




*Protecting our children,  
families and future*

# Department of Child Services

## Practice Indicator Reports

### For May 2010



# Region 12

## May 2010

Data in the report (both historical and current) may be subject to change as it is continually being validated and updated. This may result in statistical data in previous reports to be inconsistent with statistics found in more recent reports even when the data pertain to the same time period.



**Published**  
**June 2010**



# DCS Practice Indicator Report Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

For May 2010

## Report Description

This report uses data collected over a rolling three month period ending with the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use a rolling three month period ending with the historical month listed.

**Reports Taken** – This section has data regarding the reports taken during the three month period ending with the report month.

**Count** – This is the total number of reports of abuse or neglect that are entered into ICWIS during the period ending with the report month.

**Percent Resulting in Screen Outs** – This is the percentage of reports that are screened out divided by the total reports taken during the period ending with the report month.

**Assessments Substantiated** – This section has data regarding the number of assessments with substantiated findings of abuse and/or neglect during the three month period ending with the report month.

**Count** – This is the total number of assessments with substantiated findings of abuse and/or neglect during the period ending with the report month.

**Percent Resulting in Removal** – This is the percentage of substantiated assessments during the period that resulted in removal of the child from the home by the end of the report month divided by the total number of substantiated assessments during the period ending with the report month.



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### For May 2010

#### May 2010

Location	Reports Taken For Mar 2010 to May 2010		Assessments Substantiated For Mar 2010 to May 2010	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	34,281	19.3%	7,427	30.9%
Region 12	1,116	22.13%	155	21.29%
Fayette	173	15.6%	17	35.3%
Franklin	105	13.3%	31	35.5%
Henry	270	27.4%	40	10.0%
Rush	79	12.7%	8	87.5%
Union	37	24.3%	6	0.0%
Wayne	452	25.0%	53	9.4%


#### Statewide Percent Removal Trend



#### Region 12 For May 2009 to May 2010

Month	Reports Taken For Mar 2010 to May 2010		Assessments Substantiated For Mar 2010 to May 2010	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
May 2010	1,116	22.1%	155	21.3%
Apr 2010	979	17.0%	153	22.9%
Mar 2010	964	14.1%	160	21.3%
Feb 2010	858	12.0%	157	23.6%
Jan 2010	887	9.7%	193	26.4%
Dec 2009	875	9.7%	247	28.7%
Nov 2009	966	9.3%	248	34.3%
Oct 2009	1,071	10.5%	240	37.9%
Sep 2009	1,051	10.8%	223	37.7%
Aug 2009	1,026	10.0%	283	33.6%
Jul 2009	1,014	9.4%	312	28.2%
Jun 2009	1,123	9.7%	317	28.4%
May 2009	1,164	10.2%	275	24.7%

#### Region 12 Percent Removal





# DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS Placements by County

For May 2010

## Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the end of the historical month listed. This report looks at CHINS children from CHINS cases that were open on the last day of the report month. It also collects where that child is located (in their own home or in substitute care).

**Total CHINS Count** – This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the report month.

**CHINS** – This section has data regarding all children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month.

**In Home** – This section has data regarding children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home divided by the total number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month.

**Foster Care** – This section has data regarding children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in out of home placement.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in out of home placement.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in out of home placement divided by the total number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month.

**Out of Home Placements** – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in out of home placement.

**Relative Homes** – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed with relatives.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed with relatives.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed with relatives divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**Foster Homes** – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in foster homes.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in foster homes.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in foster homes divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**Residential** – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in residential setting.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in residential setting.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in residential setting divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**Other** – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in other placement settings. Example would be a court ordered unlicensed placement.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in other placement settings.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in other placement settings divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.




# DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS Placements by County

For May 2010

## May 2010

Location	Total CHINS Count	CHINS				Out of Home Placements							
		In Home		Foster Care		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential		Other	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	15334	4446	29.0%	10,888	71.0%	3838	35.2%	5517	50.7%	1297	11.9%	236	2.2%
Region 12	272	87	32.0%	185	68.0%	68	36.8%	105	56.8%	11	5.9%	1	0.5%
Fayette	40	4	10.0%	36	90.0%	19	52.8%	14	38.9%	2	5.6%	1	2.8%
Franklin	37	11	29.7%	26	70.3%	13	50.0%	13	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Henry	113	50	44.2%	63	55.8%	22	34.9%	37	58.7%	4	6.3%	0	0.0%
Rush	23	5	21.7%	18	78.3%	4	22.2%	12	66.7%	2	11.1%	0	0.0%
Union	9	2	22.2%	7	77.8%	1	14.3%	5	71.4%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%
Wayne	50	15	30.0%	35	70.0%	9	25.7%	24	68.6%	2	5.7%	0	0.0%


