sup>th</sup>, the recurrence period would run from Feb 8<sup>th</sup> to Aug 9<sup>th</sup>. If the child had a recurrence in Aug 5<sup>th</sup>, that would be considered repeat maltreatment. If the child had a recurrence Oct 10<sup>th</sup>, that would not be considered repeat maltreatment because it fell outside the recurrence period.

Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
Time Period (Jan 1 <sup>st</sup> to Dec 31 <sup>st</sup> )											
1 <sup>st</sup> Occurrence Time Period (Jan 1 <sup>st</sup> to Jun 30 <sup>th</sup> )											
			Recurrence Period (Feb 8 <sup>th</sup> to Aug 9 <sup>th</sup> )								

**Percent of absence of repeat maltreatment** – This calculations uses the terms defined above. The numerator is the number of children with a first occurrence minus the number of children with a recurrence. The denominator is the number of children with first occurrence.

$$\frac{(1^{\text{st}} \text{ Occurrence} - \text{Recurrence})}{1^{\text{st}} \text{ Occurrence}}$$

For the purposes of this report, the county the child is assigned to is based off the county of first occurrence. If a child has a recurrence in a different county, the repeat will show for the county of the first occurrence.



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Absence of Repeat Maltreatment

### For May 2010

#### May 2010

County	Victims from Jun 2009 to Dec 2009	Victims without a recurrence within 6 months	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent	National Standard
Statewide	11,860	10,977	92.55%	94.60%
Region 18	626	579	92.49%	94.60%
Clark	232	215	92.67%	94.60%
Floyd	68	61	89.71%	94.60%
Harrison	74	68	91.89%	94.60%
Scott	168	153	91.07%	94.60%
Washington	84	82	97.62%	94.60%


#### Statewide Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



#### Region 18 For May 2009 to May 2010

County	Victims from Jun 2009 to Dec 2009	Victims without a recurrence within 6 months	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent	National Standard
May 2010	626	579	92.49%	94.60%
April 2010	638	594	93.10%	94.60%
March 2010	656	613	93.45%	94.60%
February 2010	651	610	93.70%	94.60%
January 2010	647	599	92.58%	94.60%
December 2009	665	609	91.58%	94.60%
November 2009	648	585	90.28%	94.60%
October 2009	629	558	88.71%	94.60%
September 2009	601	528	87.85%	94.60%
August 2009	579	507	87.56%	94.60%
July 2009	579	511	88.26%	94.60%
June 2009	577	516	89.43%	94.60%
May 2009	569	504	88.58%	94.60%

#### Region 18 Absence of Repeat Maltreatment





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Absence of Maltreatment in Foster Care

For May 2010

## Report Description

This is a description of the key elements used in this report.

**Time Period** – The time period used in this report is the 12 months prior to the month of the report.

**Assessments during the time period** – The assessment used in this report are assessment with BOTH report date AND approval date during the time period (12 months prior to the month of the report).

**Victim of maltreatment in Foster Care** – The children used in this report were identified as having at least one substantiated allegation of abuse or neglect on an assessment during the time period where the perpetrator is a foster parent or institutional staff.

**Children in Foster Care during the time period** – All CHINS children with an open removal episode at any time during the 12 months prior to the month of the report. Also included are Probation children (who are IV-E eligible) with an open removal episode at any time during the 12 months prior to the month of the report.

**Percent of absence of repeat maltreatment** – This calculations uses the terms defined above. The numerator is the number of children in foster care minus the number of victims of maltreatment in foster care. The denominator is the number of children in foster care.

$$\frac{(\text{Children in Foster Care} - \text{Victims of maltreatment in Foster Care})}{\text{Children in Foster Care}}$$



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Absence of Maltreatment in Foster Care

### For May 2010

#### For May 2010

Location	Children in Foster Care from Jun 2009 to May 2010	Substantiated Victims in Foster Care	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent	National Standard
Statewide	22,039	90	99.59%	<b>99.68%</b>
Region 18	882	4	99.55%	<b>99.68%</b>
Clark	342	0	100.00%	<b>99.68%</b>
Floyd	122	2	98.36%	<b>99.68%</b>
Harrison	120	0	100.00%	<b>99.68%</b>
Scott	223	0	100.00%	<b>99.68%</b>
Washington	75	2	97.33%	<b>99.68%</b>

#### Statewide Trend of Absence of Maltreatment in Foster Care



#### Region 18 for May 2009 to May 2010

Month	Children in Foster Care from Jun 2009 to May 2010	Substantiated Victims in Foster Care	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent	National Standard
May 2010	882	4	99.55%	<b>99.68%</b>
April 2010	877	4	99.54%	<b>99.68%</b>
March 2010	866	3	99.65%	<b>99.68%</b>
February 2010	846	3	99.65%	<b>99.68%</b>
January 2010	835	3	99.64%	<b>99.68%</b>
December 2009	847	2	99.76%	<b>99.68%</b>
November 2009	832	3	99.64%	<b>99.68%</b>
October 2009	840	3	99.64%	<b>99.68%</b>
September 2009	842	3	99.64%	<b>99.68%</b>
August 2009	830	3	99.64%	<b>99.68%</b>
July 2009	825	3	99.64%	<b>99.68%</b>
June 2009	835	3	99.64%	<b>99.68%</b>
May 2009	852	3	99.65%	<b>99.68%</b>

#### Region 18 Trend of Absence of Maltreatment in Foster Care

