INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF CHILD SERVICES

Protecting our children, families and future

Demographic Trends Report
State Fiscal Year 2005
(July 2004 to June 2005)

2005

State of Indiana

Mitchell E. Daniels, Jr., Governor
James W. Payne, Director

Indiana Department of Child Services
Room W392 - MS03
402 W. Washington Street
Indianapolis, Indiana 46204-2739

317-232-4705
FAX: 317-232-4490

www.in.gov/dcs

Child Abuse and Neglect Hotline: 800-800-5556

September 20, 2006

Attached is the Demographic Trends Report for SFY 2005, which covers the period from July 2004 to June 2005. After an initial review of that report, we believe the statistics and data are consistent with the relevant information upon which this extensive report is based. Our goal is to make the public aware of and able to measure the progress and effectiveness of the Department. This data report should be written in a manner that informs and allows the citizens of the State of Indiana and other interested parties to review the report and take from it, in an informed manner, those matters of concern and interest with particular regard to evaluating the trends that may be occurring.

Consistent with the practice of being open and transparent, the Department of Child Services and this Administration are reporting that this is the information traditionally and typically reported from the statistical database. However, we do not believe that it is appropriately designed so that it will inform and allow individuals to make appropriate evaluations of the current status.

Therefore, while the information, data and statistics in the Report have been submitted in this manner for several years, it is our intention, within the next year to evaluate and then modify this report so that it better informs the public, the media and others of the accurate status of the circumstances regarding children and families in the State of Indiana. Therefore, we are presenting this as the report typically provided with notice that an evaluation will be done and a Demographic Trends Report released regarding the past fiscal report (July 2005 to June 2006) that records, reports and informs in a more comprehensive and meaningful format

Sincerely,

James W. Payne, Director
Department of Child Service

Protecting our children, families and future

Department of Child Services
Demographic Trends Report
State Fiscal Year 2005

This year has been one of significant change for the agency responsible for protecting Indiana's most vulnerable citizens, its children. As the state fiscal year began in July 2004, the responsibility for child protection and child support belonged to the Bureau of Family Protection and Preservation and the Bureau of Child Support, respectively. These bureaus were part of the Division of Family and Children, under the umbrella of the Family and Social Services Administration. In January of 2005, newly elected Governor Mitchell E. Daniels, Jr. raised the level of attention for these critical matters and formed the Indiana Department of Child Services (DCS) under an executive order. This new cabinet-level agency was charged with administering both child protection and child support. All efforts support the Governor's goal of improving services to Hoosier children through these two areas which Hoosiers across the state have also identified as failing to properly serve children and families.

Governor Daniels then appointed as director a twenty-year veteran of the Marion County Juvenile Court, Judge James W. Payne, a man known for his deep commitment to children and to innovative practices. In addition, he committed to provide up to 800 new child welfare case managers with the goal of making it possible for Indiana to meet the standards for investigations and case management prescribed by the Child Welfare League of America (CWLA). With this, the Indiana Department of Child Services (DCS) was born.

Director Payne began by developing a plan outlining his vision for the new department including restructuring, regionalization, new hiring and training standards for child welfare employees and ways to increase child support collections through a single disbursement unit and improvement in establishing paternity. In addition, he worked with legislators to formalize what the governor began.

Legislation

The 2005 legislation passed was historic in demonstrating the commitment by the State of Indiana to protect and support children and in providing the necessary tools and authority to do so. Senate Bill 529 accomplished the following:

- Created the Indiana Department of Child Services effective July 1, 2005. It established areas of authority and responsibility including child abuse and neglect, foster care, independent living, child support and paternity establishment. It also established the framework under which the department shall operate as a cabinet level position.
- Gave the department the authority to work beyond the geopolitical boundaries of counties and to work in regions.
- Gave statutory authority for the state to become compliant with the federal Child Abuse Prevention and Treatment Act (CAPTA).
- Cleared up inconsistent language in child support.

To view Senate Enrolled Act 529 in its entirety follow link to
http://www.in.gov/legislative/bills/2005/SE/SE0529.1.html

House Bill 1001 set out the budget for the new department over the biennium. It allows for the hiring of 400 new family case managers and supervisors over the two years. Furthermore, it requires caseload standards of no more than 12 new investigations per case manager per month and no more than 17 children in ongoing cases per case manager at any given time. These

standards, as established by the Child Welfare League of America, must be met by July 1, 2008.

Reorganization

It is clear that reorganization alone does not solve problems. However, the organizational structure within the former Division of Family and Children (DFC) of the Family and Social Services Administration (FSSA), from which DCS was formed, was inadequate and inappropriate. New divisions under DCS, each with a deputy director, were formed and responsibilities were realigned. This realignment allows for a higher degree of management and scrutiny in seven areas: Administrative Services, Child Support, Field Operations, Legal Operations, Policy and Quality Assurance, Staff Development and Programs and Services. Heading the executive team is the Chief of Staff, reporting to the Director.

Each deputy is charged with defining the structure and objectives of his/her division in order to meet the division goals. All staff work toward the shared vision which affirms "children thrive in safe, supportive and caring families and communities." All staff are committed to the simple yet complex mission "to protect children from abuse and neglect by partnering with families and communities to provide safe, nurturing and stable homes."

The work of the department is also guided by these values:

1. We believe every child has the right to be free from abuse and neglect.
2. We believe every child has the right to appropriate care and a permanent home.
3. We believe parents have the primary responsibility for the care and safety of their children.
4. We believe the most desirable place for children to grow up is with their own families, when these families are able to provide safe nurturing and stable homes.
5. We believe in personal accountability for outcomes, including one's growth and development.
6. We believe every person has value, worth and dignity.

Regionalization

Additional need for reorganization was identified within the regional structure of the former Division of Family and Children (DFC). Six regions plus one stand alone county, Marion (the largest county in the state and the county which encompasses Indianapolis), were used for management. Except for Marion County, each regional manager was responsible for as many as 15 counties. As a result, it made it difficult for managers to focus adequate attention to any single county, know the staff or understand county-specific service delivery systems and needs.

In order to meet the objectives of realigning the regions to allow for better management of staff and children under the state's care, the regional structures of other state agencies were reviewed. After extensive analysis of nine pertinent data points such as number of investigations per county, number of CHINS cases, number of foster homes and county population and size, the regional structure selected was the same as that of the Indiana State Police. Although some modifications were made to even out the deviation in child welfare data between regions, the structure fit DCS needs quite well. A map of the regions can be found as Attachment A.

With the new regions, managers are expected to chair regional councils that address the service delivery needs and deficits within the region. Economies of scale can be expected as multiple

counties combine their purchasing power. Under this system, small counties will have access to services unavailable under the old model. Eventually, every county budget will be managed more consistently and uniformly.

To assist DCS with the reorganization both department-wide and regionally, DCS is working with the Casey Strategic Consulting Group of the Annie E. Casey Foundation of Baltimore, Maryland. They are now in the process of interviewing staff in the central and local offices and will present their recommendations in September. After that review, Casey consultants will focus on the development of resource families across all regions.

Hiring

With the advent of adding 400 new staff members within two years, hiring practices were reviewed and found inadequate. Minimum qualifications for family case managers (FCM) were changed to ensure a more professional and prepared staff. New FCMs' must have a college degree in social work or a related field. Previously, this was not required, although the vast majority of staff had college degrees. Attachment B details the new requirements for case managers.

The screening and interviewing process was centralized to ensure that standards were upheld and to allow for close management and monitoring of where case managers should be assigned. New positions and vacancies are filled based on need, filling positions in counties working under the highest case loads first. The centralized process also allows for a regional "feeder" system.

Training

An added benefit of a centralized hiring system is that it allows for better application of training requirements for new hires. Previously, staff was hired and signed up for training in a haphazard manner with the only requirement being the completion of all training modules within the first year of hire. Training was inconsistent, with most training occurring on the job at the local level. Many case managers carried caseloads before completing training.

The training for new staff has been completely reconfigured and condensed into a twelve week course required for all new case managers prior to handling a caseload. The course begins with a new "Getting to Know DCS" module, followed by core classes on child protection and opportunities to further integrate the classes through transfer of learning exercises. Additional modifications include swearing in case managers as employees of the State of Indiana and holding graduation ceremonies. The first group will experience the revamped training program beginning July 1, 2005

Policy Review

With the assistance of expert consultants in the field, DCS has reviewed its policy and practice throughout the life of a case. "As Is" workflows were developed along with "To Be" workflows that would align policy with best practices, as well as state and federal law. This comprehensive review was done with the assistance of many field staff. On a positive note, good practice was found throughout the state, albeit inconsistently applied. The process identified points in the life of the case where decision support tools, supervisor input and quality assurance opportunities were lacking. Recommendations were made and many were adopted to improve practice.

As a result, the policy manuals are being rewritten. Already completed is a new DCS

Administrative Policy Manual detailing policies that apply to all personnel. These policies can be found on-line, accessible to all, in a user friendly format. A revised and reorganized child welfare manual, following the best practices in the life of the case is now being written and should be completed by the end of the 2007.

Federal Funding

DCS has developed several strategies for properly claiming federal funding. A redesigned Central Eligibility Unit will concentrate on determining eligibility, allowing front-line staff to concentrate on child protection.

In 1997, Indiana received a Waiver Demonstration Project Grant under sections 472 (a), Expanded Eligibility and section 474(a)(3)(E) and 45 CFR 1356.60(c)(3), Expanded Services. That five year waiver allowed Indiana to creatively view the service delivery system and provide creative funding aimed at preserving families rather than place them out of home. Twenty-five Indiana counties actively participated in the project with children in the demonstration more likely to receive family preservation services, individual counseling, respite care, child care and basic household assistance than the matched comparison group. These counties also demonstrated that children in the project were less likely to be placed in foster care and, even if they were placed in foster care, were more likely to be reunified with their families.

In June of this year, Indiana's proposal for an extension of the waiver received approval by the federal government. Terms and conditions were modified, and the department is developing the implementation plan for the demonstration, including training and policy revisions that will allow Indiana to fully utilize the waiver.

Programs and Services

The Department of Child Services (DCS) local offices serve children in the state who are at risk of abuse and/or neglect and also children and their families where abuse and/or neglect have been substantiated. DCS also administers programs with the goal of developing, procuring and delivering an array of effective services and programs in a fiscally responsible manner to ensure the physical, mental and emotional well-being of children and families. The four areas of program delivery include: Prevention, Preservation, Placement and Permanency.

Prevention programming includes services that are geared toward preventing child maltreatment at an earlier stage than currently is done in many jurisdictions. Prevention programming includes such things as early child home visits, referrals to mental health and substance abuse screening and outreach, supportive services that promote healthy parent/child interactions and community based programming which includes referrals to a broad array of state and federal services.

Preservation programming includes services that provide support and involvement to help preserve families where child abuse or neglect has been substantiated. These services can include family assessments, casework and counseling, family group conferencing, family visitation, and home visits. On some occasions, children will remain in the home; in other situations, children may be temporarily removed from the home and services provided to the family so that reunification can occur if child safety can be addressed.

Placement programming includes services to the child while in licensed placements, services to the family so that reunification can occur, and services to the providers of service including licensing

reviews. These include foster home, therapeutic foster care, group home, and residential, etc.

Permanency programming includes services and supports essential to establishing and maintaining a safe and nurturing home environment for children leaving out of home placement due to reunification, adoption, or legal guardianship. It includes services which assist and/or support the adoption and guardianship process and/or services that provide appropriate skills to youth exiting the foster care system.

The Department of Child Services achieves these goals by providing four (4) basic services: Service Referral Agreements, Informal Adjustments, Services to a Child in Need of Services (CHINS) and Reunification Services.

- **Service Referral Agreements** – voluntary agreements made by the family case manager, parent(s) and other involved parties when a family admits to a problem, but the child is not at serious risk in the home, and no court involvement is sought.

- **Informal Adjustments** – agreements made by the family case manager, the child's parent(s) guardian, custodian, attorney and other involved parties when a family admits to a problem and the child is at minimal risk in the home. The agreement is filed with the juvenile court, must be approved by the court, and may include many of the above-noted services.

- **Services to a Child in Need of Services (CHINS)** – services to children who are victims of child abuse and neglect include case planning, periodic case review and many of the services mentioned above. Services are also provided for the child's family. CHINS cases are monitored by the juvenile court.

- **Reunification Services** – services provided to families when a child who has been removed from the family has a goal to return to the family. Any or all of the above-noted services may be offered. The objective is to reunify children and their families in cases of substantiated reports of child abuse or neglect. However, the safety of the child remains the priority in the decision to reunify.

The State of Indiana has developed a five-year plan for child welfare services with the help of community partners. The five-year plan is supported by several funding streams including Title IVB Part II monies. The 5 year plan can be located at http://www.in.gov/dcs.

Family preservation expenditures largely reflect the cost of home and community-based services to children and their families who are under the supervision of the local Department of Child Services, and have been placed in their own homes. However, some of these costs are spent on home and community-based services to families of children who have not yet been returned home. The purpose of these services is to prepare the family for the safe return of the child.

A portion of Child Welfare expenditures include Foster Care (IV-E), Adoption Assistance, Child Welfare Assistance, Non-Recurring Adoption Expense and Independent Living. Child Welfare expenditures have steadily increased over the last 11 years going from 187 million in 1994 to 382 million in SFY 2005.

Department of Child Services
Demographic Trends Report
State Fiscal Year 2005

SFY 2005 Child Welfare Expenditures

Foster Care (IV-E)	32.3
Adoption Assistance	37.6
Child Welfare Assistance	307.6
Non-Recurring Adoption Expense	1.2
Independent Living	3.3
TOTAL	**382.0**

Child Protection Services (CPS) operates a toll-free hotline (1-800-800-5556) for people to call and report suspected cases of child abuse or neglect on a statewide basis. The 1-800 number connects to the local DCS office where the telephone call originates. Although reports can be made in person or by correspondence, the vast majority of child abuse and neglect reports are made by telephone. CPS receives and initiates investigations of abuse reports on a 24-hour basis. Reports that are made via third parties or from people who may not have first knowledge of the conditions or incident must still be evaluated upon the same merits as other reports. Anonymous reports are accepted.

