




*Protecting our children,
families and future*

Department of Child Services

Practice Indicator Reports

For April 2010



Region 11

April 2010

Data in the report (both historical and current) may be subject to change as it is continually being validated and updated. This may result in statistical data in previous reports to be inconsistent with statistics found in more recent reports even when the data pertain to the same time period.



Published
May 2010



DCS Practice Indicator Report Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

For April 2010

Report Description

This report uses data collected over a rolling three month period ending with the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use a rolling three month period ending with the historical month listed.

Reports Taken – This section has data regarding the reports taken during the three month period ending with the report month.

Count – This is the total number of reports of abuse or neglect that are entered into ICWIS during the period ending with the report month.

Percent Resulting in Screen Outs – This is the percentage of reports that are screened out divided by the total reports taken during the period ending with the report month.

Assessments Substantiated – This section has data regarding the number of assessments with substantiated findings of abuse and/or neglect during the three month period ending with the report month.

Count – This is the total number of assessments with substantiated findings of abuse and/or neglect during the period ending with the report month.

Percent Resulting in Removal – This is the percentage of substantiated assessments during the period that resulted in removal of the child from the home by the end of the report month divided by the total number of substantiated assessments during the period ending with the report month.



DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

For April 2010

April 2010

Location	Reports Taken For Feb 2010 to Apr 2010		Assessments Substantiated For Feb 2010 to Apr 2010	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	32,252	16.3%	7,086	29.5%
Region 11	1,610	18.82%	292	33.22%
Hamilton	525	21.9%	63	20.6%
Hancock	252	19.8%	51	35.3%
Madison	770	16.2%	164	37.2%
Tipton	63	20.6%	14	35.7%


Statewide Percent Removal Trend



Region 11 For April 2009 to April 2010

Month	Reports Taken For Feb 2010 to Apr 2010		Assessments Substantiated For Feb 2010 to Apr 2010	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Apr 2010	1,610	18.8%	292	33.2%
Mar 2010	1,508	17.7%	258	30.2%
Feb 2010	1,320	17.8%	268	22.4%
Jan 2010	1,280	17.3%	266	19.9%
Dec 2009	1,273	16.0%	305	23.6%
Nov 2009	1,383	14.5%	285	28.8%
Oct 2009	1,420	13.8%	283	27.2%
Sep 2009	1,358	12.4%	316	32.3%
Aug 2009	1,296	12.1%	342	31.0%
Jul 2009	1,340	12.5%	358	29.9%
Jun 2009	1,427	13.9%	298	25.8%
May 2009	1,505	12.9%	306	28.1%
Apr 2009	1,470	15.0%	283	26.9%

Region 11 Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS Placements by County

For April 2010

Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the end of the historical month listed. This report looks at CHINS children from CHINS cases that were open on the last day of the report month. It also collects where that child is located (in their own home or in substitute care).

Total CHINS Count – This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the report month.

CHINS – This section has data regarding all children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month.

In Home – This section has data regarding children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home.

Count- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home.

Percent- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home divided by the total number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month.

Foster Care – This section has data regarding children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in out of home placement.

Count- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in out of home placement.

Percent- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in out of home placement divided by the total number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month.

Out of Home Placements – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in out of home placement.

Relative Homes – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed with relatives.

Count- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed with relatives.

Percent- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed with relatives divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

Foster Homes – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in foster homes.

Count- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in foster homes.

Percent- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in foster homes divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

Residential – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in residential setting.

Count- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in residential setting.

Percent- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in residential setting divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

Other – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in other placement settings. Example would be a court ordered unlicensed placement.

Count- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in other placement settings.

Percent- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in other placement settings divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.




DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS Placements by County

For April 2010

April 2010

Location	Total CHINS Count	CHINS				Out of Home Placements							
		In Home		Foster Care		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential		Other	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	15329	4431	28.9%	10,898	71.1%	3831	35.2%	5507	50.5%	1312	12.0%	248	2.3%
Region 11	588	152	25.9%	436	74.1%	149	34.2%	217	49.8%	62	14.2%	8	1.8%
Hamilton	118	43	36.4%	75	63.6%	32	42.7%	34	45.3%	7	9.3%	2	2.7%
Hancock	80	24	30.0%	56	70.0%	27	48.2%	22	39.3%	4	7.1%	3	5.4%
Madison	358	80	22.3%	278	77.7%	75	27.0%	151	54.3%	50	18.0%	2	0.7%
Tipton	32	5	15.6%	27	84.4%	15	55.6%	10	37.0%	1	3.7%	1	3.7%


Statewide Foster Care Placement Breakdown



Region 11 For April 2009 to April 2010

Month	Total CHINS Count	CHINS				Out of Home Placements							
		In Home		Foster Care		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential		Other	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Apr 2010	588	152	25.9%	436	74.1%	149	34.2%	217	49.8%	62	14.2%	8	1.8%
Mar 2010	579	153	26.4%	426	73.6%	150	35.2%	215	50.5%	55	12.9%	6	1.4%
Feb 2010	564	151	26.8%	413	73.2%	148	35.8%	209	50.6%	50	12.1%	6	1.5%
Jan 2010	544	149	27.4%	395	72.6%	143	36.2%	194	49.1%	52	13.2%	6	1.5%
Dec 2009	550	144	26.2%	406	73.8%	146	36.0%	202	49.8%	48	11.8%	10	2.5%
Nov 2009	546	131	24.0%	415	76.0%	142	34.2%	211	50.8%	51	12.3%	11	2.7%
Oct 2009	558	138	24.7%	420	75.3%	143	34.0%	210	50.0%	56	13.3%	11	2.6%
Sep 2009	553	139	25.1%	414	74.9%	144	34.8%	201	48.6%	60	14.5%	9	2.2%
Aug 2009	544	153	28.1%	391	71.9%	143	36.6%	194	49.6%	43	11.0%	11	2.8%
Jul 2009	562	157	27.9%	405	72.1%	136	33.6%	208	51.4%	50	12.3%	11	2.7%
Jun 2009	566	165	29.2%	401	70.8%	144	35.9%	197	49.1%	53	13.2%	7	1.7%
May 2009	552	148	26.8%	404	73.2%	143	35.4%	199	49.3%	55	13.6%	7	1.7%
Apr 2009	540	128	23.7%	412	76.3%	144	35.0%	205	49.8%	55	13.3%	8	1.9%

Region 11 Foster Care Placement Breakdown





DCS Practice Indicator Report Use of Foster Care by County

April 2010

Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the end of the historical month listed. This report looks at CHINS children from CHINS cases that were open on the last day of the report month. It also collects where that child is located (in their own home or in substitute care).

Total CHINS Count – This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the report month.

In Home or Relative Care – This section has data regarding children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home or in relative care.

Count- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home or in relative care.

Percent- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home or in relative care divided by the total number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month.

Target (At or Above) % – The target for the percent of the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home or in relative care to the total number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month is 50% or higher.

Non Relative Foster Care – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are not in their own home or in relative care.

Count- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are not in their own home or in relative care.

Percent- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are not in their own home or in relative care divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

Target (At or below) % – The target for the percent of the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are not in their own home or in relative care to the total number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month is 50% or higher.




DCS Practice Indicator Report Use of Foster Care by County

For April 2010

April 2010

Location	Total CHINS	In Home or Relative Care		Target (At or Above) %	Non-Relative Foster Care		Target (At or Below) %
	Count	Count	%		Count	%	
Statewide	15329	8262	53.9%	50.0%	7,067	46.1%	50.0%
Region 11	588	301	51.2%	50.0%	287	48.8%	50.0%
Hamilton	118	75	63.6%	50.0%	43	36.4%	50.0%
Hancock	80	51	63.8%	50.0%	29	36.3%	50.0%
Madison	358	155	43.3%	50.0%	203	56.7%	50.0%
Tipton	32	20	62.5%	50.0%	12	37.5%	50.0%


