




*Protecting our children,  
families and future*

# Department of Child Services

## Practice Indicator Reports

### For May 2010



# Region 08

## May 2010

Data in the report (both historical and current) may be subject to change as it is continually being validated and updated. This may result in statistical data in previous reports to be inconsistent with statistics found in more recent reports even when the data pertain to the same time period.



**Published**  
**June 2010**



# DCS Practice Indicator Report Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

For May 2010

## Report Description

This report uses data collected over a rolling three month period ending with the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use a rolling three month period ending with the historical month listed.

**Reports Taken** – This section has data regarding the reports taken during the three month period ending with the report month.

**Count** – This is the total number of reports of abuse or neglect that are entered into ICWIS during the period ending with the report month.

**Percent Resulting in Screen Outs** – This is the percentage of reports that are screened out divided by the total reports taken during the period ending with the report month.

**Assessments Substantiated** – This section has data regarding the number of assessments with substantiated findings of abuse and/or neglect during the three month period ending with the report month.

**Count** – This is the total number of assessments with substantiated findings of abuse and/or neglect during the period ending with the report month.

**Percent Resulting in Removal** – This is the percentage of substantiated assessments during the period that resulted in removal of the child from the home by the end of the report month divided by the total number of substantiated assessments during the period ending with the report month.



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### For May 2010

#### May 2010

Location	Reports Taken For Mar 2010 to May 2010		Assessments Substantiated For Mar 2010 to May 2010	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	34,281	19.3%	7,427	30.9%
Region 8	1,035	26.38%	151	29.80%
Clay	165	30.9%	10	0.0%
Parke	37	24.3%	5	60.0%
Sullivan	107	24.3%	21	42.9%
Vermillion	89	21.3%	17	11.8%
Vigo	637	26.4%	98	31.6%


#### Statewide Percent Removal Trend



#### Region 8 For May 2009 to May 2010

Month	Reports Taken For Mar 2010 to May 2010		Assessments Substantiated For Mar 2010 to May 2010	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
May 2010	1,035	26.4%	151	29.8%
Apr 2010	929	21.0%	174	25.9%
Mar 2010	817	18.4%	174	22.4%
Feb 2010	752	17.8%	194	19.6%
Jan 2010	712	17.1%	173	19.1%
Dec 2009	703	22.5%	221	24.9%
Nov 2009	764	24.0%	201	26.4%
Oct 2009	817	25.0%	227	30.4%
Sep 2009	838	22.1%	183	25.1%
Aug 2009	800	22.6%	187	28.9%
Jul 2009	844	18.2%	180	22.8%
Jun 2009	861	14.8%	177	20.3%
May 2009	890	12.7%	171	17.5%

#### Region 8 Percent Removal





# DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS Placements by County

For May 2010

## Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the end of the historical month listed. This report looks at CHINS children from CHINS cases that were open on the last day of the report month. It also collects where that child is located (in their own home or in substitute care).

**Total CHINS Count** – This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the report month.

**CHINS** – This section has data regarding all children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month.

**In Home** – This section has data regarding children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home divided by the total number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month.

**Foster Care** – This section has data regarding children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in out of home placement.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in out of home placement.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in out of home placement divided by the total number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month.

**Out of Home Placements** – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in out of home placement.

**Relative Homes** – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed with relatives.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed with relatives.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed with relatives divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**Foster Homes** – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in foster homes.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in foster homes.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in foster homes divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**Residential** – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in residential setting.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in residential setting.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in residential setting divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**Other** – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in other placement settings. Example would be a court ordered unlicensed placement.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in other placement settings.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in other placement settings divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.




# DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS Placements by County

For May 2010

## May 2010

Location	Total CHINS Count	CHINS				Out of Home Placements							
		In Home		Foster Care		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential		Other	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	15334	4446	29.0%	10,888	71.0%	3838	35.2%	5517	50.7%	1297	11.9%	236	2.2%
Region 8	330	96	29.1%	234	70.9%	114	48.7%	100	42.7%	14	6.0%	6	2.6%
Clay	10	2	20.0%	8	80.0%	3	37.5%	5	62.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Parke	15	8	53.3%	7	46.7%	1	14.3%	5	71.4%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%
Sullivan	50	11	22.0%	39	78.0%	29	74.4%	7	17.9%	1	2.6%	2	5.1%
Vermillion	39	15	38.5%	24	61.5%	12	50.0%	6	25.0%	5	20.8%	1	4.2%
Vigo	216	60	27.8%	156	72.2%	69	44.2%	77	49.4%	7	4.5%	3	1.9%