Investigations of abuse and/or neglect may be substantiated, unsubstantiated or indicated. The child's safety is the primary factor in all CPS investigations. Families receive services based on an assessment of the child's and the family's needs, and an assessment of the relative safety and risk to the child in the home.

In Indiana, abuse and/or neglect occurs when a child who has not yet attained the age of 18 experiences a condition in which:

1. the child's physical or mental health is seriously impaired or seriously endangered as a result of the inability, refusal or neglect of the child's parent, guardian, or custodian to supply the child with necessary food, clothing, shelter, medical care, education, or supervision (IC 31-34-1-1).

2. the child's physical or mental health is seriously endangered due to injury by the act or omission of the child's parent, guardian, or custodian (IC 31-34-1-2). This section would address inappropriate discipline and an allegation that the illegal manufacture of a drug or controlled substance is occurring on property where a child resides.

3. the child is a victim of one or more of the following sexual offenses (IC 31-34-1-3); Rape (IC 35-42-4-1), Criminal Deviate Conduct (IC 35-42-4-2), Child Molesting (IC 35-42-4-3), Child Exploitation/Child Pornography (IC 35-42-4-4), Child Seduction (IC 35-42-4-7), Sexual Misconduct with a Minor (IC 35-42-4-9), Public Indecency/Indecent Exposure (IC 35-45-4-1), Prostitution (IC 35-45-4-2), or Incest (IC 35-46-1-3).

4. the child's parent, guardian or custodian allows the child to participate in the performance of sexual activity as defined by IC 35-49-2-2 (Matter if Performance Harmful to Minors) or IC 35-49-3-2 (Obscene Performance).

5. the child's parent, guardian, or custodian allows the child to commit indecent acts or prostitution prohibited by IC 35-45-4.

Children in Need of Services, as defined in IC 31-34-1-6 through 31-34-1-8, are not considered to

constitute abuse or neglect but are included as they may result in intervention with the family and child. These categories are:

IC 31-34-1-6 Child substantially endangering own or another's health

IC 31-34-1-7 Parent, guardian, or custodian failing to participate in school disciplinary proceeding

IC 31-34-1-8 Missing child.

IC 31-34-1-9 (Disabled child deprived of necessary nutrition or medical or surgical intervention) specifies that all the CHINS categories include a child with a disability who is deprived of necessary nutrition or medical or surgical intervention generally provided for similarly situated children with or without disabilities.

IC 31-34-1-10
And
IC 31-34-1-11 Part of the definition of a child in need of services based on child abuse/neglect as defined in IC 31-9-2-133 concerning a victim of child abuse or neglect.

IC 31-34-1-10 Defines a child is a victim of abuse or neglect if the child was born with fetal alcohol syndrome or any amount of a controlled substance or legend drug in the child's body.

IC 31-34-11 Defines a child as a victim of child abuse or neglect if the child has an injury or any abnormal physical or psychological development or has a substantial risk of a life threatening condition that results from the mother's use of alcohol, controlled substance, or legend drug while pregnant with the child.

Acts and circumstances that are suspected of falling within these parameters of child abuse and/or neglect are subject to the mandatory reporting requirements.

Institutional Child Protection Services

Child Protection Services includes investigating allegations of child abuse and/or neglect in any institutional or out-of-home care setting. Reports are received at the local office, and investigations of abuse are initiated within 24 hours. This program determines whether the child should remain at the facility or home, whether adequate protection can be provided, whether referrals are needed for follow-up monitoring, and whether referral for prosecution of perpetrators is warranted (substantiated cases).

Facilities could include Foster Family Home, Group Home, Residential Facility, Residential School, State Institution, and Hospital. Perpetrators could include facility staff and other residents.

Child Fatalities

A child fatality review process has been in place since SFY 1999 to improve information gathering and prevention strategies in the area of child fatalities due to abuse and neglect. All counties have fatality reviews by policy as an extension of community child protection teams or via a legislatively approved team (IC 12-13-15). An internal state team reviews detailed information regarding each death involving substantiated abuse and neglect and shares aggregated information with community partners to develop prevention strategies. The annual Child Fatality Report is located at http://www.in.gov/dcs/forms/pdf/childfatalityreportsfy2005.pdf

Department of Child Services
Demographic Trends Report
State Fiscal Year 2005

This report contains information on 54 child fatalities at the hands of parent, guardian, or custodian, and separately presents information on three child fatalities at the hands of a babysitter or alternate caregiver. Note this distinction when reviewing this annual report. The deaths have separated the deaths in this manner as it is important to acknowledge that three children died while with an alternate caregiver, even though DCS is not required by law to investigate such deaths. In previous years, fatalities of both categories of caregivers were included in the State's reported totals. These three children are in addition to the total number of child deaths in SFY 2005 as a result of abuse and neglect of 54.

Child Fatalities for State Fiscal Year 2005

Fatalities due to Abuse	Fatalities due to Neglect	Total Fatalities
24	30	54

Source: Abuse and Neglect SFY 2005 Annual Report

Indiana's Foster Care Program

Indiana's Foster Care Program provides 24-hour care to children who can no longer safely remain in their homes due to the occurrence or risk of abuse or neglect, or due to their own need for care and treatment for behaviors which constitute a danger to themselves or others.

Children may be placed in an approved relative home, a licensed foster family home, a group home or child-caring institution, a private secure facility or other court-approved facility.

State policy is to place children in the least restrictive, most family-like setting which meets the child's needs, particularly safety. When possible, a child is to be placed in close proximity to the child's family, particularly when reunification with the family is the case plan goal.

Foster parents and other care providers work to help reunite a child with the biological parent(s), or to care for a child until that child is adopted. Foster parents and child care professionals are involved in case planning and provision of services to the child and the child's family utilizing a team approach with the child's case manager. Local office staff ensures that foster parents receive proper notification concerning case activities of children in their care.

Title IV-E Foster Care Program

The Title IV-E Foster Care Program provides federal funds for foster care or residential care per diem payments for children whose families would have been eligible for Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) using the 1996 standards.

By claiming federal IV-E reimbursement, local offices can maximize the financial resources available to serve children and families in their communities. Eligible services include maintenance payments such as food, clothing, shelter, daily supervision, school supplies and liability insurance.

Title IV-E Waiver Program

In July 1997, the federal Department of Health and Human Services approved Indiana's application for a Child Welfare Demonstration Project, also known as the IV-E Waiver Project. The waiver redesigns the current federal reimbursement system for funding children's services. It emphasizes a more aggressive development of a family focused, community based service delivery system for children in care. The goal of the waiver is to stimulate the growth of community based children's services, thereby shifting significant costs from out-of- home care to services to children and families in their own homes. Each child in the project must have a plan for services approved by the local

judge with juvenile jurisdiction.

Indiana was the seventh state to received approval for the IV-E Waiver Project and the only one whose waiver program is statewide. Indiana's project was the largest in scope and involved the use of foster care funds in a more flexible approach to meet the needs of children and their families. Interagency agreements for the waiver are in place in each of the 92 counties between the local judge, probation officers and the local DCS Director. The waiver applies to 4000 children at any given time and does not require that the children be removed from the homes in order to access federal funds. In 2005 this waiver was extended for five years by the Department of Health and Human Services based on proven effectiveness.

Title IV-E Reimbursement

The claiming of federal Title IV-E reimbursement is a high priority for the Department. By claiming effectively, local offices can maximize the financial resources available to serve children and families in their local communities. Reimbursement claims are possible in a case when there is a combination of an eligible child, an eligible facility and eligible costs.

For a child to be eligible, he or she must be under age 18 and must meet 1996 AFDC eligibility requirements at the time of removal. In addition, there must be a judicial finding in the child's case that it was contrary to the child's welfare to remain at home and either that the state provided services to prevent the child's removal from home or that reasonable efforts to return the child home were not required. Reimbursement claims are possible in a case when there is a combination of an eligible child, an eligible facility and eligible costs.

A facility is eligible if it houses no more than twenty-five children. The facility is licensed by the state, and must not be primarily used for detention purposes. Eligible services include maintenance payments such as food, clothing, shelter, daily supervision, school supplies and liability insurance.

Adoption Program

Indiana's Adoption Program serves children who are legally available for adoptive placement and who need a permanent family. The State Adoption Program includes recruitment activities and services to the prospective adoptive family, as well as financial support and services to the family receiving the child.

The objective of Indiana's Adoption Program is to find permanence with a family for all Indiana children who are legally available for adoption.

Many of the children in the state system are considered special needs children – children over two years of age, are a member of a sibling group that should be placed together, or have with a mental, physical and/or emotional challenge. These children can be more difficult to place. To accommodate the needs of these children, the Special Needs Adoption Program (SNAP) was implemented in 1990 to recruit and support adoptive families for this special population.

Special Needs Adoption Program

The Special Needs Adoption Program (SNAP) implemented in 1990 recruits adoptive families and facilitates the adoptive placement of children with special needs.

The adoption program works in partnership to recruit minority adoptive families, facilitate the adoptive placement of children with special needs and provide the community at large an educational service regarding the needs of adoptive children. Special needs children are usually age

2 or older. Many are of minority background, members of a sibling group that need to be placed together and/or have been diagnosed with developmental, psychological or medical/physical challenges.

The Special Needs Adoption Program employs regional adoption casework specialists to recruit adoptive families as well as work with children and families in order to facilitate the adoptive placement of children who have special needs.

Indiana's adoption initiatives have resulted in an increase of finalized adoptions from 464 in 1996 (prior to state funding launching these initiatives) to 956 in 2003, and 979 in SFY 2005.

All DCS Children (including SNAP) Finalized Adoptions
State Fiscal Years 1999 – 2005

1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005
962	1,152	1,178	1,055	956	1,054	979

Figures are based on a **State Fiscal Year** (July – June) for 1999-2005
Note: This data does **NOT** include private adoptions.
Source: ICWIS

Financial assistance programs available for families who adopt eligible special needs children include:

- **Non-Recurring Adoption Expenses Program (NRAE)** – provides funds to reimburse the adoptive parent for one-time expenses incurred in legally adopting a special needs child.

- **Federal Adoption Assistance Program (AAP)** – provides financial assistance to families who adopt IV-E eligible children via per diem payments. The child is eligible for Medicaid.

- **County Adoption Subsidy Program (CAS)** – provides financial assistance to families who adopt children with special needs and demonstrate to the court that per diem and/or medical assistance is needed in order to meet the adopted child's needs.

- **Indiana Adoption Subsidy Program (IASP) –** provides medical assistance to families who adopt children who have county subsidy maintenance or health subsidy and an existing medical condition.

For more information about Indiana's Adoption Program, visit the website at http://www.in.gov/fssa/adoption/.

Indiana Adoption History Program

The Indiana Adoption History Program was established as a result of enabling legislation passed in 1993. The purpose of this program is to assist persons seeking information regarding adoptive situations to which they were a party. The Indiana State Department of Health (ISDH) is responsible for administering the program, but the county offices play a major role in assisting persons to obtain information not available through ISDH. Parties to an adoption may obtain non-identifying information from the county offices without a court order if it is available. However, no identifying information may be released by a county office without obtaining permission from ISDH to do so,

since certain signatures must be on file before identifying information can be released.

Interstate Compact on the Placement of Children

The Interstate Compact on the Placement of Children is designed to protect the interests and safety of all children in state custody who are being placed in out-of-home care or for private adoption across state lines and national boundaries. This compact provides a mechanism for the approval of the placement of children into relative, foster, or adoptive homes or into residential facilities and for on-going monitoring of the children's progress in these out-of-state placements.

Residential Licensing Sections

The Residential Licensing Sections of the Department have licensing authority for a number of facilities and homes. The primary purpose of licensing of foster family homes is to minimize the risk to children in out-of-home care by monitoring and enforcing compliance to minimum health, safety, and program standards as established in 470 IAC 3-1.
Local DCS and licensed child-placing agencies have the responsibility and the authority to assess compliance with these standards and to submit licensing recommendations to the Department of Child Services Licensing Division.

Also, the section collaborates with the State Fire Marshal's Office, the State Department of Health, and the office of the State Building Commissioner. These cooperative efforts ensure that minimal standards of fire and building safety and public health protection are maintained for children in child (day) care centers and homes.

The section also issues licenses to residential child care institutions and group homes. Child caring institutions are agencies which provide 24-hour residential care for dependent, neglected, abused, delinquent, or troubled children who are unattended by a parent, guardian, or custodian. The minimum standards for child institutions servicing 11 or more children are found in 470 IAC 3-11 and 470 IAC 3-12. The minimum standards for group homes (agencies serving ten or fewer children) are found in 470 IAC 3-14 and 470 IAC 3-15. The Department also has authority to license private secure facilities. The minimum standards for private secure facilities are found in IAC 470 3-13.

Department staff additionally issues licenses to child-placing agencies. Child-placing agencies are authorized to recruit foster parents and to make foster family home licensing recommendation to the DCS, to complete adoptive home studies, and to place children in either foster homes or adoptive homes. Some child-placing agencies provide only foster care services while others provide both foster care and adoptive services including therapeutic foster care and international adoptions.

Assisted Guardianships

Assisted Guardianship is a possible permanency option for children under the supervision of the Department of Child Services, and is considered when an older child is placed with relatives who promote a permanent, long-term living arrangement that is in the best interest of the child.

This option is available after a court has determined that reunification with the child's parents is no longer feasible and that adoption is not in the best interest of the child. Both the child and the relatives must meet specific eligibility requirements, and the program calls for a monthly subsidy to be provided based on the need of the child as defined by Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) guidelines.

Chafee Foster Care Independence Program

Chafee Foster Care Independence Program (CFCIP) provides the state with funding to purchase

direct services for youth (ages 14-21) living in foster care who have aged out of foster care. The funding is to be used to help the youth transition to self-sufficiency. Services that can be purchased through this program include: education, training and related services; services to prepare for and obtain employment; services to prepare for and enter post secondary training and educational institutions; services to provide personal and emotional support to youth through mentors and the promotion of interactions with dedicated adults. Room and Board types of expenses can be offered to former foster youth (ages 18-21) who were in care on their 18th birthday.