## Statewide Foster Care Placement Breakdown



## Region 12 For May 2009 to May 2010

Month	Total CHINS Count	CHINS				Out of Home Placements							
		In Home		Foster Care		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential		Other	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
May 2010	272	87	32.0%	185	68.0%	68	36.8%	105	56.8%	11	5.9%	1	0.5%
Apr 2010	288	99	34.4%	189	65.6%	72	38.1%	103	54.5%	13	6.9%	1	0.5%
Mar 2010	305	103	33.8%	202	66.2%	79	39.1%	107	53.0%	15	7.4%	1	0.5%
Feb 2010	318	94	29.6%	224	70.4%	88	39.3%	119	53.1%	16	7.1%	1	0.4%
Jan 2010	331	102	30.8%	229	69.2%	101	44.1%	110	48.0%	17	7.4%	1	0.4%
Dec 2009	332	98	29.5%	234	70.5%	100	42.7%	116	49.6%	17	7.3%	1	0.4%
Nov 2009	344	100	29.1%	244	70.9%	106	43.4%	120	49.2%	18	7.4%	0	0.0%
Oct 2009	353	100	28.3%	253	71.7%	106	41.9%	128	50.6%	19	7.5%	0	0.0%
Sep 2009	355	107	30.1%	248	69.9%	99	39.9%	129	52.0%	20	8.1%	0	0.0%
Aug 2009	351	116	33.0%	235	67.0%	92	39.1%	123	52.3%	20	8.5%	0	0.0%
Jul 2009	323	102	31.6%	221	68.4%	78	35.3%	123	55.7%	20	9.0%	0	0.0%
Jun 2009	309	88	28.5%	221	71.5%	78	35.3%	124	56.1%	19	8.6%	0	0.0%
May 2009	297	87	29.3%	210	70.7%	74	35.2%	115	54.8%	21	10.0%	0	0.0%

## Region 12 Foster Care Placement Breakdown





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Use of Foster Care by County

May 2010

## Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the end of the historical month listed. This report looks at CHINS children from CHINS cases that were open on the last day of the report month. It also collects where that child is located (in their own home or in substitute care).

**Total CHINS Count** – This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the report month.

**In Home or Relative Care** – This section has data regarding children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home or in relative care.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home or in relative care.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home or in relative care divided by the total number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month.

**Target (At or Above) %** – The target for the percent of the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home or in relative care to the total number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month is 50% or higher.

**Non Relative Foster Care** – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are not in their own home or in relative care.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are not in their own home or in relative care.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are not in their own home or in relative care divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**Target (At or below) %** – The target for the percent of the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are not in their own home or in relative care to the total number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month is 50% or higher.




# DCS Practice Indicator Report Use of Foster Care by County

For May 2010

## May 2010

Location	Total CHINS	In Home or Relative Care		Target (At or Above) %	Non-Relative Foster Care		Target (At or Below) %
	Count	Count	%		Count	%	
Statewide	15334	8284	54.0%	50.0%	7,050	46.0%	50.0%
Region 12	272	155	57.0%	50.0%	117	43.0%	50.0%
Fayette	40	23	57.5%	50.0%	17	42.5%	50.0%
Franklin	37	24	64.9%	50.0%	13	35.1%	50.0%
Henry	113	72	63.7%	50.0%	41	36.3%	50.0%
Rush	23	9	39.1%	50.0%	14	60.9%	50.0%
Union	9	3	33.3%	50.0%	6	66.7%	50.0%
Wayne	50	24	48.0%	50.0%	26	52.0%	50.0%


