




*Protecting our children,  
families and future*

# Department of Child Services

## Practice Indicator Reports

### For May 2010



# Region 06

## May 2010

Data in the report (both historical and current) may be subject to change as it is continually being validated and updated. This may result in statistical data in previous reports to be inconsistent with statistics found in more recent reports even when the data pertain to the same time period.



**Published**  
**June 2010**



# DCS Practice Indicator Report Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

For May 2010

## Report Description

This report uses data collected over a rolling three month period ending with the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use a rolling three month period ending with the historical month listed.

**Reports Taken** – This section has data regarding the reports taken during the three month period ending with the report month.

**Count** – This is the total number of reports of abuse or neglect that are entered into ICWIS during the period ending with the report month.

**Percent Resulting in Screen Outs** – This is the percentage of reports that are screened out divided by the total reports taken during the period ending with the report month.

**Assessments Substantiated** – This section has data regarding the number of assessments with substantiated findings of abuse and/or neglect during the three month period ending with the report month.

**Count** – This is the total number of assessments with substantiated findings of abuse and/or neglect during the period ending with the report month.

**Percent Resulting in Removal** – This is the percentage of substantiated assessments during the period that resulted in removal of the child from the home by the end of the report month divided by the total number of substantiated assessments during the period ending with the report month.



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### For May 2010

#### May 2010

Location	Reports Taken For Mar 2010 to May 2010		Assessments Substantiated For Mar 2010 to May 2010	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	34,281	19.3%	7,427	30.9%
Region 6	1,272	21.31%	298	22.82%
Cass	216	21.8%	38	5.3%
Fulton	139	20.1%	39	28.2%
Howard	513	18.5%	102	18.6%
Miami	247	23.9%	79	19.0%
Wabash	157	26.8%	40	52.5%


#### Statewide Percent Removal Trend



#### Region 6 For May 2009 to May 2010

Month	Reports Taken For Mar 2010 to May 2010		Assessments Substantiated For Mar 2010 to May 2010	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
May 2010	1,272	21.3%	298	22.8%
Apr 2010	1,176	14.2%	279	24.7%
Mar 2010	1,070	9.4%	279	24.0%
Feb 2010	1,011	9.5%	285	29.5%
Jan 2010	1,008	8.6%	297	31.3%
Dec 2009	1,067	8.5%	312	31.7%
Nov 2009	1,136	7.9%	277	26.7%
Oct 2009	1,214	9.1%	277	25.3%
Sep 2009	1,201	9.3%	293	20.8%
Aug 2009	1,159	9.4%	312	22.8%
Jul 2009	1,144	8.8%	317	19.6%
Jun 2009	1,191	8.5%	289	17.3%
May 2009	1,224	8.7%	295	16.3%

#### Region 6 Percent Removal





# DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS Placements by County

For May 2010

## Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the end of the historical month listed. This report looks at CHINS children from CHINS cases that were open on the last day of the report month. It also collects where that child is located (in their own home or in substitute care).

**Total CHINS Count** – This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the report month.

**CHINS** – This section has data regarding all children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month.

**In Home** – This section has data regarding children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home divided by the total number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month.

**Foster Care** – This section has data regarding children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in out of home placement.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in out of home placement.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in out of home placement divided by the total number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month.

**Out of Home Placements** – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in out of home placement.

**Relative Homes** – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed with relatives.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed with relatives.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed with relatives divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**Foster Homes** – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in foster homes.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in foster homes.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in foster homes divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**Residential** – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in residential setting.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in residential setting.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in residential setting divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**Other** – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in other placement settings. Example would be a court ordered unlicensed placement.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in other placement settings.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in other placement settings divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.




# DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS Placements by County

For May 2010

## May 2010

Location	Total CHINS Count	CHINS				Out of Home Placements							
		In Home		Foster Care		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential		Other	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	15334	4446	29.0%	10,888	71.0%	3838	35.2%	5517	50.7%	1297	11.9%	236	2.2%
Region 6	345	71	20.6%	274	79.4%	45	16.4%	190	69.3%	37	13.5%	2	0.7%
Cass	50	12	24.0%	38	76.0%	9	23.7%	26	68.4%	3	7.9%	0	0.0%
Fulton	46	4	8.7%	42	91.3%	2	4.8%	38	90.5%	2	4.8%	0	0.0%
Howard	95	18	18.9%	77	81.1%	19	24.7%	47	61.0%	11	14.3%	0	0.0%
Miami	91	27	29.7%	64	70.3%	9	14.1%	39	60.9%	15	23.4%	1	1.6%
Wabash	63	10	15.9%	53	84.1%	6	11.3%	40	75.5%	6	11.3%	1	1.9%


## Statewide Foster Care Placement Breakdown



## Region 6 For May 2009 to May 2010

Month	Total CHINS Count	CHINS				Out of Home Placements							
		In Home		Foster Care		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential		Other	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
May 2010	345	71	20.6%	274	79.4%	45	16.4%	190	69.3%	37	13.5%	2	0.7%
Apr 2010	340	66	19.4%	274	80.6%	56	20.4%	179	65.3%	37	13.5%	2	0.7%
Mar 2010	355	71	20.0%	284	80.0%	57	20.1%	186	65.5%	39	13.7%	2	0.7%
Feb 2010	331	67	20.2%	264	79.8%	51	19.3%	177	67.0%	33	12.5%	3	1.1%
Jan 2010	331	68	20.5%	263	79.5%	46	17.5%	178	67.7%	33	12.5%	6	2.3%
Dec 2009	329	74	22.5%	255	77.5%	41	16.1%	174	68.2%	36	14.1%	4	1.6%
Nov 2009	333	82	24.6%	251	75.4%	33	13.1%	177	70.5%	37	14.7%	4	1.6%
Oct 2009	334	78	23.4%	256	76.6%	37	14.5%	179	69.9%	36	14.1%	4	1.6%
Sep 2009	303	78	25.7%	225	74.3%	36	16.0%	150	66.7%	35	15.6%	4	1.8%
Aug 2009	307	87	28.3%	220	71.7%	35	15.9%	142	64.5%	39	17.7%	4	1.8%
Jul 2009	314	82	26.1%	232	73.9%	32	13.8%	155	66.8%	41	17.7%	4	1.7%
Jun 2009	312	76	24.4%	236	75.6%	34	14.4%	163	69.1%	36	15.3%	3	1.3%
May 2009	322	82	25.5%	240	74.5%	33	13.8%	167	69.6%	37	15.4%	3	1.3%

## Region 6 Foster Care Placement Breakdown





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Use of Foster Care by County

May 2010

## Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the end of the historical month listed. This report looks at CHINS children from CHINS cases that were open on the last day of the report month. It also collects where that child is located (in their own home or in substitute care).

**Total CHINS Count** – This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the report month.

**In Home or Relative Care** – This section has data regarding children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home or in relative care.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home or in relative care.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home or in relative care divided by the total number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month.

**Target (At or Above) %** – The target for the percent of the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home or in relative care to the total number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month is 50% or higher.

**Non Relative Foster Care** – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are not in their own home or in relative care.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are not in their own home or in relative care.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are not in their own home or in relative care divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**Target (At or below) %** – The target for the percent of the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are not in their own home or in relative care to the total number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month is 50% or higher.




# DCS Practice Indicator Report Use of Foster Care by County

For May 2010

## May 2010

Location	Total CHINS	In Home or Relative Care		Target (At or Above) %	Non-Relative Foster Care		Target (At or Below) %
	Count	Count	%		Count	%	
Statewide	15334	8284	54.0%	50.0%	7,050	46.0%	50.0%
Region 6	345	116	33.6%	50.0%	229	66.4%	50.0%
Cass	50	21	42.0%	50.0%	29	58.0%	50.0%
Fulton	46	6	13.0%	50.0%	40	87.0%	50.0%
Howard	95	37	38.9%	50.0%	58	61.1%	50.0%
Miami	91	36	39.6%	50.0%	55	60.4%	50.0%
Wabash	63	16	25.4%	50.0%	47	74.6%	50.0%