Contracts and Grants

Contracts and Grants are administered through the DCS, and responsibilities for the ten funding sources are contracted to private agencies throughout the state for the provision of direct services. The federal funding sources are:

- Chafee
- Title IV-B, Parts I & II
- Social Services Block Grant (SSBG)
- Community Based Child Abuse Prevention (CBCAP)

The state funding sources are:

- Project Safe Place
- Youth Service Bureau Fund
- Kid's First Trust Fund (license plate sales, filing fees, and donations)

These funds are allocated on a competitive basis to agencies providing services in these categories:

- Family Planning, Youth Services, Child Abuse Prevention, Families and Children Services and Independent Living Services

Indiana Kid's First Trust Fund

In a prevention effort, the Indiana Kid's First Trust Fund collects revenues from the "Kids First" license plate and distributes the funds through grants to agencies dedicated to preventing child abuse and neglect.

The goal of the Indiana Kid's First Trust Fund is to provide primary and secondary prevention services to Indiana's children to help ensure that they are not abused or neglected. The Kid's First Trust Fund grant recipients work to provide practical solutions that teach good parenting skills, including the importance of nutrition, how to be an active parent and how to discipline without spanking the child. Other programs provide children with encouragement that helps them grow up healthy and break the intergenerational chain of abuse and neglect.

The Kid's First Trust Fund sends contributions from Kids First license plate sales directly to local community programs that prevent the tragedy of child abuse and neglect. Income for the Kid's First Trust Fund comes from "Kids First" plate sales, fee collections (marriage & divorce filing fees), heirloom birth certificate sales, cash donations and interest. For additional information about the Kid's First Trust Fund, please visit the website at www.in.gov/dcs.

Healthy Families

The Healthy Families Indiana home visitation program works with families as soon as possible before and after the birth of a baby. The mission of this program is to support and educate new parents. The program has grown into a statewide voluntary home visiting initiative with the following three goals: to promote positive parenting, to encourage child health and development,

and to prevent child abuse and neglect. By working closely with hospital maternity wards, prenatal clinics, and other local agencies, Healthy Families systematically identifies families that could benefit from these education and support services either before or immediately after birth.

The growth of the Healthy Families Program throughout Indiana is unprecedented. Currently, 56 Healthy Families Indiana sites are providing services to families and children in all 92 counties. Prevent Child Abuse America and Healthy Families America credentialed Healthy Families Indiana as a statewide, multi-site system on June 9, 2004. For a detailed listing of the sites by county please refer to: www.in.gov/dcs. Healthy Families America (HFA) under the auspices of Prevent Child Abuse America designates Healthy Families Indiana as the HFA Midwest Regional Resource Center of Excellence. Indiana is widely recognized as a national model for the Healthy Families America initiative because of the extensive training services. In order to maintain support of Healthy Families rapid expansion, multi-level leadership from the public/private sector is critical to the program. Current partnerships include but are not limited to: First Steps Early Intervention, Women, Infants and Children (WIC), Early Head Start, Head Start, local offices of the Division of Family Resources, Department of Child Services, Division of Mental Health, Juvenile Justice Institute, and Hospitals.

The expansion of Healthy Families demonstrates how the program has grown in program funding and families served since it's inception in 1994. The number of families served has increased from 760 in 1994 to 23,266 in 2005.



Funding is a combination of local, state and federal dollars.

Department of Child Services
Demographic Trends Report
State Fiscal Year 2005



For additional information about the Healthy Families Indiana Program, please visit their website @ www.in.gov/dcs.

Department of Child Services
Demographic Trends Report
State Fiscal Year 2005

Indiana Child Welfare Information System (ICWIS) Project

During 1995 and 1996, the Indiana Child Welfare Information System (ICWIS) was designed and developed. This system provides Indiana with child protection services intake capabilities as well as case management and administrative management capabilities. This statewide system allows the child welfare staff in local offices of the Department of Child Services to record all calls, whether for voluntary services or to take a report of a suspected case of child abuse or neglect. The system performs historical searches to identify prior involvement in child abuse or neglect, identifies types of service needed and potential service providers for those services. It records the relationships and roles of the individuals, records the types of abuse or neglect, and recommends to the local worker the time frames for response and the type of response to meet the situation.

Contained within the system are risk, and strengths/needs assessment tools and a central statewide client index.

The technology provides a vehicle to record the processes and procedures used by both local and central office child welfare staff. This system promotes consistency of policy across the state in assessing risk for abused/neglected children, as well as matching resources to family needs more effectively. The system also provides strong security for the information it collects. Indiana has very specific confidentiality requirements, and the system enforces those requirements. This helps to prevent inappropriate sharing of abuse and neglect information.

Reporting

ICWIS provides data collection for the federal Adoption and Foster Care Analysis and Reporting System (AFCARS) and the National Child Abuse and Neglect Data System (NCANDS) reporting. ICWIS also provides statistical support for identification of services, as well as information that provides the opportunity to plan and target funding toward the development of needed services. ICWIS utilizes a point in time reporting format for the majority of the reports; however, real time data reports can also be generated.

History

In the mid-1990s, the federal government began requiring that all states implement an electronic method for their Adoption and Foster Care Analysis and Reporting Systems (AFCARS) reporting. Along with that requirement came federal funding, with the government reimbursing 75 percent of the costs to implement such a system. During 1995 and 1996, the ICWIS was designed and developed by UNISYS Corporation. The system was implemented in all 92 counties by March 1997. Each county works from its own independent data system. Each night all 92 counties are downloaded to a centralized data system in Indianapolis to assure confidentiality of clients.

Technical Description

ICWIS is a three-tiered client/server application. An Ethernet LAN links client workstations to county servers. Each county server is connected to the primary server in Indianapolis over a high-speed frame relay network. E-mail and bulletin board capabilities are available to all 1400 users. The system is an Oracle database using PowerBuilder screens on an IBM server using Microsoft NT or higher.

Contracts

Unisys Corporation provides application maintenance, modifications, and enhancement services for ICWIS. Invoice is based on usage as defined in the contract.

Changes Affecting Data Collection Methods

Here is a list identifying some of the changes in the data collected over time. This will be helpful when viewing data for trend analysis. It may help explain some variances in the data from year to year and facilitate interpretation of the data. Understanding what the data is actually reflecting about program activity is crucial for program development and policy making.

- During 1995 and 1996 the ICWIS was designed and developed by Unisys Corporation.

- In March 1997 the system was implemented in all 92 counties. Each county works from an independent data system. Each night, data from all 92 counties is downloaded to a centralized data system in Indianapolis. The reason for the independent nature of the counties data systems is to ensure confidentiality of clients.

- Prior to 1996, abuse/neglect reporting was based on a hierarchy of abuse with sexual being the most severe followed by physical and last by neglect. That meant if a child suffered multiple types of abuse/neglect, only the single most severe type was reported. Due to a change in the federal reporting requirement in 1999, ICWIS was required to report all incidences of abuse/neglect so one child could potentially have multiple reports of abuse/neglect. Therefore, comparisons of data before and after 1999 are not feasible.

- There are two types of duplication possible in abuse/ neglect data. One is when the report of abuse/neglect can indicate more than one type of abuse/neglect; i.e., sexual abuse, physical abuse or some combination with neglect and the child is counted once for each type of abuse/neglect in the report. The other is when there is more than one report of abuse/neglect for a child in the year and the child is counted for each report, but only counted once under one category of abuse/neglect for each report.

- From1997-1999, the counties did manual reporting as they transitioned into ICWIS. In 2000, an influx of transitional information was entered into the data reporting system. A policy directive mandated all child welfare workers to enter all their cases into ICWIS. This involved a massive effort to enter cases that were opened in previous years but not entered in ICWIS. So old cases, intakes, and investigations from 1997-1999 were entered into the system and reflected in 2001 data resulting in higher numbers reported for 2000-01.

- The indicated category was included in the abuse/neglect reporting from 1993 – 1995. The term “Indicated” was used when a child abuse and/or neglect report was made but there was insufficient evidence to substantiate or unsubstaniate the report. However, there was a reason to suspect the child may have been maltreated or was at risk for abuse and/or neglect. Indicated cases were included in the reporting of substantiated abuse cases from 1993 – 1995. The “indicated” status was discontinued in 1995. The inclusion of indicated cases with substantiated cases from 1993 –1995 appears to have resulted in higher numbers of abuse being reported compared to subsequent years.

- As a result of passage of HEA 1194-2003, effective 7-1-04, the indicated status was again being reported.

- Abuse/neglect data on unsubstantiated investigations from 1997 – 2000 was expunged after six months, and aggregate numbers were not retained for these investigations for the years 1997 - 2000. This practice resulted in lower numbers being reported for

unsubstantiated investigations for this period of time. Since 2000, aggregate data for unsubstantiated investigations has been collected and reported.

- ICWIS utilizes a point in time reporting format. A report is a snapshot of what is known on the last day of the month. Over the course of the year, the user makes updates and modifications to the data; so if pulled again, it reflects different information. A point in time average for a specified period of time can be calculated using the count of children at the end of each month divided by the number of months specified.

- Data within ICWIS is dynamic, which means that data changes day by day according to new data entries from the field. Thus, reports from ICWIS have the potential for showing different data from the same report if they are pulled after an update to the Central Database or to the databases of individual counties.

- Adoption data in ICWIS for 1990-2001 was based on numbers that were self-reported by local offices for all children in DCS custody who were adopted. Since this adoption reporting was done manually, the reliability of the data cannot be verified. ICWIS data does NOT include private adoptions. In 2002, a policy decision was made to discontinue use of manual reporting for adoptions, and rely solely on data entered into ICWIS for adoption counts.

- Prior to 10/15/04, institutional investigations were counted in Central Office. Since 10/15/03, institutional investigations have been counted in the county in which they occur.

INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF CHILD SERVICES

Actual CHINS Data on June 30, 2005 And Estimated Population on July 2004 Data by County

County	Estimated Population July 2004	CHINS Children June 2005	CHINS Per Thousand
Adams	33,815	31	0.9
Allen	342,168	907	2.7
Bartholomew	72,987	109	1.5
Benton	9,139	8	0.9
Blackford	13,841	14	1.0
Boone	50,847	52	1.0
Brown	15,228	11	0.7
Carroll	20,331	16	0.8
Cass	40,417	39	1.0
Clark	100,706	249	2.5
Clay	27,210	15	0.6
Clinton	34,148	58	1.7
Crawford	11,167	55	4.9
Daviess	30,245	43	1.4
Dearborn	48,583	61	1.3
Decatur	24,970	18	0.7
DeKalb	41,524	63	1.5
Delaware	117,774	360	3.1
DuBois	40,771	30	0.7
Elkhart	191,768	265	1.4
Fayette	24,934	82	3.3
Floyd	71,543	46	0.6
Fountain	17,671	15	0.8
Franklin	22,852	18	0.8
Fulton	20,581	33	1.6
Gibson	33,286	77	2.3
Grant	71,543	140	2.0
Greene	33,500	81	2.4
Hamilton	231,760	24	0.1
Hancock	60,915	32	0.5
Harrison	36,376	82	2.3
Hendricks	123,476	28	0.2
Henry	47,809	94	2.0
Howard	84,615	70	0.8
Huntington	38,124	32	0.8
Jackson	41,959	101	2.4
Jasper	31,624	25	0.8
Jay	21,654	12	0.6
Jefferson	32,110	57	1.8
Jennings	28,401	82	2.9
Johnson	125,864	57	0.5
Knox	38,442	83	2.2
Kosciusko	75,667	43	0.6
LaGrange	36,515	46	1.3
Lake	490,844	1815	3.7
Laporte	109,755	141	1.3

County	Estimated Population July 2003	CHINS Children June 2005	CHINS Per Thousand
Lawrence	46,398	71	1.5
Madison	130,602	261	2.0
Marion	863,596	2910	3.4
Marshall	46,732	101	2.2
Martin	10,467	7	0.7
Miami	35,955	46	1.3
Monroe	121,013	172	1.4
Montgomery	37,937	84	2.2
Morgan	69,424	50	0.7
Newton	14,421	20	1.4
Noble	47,297	55	1.2
Ohio	5,849	12	2.1
Orange	19,718	19	1.0
Owen	23,074	14	0.6
Parke	17,254	9	0.5
Perry	18,999	42	2.2
Pike	12,938	24	1.9
Porter	154,961	277	1.8
Posey	26,990	14	0.5
Pulaski	13,825	24	1.7
Putnam	36,786	67	1.8
Randolph	26,697	22	0.8
Ripley	27,549	64	2.3
Rush	18,028	24	1.3
Saint Joseph	266,431	599	2.2
Scott	23,604	52	2.2
Shelby	43,717	72	1.6
Spencer	20,310	7	0.3
Starke	22,903	51	2.2
Steuben	33,722	73	2.2
Sullivan	21,862	50	2.3
Switzerland	9,508	12	1.3
Tippecanoe	152,042	247	1.6
Tipton	16,605	9	0.5
Union	7,226	31	4.3
Vanderburgh	173,157	391	2.3
Vermillion	16,500	15	0.9
Vigo	103,195	279	2.7
Wabash	34,169	49	1.4
Warren	8,760	8	0.9
Warrick	55,465	64	1.2
Washington	27,882	5	0.2
Wayne	69,778	37	0.5
Wells	27,963	35	1.3
White	24,846	21	0.8
Whitley	31,955	19	0.6
Statewide	6,237,569	12,235	2.0

Prepared by:
Office of Data Management

Source:
ICWIS Year End Reports
-Report 117
-generated 07/01/2005
www.census.gov/popest/datasets.html
-co-est2003-alldata.csv

INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF CHILD SERVICES

Case Activity for Children in Substitute Care
For the State Fiscal Year (07/01/2004 - 06/30/2005)

Children in Care at the Beginning of Period	Children Entering Care During Period	Children Leaving Care During Period	Children in Care at the End of Period
11,176	8,101	7,326	11,954

Number of Children Leaving Care by Reason, Ethnicity, and Race

Reason for Leaving	American Indian / Alaskan		Asian		Black		White		Multi-Racial		Native Hawaian Pacific		Unavailable		Total
	H	N-H	H	N-H	H	N-H	H	N-H	H	N-H	H	N-H	H	N-H	
Reunification	3	1	0	0	1	193	21	405	0	22	0	0	2	0	**648**
Adoption	1	0	0	0	0	70	4	120	1	23	0	0	0	1	**220**
Relative Placement	25	3	0	1	7	587	91	1,900	8	98	0	0	4	16	**2,740**
Guardianship	4	0	0	2	0	20	5	136	0	3	0	0	3	0	**173**
Independent Living	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	**10**
Other	40	6	0	4	12	1,030	105	2,137	23	159	0	3	6	10	**3,535**
Total	**73**	**10**	**0**	**7**	**20**	**1,902**	**226**	**4,706**	**32**	**305**	**0**	**3**	**15**	**27**	**7,326**

Other could be transferred to other agency, emancipation, run away, etc.