## Statewide Foster Care Placement Breakdown



## Region 8 For May 2009 to May 2010

Month	Total CHINS Count	CHINS				Out of Home Placements							
		In Home		Foster Care		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential		Other	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
May 2010	330	96	29.1%	234	70.9%	114	48.7%	100	42.7%	14	6.0%	6	2.6%
Apr 2010	345	107	31.0%	238	69.0%	111	46.6%	106	44.5%	15	6.3%	6	2.5%
Mar 2010	344	110	32.0%	234	68.0%	98	41.9%	115	49.1%	16	6.8%	5	2.1%
Feb 2010	332	103	31.0%	229	69.0%	98	42.8%	110	48.0%	15	6.6%	6	2.6%
Jan 2010	326	99	30.4%	227	69.6%	96	42.3%	108	47.6%	16	7.0%	7	3.1%
Dec 2009	321	101	31.5%	220	68.5%	88	40.0%	106	48.2%	18	8.2%	8	3.6%
Nov 2009	328	112	34.1%	216	65.9%	84	38.9%	110	50.9%	18	8.3%	4	1.9%
Oct 2009	331	111	33.5%	220	66.5%	82	37.3%	118	53.6%	16	7.3%	4	1.8%
Sep 2009	300	91	30.3%	209	69.7%	75	35.9%	112	53.6%	18	8.6%	4	1.9%
Aug 2009	304	104	34.2%	200	65.8%	72	36.0%	109	54.5%	15	7.5%	4	2.0%
Jul 2009	279	91	32.6%	188	67.4%	65	34.6%	102	54.3%	16	8.5%	5	2.7%
Jun 2009	268	82	30.6%	186	69.4%	63	33.9%	102	54.8%	17	9.1%	4	2.2%
May 2009	276	84	30.4%	192	69.6%	59	30.7%	110	57.3%	18	9.4%	5	2.6%

## Region 8 Foster Care Placement Breakdown





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Use of Foster Care by County

May 2010

## Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the end of the historical month listed. This report looks at CHINS children from CHINS cases that were open on the last day of the report month. It also collects where that child is located (in their own home or in substitute care).

**Total CHINS Count** – This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the report month.

**In Home or Relative Care** – This section has data regarding children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home or in relative care.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home or in relative care.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home or in relative care divided by the total number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month.

**Target (At or Above) %** – The target for the percent of the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home or in relative care to the total number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month is 50% or higher.

**Non Relative Foster Care** – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are not in their own home or in relative care.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are not in their own home or in relative care.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are not in their own home or in relative care divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**Target (At or below) %** – The target for the percent of the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are not in their own home or in relative care to the total number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month is 50% or higher.




# DCS Practice Indicator Report Use of Foster Care by County

For May 2010

## May 2010

Location	Total CHINS	In Home or Relative Care		Target (At or Above) %	Non-Relative Foster Care		Target (At or Below) %
	Count	Count	%		Count	%	
Statewide	15334	8284	54.0%	50.0%	7,050	46.0%	50.0%
Region 8	330	210	63.6%	50.0%	120	36.4%	50.0%
Clay	10	5	50.0%	50.0%	5	50.0%	50.0%
Parke	15	9	60.0%	50.0%	6	40.0%	50.0%
Sullivan	50	40	80.0%	50.0%	10	20.0%	50.0%
Vermillion	39	27	69.2%	50.0%	12	30.8%	50.0%
Vigo	216	129	59.7%	50.0%	87	40.3%	50.0%