## Statewide Foster Care Placement Breakdown



## Region 6 For May 2009 to May 2010

Month	Total CHINS	In Home or Relative Care		Target (At or Above) %	Non-Relative Foster Care		Target (At or Below) %
	Count	Count	%		Count	%	
May 2010	345	116	33.6%	50.0%	229	66.4%	50.0%
April 2010	340	122	35.9%	50.0%	218	64.1%	50.0%
March 2010	355	128	36.1%	50.0%	227	63.9%	50.0%
February 2010	331	118	35.6%	50.0%	213	64.4%	50.0%
January 2010	331	114	34.4%	50.0%	217	65.6%	50.0%
December 2009	329	115	35.0%	50.0%	214	65.0%	50.0%
November 2009	333	115	34.5%	50.0%	218	65.5%	50.0%
October 2009	334	115	34.4%	50.0%	219	65.6%	50.0%
September 2009	303	114	37.6%	50.0%	189	62.4%	50.0%
August 2009	307	122	39.7%	50.0%	185	60.3%	50.0%
July 2009	314	114	36.3%	50.0%	200	63.7%	50.0%
June 2009	312	110	35.3%	50.0%	202	64.7%	50.0%
May 2009	322	115	35.7%	50.0%	207	64.3%	50.0%

## Region 6 Foster Care Placement Breakdown





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Locally Placed CHINS

For May 2010

## Report Description

This report uses data collected over at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the historical month listed.

**Total Out of Home Placements** – This is the total number of CHINS children that are in out of home placement on the last day of the report month.

**Placed in Same County** – This is the total number of CHINS children that are placed in the same county as the county of their case.

**Percent Locally Placed** – This is the percentage of CHINS children that are placed in the same county as the county of their case divided by the total number of CHINS children which are in out of home placement on the last day of the report month.




# DCS Practice Indicator Report Locally Placed CHINS

For May 2010

### May 2010

Location	Total Out of Home Placements	Placed in Same County	Percent Locally Placed
Statewide	10,888	8,082	74.2%
Region 6	274	119	43.4%
Cass	38	17	44.7%
Fulton	42	13	31.0%
Howard	77	50	64.9%
Miami	64	16	25.0%
Wabash	53	23	43.4%


### Statewide Percent Placed Locally



### Region 6 For May 2009 to May 2010

Month	Total Out of Home Placements	Placed in Same County	Percent Locally Placed
May 2010	274	119	43.4%
Apr 2010	274	131	47.8%
Mar 2010	284	144	50.7%
Feb 2010	264	125	47.3%
Jan 2010	263	128	48.7%
Dec 2009	255	123	48.2%
Nov 2009	251	116	46.2%
Oct 2009	256	122	47.7%
Sep 2009	225	115	51.1%
Aug 2009	220	110	50.0%
Jul 2009	232	113	48.7%
Jun 2009	236	121	51.3%
May 2009	240	124	51.7%

### Region 6 Percent Placed Locally





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Average Number of Placements

For May 2010

## Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the historical month listed.

**Average Number of Placements Per Child** – This is the total number of out of home placements for each CHINS child in out of home placement on the last day of the report month. This includes all placements during the current removal episode. If a child had at least one placement end in the first 8 days from removal, then that child's number of placements was reduced by one.

This number is divided by the total number CHINS children who are in out of home placement on the last day of the report month.




# DCS Practice Indicator Report Average Number of Placements

For May 2009 to May 2010

## May 2010

Location	Average Number of Placements Per Child
Statewide	2.5
Region 6	2.2
Cass	1.6
Fulton	1.8
Howard	2.9
Miami	2.2
Wabash	2.0


## Statewide Average Number of Placements



## Region 6 For May 2009 to May 2010

Month	Average Number of Placements Per Child
May 2010	2.2
April 2010	2.2
March 2010	2.3
February 2010	2.3
January 2010	2.3
December 2009	2.3
November 2009	2.3
October 2009	2.3
September 2009	2.4
August 2009	2.4
July 2009	2.4
June 2009	2.3
May 2009	2.2

## Region 6 Average Number of Placements





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Sibling Placement Report

For May 2010

## Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the end of the historical month listed.

**Count of Sibling Cases** – This is the number of open cases as of the end of the report month which have more than one child in out of home placement at the end of the report month.

**Cases With All Children Placed Together** – This is the number of cases with more than one child in out of home placement where all of the children are placed in the same resource with the same resource ID.

**Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases**– This is the percentage of the number of cases where all of the children in out of home placement are placed together divided by the number of open cases with more than one child in out of home placement as of the end of the report month.