Number of Children in Care by Type of Placement, Ethnicity, and Race

Type of Substitute Care Placement	American Indian / Alaskan		Asian		Black		White		Multi-Racial		Native Hawaian Pacific		Unavailable		Total
	H	N-H	H	N-H	H	N-H	H	N-H	H	N-H	H	N-H	H	N-H	
Relative Home	4	2	0	0	5	397	29	649	9	49	0	0	0	2	**1,146**
Foster Home	48	18	1	11	25	1,754	252	3,150	39	308	0	3	2	19	**5,630**
Institution	16	2	1	3	7	572	58	1,150	4	38	0	1	2	29	**1,883**
Group Home	1	0	0	0	2	87	12	333	2	12	0	0	0	8	**457**
Hospital/Nursing Home	0	0	0	0	0	19	3	64	1	6	0	0	1	0	**94**
Guardian	0	0	0	0	0	4	13	1,268	0	6	0	0	0	0	**1,291**
Other	6	1	0	1	5	504	41	797	12	73	0	1	2	10	**1,453**
Total	**75**	**23**	**2**	**15**	**44**	**3,337**	**408**	**7,411**	**67**	**492**	**0**	**5**	**7**	**68**	**11,954**

Note: H= Hispanic and N-H= Non Hispanic

Note: The Federal definition of race is used with Hispanic separated as an ethnic origin for all races.

Prepared by:
Office of Data Management

Source:
ICWIS Year End Reports
-Reports 118a and 118b
-generated 07/13/2005

INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF CHILD SERVICES

Total Number of Children Reported As Victims Of Abuse and/or Neglect (Substantiated, Unsubstantiated, and Indicated) State Fiscal Years 1996 to 2005



From February 1997 through 2000 aggregate numbers were not kept for unsubstantiated investigations.
Institutional Investigations have been included since 1999.
A child is counted in only one category per investigation using the old Federal hierarchy of Sexual Abuse, Physical Abuse, then Neglect.
Abuse numbers include Physical Abuse and Sexual Abuse.

Prepared by:
Office of Data Management

Source:
ICWIS Annual Report
-Report 125

INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF CHILD SERVICES

Number of Children Reported as Victims of Abuse
State Fiscal Years 1996 to 2005



From February 1997 through 2000 aggregate numbers were not kept for unsubstantiated investigations.
Institutional Investigations have been included since 1999.
A child is counted in only one category per investigation using the old Federal hierarchy of Sexual Abuse, Physical Abuse, then Neglect.
Abuse numbers include Physical Abuse and Sexual Abuse.
Indicated status returned July 1, 2004 per statute change.

Prepared by:
Office of Data Management

Source:
ICWIS Annual Report
-Report 125

INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF CHILD SERVICES

Number of Children Reported as Victims of Neglect
State Fiscal Years 1996 to 2005



From February 1997 through 2000 aggregate numbers were not kept for unsubstantiated investigations.
Institutional Investigations have been included since 1999.

A child is counted in only one category per investigation using the old Federal hierarchy of Sexual Abuse, Physical Abuse, then Neglect.
Indicated status returned July 1, 2004 per statute change.

Prepared by:
Office of Data Management

Source:
ICWIS Annual Report
-Report 125

INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF CHILD SERVICES

Number of Child Victims of Sexual Abuse (Substantiated Investigations) State Fiscal Years 1996 to 2005



Prepared by:
Office of Data Management

Source:
ICWIS Annual Report
-Report 125

INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF CHILD SERVICES

Source of Initial Child Abuse and Neglect Reports
State Fiscal Year 2005



Prepared by:
Office of Data Management

Source:
ICWIS Year End Reports
-Report 151
-generated 07/13/2005

INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF CHILD SERVICES

Child Abuse / Neglect Summary by County
State Fiscal Year 2005 (07/01/2004 - 06/30/2005)

County	Sexual Abuse			Physical Abuse			Neglect		
	Sub	Unsub	Ind	Sub	Unsub	Ind	Sub	Unsub	Ind
Adams	7	37	2	7	28	3	11	81	7
Allen	218	405	1	119	669	0	467	2,582	5
Bartholomew	56	101	1	13	156	0	211	953	0
Benton	5	9	5	5	21	1	10	62	1
Blackford	18	14	0	4	25	0	12	105	0
Boone	11	31	0	12	52	0	95	152	6
Brown	7	10	3	5	27	1	32	88	0
Carroll	15	18	1	5	12	1	27	63	1
Cass	33	44	2	22	35	0	87	157	0
Clark	59	112	4	95	180	10	520	792	32
Clay	23	43	1	8	48	0	30	152	0
Clinton	51	73	0	33	98	1	97	190	0
Crawford	12	26	1	6	11	1	57	121	6
Daviess	13	11	3	13	38	1	56	88	4
DeKalb	45	47	8	36	96	3	206	504	10
Dearborn	17	27	1	31	69	1	76	314	5
Decatur	17	30	3	25	67	1	61	213	0
Delaware	124	165	15	64	181	9	306	807	49
DuBois	21	26	0	26	37	0	42	104	0
Elkhart	149	275	2	59	266	1	232	991	12
Fayette	43	48	10	21	48	3	101	206	29
Floyd	40	44	3	26	92	0	187	503	1
Fountain	23	18	2	8	23	1	20	84	2
Franklin	5	16	0	3	18	0	29	108	1
Fulton	26	24	4	25	37	3	66	129	6
Gibson	22	33	0	17	35	0	100	148	2
Grant	78	122	1	15	119	1	179	549	1
Greene	26	54	0	34	66	0	140	359	0
Hamilton	64	90	6	22	76	3	52	170	16
Hancock	17	31	2	21	44	1	45	185	5
Harrison	20	45	6	8	53	2	99	271	27
Hendricks	51	76	3	23	63	2	123	221	15
Henry	39	40	1	13	78	0	153	300	1
Howard	92	149	0	62	150	9	257	313	15
Huntington	31	62	9	17	61	2	30	244	7
Jackson	44	63	0	18	55	0	171	435	1
Jasper	12	22	1	14	28	0	44	66	1
Jay	16	34	0	7	36	1	37	124	0
Jefferson	25	49	0	7	67	1	74	392	3
Jennings	40	71	5	45	74	8	163	350	23
Johnson	59	134	20	38	168	15	225	704	61
Knox	28	33	2	21	56	0	132	352	3
Kosciusko	83	64	2	31	79	0	130	207	0
LaGrange	23	40	8	12	91	14	49	274	13
Lake	196	348	31	185	740	33	738	2,125	84
Laporte	68	241	13	62	312	9	186	926	46

Note: Sub= Substantiated Unsub=Unsubstantiated Ind=Indicated Indicated Status returned July 1, 2004 per statue change.
A child is counted in only one category per investigation using the old Federal hierarchy of Sexual Abuse, Physical Abuse, then Neglect.

Prepared by:
Office of Data Management

Source:
ICWIS Annual Reports
-Report 125, 126, and 127
-generated 07/13/2005

INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF CHILD SERVICES

Child Abuse / Neglect Summary by County
State Fiscal Year 2005 (07/01/2004 - 06/30/2005)

County	Sexual Abuse			Physical Abuse			Neglect		
	Sub	Unsub	Ind	Sub	Unsub	Ind	Sub	Unsub	Ind
Lawrence	25	49	11	17	74	7	64	200	16
Madison	112	182	12	68	262	2	317	1,088	14
Marion	818	1,623	12	466	2,611	21	1,853	4,430	37
Marshall	12	24	0	18	59	5	116	153	13
Martin	3	13	0	8	24	0	16	56	0
Miami	29	31	8	38	64	2	123	195	4
Monroe	63	110	4	57	204	0	206	677	6
Montgomery	21	49	0	26	71	0	153	441	0
Morgan	61	51	4	25	72	2	142	377	23
Newton	6	9	6	2	8	1	3	52	8
Noble	32	40	10	22	79	8	145	358	28
Ohio	2	2	0	6	12	1	16	41	1
Orange	13	55	3	6	68	1	57	193	4
Owen	25	42	1	25	57	0	41	111	0
Parke	17	14	5	5	28	4	13	69	4
Perry	8	18	1	8	58	0	34	254	4
Pike	10	12	1	6	17	1	56	76	8
Porter	41	53	5	25	78	4	225	297	32
Posey	22	23	0	16	24	0	59	110	1
Pulaski	9	13	0	13	13	0	43	53	0
Putnam	26	34	5	22	45	2	95	247	13
Randolph	19	39	2	9	47	0	19	208	3
Ripley	19	9	0	10	28	4	85	188	6
Rush	23	32	3	8	46	0	23	150	2
Saint Joseph	267	266	15	151	261	38	432	724	38
Scott	26	53	10	41	65	12	216	360	26
Shelby	45	82	5	18	83	0	94	416	9
Spencer	12	15	5	7	21	0	25	64	4
Starke	13	14	0	9	36	1	44	84	4
Steuben	22	29	5	28	96	7	106	415	6
Sullivan	17	27	13	17	36	5	84	222	6
Switzerland	6	16	0	4	18	0	3	113	0
Tippecanoe	92	159	0	41	138	7	380	949	1
Tipton	10	17	0	4	17	1	8	46	3
Union	4	7	0	8	6	0	40	64	0
Vanderburgh	113	237	15	117	418	9	567	1,479	35
Vermillion	13	21	0	3	34	0	21	121	4
Vigo	85	127	0	33	159	0	246	618	0
Wabash	52	43	0	13	53	0	76	135	0
Warren	9	7	0	8	17	0	7	15	0
Warrick	20	44	3	31	91	3	109	296	6
Washington	26	55	0	10	50	0	49	264	3
Wayne	33	74	3	35	107	0	137	474	0
Wells	16	51	2	22	88	3	64	164	7
White	5	28	0	8	36	0	25	121	0
Whitley	7	25	7	5	21	1	18	70	2
Total	**4,381**	**7,379**	**358**	**2,862**	**10,685**	**294**	**12,820**	**35,502**	**882**

Note: Sub= Substantiated Unsub=Unsubstantiated Ind=Indicated Indicated Status returned July 1, 2004 per statue change.

A child is counted in only one category per investigation using the old Federal hierarchy of Sexual Abuse, Physical Abuse, then Neglect.

Prepared by:
Office of Data Management

Source:
ICWIS Annual Reports
-Report 125, 126, and 127
-generated 07/13/2005

INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF CHILD SERVICES

Number of Abuse and Neglect Children Per 1,000 Population Under The Age of 18 Substantiated Cases for State Fiscal Year 2005



A child is counted in only one category per investigation using the old Federal hierarchy of Sexual Abuse, Physical Abuse, then Neglect.

Prepared by:
Office of Data Management

Source:
ICWIS Annual Report
-Report 125 - generated 07/13/2005
US Census Population Estimates
-For 07/01/2004

State of Indiana
Mitchell E. Daniels Jr., Governor - James W. Payne, Director
402 West Washington Street. Room W392 / Indianapolis. IN 46204-2739

INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF CHILD SERVICES

Demographic Characteristics of Children by Age and Gender
State Fiscal Year 2005 (07/01/2004 - 06/30/2005)

Sexual Abuse

	Substantiated				Unsubstantiated				Indicated			
Age Range	Male	Female	NA	Total	Male	Female	NA	Total	Male	Female	NA	Total
0 - 3 yrs	62	142	0	**204**	296	683	4	**983**	14	39	0	**53**
4 - 6 yrs	253	456	0	**709**	626	945	2	**1,573**	26	49	0	**75**
7 - 12 yrs	394	1,032	0	**1,426**	738	1,361	2	**2,101**	31	96	0	**127**
13 -> yrs	248	1,669	4	**1,921**	344	1,872	7	**2,223**	22	101	0	**123**
Unavailable	75	157	1	**233**	302	609	9	**920**	3	11	0	**14**
Total	**1,032**	**3,456**	**5**	**4,493**	**2,306**	**5,470**	**24**	**7,800**	**96**	**296**	**0**	**392**

Physical Abuse

	Substantiated				Unsubstantiated				Indicated			
Age Range	Male	Female	NA	Total	Male	Female	NA	Total	Male	Female	NA	Total
0 - 3 yrs	317	215	1	**533**	1,196	971	7	**2,174**	28	33	0	**61**
4 - 6 yrs	287	170	2	**459**	1,167	872	6	**2,045**	35	27	0	**62**
7 - 12 yrs	589	434	0	**1,023**	2,069	1,627	11	**3,707**	62	47	0	**109**
13 -> yrs	336	464	3	**803**	993	1,587	11	**2,591**	25	47	0	**72**
Unavailable	64	55	0	**119**	644	561	13	**1,218**	11	6	0	**17**
Total	**1,593**	**1,338**	**6**	**2,937**	**6,069**	**5,618**	**48**	**11,735**	**161**	**160**	**0**	**321**

Neglect

	Substantiated				Unsubstantiated				Indicated			
Age Range	Male	Female	NA	Total	Male	Female	NA	Total	Male	Female	NA	Total
0 - 3 yrs	2,659	2,418	26	5,103	5,554	5,108	62	**10,724**	153	130	1	**284**
4 - 6 yrs	1,341	1,269	9	2,619	3,864	3,942	25	**7,831**	100	90	0	**190**
7 - 12 yrs	1,923	1,928	12	3,863	6,597	6,256	29	**12,882**	168	145	1	**314**
13 -> yrs	968	1,284	11	2,263	3,142	4,350	27	**7,519**	66	84	0	**150**
Unavailable	273	250	17	540	2,503	2,331	197	**5,031**	24	21	1	**46**
Total	**7,164**	**7,149**	**75**	**14,388**	**21,660**	**21,987**	**340**	**43,987**	**511**	**470**	**3**	**984**

On all tables, the same child may be counted in more than one category for the same investigation.