## Statewide Foster Care Placement Breakdown



## Region 8 For May 2009 to May 2010

Month	Total CHINS	In Home or Relative Care		Target (At or Above) %	Non-Relative Foster Care		Target (At or Below) %
	Count	Count	%		Count	%	
May 2010	330	210	63.6%	50.0%	120	36.4%	50.0%
April 2010	345	218	63.2%	50.0%	127	36.8%	50.0%
March 2010	344	208	60.5%	50.0%	136	39.5%	50.0%
February 2010	332	201	60.5%	50.0%	131	39.5%	50.0%
January 2010	326	195	59.8%	50.0%	131	40.2%	50.0%
December 2009	321	189	58.9%	50.0%	132	41.1%	50.0%
November 2009	328	196	59.8%	50.0%	132	40.2%	50.0%
October 2009	331	193	58.3%	50.0%	138	41.7%	50.0%
September 2009	300	166	55.3%	50.0%	134	44.7%	50.0%
August 2009	304	176	57.9%	50.0%	128	42.1%	50.0%
July 2009	279	156	55.9%	50.0%	123	44.1%	50.0%
June 2009	268	145	54.1%	50.0%	123	45.9%	50.0%
May 2009	276	143	51.8%	50.0%	133	48.2%	50.0%

## Region 8 Foster Care Placement Breakdown





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Locally Placed CHINS

For May 2010

## Report Description

This report uses data collected over at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the historical month listed.

**Total Out of Home Placements** – This is the total number of CHINS children that are in out of home placement on the last day of the report month.

**Placed in Same County** – This is the total number of CHINS children that are placed in the same county as the county of their case.

**Percent Locally Placed** – This is the percentage of CHINS children that are placed in the same county as the county of their case divided by the total number of CHINS children which are in out of home placement on the last day of the report month.




# DCS Practice Indicator Report Locally Placed CHINS

For May 2010

### May 2010

Location	Total Out of Home Placements	Placed in Same County	Percent Locally Placed
Statewide	10,888	8,082	74.2%
Region 8	234	184	78.6%
Clay	8	8	100.0%
Parke	7	5	71.4%
Sullivan	39	32	82.1%
Vermillion	24	13	54.2%
Vigo	156	126	80.8%


### Statewide Percent Placed Locally



### Region 8 For May 2009 to May 2010

Month	Total Out of Home Placements	Placed in Same County	Percent Locally Placed
May 2010	234	184	78.6%
Apr 2010	238	183	76.9%
Mar 2010	234	180	76.9%
Feb 2010	229	175	76.4%
Jan 2010	227	174	76.7%
Dec 2009	220	170	77.3%
Nov 2009	216	166	76.9%
Oct 2009	220	171	77.7%
Sep 2009	209	160	76.6%
Aug 2009	200	153	76.5%
Jul 2009	188	145	77.1%
Jun 2009	186	143	76.9%
May 2009	192	149	77.6%

### Region 8 Percent Placed Locally





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Average Number of Placements

For May 2010

## Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the historical month listed.

**Average Number of Placements Per Child** – This is the total number of out of home placements for each CHINS child in out of home placement on the last day of the report month. This includes all placements during the current removal episode. If a child had at least one placement end in the first 8 days from removal, then that child's number of placements was reduced by one.

This number is divided by the total number CHINS children who are in out of home placement on the last day of the report month.




# DCS Practice Indicator Report Average Number of Placements

For May 2009 to May 2010

## May 2010

Location	Average Number of Placements Per Child
Statewide	2.5
Region 8	2.2
Clay	1.7
Parke	1.7
Sullivan	1.9
Vermillion	1.8
Vigo	2.4


## Statewide Average Number of Placements



## Region 8 For May 2009 to May 2010

Month	Average Number of Placements Per Child
May 2010	2.2
April 2010	2.2
March 2010	2.2
February 2010	2.2
January 2010	2.2
December 2009	2.2
November 2009	2.2
October 2009	2.2
September 2009	2.2
August 2009	2.2
July 2009	2.3
June 2009	2.2
May 2009	2.3

## Region 8 Average Number of Placements





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Sibling Placement Report

For May 2010

## Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the end of the historical month listed.

**Count of Sibling Cases** – This is the number of open cases as of the end of the report month which have more than one child in out of home placement at the end of the report month.

**Cases With All Children Placed Together** – This is the number of cases with more than one child in out of home placement where all of the children are placed in the same resource with the same resource ID.

**Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases**– This is the percentage of the number of cases where all of the children in out of home placement are placed together divided by the number of open cases with more than one child in out of home placement as of the end of the report month.

**Average Number of Children per Case** – This number takes the number of children in out of home placement from cases with more than one child in out of home placement and divides it by the number of cases with more than one child in out of home placement as of the end of the report month.