**Average Number of Children per Case** – This number takes the number of children in out of home placement from cases with more than one child in out of home placement and divides it by the number of cases with more than one child in out of home placement as of the end of the report month.




# DCS Practice Indicator Report Sibling Placement Report

May 2010

## May 2010

Location	Count of Sibling Cases	Cases With All Children Placed Together	Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases	Average Number of Children per Case
Statewide	2776	1981	71.4%	2.67
Region 6	71	56	78.9%	2.46
Cass	12	9	75.0%	2.75
Fulton	13	13	100.0%	2.69
Howard	17	13	76.5%	2.24
Miami	16	13	81.3%	2.19
Wabash	13	8	61.5%	2.62


## Statewide Percent Together



## Region 6 For May 2009 to May 2010

Month	Count of Sibling Cases	Cases With All Children Placed Together	Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases	Average Number of Children per Case
May 2010	71	56	78.9%	2.46
April 2010	70	55	78.6%	2.43
March 2010	74	59	79.7%	2.45
February 2010	68	53	77.9%	2.43
January 2010	66	51	77.3%	2.45
December 2009	64	50	78.1%	2.42
November 2009	62	52	83.9%	2.44
October 2009	66	57	86.4%	2.36
September 2009	57	50	87.7%	2.28
August 2009	56	49	87.5%	2.29
July 2009	59	51	86.4%	2.31
June 2009	60	54	90.0%	2.32
May 2009	61	56	91.8%	2.33

## Region 6 Percent Together





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Length of Stay in Out of Home Placement

### Children in Out of Home Placement by Length of Time Since Latest Removal

For May 2010

#### Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the end of the historical month listed. This report looks at CHINS children that were in out of home placement at the end of the month. It measures the number of days since the child was last removed from its home.

**One Year - 0 to 365 Days**– This is column shows data for CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 0 and 365 days since the child's removal.

**Count-** This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 0 and 365 days since the child's last removal.

**Percent-** This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 0 and 365 days since the child's last removal divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**One Year - 366 to 730 Days**– This is column shows data for CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 366 and 730 days since the c last removal.

**Count-** This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 366 and 730 days since the child's last removal.

**Percent-** This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 366 and 730 days since the child's last removal divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**One Year - 731 to 1095 Days**– This is column shows data for CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 731 to 1095 days since the child's last removal.

**Count-** This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 731 to 1095 days since the child's last removal.

**Percent-** This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 731 to 1095 days since the child's last removal divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**One Year - 1096 Days+**– This is column shows data for CHINS children that have been in out of home placement 1096 days or more since the child's last removal.

**Count-** This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement 1096 days or more since the child's last removal.

**Percent-** This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement 1096 days or more since the child's last removal divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**Length Per Child** – This column shows data for length of time of CHINS children who were in out of home placement at the end of the report month have been in out of home placement since the child's last removal from their home.

**Average-** This column calculates the average or "mean" length of time in out of home placement since the last removal per child in out of home placement at the end of the report month.

**Median-** This column calculates the median length of time in out of home placement since the last removal per child in out of home placement at the end of the report month.



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Length of Stay in Out of Home Placement

### Children in Out of Home Placement by Length of Time Since Latest Removal For May 2010

**May 2010**

Location	One Year 0 to 365 Days		Two Years 366 to 730 Days		Three Years 731 to 1095 Days		Three + Years 1096 Days+		Length Per Child	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Average	Median
Statewide	5,420	49.8%	2,537	23.3%	1,238	11.4%	1,692	15.5%	598.8	368.0
Region 6	149	54.4%	65	23.7%	24	8.8%	36	13.1%	566.6	313.5
Cass	24	63.2%	5	13.2%	8	21.1%	1	2.6%	442.6	234.5
Fulton	27	64.3%	9	21.4%	1	2.4%	5	11.9%	471.1	224.0
Howard	29	37.7%	25	32.5%	9	11.7%	14	18.2%	716.7	507.5
Miami	29	45.3%	22	34.4%	5	7.8%	8	12.5%	580.7	441.0
Wabash	40	75.5%	4	7.5%	1	1.9%	8	15.1%	496.2	91.2