Statewide Foster Care Placement Breakdown



Region 11 For April 2009 to April 2010

Month	Total CHINS	In Home or Relative Care		Target (At or Above) %	Non-Relative Foster Care		Target (At or Below) %
	Count	Count	%		Count	%	
April 2010	588	301	51.2%	50.0%	287	48.8%	50.0%
March 2010	579	303	52.3%	50.0%	276	47.7%	50.0%
February 2010	564	299	53.0%	50.0%	265	47.0%	50.0%
January 2010	544	292	53.7%	50.0%	252	46.3%	50.0%
December 2009	550	290	52.7%	50.0%	260	47.3%	50.0%
November 2009	546	273	50.0%	50.0%	273	50.0%	50.0%
October 2009	558	281	50.4%	50.0%	277	49.6%	50.0%
September 2009	553	283	51.2%	50.0%	270	48.8%	50.0%
August 2009	544	296	54.4%	50.0%	248	45.6%	50.0%
July 2009	562	293	52.1%	50.0%	269	47.9%	50.0%
June 2009	566	309	54.6%	50.0%	257	45.4%	50.0%
May 2009	552	291	52.7%	50.0%	261	47.3%	50.0%
April 2009	540	272	50.4%	50.0%	268	49.6%	50.0%

Region 11 Foster Care Placement Breakdown





DCS Practice Indicator Report Locally Placed CHINS

For April 2010

Report Description

This report uses data collected over at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the historical month listed.

Total Out of Home Placements – This is the total number of CHINS children that are in out of home placement on the last day of the report month.

Placed in Same County – This is the total number of CHINS children that are placed in the same county as the county of their case.

Percent Locally Placed – This is the percentage of CHINS children that are placed in the same county as the county of their case divided by the total number of CHINS children which are in out of home placement on the last day of the report month.




DCS Practice Indicator Report Locally Placed CHINS

For April 2010

April 2010

Location	Total Out of Home Placements	Placed in Same County	Percent Locally Placed
Statewide	10,898	8,084	74.2%
Region 11	436	262	60.1%
Hamilton	75	55	73.3%
Hancock	56	35	62.5%
Madison	278	153	55.0%
Tipton	27	19	70.4%


Statewide Percent Placed Locally



Region 11 For April 2009 to April 2010

Month	Total Out of Home Placements	Placed in Same County	Percent Locally Placed
Apr 2010	436	262	60.1%
Mar 2010	426	263	61.7%
Feb 2010	413	263	63.7%
Jan 2010	395	244	61.8%
Dec 2009	406	250	61.6%
Nov 2009	415	253	61.0%
Oct 2009	420	254	60.5%
Sep 2009	414	268	64.7%
Aug 2009	391	248	63.4%
Jul 2009	405	258	63.7%
Jun 2009	401	254	63.3%
May 2009	404	256	63.4%
Apr 2009	412	263	63.8%

Region 11 Percent Placed Locally





DCS Practice Indicator Report Average Number of Placements

For April 2010

Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the historical month listed.

Average Number of Placements Per Child – This is the total number of out of home placements for each CHINS child in out of home placement on the last day of the report month. This includes all placements during the current removal episode. If a child had at least one placement end in the first 8 days from removal, then that child's number of placements was reduced by one.

This number is divided by the total number CHINS children who are in out of home placement on the last day of the report month.




DCS Practice Indicator Report Average Number of Placements

For April 2009 to April 2010

April 2010

Location	Average Number of Placements Per Child
Statewide	2.5
Region 11	2.3
Hamilton	2.2
Hancock	2.0
Madison	2.5
Tipton	1.7


Statewide Average Number of Placements



Region 11 For April 2009 to April 2010

Month	Average Number of Placements Per Child
April 2010	2.3
March 2010	2.4
February 2010	2.4
January 2010	2.4
December 2009	2.4
November 2009	2.4
October 2009	2.4
September 2009	2.3
August 2009	2.3
July 2009	2.3
June 2009	2.2
May 2009	2.2
April 2009	2.3

Region 11 Average Number of Placements





DCS Practice Indicator Report Sibling Placement Report

For April 2010

Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the end of the historical month listed.

Count of Sibling Cases – This is the number of open cases as of the end of the report month which have more than one child in out of home placement at the end of the report month.

Cases With All Children Placed Together – This is the number of cases with more than one child in out of home placement where all of the children are placed in the same resource with the same resource ID.

Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases– This is the percentage of the number of cases where all of the children in out of home placement are placed together divided by the number of open cases with more than one child in out of home placement as of the end of the report month.

Average Number of Children per Case – This number takes the number of children in out of home placement from cases with more than one child in out of home placement and divides it by the number of cases with more than one child in out of home placement as of the end of the report month.




DCS Practice Indicator Report Sibling Placement Report

April 2010

April 2010

Location	Count of Sibling Cases	Cases With All Children Placed Together	Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases	Average Number of Children per Case
Statewide	2769	1973	71.3%	2.68
Region 11	116	82	70.7%	2.48
Hamilton	19	16	84.2%	2.42
Hancock	14	12	85.7%	2.43
Madison	75	49	65.3%	2.48
Tipton	8	5	62.5%	2.75


Statewide Percent Together



Region 11 For April 2009 to April 2010

Month	Count of Sibling Cases	Cases With All Children Placed Together	Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases	Average Number of Children per Case
April 2010	116	82	70.7%	2.48
March 2010	111	80	72.1%	2.52
February 2010	106	77	72.6%	2.58
January 2010	103	76	73.8%	2.55
December 2009	109	78	71.6%	2.56
November 2009	110	76	69.1%	2.57
October 2009	109	76	69.7%	2.63
September 2009	106	77	72.6%	2.66
August 2009	100	68	68.0%	2.68
July 2009	105	71	67.6%	2.68
June 2009	103	73	70.9%	2.68
May 2009	104	77	74.0%	2.68
April 2009	105	76	72.4%	2.72

Region 11 Percent Together





DCS Practice Indicator Report

Length of Stay in Out of Home Placement

Children in Out of Home Placement by Length of Time Since Latest Removal

For April 2010

Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the end of the historical month listed. This report looks at CHINS children that were in out of home placement at the end of the month. It measures the number of days since the child was last removed from its home.

One Year - 0 to 365 Days– This is column shows data for CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 0 and 365 days since the child's removal.

Count- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 0 and 365 days since the child's last removal.

Percent- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 0 and 365 days since the child's last removal divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

One Year - 366 to 730 Days– This is column shows data for CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 366 and 730 days since the c last removal.

Count- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 366 and 730 days since the child's last removal.

Percent- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 366 and 730 days since the child's last removal divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

One Year - 731 to 1095 Days– This is column shows data for CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 731 to 1095 days since the child's last removal.

Count- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 731 to 1095 days since the child's last removal.

Percent- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 731 to 1095 days since the child's last removal divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

One Year - 1096 Days+– This is column shows data for CHINS children that have been in out of home placement 1096 days or more since the child's last removal.

Count- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement 1096 days or more since the child's last removal.

Percent- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement 1096 days or more since the child's last removal divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

Length Per Child – This column shows data for length of time of CHINS children who were in out of home placement at the end of the report month have been in out of home placement since the child's last removal from their home.

Average- This column calculates the average or "mean" length of time in out of home placement since the last removal per child in out of home placement at the end of the report month.

Median- This column calculates the median length of time in out of home placement since the last removal per child in out of home placement at the end of the report month.



DCS Practice Indicator Report


Length of Stay in Out of Home Placement

Children in Out of Home Placement by Length of Time Since Latest Removal
For April 2010

April 2010

Location	One Year 0 to 365 Days		Two Years 366 to 730 Days		Three Years 731 to 1095 Days		Three + Years 1096 Days+		Length Per Child	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Average	Median
Statewide	5,461	50.1%	2,544	23.3%	1,222	11.2%	1,670	15.3%	596.5	363.0
Region 11	225	51.6%	101	23.2%	45	10.3%	65	14.9%	548.7	351.5
Hamilton	32	42.7%	19	25.3%	9	12.0%	15	20.0%	616.1	531.6
Hancock	31	55.4%	16	28.6%	3	5.4%	6	10.7%	476.0	346.0
Madison	143	51.4%	61	21.9%	31	11.2%	43	15.5%	563.9	355.0
Tipton	19	70.4%	5	18.5%	2	7.4%	1	3.7%	356.1	240.5