## Statewide Foster Care Placement Breakdown



## Region 12 For May 2009 to May 2010

Month	Total CHINS	In Home or Relative Care		Target (At or Above) %	Non-Relative Foster Care		Target (At or Below) %
	Count	Count	%		Count	%	
May 2010	272	155	57.0%	50.0%	117	43.0%	50.0%
April 2010	288	171	59.4%	50.0%	117	40.6%	50.0%
March 2010	305	182	59.7%	50.0%	123	40.3%	50.0%
February 2010	318	182	57.2%	50.0%	136	42.8%	50.0%
January 2010	331	203	61.3%	50.0%	128	38.7%	50.0%
December 2009	332	198	59.6%	50.0%	134	40.4%	50.0%
November 2009	344	206	59.9%	50.0%	138	40.1%	50.0%
October 2009	353	206	58.4%	50.0%	147	41.6%	50.0%
September 2009	355	206	58.0%	50.0%	149	42.0%	50.0%
August 2009	351	208	59.3%	50.0%	143	40.7%	50.0%
July 2009	323	180	55.7%	50.0%	143	44.3%	50.0%
June 2009	309	166	53.7%	50.0%	143	46.3%	50.0%
May 2009	297	161	54.2%	50.0%	136	45.8%	50.0%

## Region 12 Foster Care Placement Breakdown





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Locally Placed CHINS

For May 2010

## Report Description

This report uses data collected over at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the historical month listed.

**Total Out of Home Placements** – This is the total number of CHINS children that are in out of home placement on the last day of the report month.

**Placed in Same County** – This is the total number of CHINS children that are placed in the same county as the county of their case.

**Percent Locally Placed** – This is the percentage of CHINS children that are placed in the same county as the county of their case divided by the total number of CHINS children which are in out of home placement on the last day of the report month.




# DCS Practice Indicator Report Locally Placed CHINS

For May 2010

## May 2010

Location	Total Out of Home Placements	Placed in Same County	Percent Locally Placed
Statewide	10,888	8,082	74.2%
Region 12	185	128	69.2%
Fayette	36	21	58.3%
Franklin	26	25	96.2%
Henry	63	50	79.4%
Rush	18	10	55.6%
Union	7	1	14.3%
Wayne	35	21	60.0%


## Statewide Percent Placed Locally



## Region 12 For May 2009 to May 2010

Month	Total Out of Home Placements	Placed in Same County	Percent Locally Placed
May 2010	185	128	69.2%
Apr 2010	189	129	68.3%
Mar 2010	202	137	67.8%
Feb 2010	224	158	70.5%
Jan 2010	229	165	72.1%
Dec 2009	234	168	71.8%
Nov 2009	244	175	71.7%
Oct 2009	253	182	71.9%
Sep 2009	248	170	68.5%
Aug 2009	235	158	67.2%
Jul 2009	221	139	62.9%
Jun 2009	221	139	62.9%
May 2009	210	140	66.7%

## Region 12 Percent Placed Locally





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Average Number of Placements

For May 2010

## Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the historical month listed.

**Average Number of Placements Per Child** – This is the total number of out of home placements for each CHINS child in out of home placement on the last day of the report month. This includes all placements during the current removal episode. If a child had at least one placement end in the first 8 days from removal, then that child's number of placements was reduced by one.

This number is divided by the total number CHINS children who are in out of home placement on the last day of the report month.




# DCS Practice Indicator Report Average Number of Placements

For May 2009 to May 2010

## May 2010

Location	Average Number of Placements Per Child
Statewide	2.5
Region 12	2.4
Fayette	3.6
Franklin	1.5
Henry	2.0
Rush	2.7
Union	1.7
Wayne	2.6


## Statewide Average Number of Placements



## Region 12 For May 2009 to May 2010

Month	Average Number of Placements Per Child
May 2010	2.4
April 2010	2.2
March 2010	2.1
February 2010	2.2
January 2010	2.1
December 2009	2.1
November 2009	2.1
October 2009	2.0
September 2009	2.1
August 2009	2.2
July 2009	2.3
June 2009	2.3
May 2009	2.3

## Region 12 Average Number of Placements





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Sibling Placement Report

For May 2010

## Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the end of the historical month listed.

**Count of Sibling Cases** – This is the number of open cases as of the end of the report month which have more than one child in out of home placement at the end of the report month.

**Cases With All Children Placed Together** – This is the number of cases with more than one child in out of home placement where all of the children are placed in the same resource with the same resource ID.

**Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases**– This is the percentage of the number of cases where all of the children in out of home placement are placed together divided by the number of open cases with more than one child in out of home placement as of the end of the report month.