# DCS Practice Indicator Report Sibling Placement Report

May 2010

### May 2010

Location	Count of Sibling Cases	Cases With All Children Placed Together	Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases	Average Number of Children per Case
Statewide	2776	1981	71.4%	2.67
Region 8	63	48	76.2%	2.46
Clay	2	2	100.0%	3.00
Parke	1	1	100.0%	2.00
Sullivan	13	12	92.3%	2.38
Vermillion	6	3	50.0%	2.50
Vigo	41	30	73.2%	2.46


### Statewide Percent Together



### Region 8 For May 2009 to May 2010

Month	Count of Sibling Cases	Cases With All Children Placed Together	Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases	Average Number of Children per Case
May 2010	63	48	76.2%	2.46
April 2010	62	44	71.0%	2.50
March 2010	61	45	73.8%	2.43
February 2010	58	43	74.1%	2.52
January 2010	58	44	75.9%	2.50
December 2009	57	43	75.4%	2.51
November 2009	55	39	70.9%	2.53
October 2009	60	43	71.7%	2.50
September 2009	57	40	70.2%	2.53
August 2009	53	38	71.7%	2.58
July 2009	50	38	76.0%	2.52
June 2009	49	34	69.4%	2.57
May 2009	49	35	71.4%	2.49

### Region 8 Percent Together





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Length of Stay in Out of Home Placement

Children in Out of Home Placement by Length of Time Since Latest Removal  
For May 2010

### Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the end of the historical month listed. This report looks at CHINS children that were in out of home placement at the end of the month. It measures the number of days since the child was last removed from its home.

**One Year - 0 to 365 Days**– This is column shows data for CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 0 and 365 days since the child's removal.

**Count-** This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 0 and 365 days since the child's last removal.

**Percent-** This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 0 and 365 days since the child's last removal divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**One Year - 366 to 730 Days**– This is column shows data for CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 366 and 730 days since the c last removal.

**Count-** This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 366 and 730 days since the child's last removal.

**Percent-** This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 366 and 730 days since the child's last removal divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**One Year - 731 to 1095 Days**– This is column shows data for CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 731 to 1095 days since the child's last removal.

**Count-** This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 731 to 1095 days since the child's last removal.

**Percent-** This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 731 to 1095 days since the child's last removal divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**One Year - 1096 Days+**– This is column shows data for CHINS children that have been in out of home placement 1096 days or more since the child's last removal.

**Count-** This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement 1096 days or more since the child's last removal.

**Percent-** This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement 1096 days or more since the child's last removal divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**Length Per Child** – This column shows data for length of time of CHINS children who were in out of home placement at the end of the report month have been in out of home placement since the child's last removal from their home.

**Average-** This column calculates the average or "mean" length of time in out of home placement since the last removal per child in out of home placement at the end of the report month.

**Median-** This column calculates the median length of time in out of home placement since the last removal per child in out of home placement at the end of the report month.



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Length of Stay in Out of Home Placement

### Children in Out of Home Placement by Length of Time Since Latest Removal For May 2010

**May 2010**

Location	One Year 0 to 365 Days		Two Years 366 to 730 Days		Three Years 731 to 1095 Days		Three + Years 1096 Days+		Length Per Child	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Average	Median
Statewide	5,420	49.8%	2,537	23.3%	1,238	11.4%	1,692	15.5%	598.8	368.0
Region 8	146	62.4%	47	20.1%	22	9.4%	19	8.1%	473.6	289.0
Clay	8	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	302.9	315.0
Parke	4	57.1%	1	14.3%	1	14.3%	1	14.3%	439.4	96.3
Sullivan	27	69.2%	9	23.1%	2	5.1%	1	2.6%	312.5	163.6
Vermillion	20	83.3%	0	0.0%	1	4.2%	3	12.5%	330.4	190.0
Vigo	87	55.8%	37	23.7%	18	11.5%	14	9.0%	546.2	321.0