**Statewide Median Length**



**Region 6 For May 2009 to May 2010**

Month	One Year 0 to 365 Days		Two Years 366 to 730 Days		Three Years 731 to 1095 Days		Three + Years 1096 Days+		Length Per Child	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Average	Median
May 2010	149	54.4%	65	23.7%	24	8.8%	36	13.1%	566.6	313.5
April 2010	149	54.4%	69	25.2%	21	7.7%	35	12.8%	558.0	304.5
March 2010	150	52.8%	73	25.7%	25	8.8%	36	12.7%	562.8	315.0
February 2010	129	48.9%	70	26.5%	25	9.5%	40	15.2%	627.2	382.0
January 2010	131	49.8%	70	26.6%	23	8.7%	39	14.8%	615.0	380.6
December 2009	122	47.8%	69	27.1%	28	11.0%	36	14.1%	623.7	403.5
November 2009	121	48.2%	65	25.9%	28	11.2%	37	14.7%	616.7	385.6
October 2009	133	52.0%	62	24.2%	25	9.8%	36	14.1%	593.5	360.0
September 2009	105	46.7%	61	27.1%	23	10.2%	36	16.0%	652.3	398.6
August 2009	106	48.2%	57	25.9%	22	10.0%	35	15.9%	640.6	374.5
July 2009	107	46.1%	62	26.7%	30	12.9%	33	14.2%	641.2	379.0
June 2009	117	49.6%	59	25.0%	27	11.4%	33	14.0%	616.5	382.5
May 2009	123	51.3%	58	24.2%	26	10.8%	33	13.8%	599.8	361.0

**Region 6 Median Length**





# DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS and IA Permanency Report

For May 2010

## Report Description

This report uses CHINS and IA case types that have case type status end date occurring during the month. It then groups these cases using the closure reasons codes into categories of closure reasons. Case with closure reason code “Case opened in error – disregard” are filtered out for the purpose of this report.

Closure Reasons are group by:

Description	Category	Description	Category
Child Reunited with Parents	Reunification	Case closed; AG case created	Guardianship
Child never Removed from own home, wardship terminated	Reunification	Child placed with relatives	Relative
Extended wardship terminated (on court order for age 18 to 21)	Emancipation	Child Placed with Legal guardian	Guardianship
Child Returned to Primary Home	Reunification	Child Reached Age of Majority (age 18)	Emancipation
Period of IA ended code used for Informal Adjustment cases only	Reunification	Emancipated Court Ordered (age 18)	Emancipation
Child Adoption Finalized	Adoption	Child Already Reunited with parent (s) when wardship terminated	Reunification

The Permanency column “Total” refers to the total case with reason codes in the Reunification, Adoption, Relative, Guardianship, and Emancipation categories.

The Permanency column “Total within 24 months” refers to the total case that ended within 24 month of the case status type begin date in the Reunification, Adoption, Relative, Guardianship, and Emancipation categories.




# DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS and IA Permanency Report

For May 2010

## May 2010

Location	Closed Cases Types with Permanency Outcomes					Permanency		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation	Total	Within 24 Months	24 Month Rate
Statewide	681	107	10	40	27	865	744	86.0
Region 6	15	1	0	0	0	16	12	75.0
Cass	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	100.0
Fulton	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0.0
Howard	5	1	0	0	0	6	3	50.0
Miami	7	0	0	0	0	7	7	100.0
Wabash	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	NA


## Statewide Permanency within 24 Months



## Region 6 For May 2009 to May 2010

Location	Closed Cases Types with Permanency Outcomes					Permanency		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation	Total	Within 24 Months	24 Month Rate
May 2010	15	1	0	0	0	16	12	75.0
Apr 2010	12	2	0	1	1	16	13	81.3
Mar 2010	23	3	0	0	2	28	23	82.1
Feb 2010	19	0	0	2	0	21	21	100.0
Jan 2010	17	0	0	0	0	17	14	82.4
Dec 2009	16	0	0	3	0	19	19	100.0
Nov 2009	11	0	0	3	0	14	14	100.0
Oct 2009	3	0	0	0	0	3	2	66.7
Sep 2009	13	2	0	2	0	17	14	82.4
Aug 2009	14	6	1	0	2	23	15	65.2
Jul 2009	17	6	1	0	2	26	17	65.4
Jun 2009	23	1	1	0	0	25	20	80.0
May 2009	12	0	0	0	0	12	12	100.0

## Region 6 Permanency within 24 Months





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Family Case Manager Contacts Report

For May 2010

## Report Description

This report uses data collected over at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the historical month listed. For the purpose of this report, a person is considered family for the child if they have the following relationships:

- Father
- Mother
- Stepfather
- Stepmother
- Grandfather
- Grandmother
- Pre-adoptive father
- Pre-adoptive mother
- Adoptive grandparent
- Legal Guardian

**Children Out of Home Entire Month** – This column shows the number of children in out of home placement at the end of the report month who also have a removal date prior to the first of the report month.