**Average Number of Children per Case** – This number takes the number of children in out of home placement from cases with more than one child in out of home placement and divides it by the number of cases with more than one child in out of home placement as of the end of the report month.




# DCS Practice Indicator Report Sibling Placement Report

May 2010

## May 2010

Location	Count of Sibling Cases	Cases With All Children Placed Together	Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases	Average Number of Children per Case
Statewide	2776	1981	71.4%	2.67
Region 12	49	40	81.6%	2.24
Fayette	7	6	85.7%	2.14
Franklin	9	9	100.0%	2.22
Henry	19	15	78.9%	2.21
Rush	4	3	75.0%	2.00
Union	2	1	50.0%	2.50
Wayne	8	6	75.0%	2.50


## Statewide Percent Together



## Region 12 For May 2009 to May 2010

Month	Count of Sibling Cases	Cases With All Children Placed Together	Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases	Average Number of Children per Case
May 2010	49	40	81.6%	2.24
April 2010	50	42	84.0%	2.26
March 2010	58	50	86.2%	2.24
February 2010	65	55	84.6%	2.26
January 2010	66	55	83.3%	2.27
December 2009	65	56	86.2%	2.32
November 2009	72	62	86.1%	2.31
October 2009	75	67	89.3%	2.31
September 2009	73	64	87.7%	2.30
August 2009	68	61	89.7%	2.26
July 2009	59	54	91.5%	2.37
June 2009	57	53	93.0%	2.33
May 2009	51	48	94.1%	2.43

## Region 12 Percent Together





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Length of Stay in Out of Home Placement

### Children in Out of Home Placement by Length of Time Since Latest Removal

For May 2010

#### Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the end of the historical month listed. This report looks at CHINS children that were in out of home placement at the end of the month. It measures the number of days since the child was last removed from its home.

**One Year - 0 to 365 Days**– This is column shows data for CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 0 and 365 days since the child's removal.

**Count**- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 0 and 365 days since the child's last removal.

**Percent**- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 0 and 365 days since the child's last removal divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**One Year - 366 to 730 Days**– This is column shows data for CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 366 and 730 days since the c last removal.

**Count**- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 366 and 730 days since the child's last removal.

**Percent**- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 366 and 730 days since the child's last removal divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**One Year - 731 to 1095 Days**– This is column shows data for CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 731 to 1095 days since the child's last removal.

**Count**- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 731 to 1095 days since the child's last removal.

**Percent**- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 731 to 1095 days since the child's last removal divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**One Year - 1096 Days+**– This is column shows data for CHINS children that have been in out of home placement 1096 days or more since the child's last removal.

**Count**- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement 1096 days or more since the child's last removal.

**Percent**- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement 1096 days or more since the child's last removal divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**Length Per Child** – This column shows data for length of time of CHINS children who were in out of home placement at the end of the report month have been in out of home placement since the child's last removal from their home.

**Average**- This column calculates the average or "mean" length of time in out of home placement since the last removal per child in out of home placement at the end of the report month.

**Median**- This column calculates the median length of time in out of home placement since the last removal per child in out of home placement at the end of the report month.



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Length of Stay in Out of Home Placement

### Children in Out of Home Placement by Length of Time Since Latest Removal For May 2010

**May 2010**

Location	One Year 0 to 365 Days		Two Years 366 to 730 Days		Three Years 731 to 1095 Days		Three + Years 1096 Days+		Length Per Child	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Average	Median
Statewide	5,420	49.8%	2,537	23.3%	1,238	11.4%	1,692	15.5%	598.8	368.0
Region 12	100	54.1%	55	29.7%	10	5.4%	20	10.8%	505.1	343.4
Fayette	23	63.9%	9	25.0%	0	0.0%	4	11.1%	458.3	294.0
Franklin	9	34.6%	11	42.3%	1	3.8%	5	19.2%	584.5	431.0
Henry	36	57.1%	18	28.6%	2	3.2%	7	11.1%	469.1	304.6
Rush	11	61.1%	5	27.8%	0	0.0%	2	11.1%	521.6	347.0
Union	1	14.3%	5	71.4%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	524.7	558.3
Wayne	20	57.1%	7	20.0%	6	17.1%	2	5.7%	546.8	300.4