**Statewide Median Length**



**Region 8 For May 2009 to May 2010**

Month	One Year 0 to 365 Days		Two Years 366 to 730 Days		Three Years 731 to 1095 Days		Three + Years 1096 Days+		Length Per Child	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Average	Median
May 2010	146	62.4%	47	20.1%	22	9.4%	19	8.1%	473.6	289.0
April 2010	148	62.2%	53	22.3%	18	7.6%	19	8.0%	453.3	262.0
March 2010	141	60.3%	54	23.1%	19	8.1%	20	8.5%	451.6	252.5
February 2010	138	60.3%	53	23.1%	19	8.3%	19	8.3%	454.1	249.6
January 2010	142	62.6%	49	21.6%	19	8.4%	17	7.5%	448.2	229.6
December 2009	139	63.2%	46	20.9%	17	7.7%	18	8.2%	453.5	238.0
November 2009	132	61.1%	50	23.1%	17	7.9%	17	7.9%	437.3	246.5
October 2009	131	59.5%	52	23.6%	17	7.7%	20	9.1%	426.1	246.5
September 2009	125	59.8%	45	21.5%	22	10.5%	17	8.1%	446.2	243.3
August 2009	120	60.0%	42	21.0%	22	11.0%	16	8.0%	444.1	302.0
July 2009	104	55.3%	49	26.1%	16	8.5%	19	10.1%	507.1	328.0
June 2009	116	62.4%	35	18.8%	16	8.6%	19	10.2%	499.3	320.5
May 2009	117	60.9%	39	20.3%	14	7.3%	22	11.5%	523.9	314.0

**Region 8 Median Length**





# DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS and IA Permanency Report

For May 2010

## Report Description

This report uses CHINS and IA case types that have case type status end date occurring during the month. It then groups these cases using the closure reasons codes into categories of closure reasons. Case with closure reason code “Case opened in error – disregard” are filtered out for the purpose of this report.

Closure Reasons are group by:

Description	Category	Description	Category
Child Reunited with Parents	Reunification	Case closed; AG case created	Guardianship
Child never Removed from own home, wardship terminated	Reunification	Child placed with relatives	Relative
Extended wardship terminated (on court order for age 18 to 21)	Emancipation	Child Placed with Legal guardian	Guardianship
Child Returned to Primary Home	Reunification	Child Reached Age of Majority (age 18)	Emancipation
Period of IA ended code used for Informal Adjustment cases only	Reunification	Emancipated Court Ordered (age 18)	Emancipation
Child Adoption Finalized	Adoption	Child Already Reunited with parent (s) when wardship terminated	Reunification

The Permanency column “Total” refers to the total case with reason codes in the Reunification, Adoption, Relative, Guardianship, and Emancipation categories.

The Permanency column “Total within 24 months” refers to the total case that ended within 24 month of the case status type begin date in the Reunification, Adoption, Relative, Guardianship, and Emancipation categories.




# DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS and IA Permanency Report

For May 2010

## May 2010

Location	Closed Cases Types with Permanency Outcomes					Permanency		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation	Total	Within 24 Months	24 Month Rate
Statewide	681	107	10	40	27	865	744	86.0
Region 8	14	1	0	1	0	16	15	93.8
Clay	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	NA
Parke	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	NA
Sullivan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	NA
Vermillion	7	0	0	1	0	8	8	100.0
Vigo	7	1	0	0	0	8	7	87.5


## Statewide Permanency within 24 Months



## Region 8 For May 2009 to May 2010

Location	Closed Cases Types with Permanency Outcomes					Permanency		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation	Total	Within 24 Months	24 Month Rate
May 2010	14	1	0	1	0	16	15	93.8
Apr 2010	20	1	2	3	1	27	26	96.3
Mar 2010	16	2	0	3	0	21	21	100.0
Feb 2010	20	4	0	0	0	24	23	95.8
Jan 2010	15	1	0	0	0	16	16	100.0
Dec 2009	26	0	0	1	1	28	27	96.4
Nov 2009	11	2	0	0	0	13	10	76.9
Oct 2009	7	0	0	0	0	7	7	100.0
Sep 2009	8	2	0	1	0	11	11	100.0
Aug 2009	15	0	0	0	1	16	16	100.0
Jul 2009	15	0	0	0	1	16	16	100.0
Jun 2009	23	5	0	3	3	34	29	85.3
May 2009	20	1	0	4	0	25	24	96.0

## Region 8 Permanency within 24 Months





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Family Case Manager Contacts Report

For May 2010

## Report Description

This report uses data collected over at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the historical month listed. For the purpose of this report, a person is considered family for the child if they have the following relationships:

- Father
- Mother
- Stepfather
- Stepmother
- Grandfather
- Grandmother
- Pre-adoptive father
- Pre-adoptive mother
- Adoptive grandparent
- Legal Guardian

**Children Out of Home Entire Month** – This column shows the number of children in out of home placement at the end of the report month who also have a removal date prior to the first of the report month.