Statewide Median Length



Region 11 For April 2009 to April 2010

Month	One Year 0 to 365 Days		Two Years 366 to 730 Days		Three Years 731 to 1095 Days		Three + Years 1096 Days+		Length Per Child	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Average	Median
April 2010	225	51.6%	101	23.2%	45	10.3%	65	14.9%	548.7	351.5
March 2010	219	51.4%	100	23.5%	48	11.3%	59	13.8%	547.7	351.0
February 2010	202	48.9%	97	23.5%	60	14.5%	54	13.1%	568.0	374.6
January 2010	191	48.4%	90	22.8%	61	15.4%	53	13.4%	576.7	387.3
December 2009	201	49.5%	92	22.7%	61	15.0%	52	12.8%	554.5	395.0
November 2009	197	47.5%	104	25.1%	65	15.7%	49	11.8%	548.1	385.4
October 2009	211	50.2%	99	23.6%	62	14.8%	48	11.4%	526.3	360.0
September 2009	207	50.0%	107	25.8%	54	13.0%	46	11.1%	517.3	362.5
August 2009	195	49.9%	97	24.8%	55	14.1%	44	11.3%	518.8	370.7
July 2009	212	52.3%	88	21.7%	56	13.8%	49	12.1%	519.0	354.6
June 2009	202	50.4%	88	21.9%	60	15.0%	51	12.7%	523.4	356.4
May 2009	199	49.3%	103	25.5%	51	12.6%	51	12.6%	526.7	373.0
April 2009	209	50.7%	102	24.8%	54	13.1%	47	11.4%	518.9	361.0

Region 11 Median Length





DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS and IA Permanency Report

For April 2010

Report Description

This report uses CHINS and IA case types that have case type status end date occurring during the month. It then groups these cases using the closure reasons codes into categories of closure reasons. Case with closure reason code “Case opened in error – disregard” are filtered out for the purpose of this report.

Closure Reasons are group by:

Description	Category	Description	Category
Child Reunited with Parents	Reunification	Case closed; AG case created	Guardianship
Child never Removed from own home, wardship terminated	Reunification	Child placed with relatives	Relative
Extended wardship terminated (on court order for age 18 to 21)	Emancipation	Child Placed with Legal guardian	Guardianship
Child Returned to Primary Home	Reunification	Child Reached Age of Majority (age 18)	Emancipation
Period of IA ended code used for Informal Adjustment cases only	Reunification	Emancipated Court Ordered (age 18)	Emancipation
Child Adoption Finalized	Adoption	Child Already Reunited with parent (s) when wardship terminated	Reunification

The Permanency column “Total” refers to the total case with reason codes in the Reunification, Adoption, Relative, Guardianship, and Emancipation categories.

The Permanency column “Total within 24 months” refers to the total case that ended within 24 month of the case status type begin date in the Reunification, Adoption, Relative, Guardianship, and Emancipation categories.




DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS and IA Permanency Report

For April 2010

April 2010

Location	Closed Cases Types with Permanency Outcomes					Permanency		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation	Total	Within 24 Months	24 Month Rate
Statewide	664	89	16	79	27	875	748	85.5
Region 11	15	0	2	3	1	21	18	85.7
Hamilton	1	0	0	1	0	2	1	50.0
Hancock	3	0	2	0	1	6	4	66.7
Madison	11	0	0	2	0	13	13	100.0
Tipton	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	NA


Statewide Permanency within 24 Months



Region 11 For April 2009 to April 2010

Location	Closed Cases Types with Permanency Outcomes					Permanency		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation	Total	Within 24 Months	24 Month Rate
Apr 2010	15	0	2	3	1	21	18	85.7
Mar 2010	31	3	0	2	0	36	31	86.1
Feb 2010	31	5	4	2	2	44	38	86.4
Jan 2010	19	0	0	7	0	26	26	100.0
Dec 2009	29	5	1	6	3	44	38	86.4
Nov 2009	17	0	0	3	2	22	22	100.0
Oct 2009	33	2	3	3	0	41	38	92.7
Sep 2009	17	0	0	3	0	20	19	95.0
Aug 2009	44	8	2	4	3	61	52	85.2
Jul 2009	45	9	2	4	3	63	53	84.1
Jun 2009	22	7	1	3	1	34	26	76.5
May 2009	22	0	1	1	1	25	23	92.0
Apr 2009	29	0	2	1	0	32	32	100.0