**Statewide Median Length**



**Region 12 For May 2009 to May 2010**

Month	One Year 0 to 365 Days		Two Years 366 to 730 Days		Three Years 731 to 1095 Days		Three + Years 1096 Days+		Length Per Child	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Average	Median
May 2010	100	54.1%	55	29.7%	10	5.4%	20	10.8%	505.1	343.4
April 2010	106	56.1%	51	27.0%	12	6.3%	20	10.6%	494.7	318.6
March 2010	119	58.9%	49	24.3%	12	5.9%	22	10.9%	491.2	290.0
February 2010	144	64.3%	43	19.2%	14	6.3%	23	10.3%	469.3	266.0
January 2010	152	66.4%	45	19.7%	9	3.9%	23	10.0%	446.7	248.2
December 2009	153	65.4%	48	20.5%	12	5.1%	21	9.0%	432.6	233.0
November 2009	168	68.9%	47	19.3%	7	2.9%	22	9.0%	402.6	189.5
October 2009	184	72.7%	38	15.0%	9	3.6%	22	8.7%	387.7	178.4
September 2009	180	72.6%	33	13.3%	13	5.2%	22	8.9%	386.8	184.5
August 2009	171	72.8%	26	11.1%	15	6.4%	23	9.8%	408.9	185.6
July 2009	147	66.5%	33	14.9%	15	6.8%	26	11.8%	453.5	254.5
June 2009	146	66.1%	33	14.9%	14	6.3%	28	12.7%	458.2	223.3
May 2009	139	66.2%	34	16.2%	9	4.3%	28	13.3%	463.0	223.0

**Region 12 Median Length**





# DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS and IA Permanency Report

For May 2010

## Report Description

This report uses CHINS and IA case types that have case type status end date occurring during the month. It then groups these cases using the closure reasons codes into categories of closure reasons. Case with closure reason code “Case opened in error – disregard” are filtered out for the purpose of this report.

Closure Reasons are group by:

Description	Category	Description	Category
Child Reunited with Parents	Reunification	Case closed; AG case created	Guardianship
Child never Removed from own home, wardship terminated	Reunification	Child placed with relatives	Relative
Extended wardship terminated (on court order for age 18 to 21)	Emancipation	Child Placed with Legal guardian	Guardianship
Child Returned to Primary Home	Reunification	Child Reached Age of Majority (age 18)	Emancipation
Period of IA ended code used for Informal Adjustment cases only	Reunification	Emancipated Court Ordered (age 18)	Emancipation
Child Adoption Finalized	Adoption	Child Already Reunited with parent (s) when wardship terminated	Reunification

The Permanency column “Total” refers to the total case with reason codes in the Reunification, Adoption, Relative, Guardianship, and Emancipation categories.

The Permanency column “Total within 24 months” refers to the total case that ended within 24 month of the case status type begin date in the Reunification, Adoption, Relative, Guardianship, and Emancipation categories.




# DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS and IA Permanency Report

For May 2010

## May 2010

Location	Closed Cases Types with Permanency Outcomes					Permanency		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation	Total	Within 24 Months	24 Month Rate
Statewide	681	107	10	40	27	865	744	86.0
Region 12	35	1	2	1	0	39	39	100.0
Fayette	4	0	0	1	0	5	5	100.0
Franklin	4	0	0	0	0	4	4	100.0
Henry	10	1	0	0	0	11	11	100.0
Rush	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	100.0
Union	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	100.0
Wayne	14	0	2	0	0	16	16	100.0


## Statewide Permanency within 24 Months



## Region 12 For May 2009 to May 2010

Location	Closed Cases Types with Permanency Outcomes					Permanency		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation	Total	Within 24 Months	24 Month Rate
May 2010	35	1	2	1	0	39	39	100.0
Apr 2010	36	5	0	3	0	44	42	95.5
Mar 2010	36	4	0	2	1	43	40	93.0
Feb 2010	29	1	1	2	0	33	33	100.0
Jan 2010	29	0	0	4	2	35	33	94.3
Dec 2009	45	0	1	6	0	52	52	100.0
Nov 2009	42	5	0	1	0	48	45	93.8
Oct 2009	43	4	2	0	0	49	46	93.9
Sep 2009	51	0	0	0	2	53	51	96.2
Aug 2009	39	9	2	1	0	51	47	92.2
Jul 2009	40	9	2	1	0	52	48	92.3
Jun 2009	22	0	0	0	2	24	23	95.8
May 2009	40	1	0	3	1	45	45	100.0

## Region 12 Permanency within 24 Months





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Family Case Manager Contacts Report

For May 2010

## Report Description

This report uses data collected over at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the historical month listed. For the purpose of this report, a person is considered family for the child if they have the following relationships:

- Father
- Mother
- Stepfather
- Stepmother
- Grandfather
- Grandmother
- Pre-adoptive father
- Pre-adoptive mother
- Adoptive grandparent
- Legal Guardian

**Children Out of Home Entire Month** – This column shows the number of children in out of home placement at the end of the report month who also have a removal date prior to the first of the report month.