**Contact Information** – This section of the reports shows information regarding FCM contacts (from the contact screens) during the report month.

**FCM with Family** – This section of the report shows FCM contacts with the child's family (as described above) during the report month.

**Children** – This is the total number of children that the FCM had at least one contact with a member of the child's family during the report month.

**Rate** – This is the total number of children that FCM had at least one contact with a member of the child's family divided by the total number of children in out of home placement for the entire month (as described above).

**FCM with Child** – This section of the report shows FCM contacts with the child during the report month.

**Children** – This is the total number of children that the FCM had at least one contact with a the child during the report month.

**Rate** – This is the total number of children that FCM had at least one contact with the child divided by the total number of children in out of home placement for the entire month (as described above).

**FCM with Family and Child** – This section of the report shows FCM contacts with the child's family (as described above) **AND** the child during the report month. Contact with both had to have occurred for the child to be included in this section. The family and child do not need to present at the same contact, contact with each could have been made in two separate contacts.

**Children** – This is the total number of children that the FCM had at least one contact with a member of the child's family **and** at least one contact with the child during the report month.

**Rate** – This is the total number of children that FCM had at least one contact with a member of the child's family **and** at least one contact with the child during the report month divided by the total number of children in out of home placement for the entire month (as described above).



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Family Case Manager Contacts Report

### For May 2010

#### May 2010

Location	Children out of home entire month	Contact Information					
		FCM with Family		FCM with Child		FCM with Family and Child	
		Children	Rate	Children	Rate	Children	Rate
Statewide	10,479	2,927	27.9%	10,078	96.2%	2,889	27.6%
Region 6	262	93	35.5%	245	93.5%	92	35.1%
Cass	36	23	63.9%	36	100.0%	23	63.9%
Fulton	39	19	48.7%	38	97.4%	19	48.7%
Howard	77	33	42.9%	73	94.8%	32	41.6%
Miami	66	10	15.2%	59	89.4%	10	15.2%
Wabash	44	8	18.2%	39	88.6%	8	18.2%


#### Statewide Child Contact Rate



#### Region 6 For May 2009 to May 2010

Month	Children out of home entire month	Contact Information					
		FCM with Family		FCM with Child		FCM with Family and Child	
		Children	Rate	Children	Rate	Children	Rate
May 2010	262	93	35.5%	245	93.5%	92	35.1%
Apr 2010	272	130	47.8%	265	97.4%	130	47.8%
Mar 2010	255	101	39.6%	241	94.5%	99	38.8%
Feb 2010	255	88	34.5%	243	95.3%	88	34.5%
Jan 2010	254	92	36.2%	245	96.5%	90	35.4%
Dec 2009	247	89	36.0%	241	97.6%	89	36.0%
Nov 2009	245	81	33.1%	211	86.1%	77	31.4%
Oct 2009	231	69	29.9%	218	94.4%	68	29.4%
Sep 2009	222	70	31.5%	204	91.9%	67	30.2%
Aug 2009	214	75	35.0%	197	92.1%	73	34.1%
Jul 2009	228	86	37.7%	223	97.8%	86	37.7%
Jun 2009	229	81	35.4%	210	91.7%	79	34.5%
May 2009	232	86	37.1%	219	94.4%	86	37.1%

#### Region 6 Child Contact Rate





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Child Visitation Report

For May 2010

## Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the historical month listed. For the purpose of this report, a person is considered family for the child if they have the following relationships:

- Father
- Mother
- Stepfather
- Stepmother
- Grandfather
- Grandmother
- Pre-adoptive father
- Pre-adoptive mother
- Adoptive grandparent
- Legal Guardian

**Children out of home with case plan goal of reunification** – This column shows the number of children in out of home placement at the end of the report month who also have reunification as the most recently entered primary case plan goal.