Region 11 Permanency within 24 Months





DCS Practice Indicator Report Family Case Manager Contacts Report

For April 2010

Report Description

This report uses data collected over at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the historical month listed. For the purpose of this report, a person is considered family for the child if they have the following relationships:

- Father
- Mother
- Stepfather
- Stepmother
- Grandfather
- Grandmother
- Pre-adoptive father
- Pre-adoptive mother
- Adoptive grandparent
- Legal Guardian

Children Out of Home Entire Month – This column shows the number of children in out of home placement at the end of the report month who also have a removal date prior to the first of the report month.

Contact Information – This section of the reports shows information regarding FCM contacts (from the contact screens) during the report month.

FCM with Family – This section of the report shows FCM contacts with the child's family (as described above) during the report month.

Children – This is the total number of children that the FCM had at least one contact with a member of the child's family during the report month.

Rate – This is the total number of children that FCM had at least one contact with a member of the child's family divided by the total number of children in out of home placement for the entire month (as described above).

FCM with Child – This section of the report shows FCM contacts with the child during the report month.

Children – This is the total number of children that the FCM had at least one contact with a the child during the report month.

Rate – This is the total number of children that FCM had at least one contact with the child divided by the total number of children in out of home placement for the entire month (as described above).

FCM with Family and Child – This section of the report shows FCM contacts with the child's family (as described above) **AND** the child during the report month. Contact with both had to have occurred for the child to be included in this section. The family and child do not need to present at the same contact, contact with each could have been made in two separate contacts.

Children – This is the total number of children that the FCM had at least one contact with a member of the child's family **and** at least one contact with the child during the report month.

Rate – This is the total number of children that FCM had at least one contact with a member of the child's family **and** at least one contact with the child during the report month divided by the total number of children in out of home placement for the entire month (as described above).



DCS Practice Indicator Report


Family Case Manager Contacts Report

For April 2010

April 2010

Location	Children out of home entire month	Contact Information					
		FCM with Family		FCM with Child		FCM with Family and Child	
		Children	Rate	Children	Rate	Children	Rate
Statewide	10,373	3,129	30.2%	10,075	97.1%	3,091	29.8%
Region 11	414	113	27.3%	408	98.6%	112	27.1%
Hamilton	73	21	28.8%	73	100.0%	21	28.8%
Hancock	54	17	31.5%	54	100.0%	17	31.5%
Madison	261	65	24.9%	255	97.7%	64	24.5%
Tipton	26	10	38.5%	26	100.0%	10	38.5%


Statewide Child Contact Rate



Region 11 For April 2009 to April 2010

Month	Children out of home entire month	Contact Information					
		FCM with Family		FCM with Child		FCM with Family and Child	
		Children	Rate	Children	Rate	Children	Rate
Apr 2010	414	113	27.3%	408	98.6%	112	27.1%
Mar 2010	399	118	29.6%	395	99.0%	118	29.6%
Feb 2010	385	104	27.0%	364	94.5%	100	26.0%
Jan 2010	386	104	26.9%	380	98.4%	102	26.4%
Dec 2009	393	127	32.3%	391	99.5%	127	32.3%
Nov 2009	407	157	38.6%	399	98.0%	156	38.3%
Oct 2009	400	137	34.3%	393	98.3%	134	33.5%
Sep 2009	391	127	32.5%	384	98.2%	126	32.2%
Aug 2009	377	131	34.7%	376	99.7%	131	34.7%
Jul 2009	381	122	32.0%	379	99.5%	121	31.8%
Jun 2009	383	115	30.0%	378	98.7%	114	29.8%
May 2009	387	119	30.7%	380	98.2%	119	30.7%
Apr 2009	373	116	31.1%	366	98.1%	113	30.3%

Region 11 Child Contact Rate





DCS Practice Indicator Report Child Visitation Report

For April 2010

Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the historical month listed. For the purpose of this report, a person is considered family for the child if they have the following relationships:

- Father
- Mother
- Stepfather
- Stepmother
- Grandfather
- Grandmother
- Pre-adoptive father
- Pre-adoptive mother
- Adoptive grandparent
- Legal Guardian

Children out of home with case plan goal of reunification – This column shows the number of children in out of home placement at the end of the report month who also have reunification as the most recently entered primary case plan goal.