**Contact Information** – This section of the reports shows information regarding FCM contacts (from the contact screens) during the report month.

**FCM with Family** – This section of the report shows FCM contacts with the child's family (as described above) during the report month.

**Children** – This is the total number of children that the FCM had at least one contact with a member of the child's family during the report month.

**Rate** – This is the total number of children that FCM had at least one contact with a member of the child's family divided by the total number of children in out of home placement for the entire month (as described above).

**FCM with Child** – This section of the report shows FCM contacts with the child during the report month.

**Children** – This is the total number of children that the FCM had at least one contact with a the child during the report month.

**Rate** – This is the total number of children that FCM had at least one contact with the child divided by the total number of children in out of home placement for the entire month (as described above).

**FCM with Family and Child** – This section of the report shows FCM contacts with the child's family (as described above) **AND** the child during the report month. Contact with both had to have occurred for the child to be included in this section. The family and child do not need to present at the same contact, contact with each could have been made in two separate contacts.

**Children** – This is the total number of children that the FCM had at least one contact with a member of the child's family **and** at least one contact with the child during the report month.

**Rate** – This is the total number of children that FCM had at least one contact with a member of the child's family **and** at least one contact with the child during the report month divided by the total number of children in out of home placement for the entire month (as described above).



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Family Case Manager Contacts Report

### For May 2010

#### May 2010

Location	Children out of home entire month	Contact Information					
		FCM with Family		FCM with Child		FCM with Family and Child	
		Children	Rate	Children	Rate	Children	Rate
Statewide	10,479	2,927	27.9%	10,078	96.2%	2,889	27.6%
Region 8	234	100	42.7%	229	97.9%	99	42.3%
Clay	8	7	87.5%	8	100.0%	7	87.5%
Parke	7	6	85.7%	7	100.0%	6	85.7%
Sullivan	39	27	69.2%	39	100.0%	27	69.2%
Vermillion	24	7	29.2%	23	95.8%	7	29.2%
Vigo	156	53	34.0%	152	97.4%	52	33.3%


#### Statewide Child Contact Rate



#### Region 8 For May 2009 to May 2010

Month	Children out of home entire month	Contact Information					
		FCM with Family		FCM with Child		FCM with Family and Child	
		Children	Rate	Children	Rate	Children	Rate
May 2010	234	100	42.7%	229	97.9%	99	42.3%
Apr 2010	228	109	47.8%	226	99.1%	109	47.8%
Mar 2010	213	105	49.3%	210	98.6%	105	49.3%
Feb 2010	219	105	47.9%	215	98.2%	105	47.9%
Jan 2010	219	117	53.4%	218	99.5%	117	53.4%
Dec 2009	211	102	48.3%	211	100.0%	102	48.3%
Nov 2009	216	117	54.2%	214	99.1%	117	54.2%
Oct 2009	208	105	50.5%	205	98.6%	105	50.5%
Sep 2009	195	105	53.8%	195	100.0%	105	53.8%
Aug 2009	177	90	50.8%	175	98.9%	90	50.8%
Jul 2009	172	86	50.0%	172	100.0%	86	50.0%
Jun 2009	174	96	55.2%	173	99.4%	95	54.6%
May 2009	184	81	44.0%	184	100.0%	81	44.0%

#### Region 8 Child Contact Rate





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Child Visitation Report

For May 2010

## Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the historical month listed. For the purpose of this report, a person is considered family for the child if they have the following relationships:

- Father
- Mother
- Stepfather
- Stepmother
- Grandfather
- Grandmother
- Pre-adoptive father
- Pre-adoptive mother
- Adoptive grandparent
- Legal Guardian

**Children out of home with case plan goal of reunification** – This column shows the number of children in out of home placement at the end of the report month who also have reunification as the most recently entered primary case plan goal.