**Contact Information** – This section of the reports shows information regarding FCM contacts (from the contact screens) during the report month.

**FCM with Family** – This section of the report shows FCM contacts with the child's family (as described above) during the report month.

**Children** – This is the total number of children that the FCM had at least one contact with a member of the child's family during the report month.

**Rate** – This is the total number of children that FCM had at least one contact with a member of the child's family divided by the total number of children in out of home placement for the entire month (as described above).

**FCM with Child** – This section of the report shows FCM contacts with the child during the report month.

**Children** – This is the total number of children that the FCM had at least one contact with a the child during the report month.

**Rate** – This is the total number of children that FCM had at least one contact with the child divided by the total number of children in out of home placement for the entire month (as described above).

**FCM with Family and Child** – This section of the report shows FCM contacts with the child's family (as described above) **AND** the child during the report month. Contact with both had to have occurred for the child to be included in this section. The family and child do not need to present at the same contact, contact with each could have been made in two separate contacts.

**Children** – This is the total number of children that the FCM had at least one contact with a member of the child's family **and** at least one contact with the child during the report month.

**Rate** – This is the total number of children that FCM had at least one contact with a member of the child's family **and** at least one contact with the child during the report month divided by the total number of children in out of home placement for the entire month (as described above).



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Family Case Manager Contacts Report

### For May 2010

#### May 2010

Location	Children out of home entire month	Contact Information					
		FCM with Family		FCM with Child		FCM with Family and Child	
		Children	Rate	Children	Rate	Children	Rate
Statewide	10,479	2,927	27.9%	10,078	96.2%	2,889	27.6%
Region 12	176	80	45.5%	175	99.4%	80	45.5%
Fayette	35	15	42.9%	34	97.1%	15	42.9%
Franklin	25	10	40.0%	25	100.0%	10	40.0%
Henry	61	33	54.1%	61	100.0%	33	54.1%
Rush	16	8	50.0%	16	100.0%	8	50.0%
Union	7	1	14.3%	7	100.0%	1	14.3%
Wayne	32	13	40.6%	32	100.0%	13	40.6%


#### Statewide Child Contact Rate



#### Region 12 For May 2009 to May 2010

Month	Children out of home entire month	Contact Information					
		FCM with Family		FCM with Child		FCM with Family and Child	
		Children	Rate	Children	Rate	Children	Rate
May 2010	176	80	45.5%	175	99.4%	80	45.5%
Apr 2010	180	85	47.2%	180	100.0%	85	47.2%
Mar 2010	189	89	47.1%	187	98.9%	89	47.1%
Feb 2010	216	98	45.4%	214	99.1%	96	44.4%
Jan 2010	220	107	48.6%	219	99.5%	107	48.6%
Dec 2009	224	121	54.0%	222	99.1%	121	54.0%
Nov 2009	240	116	48.3%	223	92.9%	116	48.3%
Oct 2009	237	125	52.7%	237	100.0%	125	52.7%
Sep 2009	228	116	50.9%	219	96.1%	114	50.0%
Aug 2009	198	93	47.0%	197	99.5%	93	47.0%
Jul 2009	207	90	43.5%	204	98.6%	90	43.5%
Jun 2009	201	97	48.3%	195	97.0%	97	48.3%
May 2009	192	79	41.1%	187	97.4%	77	40.1%

#### Region 12 Child Contact Rate





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Child Visitation Report

For May 2010

## Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the historical month listed. For the purpose of this report, a person is considered family for the child if they have the following relationships:

- Father
- Mother
- Stepfather
- Stepmother
- Grandfather
- Grandmother
- Pre-adoptive father
- Pre-adoptive mother
- Adoptive grandparent
- Legal Guardian

**Children out of home with case plan goal of reunification** – This column shows the number of children in out of home placement at the end of the report month who also have reunification as the most recently entered primary case plan goal.