Children with visits to their family during the month – This section of the report shows data regarding children who have had a visit with the child's family (as described above) begin during the report month.

Children – This is the total number of children that the child had at least one visit with a member of the child's family during the report month.
Rate – This is the total number of children that the child had at least one visit with a member of the child's family divided by the total number of children in out of home placement at the end of the report month with case plan goal of reunification(as described above).



DCS Practice Indicator Report


Child Visitation Report

For April 2010

April 2010

Location	Children out of home with case plan goal of reunification	Children with visits to their family during the month	
		Children	Rate
Statewide	5,807	1,161	20.0%
Region 11	244	53	21.7%
Hamilton	35	8	22.9%
Hancock	29	4	13.8%
Madison	163	37	22.7%
Tipton	17	4	23.5%


Statewide Visitation Rate



Region 11 For April 2009 to April 2010

Month	Children out of home with case plan goal of reunification	Children with visits to their family during the month	
		Children	Rate
Apr 2010	244	53	21.7%
Mar 2010	236	52	22.0%
Feb 2010	230	34	14.8%
Jan 2010	217	34	15.7%
Dec 2009	225	48	21.3%
Nov 2009	231	60	26.0%
Oct 2009	234	53	22.6%
Sep 2009	234	62	26.5%
Aug 2009	226	63	27.9%
Jul 2009	220	53	24.1%
Jun 2009	209	60	28.7%
May 2009	212	49	23.1%
Apr 2009	209	41	19.6%

Region 11 Visitation Rate





DCS Practice Indicator Report Absence of Repeat Maltreatment

For April 2010

Report Description

This is a description of the key elements used in this report.

Time Period – The time period used in this report is the 12 months prior to the month of the report.

Assessments during time period – The assessment used in this report are assessment with BOTH report date AND approval date during the time period (12 months prior to the month of the report).

Victim Selection – The children used in this report were identified as having at least one substantiated allegation of abuse or neglect on an assessment during the time period.

First Occurrence – This looks at the victims of substantiated abuse or neglect during the first 6 months of the time period (6 to 12 months prior to the month of the report). If a child was a victim in multiple assessments with substantiated abuse or neglect, the assessment with the earliest report date is considered the first occurrence.

Recurrence Time Period – The time period used to see if a child is a substantiated victim in a recurring assessment of abuse or neglect is based off the report date of the first occurrence. The recurrence period begins one day after the first occurrence report date and ends 183 after the first occurrence report date ($\geq 1^{\text{st}}$ Occurrence +1 and $\leq 1^{\text{st}}$ Occurrence +183). If a child has multiple recurrences, the earliest report date in the recurring time period is considered the recurring assessment.

Here is a visual representation of an example. This is a report for December of a given year. The time period to review assessments would run from Jan 1st to Dec 31st. The time period for a first occurrence would be Jan 1st to Jun 30th. If a child had a first occurrence on Feb 7th, the recurrence period would run from Feb 8th to Aug 9th. If the child had a recurrence in Aug 5th, that would be considered repeat maltreatment. If the child had a recurrence Oct 10th, that would not be considered repeat maltreatment because it fell outside the recurrence period.

Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
Time Period (Jan 1 st to Dec 31 st)											
1 st Occurrence Time Period (Jan 1 st to Jun 30 th)											
			Recurrence Period (Feb 8 th to Aug 9 th)								

Percent of absence of repeat maltreatment – This calculations uses the terms defined above. The numerator is the number of children with a first occurrence minus the number of children with a recurrence. The denominator is the number of children with first occurrence.

$$\frac{(1^{\text{st}} \text{ Occurrence} - \text{Recurrence})}{1^{\text{st}} \text{ Occurrence}}$$

For the purposes of this report, the county the child is assigned to is based off the county of first occurrence. If a child has a recurrence in a different county, the repeat will show for the county of the first occurrence.