**Children with visits to their family during the month** – This section of the report shows data regarding children who have had a visit with the child's family (as described above) begin during the report month.

**Children** – This is the total number of children that the child had at least one visit with a member of the child's family during the report month.  
**Rate** – This is the total number of children that the child had at least one visit with a member of the child's family divided by the total number of children in out of home placement at the end of the report month with case plan goal of reunification(as described above).



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Child Visitation Report

### For May 2010

#### May 2010

Location	Children out of home with case plan goal of reunification	Children with visits to their family during the month	
		Children	Rate
Statewide	5,795	1,010	17.4%
Region 8	142	36	25.4%
Clay	8	8	100.0%
Parke	5	4	80.0%
Sullivan	26	5	19.2%
Vermillion	16	0	0.0%
Vigo	87	19	21.8%


#### Statewide Visitation Rate



#### Region 8 For May 2009 to May 2010

Month	Children out of home with case plan goal of reunification	Children with visits to their family during the month	
		Children	Rate
May 2010	142	36	25.4%
Apr 2010	142	47	33.1%
Mar 2010	127	37	29.1%
Feb 2010	131	50	38.2%
Jan 2010	129	52	40.3%
Dec 2009	119	55	46.2%
Nov 2009	132	54	40.9%
Oct 2009	121	54	44.6%
Sep 2009	111	51	45.9%
Aug 2009	97	39	40.2%
Jul 2009	90	47	52.2%
Jun 2009	109	53	48.6%
May 2009	107	62	57.9%

#### Region 8 Visitation Rate





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Absence of Repeat Maltreatment

For May 2010

## Report Description

This is a description of the key elements used in this report.

**Time Period** – The time period used in this report is the 12 months prior to the month of the report.

**Assessments during time period** – The assessment used in this report are assessment with BOTH report date AND approval date during the time period (12 months prior to the month of the report).

**Victim Selection** – The children used in this report were identified as having at least one substantiated allegation of abuse or neglect on an assessment during the time period.

**First Occurrence** – This looks at the victims of substantiated abuse or neglect during the first 6 months of the time period (6 to 12 months prior to the month of the report). If a child was a victim in multiple assessments with substantiated abuse or neglect, the assessment with the earliest report date is considered the first occurrence.

**Recurrence Time Period** – The time period used to see if a child is a substantiated victim in a recurring assessment of abuse or neglect is based off the report date of the first occurrence. The recurrence period begins one day after the first occurrence report date and ends 183 after the first occurrence report date ( $\geq 1^{\text{st}}$  Occurrence +1 and  $\leq 1^{\text{st}}$  Occurrence +183). If a child has multiple recurrences, the earliest report date in the recurring time period is considered the recurring assessment.

Here is a visual representation of an example. This is a report for December of a given year. The time period to review assessments would run from Jan 1<sup>st</sup> to Dec 31<sup>st</sup>. The time period for a first occurrence would be Jan 1<sup>st</sup> to Jun 30<sup>th</sup>. If a child had a first occurrence on Feb 7<sup>th</sup>, the recurrence period would run from Feb 8<sup>th</sup> to Aug 9<sup>th</sup>. If the child had a recurrence in Aug 5<sup>th</sup>, that would be considered repeat maltreatment. If the child had a recurrence Oct 10<sup>th</sup>, that would not be considered repeat maltreatment because it fell outside the recurrence period.

Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
Time Period (Jan 1 <sup>st</sup> to Dec 31 <sup>st</sup> )											
1 <sup>st</sup> Occurrence Time Period (Jan 1 <sup>st</sup> to Jun 30 <sup>th</sup> )											
			Recurrence Period (Feb 8 <sup>th</sup> to Aug 9 <sup>th</sup> )								

**Percent of absence of repeat maltreatment** – This calculations uses the terms defined above. The numerator is the number of children with a first occurrence minus the number of children with a recurrence. The denominator is the number of children with first occurrence.

$$\frac{(1^{\text{st}} \text{ Occurrence} - \text{Recurrence})}{1^{\text{st}} \text{ Occurrence}}$$

For the purposes of this report, the county the child is assigned to is based off the county of first occurrence. If a child has a recurrence in a different county, the repeat will show for the county of the first occurrence.