**Children with visits to their family during the month** – This section of the report shows data regarding children who have had a visit with the child's family (as described above) begin during the report month.

**Children** – This is the total number of children that the child had at least one visit with a member of the child's family during the report month.

**Rate** – This is the total number of children that the child had at least one visit with a member of the child's family divided by the total number of children in out of home placement at the end of the report month with case plan goal of reunification(as described above).



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Child Visitation Report

### For May 2010

#### May 2010

Location	Children out of home with case plan goal of reunification	Children with visits to their family during the month	
		Children	Rate
Statewide	5,795	1,010	17.4%
Region 6	145	51	35.2%
Cass	19	11	57.9%
Fulton	27	14	51.9%
Howard	38	20	52.6%
Miami	33	5	15.2%
Wabash	28	1	3.6%


#### Statewide Visitation Rate



#### Region 6 For May 2009 to May 2010

Month	Children out of home with case plan goal of reunification	Children with visits to their family during the month	
		Children	Rate
May 2010	145	51	35.2%
Apr 2010	145	51	35.2%
Mar 2010	145	52	35.9%
Feb 2010	137	46	33.6%
Jan 2010	134	42	31.3%
Dec 2009	117	44	37.6%
Nov 2009	84	21	25.0%
Oct 2009	88	21	23.9%
Sep 2009	106	23	21.7%
Aug 2009	111	28	25.2%
Jul 2009	118	27	22.9%
Jun 2009	112	40	35.7%
May 2009	121	33	27.3%

#### Region 6 Visitation Rate





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Absence of Repeat Maltreatment

For May 2010

## Report Description

This is a description of the key elements used in this report.

**Time Period** – The time period used in this report is the 12 months prior to the month of the report.

**Assessments during time period** – The assessment used in this report are assessment with BOTH report date AND approval date during the time period (12 months prior to the month of the report).

**Victim Selection** – The children used in this report were identified as having at least one substantiated allegation of abuse or neglect on an assessment during the time period.

**First Occurrence** – This looks at the victims of substantiated abuse or neglect during the first 6 months of the time period (6 to 12 months prior to the month of the report). If a child was a victim in multiple assessments with substantiated abuse or neglect, the assessment with the earliest report date is considered the first occurrence.

**Recurrence Time Period** – The time period used to see if a child is a substantiated victim in a recurring assessment of abuse or neglect is based off the report date of the first occurrence. The recurrence period begins one day after the first occurrence report date and ends 183 after the first occurrence report date ( $\geq 1^{\text{st}}$  Occurrence +1 and  $\leq 1^{\text{st}}$  Occurrence +183). If a child has multiple recurrences, the earliest report date in the recurring time period is considered the recurring assessment.

Here is a visual representation of an example. This is a report for December of a given year. The time period to review assessments would run from Jan 1<sup>st</sup> to Dec 31<sup>st</sup>. The time period for a first occurrence would be Jan 1<sup>st</sup> to Jun 30<sup>th</sup>. If a child had a first occurrence on Feb 7<sup>th</sup>, the recurrence period would run from Feb 8<sup>th</sup> to Aug 9<sup>th</sup>. If the child had a recurrence in Aug 5<sup>th</sup>, that would be considered repeat maltreatment. If the child had a recurrence Oct 10<sup>th</sup>, that would not be considered repeat maltreatment because it fell outside the recurrence period.

Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
Time Period (Jan 1 <sup>st</sup> to Dec 31 <sup>st</sup> )											
1 <sup>st</sup> Occurrence Time Period (Jan 1 <sup>st</sup> to Jun 30 <sup>th</sup> )											
			Recurrence Period (Feb 8 <sup>th</sup> to Aug 9 <sup>th</sup> )								

**Percent of absence of repeat maltreatment** – This calculations uses the terms defined above. The numerator is the number of children with a first occurrence minus the number of children with a recurrence. The denominator is the number of children with first occurrence.

$$\frac{(1^{\text{st}} \text{ Occurrence} - \text{Recurrence})}{1^{\text{st}} \text{ Occurrence}}$$

For the purposes of this report, the county the child is assigned to is based off the county of first occurrence. If a child has a recurrence in a different county, the repeat will show for the county of the first occurrence.