DCS Practice Indicator Report


Absence of Repeat Maltreatment

For April 2010

April 2010

County	Victims from May 2009 to Nov 2009	Victims without a recurrence within 6 months	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent	National Standard
Statewide	12,227	11,341	92.75%	94.60%
Region 11	513	475	92.59%	94.60%
Hamilton	132	126	95.45%	94.60%
Hancock	68	65	95.59%	94.60%
Madison	289	261	90.31%	94.60%
Tipton	24	23	95.83%	94.60%


Statewide Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



Region 11 For April 2009 to April 2010

County	Victims from May 2009 to Nov 2009	Victims without a recurrence within 6 months	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent	National Standard
April 2010	513	475	92.59%	94.60%
March 2010	541	503	92.98%	94.60%
February 2010	523	484	92.54%	94.60%
January 2010	526	488	92.78%	94.60%
December 2009	511	456	89.24%	94.60%
November 2009	487	434	89.12%	94.60%
October 2009	431	382	88.63%	94.60%
September 2009	439	387	88.15%	94.60%
August 2009	466	415	89.06%	94.60%
July 2009	481	427	88.77%	94.60%
June 2009	479	441	92.07%	94.60%
May 2009	513	475	92.59%	94.60%
April 2009	552	508	92.03%	94.60%

Region 11 Absence of Repeat Maltreatment





DCS Practice Indicator Report Absence of Maltreatment in Foster Care

For April 2010

Report Description

This is a description of the key elements used in this report.

Time Period – The time period used in this report is the 12 months prior to the month of the report.

Assessments during the time period – The assessment used in this report are assessment with BOTH report date AND approval date during the time period (12 months prior to the month of the report).

Victim of maltreatment in Foster Care – The children used in this report were identified as having at least one substantiated allegation of abuse or neglect on an assessment during the time period where the perpetrator is a foster parent or institutional staff.

Children in Foster Care during the time period – All CHINS children with an open removal episode at any time during the 12 months prior to the month of the report. Also included are Probation children (who are IV-E eligible) with an open removal episode at any time during the 12 months prior to the month of the report.

Percent of absence of repeat maltreatment – This calculations uses the terms defined above. The numerator is the number of children in foster care minus the number of victims of maltreatment in foster care. The denominator is the number of children in foster care.

$$\frac{(\text{Children in Foster Care} - \text{Victims of maltreatment in Foster Care})}{\text{Children in Foster Care}}$$



DCS Practice Indicator Report


Absence of Maltreatment in Foster Care

For April 2010

For April 2010

Location	Children in Foster Care from May 2009 to Apr 2010	Substantiated Victims in Foster Care	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent	National Standard
Statewide	21,999	94	99.57%	99.68%
Region 11	865	6	99.31%	99.68%
Hamilton	167	1	99.40%	99.68%
Hancock	128	0	100.00%	99.68%
Madison	534	5	99.06%	99.68%
Tipton	36	0	100.00%	99.68%

Statewide Trend of Absence of Maltreatment in Foster Care



Region 11 for April 2009 to April 2010

Month	Children in Foster Care from May 2009 to Apr 2010	Substantiated Victims in Foster Care	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent	National Standard
April 2010	865	6	99.31%	99.68%
March 2010	848	6	99.29%	99.68%
February 2010	814	7	99.14%	99.68%
January 2010	794	9	98.87%	99.68%
December 2009	802	8	99.00%	99.68%
November 2009	810	10	98.77%	99.68%
October 2009	842	8	99.05%	99.68%
September 2009	857	9	98.95%	99.68%
August 2009	850	8	99.06%	99.68%
July 2009	857	7	99.18%	99.68%
June 2009	864	7	99.19%	99.68%
May 2009	856	7	99.18%	99.68%
April 2009	842	6	99.29%	99.68%

Region 11 Trend of Absence of Maltreatment in Foster Care