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Absence of Repeat Maltreatment

### For May 2010

#### May 2010

County	Victims from Jun 2009 to Dec 2009	Victims without a recurrence within 6 months	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent	National Standard
Statewide	11,860	10,977	92.55%	94.60%
Region 8	360	347	96.39%	94.60%
Clay	48	48	100.00%	94.60%
Parke	19	19	100.00%	94.60%
Sullivan	43	41	95.35%	94.60%
Vermillion	40	39	97.50%	94.60%
Vigo	210	200	95.24%	94.60%


#### Statewide Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



#### Region 8 For May 2009 to May 2010

County	Victims from Jun 2009 to Dec 2009	Victims without a recurrence within 6 months	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent	National Standard
May 2010	360	347	96.39%	94.60%
April 2010	355	339	95.49%	94.60%
March 2010	347	331	95.39%	94.60%
February 2010	328	315	96.04%	94.60%
January 2010	293	278	94.88%	94.60%
December 2009	268	253	94.40%	94.60%
November 2009	259	246	94.98%	94.60%
October 2009	245	231	94.29%	94.60%
September 2009	241	230	95.44%	94.60%
August 2009	227	216	95.15%	94.60%
July 2009	225	217	96.44%	94.60%
June 2009	232	222	95.69%	94.60%
May 2009	250	237	94.80%	94.60%

#### Region 8 Absence of Repeat Maltreatment





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Absence of Maltreatment in Foster Care

For May 2010

## Report Description

This is a description of the key elements used in this report.

**Time Period** – The time period used in this report is the 12 months prior to the month of the report.

**Assessments during the time period** – The assessment used in this report are assessment with BOTH report date AND approval date during the time period (12 months prior to the month of the report).

**Victim of maltreatment in Foster Care** – The children used in this report were identified as having at least one substantiated allegation of abuse or neglect on an assessment during the time period where the perpetrator is a foster parent or institutional staff.

**Children in Foster Care during the time period** – All CHINS children with an open removal episode at any time during the 12 months prior to the month of the report. Also included are Probation children (who are IV-E eligible) with an open removal episode at any time during the 12 months prior to the month of the report.

**Percent of absence of repeat maltreatment** – This calculations uses the terms defined above. The numerator is the number of children in foster care minus the number of victims of maltreatment in foster care. The denominator is the number of children in foster care.

$$\frac{(\text{Children in Foster Care} - \text{Victims of maltreatment in Foster Care})}{\text{Children in Foster Care}}$$



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Absence of Maltreatment in Foster Care

### For May 2010

#### For May 2010

Location	Children in Foster Care from Jun 2009 to May 2010	Substantiated Victims in Foster Care	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent	National Standard
Statewide	22,039	90	99.59%	<b>99.68%</b>
Region 8	471	1	99.79%	<b>99.68%</b>
Clay	23	0	100.00%	<b>99.68%</b>
Parke	22	0	100.00%	<b>99.68%</b>
Sullivan	66	0	100.00%	<b>99.68%</b>
Vermillion	56	0	100.00%	<b>99.68%</b>
Vigo	304	1	99.67%	<b>99.68%</b>

#### Statewide Trend of Absence of Maltreatment in Foster Care



#### Region 8 for May 2009 to May 2010

Month	Children in Foster Care from Jun 2009 to May 2010	Substantiated Victims in Foster Care	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent	National Standard
May 2010	471	1	99.79%	<b>99.68%</b>
April 2010	471	1	99.79%	<b>99.68%</b>
March 2010	464	1	99.78%	<b>99.68%</b>
February 2010	455	2	99.56%	<b>99.68%</b>
January 2010	449	1	99.78%	<b>99.68%</b>
December 2009	438	2	99.54%	<b>99.68%</b>
November 2009	434	2	99.54%	<b>99.68%</b>
October 2009	458	2	99.56%	<b>99.68%</b>
September 2009	453	2	99.56%	<b>99.68%</b>
August 2009	444	2	99.55%	<b>99.68%</b>
July 2009	428	2	99.53%	<b>99.68%</b>
June 2009	421	2	99.52%	<b>99.68%</b>
May 2009	427	2	99.53%	<b>99.68%</b>

#### Region 8 Trend of Absence of Maltreatment in Foster Care