Prepared by:
Office of Data Management

Source:
ICWIS Annual Reports
-Report 137_1_139
-generated 07/13/2005

INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF CHILD SERVICES

Type of Abuse and Neglect by Age Group (Substantiated Investigations) State Fiscal Year 2005



The same child may be counted in more than one category of abuse/ neglect for the same investigation

Prepared by:
Office of Data Management

Source:
ICWIS Year End Report
-Report 137
-generated 07/13/2005

INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF CHILD SERVICES

Demographic Characteristics of Children by Race and Gender of Children (Substantiated Investigations) State Fiscal Year 2005 (07/01/2004 - 06/30/2005)

Race	Physical			Sexual			Neglect		
	Male	Female	Unknown	Male	Female	Unknown	Male	Female	Unknown
American Indian or Alaskan Native	15	18	1	9	43	0	55	60	0
Asian	7	4	0	2	2	0	12	17	0
Black or African American	336	272	1	179	518	2	1,181	1,251	19
Multiracial	59	50	1	25	85	0	291	297	3
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	2	1	0	0	1	0	7	3	0
Unable to Determine	6	9	0	13	36	1	58	42	7
White	1,168	984	3	803	2,770	2	5,559	5,479	46
Total By Gender	**1,593**	**1,338**	**6**	**1,031**	**3,455**	**5**	**7,163**	**7,149**	**75**
Total	**2,937**			**4,491**			**14,387**		

Demographic Characteristics of Children by Hispanic Origin, Race, and Gender (Substantiated Investigations) State Fiscal Year 2005 (07/01/2004 - 06/30/2005)

Non - Hispanic

Race	Physical			Sexual			Neglect		
	Male	Female	Unknown	Male	Female	Unknown	Male	Female	Unknown
American Indian or Alaskan Native	2	0	0	1	4	0	3	10	0
Asian	6	3	0	2	2	0	12	12	0
Black or African American	333	268	1	179	517	2	1,167	1,237	19
Multiracial	51	47	1	24	75	0	262	271	3
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	2	1	0	0	1	0	7	3	0
Unable to Determine	6	6	0	12	25	1	51	37	7
White	1,107	942	3	776	2,634	2	5,300	5,212	40
Total By Gender	**1,507**	**1,267**	**5**	**994**	**3,258**	**5**	**6,802**	**6,782**	**69**

Hispanic

Race	Physical			Sexual			Neglect		
	Male	Female	Unknown	Male	Female	Unknown	Male	Female	Unknown
American Indian or Alaskan Native	13	18	1	8	39	0	52	50	0
Asian	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	5	0
Black or African American	3	4	0	0	1	0	14	14	0
Multiracial	8	3	0	1	10	0	29	26	0
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unable to Determine	0	3	0	1	11	0	7	5	0
White	61	42	0	27	136	0	259	267	6
Total By Gender	**86**	**71**	**1**	**38**	**198**	**0**	**236**	**362**	**6**

On all tables, the same child may be counted in more than one category for the same investigation.

Prepared by:
Office of Data Management

Source:
ICWIS Annual Reports
-Report 137_2_141
-generated 07/13/2005

INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF CHILD SERVICES

Investigation Statistics
Types of Maltreatment Allegations for Abuse and Neglect
State Fiscal Year 2005 (07/01/2004 - 06/30/2005)

Sexual Abuse

Maltreatment Type	Age 0 - 3	Age 4 - 6	Age 7 - 12	Age 13 +	Age - Not Available	Total
Incest	93	187	273	275	70	**898**
Exploitation/Pornography	14	21	50	56	25	**166**
Rape	5	13	111	578	85	**792**
Child Molesting	1,188	2,330	3,601	2,108	981	**10,208**
Criminal Deviate Conduct	67	176	261	327	104	**935**
Child Seduction	0	4	4	221	19	**248**
Prostitution	1	3	12	57	2	**75**
Indecent Exposure	19	37	81	47	22	**206**
Sexual Misconduct with a Minor	1	15	42	1,772	147	**1,977**
Harmful/Obscene Performance	10	17	35	32	7	**101**
Living in home with sexual perpetrator and victim	51	51	62	48	29	**241**

Physical Abuse

Maltreatment Type	Age 0 - 3	Age 4 - 6	Age 7 - 12	Age 13 +	Age - Not Available	Total
Bruises/Cuts/Welts	1,697	1,748	3,191	2,321	800	**9,757**
Wounds/Punctures/Bites	101	70	93	73	21	**358**
Bone Fracture	304	36	35	51	36	**462**
Internal Injury	75	16	27	30	7	**155**
Skull Fractures/Brain Damage	115	6	4	3	4	**132**
Burns/Scalds	301	124	119	54	48	**646**
Poisoning	5	0	0	2	0	**7**
Asphyxiation/Suffocation	33	17	28	22	6	**106**
Shaking/Dislocation/Sprains	55	14	11	17	18	**115**
Drowning	8	0	1	0	0	**9**
Inappropriate Discipline	1,492	1,838	3,880	2,767	1,070	**11,047**
Gunshot Wounds	1	3	1	7	2	**14**
Shaken Baby Syndrome	82	2	0	0	1	**85**
Alcohol Abuse Child	0	0	0	0	0	**0**
Drug Abuse Child	0	0	0	0	0	**0**

In some cases, a child may have suffered multiple types of maltreatments or allegations may have been made regardless of substantiation.

Prepared by:
Office of Data Management

Source:
ICWIS Annual Report
-Report 145_146
-generated 07/13/2005

INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF CHILD SERVICES

Investigation Statistics
Types of Maltreatment Allegations for Abuse and Neglect
State Fiscal Year 2005 (07/01/2004 - 06/30/2005)

Neglect

Maltreatment Type	Age 0 - 3	Age 4 - 6	Age 7 - 12	Age 13 +	Age - Not Available	Total
Lack of Supervision	7,189	5,584	7,965	4,477	2,834	**28,049**
Failure to Thrive	201	5	5	7	8	**226**
Malnutrition	177	61	42	8	15	**303**
Medical Neglect	1,403	601	1,079	697	326	**4,106**
Educational Neglect	46	426	1,518	927	245	**3,162**
Abandonment	373	166	280	491	90	**1,400**
Close/Confinement	73	42	74	32	32	**253**
Lock In/Out	223	239	337	256	123	**1,178**
Lack of Food,Shelter,Clothing	3,234	2,145	3,315	1,743	1,101	**11,538**
Environment Life/Health Endangering	17,503	10,845	16,851	9,141	5,689	**60,029**
Poor Hygiene	1,946	1,262	1,610	470	580	**5,868**
Drug Related Conditions (child)	1,107	198	402	380	184	**2,271**
Fetal Alcohol Syndrome	11	2	0	0	0	**13**

In some cases, a child may have suffered multiple types of maltreatments or allegations may have been made regardless of substantiation.

Prepared by:
Office of Data Management

Source:
ICWIS Annual Report
-Report 145_146
-generated 07/13/2005

INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF CHILD SERVICES

Investigation Statistics
Perpetrator Profile of Substantiated Investigations
State Fiscal Year 2005 (07/01/2004 - 06/30/2005)

Perpetrator Relationship to Victim	Sexual Abuse	Physical Abuse	Neglect
Adoptive Grandparent	0	0	2
Aunt	6	24	139
Baby Sitter	41	37	159
Boyfriend of Parent	193	311	742
Brother	191	23	42
Brother-In-Law	18	1	1
CC (Child Care) Facilities Staff	0	5	21
CCH (Child Care Home) Staff	0	1	36
Daughter	0	0	1
Father	304	963	4,207
Father-in law	0	0	0
First Cousin	234	5	27
First Cousin, Once Removed	22	5	6
Foster Father	10	13	25
Foster Mother	3	35	49
GAL (Guardian Ad Litem)	1	0	2
Girlfriend of Parent	4	28	116
Grandchild	0	0	0
Grandfather	131	23	141
Grandmother	4	26	367
Great Aunt	0	2	6
Great Grandfather	3	1	1
Great Great Grandparent	1	0	0
Great Uncle	10	0	2
Great grandmother	0	2	6
Half Brother	148	2	19

Perpetrator Relationship to Victim	Sexual Abuse	Physical Abuse	Neglect
Half Sister	14	1	12
Institution Staff	25	67	155
Legal Guardian	3	5	32
Mother	38	1,004	11,078
Mother- in law	0	0	0
Nephew	7	0	0
Never Participated	0	0	0
Niece	3	0	0
None	1,887	60	239
Other	271	30	53
Other Relative	48	2	16
Other Specified Relative	2	0	0
Pre Adoptive Father	0	1	0
Pre Adoptive Mother	0	0	6
Resident	78	0	1
Sister	21	5	13
Sister-In-Law	0	0	0
Son	0	0	1
Stepdaughter	0	0	0
Stepbrother	68	0	2
Stepfather	218	225	602
Stepmother	2	72	114
Stepsister	2	1	3
Teacher	7	7	13
Unavailable	361	49	142
Uncle	228	34	82
Total	**4,607**	**3,070**	**18,681**

The same perpetrator may be counted in more than one category for the same investigation.
One perpetrator may have more than one victim. The perpetrator may also have a different relationship with each victim. Therefore, one perpetrator may appear multiple times in the same category.

Prepared by:
Office of Data Management

Source:
ICWIS Annual Report
-Report 157_3-159

INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF CHILD SERVICES

Relationship of Perpetrator to Victim
Substantiated Investigations
State Fiscal Year 2005



Prepared by:
Office of Data Management

Source:
ICWIS Annual Report
-Report 157
-generated 07/13/2005

INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF CHILD SERVICES

Substantiated Investigations of Abuse and Negelct
By Hispanic Origin and Race of Perpetrator
State Fiscal Year 2005 (07/01/2004 - 06/30/2005)

Race	Physical			Sexual			Neglect		
	Total	Non-Hispanic	Hispanic	Total	Non-Hispanic	Hispanic	Total	Non-Hispanic	Hispanic
American Indian or Alaskan Native	26	1	25	69	6	63	98	16	82
Asian	11	9	2	2	2	0	29	26	3
Black or African American	569	565	4	624	623	1	1,441	1,438	3
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	4	4	0	6	5	1	12	12	0
White	1,799	1,726	73	2,638	2,481	157	7,836	7,605	231
Unable to Determine	105	101	4	395	376	19	151	141	10
Multiracial	13	8	5	29	25	4	61	47	14
Total	**2,527**	**2,414**	**113**	**3,763**	**3,518**	**245**	**9,628**	**9,285**	**343**

Substantiated Investigations of Abuse and Negelct
By Sex of Perpetrator
State Fiscal Year 2005 (07/01/2004 - 06/30/2005)

Sex	Physical Abuse	Sexual Abuse	Neglect
Female	1,053	247	6,382
Male	1,385	3,456	3,179
Unknown	89	60	67
Total	**2,527**	**3,763**	**9,628**

On all tables, the same perpetrator may be counted in more than one category for the same investigation.

Prepared by:
Office of Data Management

Source:
ICWIS Annual Reports
-Report 157_159
-generate 07/13/2005

INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF CHILD SERVICES

Institutional Child Abuse and Neglect Reports
State Fiscal Years 1996 to 2005



Data from 1996 to 1998 used Federal hierarchy. Each Investigation is counted in only one category per investigation.

From 1997 to 2000, unsubstantiated cases were expunged and no aggregate data was kept.

Data from 1999 to 2005 the same investigation may be counted in each category. So a case with Physical and Sexual Abuse may be counted twice in the abuse total.

Institutional Child Abuse Reports (Substantiated Investigations)
State Fiscal Years 1996 to 2005



Prepared by:
Office of Data Management

Source:
ICWIS Annual Report
-Report 163
-generated 07/13/2005

INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF CHILD SERVICES

Institutional Investigation Statistics
Types of Maltreatment for Physical Abuse by Race and Gender
State Fiscal Year 2005 (07/01/2004 - 06/30/2005)

Allegation	Race and Sex																							
	American Indian / Alaskan						Asian						Black						Nat Hawaiian/Pac Islander					
	Hispanic			Non-Hispanic			Hispanic			Non-Hispanic			Hispanic			Non-Hispanic			Hispanic			Non-Hispanic		
	M	F	U	M	F	U	M	F	U	M	F	U	M	F	U	M	F	U	M	F	U	M	F	U
Alcohol Abuse Child	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Asphyxiation/Suffocation	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bone Fracture	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bruises/Cuts/Welts	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	19	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Burns/Scalds	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Death due to physical abuse	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Drowning	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Drug Abuse Child	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Gunshot Wounds	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inappropriate Discipline	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	19	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Internal Injury	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Poisoning	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Shaken Baby Syndrome	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Shaking/Dislocation/Sprains	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Skull Fractures/Brain Damage	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wounds/Punctures/Bites	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Allegation	Race and Sex																		Total
	White						Unavailable						Multiracial						
	Hispanic			Non-Hispanic			Hispanic			Non-Hispanic			Hispanic			Non-Hispanic			
	M	F	U	M	F	U	M	F	U	M	F	U	M	F	U	M	F	U	
Alcohol Abuse Child	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	**0**
Asphyxiation/Suffocation	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	**1**
Bone Fracture	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	**3**
Bruises/Cuts/Welts	0	0	0	45	15	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	**89**
Burns/Scalds	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	**1**
Death due to physical abuse	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	**1**
Drowning	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	**0**
Drug Abuse Child	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	**0**
Gunshot Wounds	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	**0**
Inappropriate Discipline	0	0	0	31	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	**72**
Internal Injury	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	**0**
Poisoning	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	**0**
Shaken Baby Syndrome	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	**1**
Shaking/Dislocation/Sprains	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	**1**
Skull Fractures/Brain Damage	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	**0**
Wounds/Punctures/Bites	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	**3**

M=Male, F=Female, U=Unavailable

The same child may be counted in multiple allegations.