**Children with visits to their family during the month** – This section of the report shows data regarding children who have had a visit with the child's family (as described above) begin during the report month.

**Children** – This is the total number of children that the child had at least one visit with a member of the child's family during the report month.  
**Rate** – This is the total number of children that the child had at least one visit with a member of the child's family divided by the total number of children in out of home placement at the end of the report month with case plan goal of reunification(as described above).



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Child Visitation Report

### For May 2010

#### May 2010

Location	Children out of home with case plan goal of reunification	Children with visits to their family during the month	
		Children	Rate
Statewide	5,795	1,010	17.4%
Region 12	103	38	36.9%
Fayette	20	10	50.0%
Franklin	14	9	64.3%
Henry	34	9	26.5%
Rush	10	0	0.0%
Union	3	1	33.3%
Wayne	22	9	40.9%


#### Statewide Visitation Rate



#### Region 12 For May 2009 to May 2010

Month	Children out of home with case plan goal of reunification	Children with visits to their family during the month	
		Children	Rate
May 2010	103	38	36.9%
Apr 2010	109	39	35.8%
Mar 2010	114	44	38.6%
Feb 2010	144	47	32.6%
Jan 2010	155	35	22.6%
Dec 2009	156	49	31.4%
Nov 2009	167	44	26.3%
Oct 2009	164	50	30.5%
Sep 2009	145	49	33.8%
Aug 2009	129	41	31.8%
Jul 2009	135	45	33.3%
Jun 2009	124	51	41.1%
May 2009	108	51	47.2%

#### Region 12 Visitation Rate





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Absence of Repeat Maltreatment

For May 2010

## Report Description

This is a description of the key elements used in this report.

**Time Period** – The time period used in this report is the 12 months prior to the month of the report.

**Assessments during time period** – The assessment used in this report are assessment with BOTH report date AND approval date during the time period (12 months prior to the month of the report).

**Victim Selection** – The children used in this report were identified as having at least one substantiated allegation of abuse or neglect on an assessment during the time period.

**First Occurrence** – This looks at the victims of substantiated abuse or neglect during the first 6 months of the time period (6 to 12 months prior to the month of the report). If a child was a victim in multiple assessments with substantiated abuse or neglect, the assessment with the earliest report date is considered the first occurrence.

**Recurrence Time Period** – The time period used to see if a child is a substantiated victim in a recurring assessment of abuse or neglect is based off the report date of the first occurrence. The recurrence period begins one day after the first occurrence report date and ends 183 after the first occurrence report date ( $\geq 1^{\text{st}}$  Occurrence +1 and  $\leq 1^{\text{st}}$  Occurrence +183). If a child has multiple recurrences, the earliest report date in the recurring time period is considered the recurring assessment.

Here is a visual representation of an example. This is a report for December of a given year. The time period to review assessments would run from Jan 1<sup>st</sup> to Dec 31<sup>st</sup>. The time period for a first occurrence would be Jan 1<sup>st</sup> to Jun 30<sup>th</sup>. If a child had a first occurrence on Feb 7<sup>th</sup>, the recurrence period would run from Feb 8<sup>th</sup> to Aug 9<sup>th</sup>. If the child had a recurrence in Aug 5<sup>th</sup>, that would be considered repeat maltreatment. If the child had a recurrence Oct 10<sup>th</sup>, that would not be considered repeat maltreatment because it fell outside the recurrence period.

Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
Time Period (Jan 1 <sup>st</sup> to Dec 31 <sup>st</sup> )											
1 <sup>st</sup> Occurrence Time Period (Jan 1 <sup>st</sup> to Jun 30 <sup>th</sup> )											
			Recurrence Period (Feb 8 <sup>th</sup> to Aug 9 <sup>th</sup> )								

**Percent of absence of repeat maltreatment** – This calculations uses the terms defined above. The numerator is the number of children with a first occurrence minus the number of children with a recurrence. The denominator is the number of children with first occurrence.

$$\frac{(1^{\text{st}} \text{ Occurrence} - \text{Recurrence})}{1^{\text{st}} \text{ Occurrence}}$$

For the purposes of this report, the county the child is assigned to is based off the county of first occurrence. If a child has a recurrence in a different county, the repeat will show for the county of the first occurrence.