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Absence of Repeat Maltreatment

### For May 2010

#### May 2010

County	Victims from Jun 2009 to Dec 2009	Victims without a recurrence within 6 months	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent	National Standard
Statewide	11,860	10,977	92.55%	94.60%
Region 6	521	462	88.68%	94.60%
Cass	68	63	92.65%	94.60%
Fulton	44	40	90.91%	94.60%
Howard	257	224	87.16%	94.60%
Miami	108	95	87.96%	94.60%
Wabash	44	40	90.91%	94.60%


#### Statewide Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



#### Region 6 For May 2009 to May 2010

County	Victims from Jun 2009 to Dec 2009	Victims without a recurrence within 6 months	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent	National Standard
May 2010	521	462	88.68%	94.60%
April 2010	524	478	91.22%	94.60%
March 2010	513	464	90.45%	94.60%
February 2010	503	461	91.65%	94.60%
January 2010	486	450	92.59%	94.60%
December 2009	489	452	92.43%	94.60%
November 2009	455	419	92.09%	94.60%
October 2009	409	377	92.18%	94.60%
September 2009	401	372	92.77%	94.60%
August 2009	380	354	93.16%	94.60%
July 2009	409	389	95.11%	94.60%
June 2009	397	376	94.71%	94.60%
May 2009	385	361	93.77%	94.60%

#### Region 6 Absence of Repeat Maltreatment





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Absence of Maltreatment in Foster Care

For May 2010

### Report Description

This is a description of the key elements used in this report.

**Time Period** – The time period used in this report is the 12 months prior to the month of the report.

**Assessments during the time period** – The assessment used in this report are assessment with BOTH report date AND approval date during the time period (12 months prior to the month of the report).

**Victim of maltreatment in Foster Care** – The children used in this report were identified as having at least one substantiated allegation of abuse or neglect on an assessment during the time period where the perpetrator is a foster parent or institutional staff.

**Children in Foster Care during the time period** – All CHINS children with an open removal episode at any time during the 12 months prior to the month of the report. Also included are Probation children (who are IV-E eligible) with an open removal episode at any time during the 12 months prior to the month of the report.

**Percent of absence of repeat maltreatment** – This calculations uses the terms defined above. The numerator is the number of children in foster care minus the number of victims of maltreatment in foster care. The denominator is the number of children in foster care.

$$\frac{(\text{Children in Foster Care} - \text{Victims of maltreatment in Foster Care})}{\text{Children in Foster Care}}$$



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Absence of Maltreatment in Foster Care

### For May 2010

#### For May 2010

Location	Children in Foster Care from Jun 2009 to May 2010	Substantiated Victims in Foster Care	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent	National Standard
Statewide	22,039	90	99.59%	<b>99.68%</b>
Region 6	580	0	100.00%	<b>99.68%</b>
Cass	72	0	100.00%	<b>99.68%</b>
Fulton	62	0	100.00%	<b>99.68%</b>
Howard	180	0	100.00%	<b>99.68%</b>
Miami	152	0	100.00%	<b>99.68%</b>
Wabash	114	0	100.00%	<b>99.68%</b>

#### Statewide Trend of Absence of Maltreatment in Foster Care



#### Region 6 for May 2009 to May 2010

Month	Children in Foster Care from Jun 2009 to May 2010	Substantiated Victims in Foster Care	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent	National Standard
May 2010	580	0	100.00%	<b>99.68%</b>
April 2010	571	1	99.82%	<b>99.68%</b>
March 2010	565	2	99.65%	<b>99.68%</b>
February 2010	540	3	99.44%	<b>99.68%</b>
January 2010	540	3	99.44%	<b>99.68%</b>
December 2009	554	2	99.64%	<b>99.68%</b>
November 2009	538	3	99.44%	<b>99.68%</b>
October 2009	544	3	99.45%	<b>99.68%</b>
September 2009	527	6	98.86%	<b>99.68%</b>
August 2009	530	7	98.68%	<b>99.68%</b>
July 2009	539	7	98.70%	<b>99.68%</b>
June 2009	560	7	98.75%	<b>99.68%</b>
May 2009	567	7	98.77%	<b>99.68%</b>

#### Region 6 Trend of Absence of Maltreatment in Foster Care