Institutional Investigations are investigations of a child who has been placed with, or is being cared for by an alternate caregiver such as daycare staff or residential facility staff.

Prepared by:
Office of Data Management

Source:
ICWIS Annual Reports
-Report 164-165
-generated 07/13/2005

INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF CHILD SERVICES

Institutional Investigation Statistics
Types of Maltreatment for Sexual Abuse by Race and Gender
State Fiscal Year 2005 (07/01/2004 - 06/30/2005)

Allegation	Race and Sex																							
	American Indian / Alaskan						Asian						Black						Nat Hawaiian/Pac Islander					
	Hispanic			Non-Hispanic			Hispanic			Non-Hispanic			Hispanic			Non-Hispanic			Hispanic			Non-Hispanic		
	M	F	U	M	F	U	M	F	U	M	F	U	M	F	U	M	F	U	M	F	U	M	F	U
Child Molesting	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	28	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Child Seduction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Criminal Deviate Conduct	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Exploitation/Pornography	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Harmful/Obscene Performance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Incest	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Indecent Exposure	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Living in home with sexual perpetrator and victim	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Prostitution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rape	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sexual Misconduct with a Minor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Allegation	Race and Sex																		Total
	White						Unavailable						Multiracial						
	Hispanic			Non-Hispanic			Hispanic			Non-Hispanic			Hispanic			Non-Hispanic			
	M	F	U	M	F	U	M	F	U	M	F	U	M	F	U	M	F	U	
Child Molesting	1	0	0	75	47	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	**171**
Child Seduction	1	1	0	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	**11**
Criminal Deviate Conduct	0	1	0	22	6	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	**45**
Exploitation/Pornography	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	**1**
Harmful/Obscene Performance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	**0**
Incest	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	**1**
Indecent Exposure	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	**6**
Living in home with sexual perpetrator and victim	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	**2**
Prostitution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	**0**
Rape	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	**0**
Sexual Misconduct with a Minor	0	1	0	11	10	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	24

M=Male, F=Female, U=Unavailable

The same child may be counted in multiple allegations.

Institutional Investigations are investigations of a child who has been placed with, or is being cared for by an alternate caregiver such as daycare staff or residential facility staff.

Prepared by:
Office of Data Management

Source:
ICWIS Annual Reports
-Report 164-165
-generated 07/13/2005

INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF CHILD SERVICES

Institutional Investigation Statistics
Types of Maltreatment for Neglect by Race and Gender
State Fiscal Year 2005 (07/01/2004 - 06/30/2005)

Allegation	Race and Sex																							
	American Indian /						Asian						Black						Nat Hawaiian/Pac					
	Hispanic			Non-Hispanic			Hispanic			Non-Hispanic			Hispanic			Non-Hispanic			Hispanic			Non-Hispanic		
	M	F	U	M	F	U	M	F	U	M	F	U	M	F	U	M	F	U	M	F	U	M	F	U
Abandonment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Close/Confinement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Death due to neglect	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Drug Related Conditions (child)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Educational Neglect	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environment Life/Health Endangering	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Failure to Thrive	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fetal Alcohol Syndrome	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lack of Food,Shelter,Clothing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lack of Supervision	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	25	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lock In/Out	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Malnutrition	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Medical Neglect	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Poor Hygiene	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Allegation	Race and Sex																		Total
	White						Unavailable						Multiracial						
	Hispanic			Non-Hispanic			Hispanic			Non-Hispanic			Hispanic			Non-Hispanic			
	M	F	U	M	F	U	M	F	U	M	F	U	M	F	U	M	F	U	
Abandonment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	**3**
Close/Confinement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	**3**
Death due to neglect	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	**2**
Drug Related Conditions (child)	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	**1**
Educational Neglect	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	**1**
Environment Life/Health Endangering	3	0	0	70	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	**116**
Failure to Thrive	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	**0**
Fetal Alcohol Syndrome	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	**0**
Lack of Food,Shelter,Clothing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	**1**
Lack of Supervision	3	0	0	117	49	3	0	0	0	2	3	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	**227**
Lock In/Out	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	**1**
Malnutrition	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	**0**
Medical Neglect	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	**8**
Poor Hygiene	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	**0**

M=Male, F=Female, U=Unavailable

The same child may be counted in multiple allegations.

Institutional Investigations are investigations of a child who has been placed with, or is being cared for by an alternate caregiver such as daycare staff or residential facility staff.

Prepared by:
Office of Data Management

Source:
ICWIS Annual Reports
-Report 164-165
-generated 07/13/2005

INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF CHILD SERVICES

Institutional Investigation Statistics
Types of Maltreatment for Abuse and Neglect by Age
(Substantiated Investigations)
State Fiscal Year 2005 (07/01/2004 - 06/30/2005)

Physical Abuse

Allegation	Total	Age Group 0 to 3	4 to 6	7 to 12	13 +	Not Reported
Alcohol Abuse Child	**0**	0	0	0	0	0
Asphyxiation/Suffocation	**1**	0	0	0	1	0
Bone Fracture	**3**	0	0	1	2	0
Bruises/Cuts/Welts	**89**	17	9	24	35	4
Burns/Scalds	**1**	0	0	0	1	0
Death due to physical abuse	**1**	1	0	0	0	0
Drowning	**0**	0	0	0	0	0
Drug Abuse Child	**0**	0	0	0	0	0
Gunshot Wounds	**0**	0	0	0	0	0
Inappropriate Discipline	**72**	5	11	24	28	4
Internal Injury	**0**	0	0	0	0	0
Poisoning	**0**	0	0	0	0	0
Shaken Baby Syndrome	**1**	1	0	0	0	0
Shaking/Dislocation/Sprains	**1**	0	0	0	1	0
Skull Fractures/Brain Damage	**0**	0	0	0	0	0
Wounds/Punctures/Bites	**3**	0	0	0	2	1

Neglect

Allegation	Total	Age Group 0 to 3	4 to 6	7 to 12	13 +	Not Reported
Abandonment	**3**	3	0	0	0	0
Close/Confinement	**3**	0	0	0	3	0
Death due to neglect	**2**	1	0	0	0	1
Drug Related Conditions (child)	**1**	1	0	0	0	0
Educational Neglect	**1**	0	0	0	1	0
Environment Life/Health Endangering	**116**	29	17	21	46	3
Failure to Thrive	**0**	0	0	0	0	0
Fetal Alcohol Syndrome	**0**	0	0	0	0	0
Lack of Food,Shelter,Clothing	**1**	0	0	0	1	0
Lack of Supervision	**227**	37	37	56	70	27
Lock In/Out	**1**	0	0	0	1	0
Malnutrition	**0**	0	0	0	0	0
Medical Neglect	**8**	1	0	4	3	0
Poor Hygiene	**0**	0	0	0	0	0

Sexual Abuse

Allegation	Total	Age Group 0 to 3	4 to 6	7 to 12	13 +	Not Reported
Child Molesting	**171**	1	16	75	74	5
Child Seduction	**11**	0	0	0	11	0
Criminal Deviate Conduct	**45**	0	6	3	30	6
Exploitation/Pornography	**1**	0	0	0	1	0
Harmful/Obscene Performance	**0**	0	0	0	0	0
Incest	**1**	0	0	1	0	0
Indecent Exposure	**6**	0	0	4	2	0
Living in home with sexual perpetrator and victim	**2**	0	2	0	0	0
Prostitution	**0**	0	0	0	0	0
Rape	**0**	0	0	0	0	0
Sexual Misconduct with a Minor	**31**	0	0	0	30	1

On all tables, the same child may be counted in more than one category for the same investigation.

Prepared by:
Office of Data Management

Source:
ICWIS Annual Reports
-Report 164-165
-generated 07/13/2005

INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF CHILD SERVICES

Institutional Investigation Statistics
By Facility
State Fiscal Year 2005 (07/01/2004 - 06/30/2005)

Facility	Substantiated Investigations			Unsubstantiated Investigations			Indicated Investigations			Total Investigations	
	Sexual	Physical	Neglect	Sexual	Physical	Neglect	Sexual	Physical	Neglect	Abuse	Neglect
Adoption	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	**1**	**1**
Child Care Center	1	3	9	28	37	53	1	0	1	**68**	**62**
Child Caring Institution	62	14	12	72	81	58	0	2	2	**229**	**70**
Children, Youth and Families	5	3	1	12	21	19	0	0	0	**40**	**20**
Correctional Institution/Facilities	20	18	7	12	36	14	0	0	0	**85**	**21**
Court	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	**1**	**0**
Court Approved Placement -not licensed	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	**2**	**2**
Day Care Home	4	13	27	27	35	58	2	5	3	**77**	**85**
Developmental Disabilities Services/ Agencies	0	1	1	1	5	6	0	0	0	**7**	**7**
Foster Care Home	23	24	28	69	107	140	0	1	2	**215**	**168**
Group Home	7	1	8	5	3	14	0	0	0	**16**	**22**
Head Start Center	0	2	2	4	7	8	0	0	0	**12**	**10**
Health Services	1	0	1	1	1	2	0	0	0	**3**	**3**
Hospital Other than a State Hosp.	9	2	3	6	9	9	0	0	0	**26**	**12**
Housing & Environmental Services	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	**1**	**1**
Law Enforcement Agency	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	**1**	**0**
Licensed Child Placing Agency	1	3	1	0	3	3	0	0	0	**7**	**4**
Mental Health and Addictions Services	2	1	1	4	2	2	0	0	0	**9**	**3**
Ministry	0	0	1	3	2	5	0	0	0	**5**	**6**
Neighborhood Centers	1	0	0	4	0	4	0	0	0	**5**	**4**
Nursing Home	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	**2**	**0**
Private Secure Facility	4	1	2	7	18	7	0	0	0	**28**	**9**
Registered Child Care Ministry	1	1	4	5	10	23	0	0	0	**17**	**27**
Registered Child Caring Institution	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	**2**	**0**
Registered Group Home	2	0	1	1	2	0	0	0	0	**5**	**1**
Relative Home	0	0	0	1	5	9	0	0	0	**6**	**9**
Schools	22	6	11	118	103	84	0	2	0	**247**	**95**
Special Groups & Services	0	1	1	10	3	6	0	0	1	**14**	**7**
State Hospital	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	**3**	**0**
Total	**166**	**94**	**121**	**392**	**500**	**528**	**3**	**10**	**9**	**1134**	**649**

Institutional Investigations are investigations of a child who has been placed with, or is being cared for by an alternate caregiver such as daycare staff or residential facility staff.

Prepared by:
Office of Data Management

Source:
ICWIS Annual Reports
-Report 163

INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF CHILD SERVICES

Number of Foster Homes and Residential Placement Facilities On June 30 of the State Fiscal Years 1993-2005



Prepared by:
Office of Data Management

Source:
ICWIS Annual Reports
-Report 123

INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF CHILD SERVICES

Statewide Adoptions
State Fiscal Year 1999 to SFY 2005



Prepared by:
Office of Data Management

Source:
ICWIS Ad Hoc Query
-generated 11/09/2005

INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF CHILD SERVICES

Statewide Adoptions
State Fiscal Year 2005

County	Gender	Age Group																			Grand Total
		0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	
Adams	Female	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	Male	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Adams Total		**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**2**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**6**
Allen	Female	0	0	1	1	5	1	2	2	0	3	1	4	0	2	2	1	1	0	1	27
	Male	0	0	5	3	1	1	2	4	2	0	3	1	1	4	0	2	0	3	0	32
Allen Total		**0**	**0**	**6**	**4**	**6**	**2**	**4**	**6**	**2**	**3**	**4**	**5**	**1**	**6**	**2**	**3**	**1**	**3**	**1**	**59**
Bartholomew	Male	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
Bartholomew Total		**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**1**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**4**
Blackford	Female	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
Blackford Total		**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**
Boone	Female	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	Male	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Boone Total		**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**1**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**5**
Cass	Female	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	4
	Male	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
Cass Total		**1**	**1**	**1**	**1**	**1**	**0**	**1**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**8**
Central Office	Male	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Central Office Total		**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**
Clark	Female	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	Male	0	0	1	1	0	0	2	0	2	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	9
Clark Total		**0**	**0**	**1**	**1**	**0**	**2**	**2**	**0**	**3**	**1**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**12**
Clay	Female	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
	Male	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5
Clay Total		**1**	**2**	**1**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**2**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**11**
Clinton	Female	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	4
	Male	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Clinton Total		**0**	**0**	**1**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**6**
Crawford	Female	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	Male	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
Crawford Total		**0**	**0**	**1**	**1**	**2**	**1**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**7**
Daviess	Female	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	2
	Male	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Daviess Total		**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**3**
Dearborn	Female	0	0	2	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
	Male	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	5
Dearborn Total		**0**	**0**	**2**	**2**	**1**	**0**	**1**	**2**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**11**
Decatur	Female	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
	Male	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Decatur Total		**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**2**
DeKalb	Female	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Male	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
DeKalb Total		**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**2**
Delaware	Female	0	0	0	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	Male	0	0	2	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	8
Delaware Total		**0**	**0**	**2**	**6**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**12**