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Absence of Repeat Maltreatment

### For May 2010

#### May 2010

County	Victims from Jun 2009 to Dec 2009	Victims without a recurrence within 6 months	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent	National Standard
Statewide	11,860	10,977	92.55%	94.60%
Region 12	382	356	93.19%	94.60%
Fayette	37	33	89.19%	94.60%
Franklin	25	21	84.00%	94.60%
Henry	136	125	91.91%	94.60%
Rush	48	46	95.83%	94.60%
Union	17	15	88.24%	94.60%
Wayne	119	116	97.48%	94.60%


#### Statewide Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



#### Region 12 For May 2009 to May 2010

County	Victims from Jun 2009 to Dec 2009	Victims without a recurrence within 6 months	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent	National Standard
May 2010	382	356	93.19%	94.60%
April 2010	430	403	93.72%	94.60%
March 2010	434	404	93.09%	94.60%
February 2010	428	396	92.52%	94.60%
January 2010	417	380	91.13%	94.60%
December 2009	424	395	93.16%	94.60%
November 2009	404	376	93.07%	94.60%
October 2009	368	340	92.39%	94.60%
September 2009	421	391	92.87%	94.60%
August 2009	446	423	94.84%	94.60%
July 2009	458	430	93.89%	94.60%
June 2009	518	476	91.89%	94.60%
May 2009	551	509	92.38%	94.60%

#### Region 12 Absence of Repeat Maltreatment





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Absence of Maltreatment in Foster Care

For May 2010

## Report Description

This is a description of the key elements used in this report.

**Time Period** – The time period used in this report is the 12 months prior to the month of the report.

**Assessments during the time period** – The assessment used in this report are assessment with BOTH report date AND approval date during the time period (12 months prior to the month of the report).

**Victim of maltreatment in Foster Care** – The children used in this report were identified as having at least one substantiated allegation of abuse or neglect on an assessment during the time period where the perpetrator is a foster parent or institutional staff.

**Children in Foster Care during the time period** – All CHINS children with an open removal episode at any time during the 12 months prior to the month of the report. Also included are Probation children (who are IV-E eligible) with an open removal episode at any time during the 12 months prior to the month of the report.

**Percent of absence of repeat maltreatment** – This calculations uses the terms defined above. The numerator is the number of children in foster care minus the number of victims of maltreatment in foster care. The denominator is the number of children in foster care.

$$\frac{(\text{Children in Foster Care} - \text{Victims of maltreatment in Foster Care})}{\text{Children in Foster Care}}$$



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Absence of Maltreatment in Foster Care

### For May 2010

#### For May 2010

Location	Children in Foster Care from Jun 2009 to May 2010	Substantiated Victims in Foster Care	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent	National Standard
Statewide	22,039	90	99.59%	<b>99.68%</b>
Region 12	554	1	99.82%	<b>99.68%</b>
Fayette	94	0	100.00%	<b>99.68%</b>
Franklin	34	0	100.00%	<b>99.68%</b>
Henry	190	1	99.47%	<b>99.68%</b>
Rush	82	0	100.00%	<b>99.68%</b>
Union	25	0	100.00%	<b>99.68%</b>
Wayne	129	0	100.00%	<b>99.68%</b>

#### Statewide Trend of Absence of Maltreatment in Foster Care



#### Region 12 for May 2009 to May 2010

Month	Children in Foster Care from Jun 2009 to May 2010	Substantiated Victims in Foster Care	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent	National Standard
May 2010	554	1	99.82%	<b>99.68%</b>
April 2010	562	1	99.82%	<b>99.68%</b>
March 2010	578	0	100.00%	<b>99.68%</b>
February 2010	578	0	100.00%	<b>99.68%</b>
January 2010	596	0	100.00%	<b>99.68%</b>
December 2009	619	0	100.00%	<b>99.68%</b>
November 2009	641	0	100.00%	<b>99.68%</b>
October 2009	679	0	100.00%	<b>99.68%</b>
September 2009	674	1	99.85%	<b>99.68%</b>
August 2009	686	5	99.27%	<b>99.68%</b>
July 2009	702	5	99.29%	<b>99.68%</b>
June 2009	721	7	99.03%	<b>99.68%</b>
May 2009	736	7	99.05%	<b>99.68%</b>

#### Region 12 Trend of Absence of Maltreatment in Foster Care