Prepared by:
Office of Data Management

Source:
ICWIS Ad Hoc Query
-generated 11/09/2005

INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF CHILD SERVICES

Statewide Adoptions
State Fiscal Year 2005

County	Gender	Age Group																			Grand Total
		0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	
DuBois	Female	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2
	Male	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
DuBois Total		**1**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**4**
Elkhart	Female	0	0	4	1	0	1	1	1	0	2	1	1	5	1	0	0	1	2	0	21
	Male	0	0	2	2	2	1	1	1	2	0	1	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	15
Elkhart Total		**0**	**0**	**6**	**3**	**2**	**2**	**2**	**2**	**2**	**2**	**2**	**1**	**5**	**1**	**3**	**0**	**1**	**2**	**0**	**36**
Fayette	Female	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	4
	Male	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
Fayette Total		**1**	**0**	**4**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**7**
Floyd	Female	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
	Male	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Floyd Total		**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**2**
Gibson	Female	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	Male	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
Gibson Total		**0**	**0**	**1**	**1**	**1**	**1**	**1**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**6**
Grant	Female	0	0	0	2	0	1	1	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
	Male	0	0	2	1	4	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	11
Grant Total		**0**	**0**	**2**	**3**	**4**	**1**	**2**	**0**	**3**	**0**	**0**	**2**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**18**
Greene	Female	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	5
	Male	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	5
Greene Total		**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**1**	**1**	**1**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**1**	**1**	**0**	**10**
Hamilton	Male	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	2
Hamilton Total		**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**2**
Hancock	Female	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Male	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Hancock Total		**0**	**0**	**2**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**3**
Harrison	Female	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
Harrison Total		**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**
Hendricks	Female	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	Male	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Hendricks Total		**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**3**
Henry	Female	0	1	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
	Male	0	1	2	1	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
Henry Total		**0**	**2**	**3**	**3**	**1**	**2**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**13**
Howard	Female	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	Male	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	4
Howard Total		**0**	**1**	**0**	**2**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**2**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**8**
Huntington	Female	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
	Male	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Huntington Total		**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**3**
Jasper	Female	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
Jasper Total		**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**2**
Jay	Male	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Jay Total		**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**

Prepared by:
Office of Data Management

Source:
ICWIS Ad Hoc Query
-generated 11/09/2005

INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF CHILD SERVICES

Statewide Adoptions
State Fiscal Year 2005

County	Gender	Age Group																			Grand Total
		0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	
Jefferson	Male	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Jefferson Total		**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**
Knox	Female	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	5
	Male	0	0	0	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
Knox Total		**0**	**0**	**1**	**2**	**1**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**1**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**9**
Kosciusko	Female	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	Male	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	7
Kosciusko Total		**0**	**1**	**0**	**2**	**0**	**1**	**2**	**1**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**2**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**10**
LaGrange	Female	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Male	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
LaGrange Total		**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**2**
Lake	Female	0	1	8	6	1	5	4	5	1	3	4	5	5	2	3	3	3	0	0	59
	Male	0	1	8	7	5	4	5	1	6	4	1	2	4	2	3	0	3	0	0	56
Lake Total		**0**	**2**	**16**	**13**	**6**	**9**	**9**	**6**	**7**	**7**	**5**	**7**	**9**	**4**	**6**	**3**	**6**	**0**	**0**	**115**
Laporte	Female	1	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	6
	Male	1	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
Laporte Total		**2**	**2**	**3**	**2**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**11**
Lawrence	Male	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Lawrence Total		**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**
Madison	Female	0	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
	Male	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2
Madison Total		**0**	**1**	**3**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**7**
Marion	Female	2	24	18	13	15	13	10	12	10	6	7	9	10	3	4	4	3	2	1	166
	Male	5	21	26	17	13	16	16	16	10	3	12	13	2	11	6	1	3	1	0	192
Marion Total		**7**	**45**	**44**	**30**	**28**	**29**	**26**	**28**	**20**	**9**	**19**	**22**	**12**	**14**	**10**	**5**	**6**	**3**	**1**	**358**
Marshall	Male	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2
Marshall Total		**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**2**
Martin	Female	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2
	Male	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
Martin Total		**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**1**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**5**
Miami	Male	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Miami Total		**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**2**
Monroe	Female	0	0	0	2	0	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	9
	Male	0	0	0	0	1	4	2	2	1	0	1	2	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	16
Monroe Total		**0**	**0**	**0**	**2**	**1**	**5**	**3**	**3**	**1**	**1**	**2**	**2**	**2**	**1**	**0**	**1**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**25**
Montgomery	Female	0	0	0	0	1	3	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
	Male	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
Montgomery Total		**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**1**	**4**	**2**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**1**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**11**
Morgan	Female	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	5
Morgan Total		**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**1**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**5**
Noble	Female	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Male	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	4
Noble Total		**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**2**	**0**	**0**	**5**

Prepared by:
Office of Data Management

Source:
ICWIS Ad Hoc Query
-generated 11/09/2005

INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF CHILD SERVICES

Statewide Adoptions
State Fiscal Year 2005

County	Gender	Age Group																			Grand Total
		0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	
Ohio	Female	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	Male	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Ohio Total		**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**3**
Perry	Male	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Perry Total		**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**2**
Porter	Female	0	0	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
	Male	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
Porter Total		**0**	**0**	**1**	**3**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**2**	**1**	**0**	**3**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**11**
Pulaski	Female	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Male	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	2
Pulaski Total		**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**3**
Putnam	Female	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4
	Male	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Putnam Total		**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**2**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**1**	**5**
Randolph	Female	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Male	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	2
Randolph Total		**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**3**
Ripley	Female	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	6
	Male	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	3
Ripley Total		**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**1**	**2**	**0**	**1**	**1**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**9**
Rush	Female	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Male	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
Rush Total		**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**1**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**4**
Saint Joseph	Female	0	4	3	4	3	6	2	2	2	1	2	2	2	1	1	2	0	0	0	37
	Male	1	6	5	2	1	3	2	3	3	1	2	2	1	4	0	1	0	1	0	38
Saint Joseph Total		**1**	**10**	**8**	**6**	**4**	**9**	**4**	**5**	**5**	**2**	**4**	**4**	**3**	**5**	**1**	**3**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**75**
Scott	Female	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	3
	Male	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Scott Total		**0**	**0**	**1**	**1**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**4**
Shelby	Male	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
Shelby Total		**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**2**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**4**
Starke	Male	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Starke Total		**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**2**
Steuben	Male	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Steuben Total		**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**
Sullivan	Female	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Male	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Sullivan Total		**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**3**
Tippecanoe	Female	0	0	3	1	0	2	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	12
	Male	0	0	3	2	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9
Tippecanoe Total		**0**	**0**	**6**	**3**	**1**	**3**	**1**	**1**	**1**	**1**	**2**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**21**
Vanderburgh	Female	0	1	0	2	0	2	1	2	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	16
	Male	0	2	4	3	2	1	4	0	1	0	1	2	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	23
Vanderburgh Total		**0**	**3**	**4**	**5**	**2**	**3**	**5**	**2**	**4**	**1**	**1**	**2**	**1**	**1**	**1**	**0**	**2**	**2**	**0**	**39**

Prepared by:
Office of Data Management

Source:
ICWIS Ad Hoc Query
-generated 11/09/2005

INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF CHILD SERVICES

Statewide Adoptions
State Fiscal Year 2005

County	Gender	Age Group																			Grand Total
		0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	
Vermillion	Female	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	Male	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Vermillion Total		**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**3**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**3**
Vigo	Female	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	5
	Male	1	3	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
Vigo Total		**1**	**4**	**1**	**1**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**2**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**13**
Warrick	Male	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Warrick Total		**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**
Wayne	Female	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Male	0	0	0	3	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
Wayne Total		**0**	**0**	**0**	**3**	**1**	**0**	**2**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**7**
Wells	Female	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Wells Total		**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**
White	Female	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
White Total		**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**
Whitley	Female	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Whitley Total		**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**
Grand Total		**16**	**78**	**130**	**111**	**79**	**81**	**73**	**76**	**59**	**44**	**52**	**57**	**48**	**42**	**32**	**22**	**29**	**21**	**4**	**1,054**

Prepared by:
Office of Data Management

Source:
ICWIS Ad Hoc Query
-generated 11/09/2005

INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF CHILD SERVICES

Children Free for Adoption
by Age, Gender, Race and Type of Placement
State Fiscal Year 2005 (07/01/2004 - 06/30/2005)

Non - Finalized Adoptive Placement

Age	Sex	American Indian / Alaskan		Asian		Black		Native Hawaiian / Pacific Islander		White		Multiracial		Unavailable	
		H	NH	H	NH	H	NH	H	NH	H	NH	H	NH	H	NH
Under 1 Year	Male	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Female	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1 - 2 Years	Male	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
	Female	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
3 - 5 Years	Male	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	1	5	1	0	0	0
	Female	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	1	2	0	1	0	0
6 - 8 Years	Male	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	2	9	0	0	0	0
	Female	0	0	0	0	0	15	0	0	0	8	2	2	0	1
9 - 11 Years	Male	0	0	0	0	0	9	0	0	0	7	0	2	0	0
	Female	0	0	0	0	0	11	0	0	0	11	0	0	0	1
12 - 14 Years	Male	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	12	0	0	0	3
	Female	0	0	0	0	0	9	0	0	0	11	0	0	0	1
15 - 17 Years	Male	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	1
	Female	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	11	0	0	0	1
18 - 20 Years	Male	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
	Female	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0
Unknown	Male	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Female	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total		**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**90**	**0**	**0**	**4**	**88**	**3**	**6**	**0**	**11**

Table excludes private adoptions.

Prepared by:
Office of Data Management

Source:
ICWIS Annual Reports
-Report 168
-generated 07/13/2005

INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF CHILD SERVICES

Children Free for Adoption
by Age, Gender, Race and Type of Placement
State Fiscal Year 2005 (07/01/2004 - 06/30/2005)

Not In Adoptive Placement

Age	Sex	American Indian / Alaskan		Asian		Black		Native Hawaiian / Pacific Islander		White		Multiracial		Unavailable	
		H	NH	H	NH	H	NH	H	NH	H	NH	H	NH	H	NH
Under 1 Year	Male	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	3
	Female	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
1 - 2 Years	Male	0	0	0	0	0	22	0	0	0	24	1	2	0	4
	Female	0	0	0	0	1	9	0	0	0	20	0	4	0	11
3 - 5 Years	Male	2	0	0	1	1	42	0	0	3	61	0	7	1	19
	Female	2	0	0	2	4	39	0	0	3	58	0	1	0	10
6 - 8 Years	Male	2	2	0	2	1	52	0	0	4	65	0	5	1	17
	Female	2	1	0	2	2	35	0	0	5	60	1	6	0	15
9 - 11 Years	Male	2	2	0	0	0	62	0	0	2	71	0	5	0	26
	Female	2	1	0	0	0	47	0	0	3	48	0	6	0	21
12 - 14 Years	Male	2	2	0	0	0	86	0	0	1	86	0	7	1	28
	Female	1	1	0	0	1	76	0	0	1	96	0	6	0	19
15 - 17 Years	Male	3	0	0	0	0	78	0	0	1	118	0	7	2	35
	Female	0	1	0	1	0	73	0	1	1	79	0	5	0	29
18 - 20 Years	Male	0	1	0	0	0	24	0	0	0	47	0	1	0	10
	Female	0	1	0	0	0	38	0	0	0	43	0	0	0	16
Unknown	Male	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	6	0	2	0	29
	Female	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	10	0	0	0	27
Total		**18**	**12**	**0**	**8**	**10**	**689**	**0**	**1**	**25**	**895**	**2**	**64**	**5**	**319**

Table excludes private adoptions.

Prepared by:
Office of Data Management

Source:
ICWIS Annual Reports
-Report 168
-generated 07/13/2005

Children Free for Adoption
by Age, Gender, Race and Type of Placement
State Fiscal Year 2005 (07/01/2004 - 06/30/2005)

Not In Adoptive Placement and Non - Finalized Adoptive Placement

Age	Sex	Total Free for Adoption
Under 1 Year	Male	**6**
	Female	**1**
1 - 2 Years	Male	**55**
	Female	**48**
3 - 5 Years	Male	**150**
	Female	**128**
6 - 8 Years	Male	**172**
	Female	**157**
9 - 11 Years	Male	**188**
	Female	**151**
12 - 14 Years	Male	**232**
	Female	**222**
15 - 17 Years	Male	**254**
	Female	**210**
18 - 20 Years	Male	**90**
	Female	**103**
Unknown	Male	**41**
	Female	**42**
Total		**2,250**

Table excludes private adoptions.

Prepared by:
Office of Data Management

Source:
ICWIS Annual Reports
-Report 168
-generated 07/13/2005

Department of Child Services
Demographic Trends Report
State Fiscal Year 2005

Child Support

Title IV-D of the Federal Social Security Act, enacted in 1975, required that a child support program be established in every state that chose to participate in the IV-A programs concerning public support. Under the provisions of IC-12-17-2, Indiana's child support program became effective on October 1, 1976. It is administered by the Child Support Bureau.

In 2005, the Child Support Bureau was incorporated into the newly created Department of Child Services (DCS). Children have the right to the care and support of both parents whether or not the parents are married and both in the home. The child support program enforces parental responsibility through the collection of court-ordered support payments from non-custodial parents. A full range of child support services is provided.

In Indiana, the Child Support Bureau has entered into cooperative agreements with 90 local county prosecutors to provide child support enforcement services in all 92 counties. The Bureau also has cooperative agreements with the Clerks of Circuit Courts for collecting support payments. Several courts have established magistrates, or special hearing officers, specifically to adjudicate Title IV-D child support cases.

Parents who receive TANF or Medicaid for their children are required to pursue Title IV-D child support services through the local county prosecutor's office, and the program is free of charge to them. For other families in need of child support services, there is a one-time application fee of $25.00. Persons interested in receiving these services may apply at their local county prosecutor's office. The personal information they are asked to provide is needed to ensure the best possible service to them, and it will be treated in a confidential manner.

Through the Title IV-D Child Support Program, there are numerous tools to aid in child support enforcement. Examples include:

- Ordering employers to withhold child support from wages and providing those employers with convenient Internet payment posting
- Applying liens to property
- Interception of income tax refunds (state and federal)
- Interception of unemployment compensation benefits
- Interception of lottery winnings
- Credit bureau reporting of child support arrearages
- Suspending driver, professional, and recreational licenses
- Matching delinquent payers with financial institution data
- Access to employer information about new hires

The impact of the Child Support Program collection efforts for the citizens of Indiana is seen in the collection figures for the State Fiscal Year ending June 30, 2006. During this 12-month period, the Child Support Bureau collected $482,216,301. This is an increase of over $27,000,000 from the previous year. These increased collections mean that more Indiana children are receiving the child support they deserve, and that fewer Indiana families will have to resort to public assistance to survive.

In February 1999, electronic funds transfer from employers was initiated, and it was followed by electronic direct deposit of payments for the distribution of collections in November 2000. Indiana is now a national leader in the electronic payment processing of child support payments. By year's end, Indiana will be offering all custodial parents the option of receiving their child support payments electronically through direct deposit or debit card.

Through the Child Support Bureau's partnership with local prosecutors, Indiana's children receive more of the money they deserve. Indiana's enforcement efforts are not only successful, but they are efficient as well. Indiana children receive more child support for every dollar spent on administrative costs than any other state. The April 2004 issue of Governing magazine recognized Indiana as the number one state in the nation in child support collections per dollar of administrative costs spent in federal fiscal year 2002. The national average was $4.13. In comparison, Indiana collected $7.80 for every dollar spent in administrative costs designated to operate Indiana's Child Support Program.

For additional information about the Child Support Program, please visit the following websites:

The Federal Office of Child Support Enforcement
http://www.acf.dhhs.gov/programs/cse/

The Federal Office of Child Support Data
http://www.acf.dhhs.gov/programs/cse/pubs/2005/reports/preliminary_data/

Indiana Child Support Guidelines
http://www.in.gov/judiciary/rules

Contracts

Vendor - Deloitte Consulting, L.P.

Communications

With the new administration came the commitment to be open and transparent while maintaining confidentiality as required by law. The willingness to improve communications, both externally and internally, was exhibited by the commitment of DCS to share his vision with others across the state through media, community groups and professional organizations whenever possible.

Future Endeavors

The efforts delineated above regarding child welfare are underway but much remains to be done. As caseloads decline due to additional staff, as new hires are better trained, as data quality and quantity are improved, DCS will be better positioned to address other issues. These include improved supervisory capacity, reduction of work load for case managers and other targeted strategies aimed at improving the care of children and their families.

As the strategic plan is finalized for addressing the issues facing the Child Support Bureau, the path required will be clear. DCS intends to pursue what is best for Hoosier children and families swiftly and certainly.

Department of Child Services
Demographic Trends Report
State Fiscal Year 2005

Indiana Support Enforcement Tracking System (ISETS)

Project Description

The Indiana Support Enforcement Tracking System (ISETS) is a federally mandated online, automated, and integrated case management and case tracking software system. ISETS supports Clerks and Prosecutors in all 92 of Indiana's counties to record, track, collect, and disburse court ordered child support payments. In addition, the ISETS integrates with other systems, agencies, and employers to enhance locate and payment efforts. These include:

- Driver license suspensions;
- Employer wage and UI withholdings;
- TANF benefits recovery;
- Federal and State tax offset collections;
- Professional license suspensions; and
- Credit bureau reporting.

ISETS is used primarily by county workers in each county's Clerk of Courts office and Child Support Prosecutor's Office. There are approximately 84 State staff located centrally in the Child Support Bureau, Department of Child Services, that use the system for central office functions of processing payments, balancing adjustments, answering inquiry calls, correspondence, central registry cases, and enforcement activities.

A team of trained technical professionals monitor and maintain the ISETS software to ensure that it conforms to all Federal and State regulations, laws, and requirements. The data housed within ISETS is used to comply with Federal reporting requirements. The ISETS system achieved full Federal certification from the Office of Child Support Enforcement for both FS88 and PRWORA certification objectives in July, 2002.

History

In 1992, IBM won a competitive bid contract to transfer the KASES system from Kentucky, modify it to meet Indiana's needs, and implement it as the ISETS system. During the ensuing 8 years, IBM left the contract and other vendors worked to implement the system county by county. In October, 1999, Marion County was the last county implemented thus completing the initial implementation of the system.

In 2000 and 2001, software modifications were completed to bring ISETS into full compliance with Federal certification requirements for both the FS88 and PRWORA legislations. Indiana formally received full Federal certification in March, 2002, the first state in the Midwest region to accomplish this task, and only the 17th state nationally to do so. Certification is an important hurdle as it assures the State of continued federal matching funds of over $10.5 M annually.

Numbers and profiles of population served: Approximately 747,800 Child Support Cases

The project is guided by goals to: maintain ISETS system in compliance with all Federal and State regulations, laws, and requirements; ensure that ISETS system retains its full Federal certification; successfully transition the maintenance and support contract from Covansys to Deloitte Consulting with minimal downtime; maintain all 92 County IV-D data on the ISETS system; implement enhanced financial audit ability and tracking changes as outlined by the Indiana State Board of Accounts EDP audit of 2000; and replace IBM OfficeVision/400 software, which is obsolete and no longer supported by IBM.

The following are discretionary goals that are dependent upon funding: Enhance the employer payment Internet application to support more employers and to streamline reporting; significantly modify ISETS to take advantage to Internet and browser-based software to improve usability and to

streamline operations; modify the ISETS statewide telecom network to facilitate the Courthouse Connectivity initiative of the Indiana Technology Oversight Commission; and Consolidate the 99 ISETS AS/400 computers centrally onto new hardware in order to significantly reduce maintenance and software licensing costs.

The Bureau of Child Support has cooperative agreements with 90 local county prosecutors that provide child support enforcement services in all 92 counties. Through this partnership, Indiana's children are getting more of the money they deserve. Enforcement efforts produced $454,873,530 in distributed child support collections in state fiscal year 2004. Indiana's enforcement efforts are not only successful, but efficient as well. Indiana children receive more child support for every dollar spent on administrative costs than any other state. The April 2004 issue of Governing magazine recognized Indiana as the number one state in the nation in child support collections per dollar of administrative costs spent in federal fiscal year 2002. The national average was $4.13. Indiana collected $7.80 for every dollar spent in administrative costs designated to operate Indiana's Child Support Program.

Contracts

Vendor - Deloitte Consulting, L.P.

INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF CHILD SERVICES

Disbursement of Child Support Collected
State Fiscal Years 1991 -2005



Prepared by:
Office of Data Management
10/16/2006

Source:
Financial Management

INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF CHILD SERVICES

CHILD SUPPORT (IV-D) DISTRIBUTED COLLECTIONS
STATE FISCAL YEAR 2005
(July 1, 2004 - June 30, 2005)

County	TANF Total Amount	NON TANF Total Amount	State Fiscal Year	County	TANF Total Amount	NON TANF Total Amount	State Fiscal Year
ADAMS	86,458	2,635,092	2,721,550	LAWRENCE	216,829	3,230,134	3,446,963
ALLEN	2,092,471	28,559,176	30,651,647	MADISON	1,042,062	10,058,460	11,100,521
BARTHOLOMEW	390,207	4,574,761	4,964,968	MARION	3,939,196	79,141,046	83,080,242
BENTON	16,858	846,725	863,583	MARSHALL	143,923	4,319,555	4,463,478
BLACKFORD	66,961	1,667,639	1,734,600	MARTIN	95,310	894,411	989,721
BOONE	104,239	2,404,759	2,508,998	MIAMI	160,076	2,919,164	3,079,240
BROWN	42,481	1,193,597	1,236,078	MONROE	580,933	6,363,465	6,944,398
CARROLL	28,962	1,345,566	1,374,528	MONTGOMERY	310,262	2,649,286	2,959,548
CASS	209,989	3,788,012	3,998,001	MORGAN	277,557	4,414,165	4,691,722
CLARK	265,500	5,583,959	5,849,460	NEWTON	21,398	1,155,960	1,177,358
CLAY	143,102	2,193,498	2,336,600	NOBLE	177,584	6,439,421	6,617,005
CLINTON	127,925	2,073,291	2,201,216	OHIO	11,916	354,127	366,043
CRAWFORD	25,274	737,465	762,739	ORANGE	54,507	1,367,323	1,421,830
DAVIESS	168,329	2,078,472	2,246,801	OWEN	89,612	1,544,407	1,634,019
DEARBORN	104,984	3,000,624	3,105,608	PARKE	41,648	933,400	975,048
DECATUR	154,748	2,559,951	2,714,699	PERRY	96,227	1,476,865	1,573,092
DEKALB	131,013	3,923,612	4,054,625	PIKE	99,082	1,102,988	1,202,070
DELAWARE	1,026,546	8,736,751	9,763,297	PORTER	419,176	6,966,877	7,386,053
DUBOIS	122,027	2,149,102	2,271,129	POSEY	115,937	1,235,592	1,351,528
ELKHART	976,551	12,814,289	13,790,840	PULASKI	46,319	1,314,113	1,360,432
FAYETTE	217,952	2,598,423	2,816,376	PUTNAM	154,343	2,500,006	2,654,349
FLOYD	300,082	3,965,896	4,265,978	RANDOLPH	120,687	2,008,530	2,129,217
FOUNTAIN	69,892	1,483,061	1,552,953	RIPLEY	95,901	2,426,331	2,522,232
FRANKLIN	125,937	1,740,084	1,866,021	RUSH	96,876	2,013,658	2,110,534
FULTON	69,340	2,118,472	2,187,812	ST. JOSEPH	2,060,627	20,560,522	22,621,149
GIBSON	214,793	2,552,661	2,767,454	SCOTT	151,705	1,972,851	2,124,556
GRANT	538,574	7,149,173	7,687,747	SHELBY	162,739	3,847,205	4,009,945
GREENE	182,709	3,118,275	3,300,983	SPENCER	74,566	1,263,342	1,337,908
HAMILTON	153,112	5,293,526	5,446,637	STARKE	98,531	2,725,738	2,824,269
HANCOCK	99,658	3,509,123	3,608,781	STEUBEN	74,682	3,172,052	3,246,734
HARRISON	81,474	1,993,530	2,075,005	SULLIVAN	95,928	1,698,304	1,794,232
HENDRICKS	105,426	4,162,639	4,268,065	SWITZERLAND	25,420	386,443	411,863
HENRY	352,914	4,135,279	4,488,193	TIPPECANOE	581,469	7,294,274	7,875,743
HOWARD	610,098	6,386,021	6,996,119	TIPTON	26,263	671,484	697,747
HUNTINGTON	131,273	4,854,259	4,985,533	UNION	66,236	962,239	1,028,475
JACKSON	146,142	3,155,498	3,301,640	VANDERBURGH	1,347,074	12,359,694	13,706,768
JASPER	134,806	3,185,232	3,320,038	VERMILLION	44,490	1,576,792	1,621,282
JAY	86,218	1,883,977	1,970,196	VIGO	880,895	9,300,426	10,181,321
JEFFERSON	91,581	1,866,371	1,957,953	WABASH	156,395	3,456,810	3,613,204
JENNINGS	114,057	2,425,528	2,539,585	WARREN	27,234	853,500	880,734
JOHNSON	228,782	6,305,820	6,534,602	WARRICK	198,139	2,382,380	2,580,519
KNOX	264,989	2,214,398	2,479,387	WASHINGTON	100,980	1,860,371	1,961,351
KOSCIUSKO	161,697	6,367,736	6,529,433	WAYNE	497,979	6,762,492	7,260,471
LAGRANGE	43,988	1,972,986	2,016,974	WELLS	114,841	2,904,034	3,018,876
LAKE	4,694,077	26,726,761	31,420,837	WHITE	60,061	1,981,356	2,041,416
LAPORTE	641,670	8,087,948	8,729,617	WHITLEY	74,788	3,801,420	3,876,208
	TANF	**NON TANF**	**Grand Total**				
State Totals**	31,474,266	450,742,035	482,216,301				

NOTES: *INCLUDES ADJUSTMENTS RECEIVED AT THE STATE AS TANF AND DISTRIBUTED TO THE PAYEE OR PAYOR.
**TOTALS ARE ROUNDED. COLUMNS MAY NOT ADD TO TOTAL AMOUNT DUE TO PRIOR MONTH ADJUSTMENTS.

Source:
FSSA Financial Management

Prepared by:
Office of Data Management
10/5/2006

INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF CHILD SERVICES

Child Support Performance Measures
Current Support Collected



Source:
OCSE Report
-September 2005

Prepared by:
Office of Data Management
10/12/2006

INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF CHILD SERVICES

Child Support Performance Measures
Order Established

Shaded counties are below national average of 74%

Counties below State average of 69%



Source:OCSE Report
-September 2005

Prepared by:
Office of Data Management
10/12/2006

INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF CHILD SERVICES

Child Support Performance Measures
Paternity Establishment

Shaded counties are below national average of 78%

State average is 82%



Source:
OCSE Report
-September 2005

Prepared by:
Office of Data Management
10/12/2006

INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF CHILD SERVICES

Child Support Performance Measures
Cases Paying on Arrears

Shaded counties are below national average of 60%

Counties below State average of 58%



Source:
OCSE Report
-September 2005

Prepared by:
Office of Data Management
10/12/2006

Department of Child Services
Demographic Trends Report
State Fiscal Year 2005

Attachment A



Attachment B

New Requirements for Case Managers:

Bachelor degree in Child Development, Education, Healthcare, Psychology, Guidance and Counseling, Social Work, Sociology, Criminology or related area, plus two years full-time professional experience in the provision of education or social services to children and/or families or related experience.

Or incumbent may possess a Bachelor's degree in Child Development, Education, Healthcare, Psychology, Guidance and Counseling, Social Work, Sociology or Criminology or related area, plus successful completion of the required Child Welfare Training Institute.

Employees will be under filled as a Family Case Manager 3 until successful completion of the training program.

Substitution: Accredited graduate training in any one of the following areas may substitute for the required experience on a year for year basis: Child Development, Education, Healthcare, Psychology, Guidance and Counseling, Social Work, Sociology, Criminology or related