




**INDIANA**  
DEPARTMENT OF  
**CHILD**  
SERVICES

*Protecting our children,  
families and future*

# Department of Child Services Practice Indicator Reports For February 2011



## Region 17 February 2011

Data in the report (both historical and current) may be subject to change as it is continually being validated and updated. This may result in statistical data in previous reports to be inconsistent with statistics found in more recent reports even when the data pertain to the same time period.



**Published  
March 2011**



# DCS Practice Indicator Report Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

For February 2011

## Report Description

This report uses data collected over a rolling three month period ending with the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use a rolling three month period ending with the historical month listed.

**Reports Taken** – This section has data regarding the reports taken during the three month period ending with the report month.

**Count** – This is the total number of reports of abuse or neglect that are entered into ICWIS during the period ending with the report month.

**Percent Resulting in Screen Outs** – This is the percentage of reports that are screened out divided by the total reports taken during the period ending with the report month.

**Assessments Substantiated** – This section has data regarding the number of assessments with substantiated findings of abuse and/or neglect during the three month period ending with the report month.

**Count** – This is the total number of assessments with substantiated findings of abuse and/or neglect during the period ending with the report month.

**Percent Resulting in Removal** – This is the percentage of substantiated assessments during the period that resulted in removal of the child from the home by the end of the report month divided by the total number of substantiated assessments during the period ending with the report month.



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### For February 2011

#### February 2011

Location	Reports Taken For Dec 2010 to Feb 2011		Assessments Substantiated For Dec 2010 to Feb 2011	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	34,738	34.9%	5,149	30.8%
Region 17	782	37.47%	123	29.27%
Crawford	77	44.2%	17	29.4%
Daviess	171	36.8%	22	13.6%
Dubois	112	32.1%	8	62.5%
Martin	72	40.3%	10	0.0%
Orange	118	36.4%	21	19.0%
Perry	141	36.2%	30	60.0%
Spencer	91	40.7%	15	6.7%


#### Statewide Percent Removal Trend



#### Region 17 For February 2010 to February 2011

Month	Reports Taken For Dec 2010 to Feb 2011		Assessments Substantiated For Dec 2010 to Feb 2011	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Feb 2011	782	37.5%	123	29.3%
Jan 2011	787	37.2%	129	31.0%
Dec 2010	804	39.2%	146	31.5%
Nov 2010	874	40.2%	126	31.7%
Oct 2010	889	40.3%	150	31.3%
Sep 2010	831	37.9%	160	21.9%
Aug 2010	737	34.5%	205	31.2%
Jul 2010	665	28.1%	207	28.5%
Jun 2010	665	23.3%	234	36.8%
May 2010	733	15.6%	254	29.5%
Apr 2010	728	12.5%	236	33.1%
Mar 2010	711	10.4%	207	33.8%
Feb 2010	624	13.1%	180	37.8%

#### Region 17 Percent Removal





# DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS Placements by County

For February 2011

## Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the end of the historical month listed. This report looks at CHINS children from CHINS cases that were open on the last day of the report month. It also collects where that child is located (in their own home or in substitute care). For the purpose of this report, a child with a non-custodial parent is considered "in home".

**Total CHINS Count** – This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the report month.

**CHINS** – This section has data regarding all children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month.

**In Home** – This section has data regarding children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home divided by the total number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month.

**Foster Care** – This section has data regarding children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in out of home placement.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in out of home placement.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in out of home placement divided by the total number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month.

**Out of Home Placements** – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in out of home placement.

**Relative Homes** – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed with relatives.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed with relatives.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed with relatives divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**Foster Homes** – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in foster homes.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in foster homes.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in foster homes divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**Residential** – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in residential setting.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in residential setting.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in residential setting divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**Other** – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in other placement settings. Example would be a court ordered unlicensed placement.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in other placement settings.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in other placement settings divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.




# DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS Placements by County

For February 2011

## February 2011

Location	Total CHINS Count	CHINS				Out of Home Placements							
		In Home		Foster Care		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential		Other	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14102	4181	29.6%	9,921	70.4%	3507	35.3%	5235	52.8%	956	9.6%	223	2.2%
Region 17	278	86	30.9%	192	69.1%	92	47.9%	72	37.5%	25	13.0%	3	1.6%
Crawford	58	20	34.5%	38	65.5%	12	31.6%	16	42.1%	10	26.3%	0	0.0%
Daviess	57	14	24.6%	43	75.4%	25	58.1%	14	32.6%	4	9.3%	0	0.0%
Dubois	33	10	30.3%	23	69.7%	15	65.2%	4	17.4%	2	8.7%	2	8.7%
Martin	22	2	9.1%	20	90.9%	11	55.0%	7	35.0%	2	10.0%	0	0.0%
Orange	21	4	19.0%	17	81.0%	8	47.1%	6	35.3%	2	11.8%	1	5.9%
Perry	48	14	29.2%	34	70.8%	10	29.4%	21	61.8%	3	8.8%	0	0.0%
Spencer	39	22	56.4%	17	43.6%	11	64.7%	4	23.5%	2	11.8%	0	0.0%


## Statewide Foster Care Placement Breakdown



## Region 17 For February 2010 to February 2011

Month	Total CHINS Count	CHINS				Out of Home Placements							
		In Home		Foster Care		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential		Other	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Feb 2011	278	86	30.9%	192	69.1%	92	47.9%	72	37.5%	25	13.0%	3	1.6%
Jan 2011	295	89	30.2%	206	69.8%	98	47.6%	79	38.3%	26	12.6%	3	1.5%
Dec 2010	321	101	31.5%	220	68.5%	110	50.0%	82	37.3%	25	11.4%	3	1.4%
Nov 2010	333	98	29.4%	235	70.6%	115	48.9%	92	39.1%	25	10.6%	3	1.3%
Oct 2010	332	103	31.0%	229	69.0%	118	51.5%	85	37.1%	23	10.0%	3	1.3%
Sep 2010	330	84	25.5%	246	74.5%	123	50.0%	100	40.7%	20	8.1%	3	1.2%
Aug 2010	333	98	29.4%	235	70.6%	112	47.7%	101	43.0%	20	8.5%	2	0.9%
Jul 2010	324	110	34.0%	214	66.0%	99	46.3%	85	39.7%	27	12.6%	3	1.4%
Jun 2010	343	108	31.5%	235	68.5%	110	46.8%	97	41.3%	27	11.5%	1	0.4%
May 2010	354	117	33.1%	237	66.9%	113	47.7%	98	41.4%	24	10.1%	2	0.8%
Apr 2010	334	100	29.9%	234	70.1%	107	45.7%	102	43.6%	22	9.4%	3	1.3%
Mar 2010	335	119	35.5%	216	64.5%	96	44.4%	96	44.4%	21	9.7%	3	1.4%
Feb 2010	319	116	36.4%	203	63.6%	81	39.9%	95	46.8%	24	11.8%	3	1.5%

## Region 17 Foster Care Placement Breakdown





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Use of Foster Care by County

February 2011

## Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the end of the historical month listed. This report looks at CHINS children from CHINS cases that were open on the last day of the report month. It also collects where that child is located (in their own home or in substitute care). For the purpose of this report, children with non-custodial parents are considered to be in their own home.

**Total CHINS Count** – This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the report month.

**In Home or Relative Care** – This section has data regarding children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home or in relative care.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home or in relative care.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home or in relative care divided by the total number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month.

**Target (At or Above) %** – The target for the percent of the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home or in relative care to the total number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month is 50% or higher.

**Non Relative Foster Care** – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are not in their own home or in relative care.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are not in their own home or in relative care.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are not in their own home or in relative care divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**Target (At or below) %** – The target for the percent of the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are not in their own home or in relative care to the total number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month is 50% or higher.




# DCS Practice Indicator Report Use of Foster Care by County

For February 2011

## February 2011

Location	Total CHINS	In Home or Relative Care		Target (At or Above) %	Non-Relative Foster Care		Target (At or Below) %
	Count	Count	%		Count	%	
Statewide	14102	7688	54.5%	50.0%	6,414	45.5%	50.0%
Region 17	278	178	64.0%	50.0%	100	36.0%	50.0%
Crawford	58	32	55.2%	50.0%	26	44.8%	50.0%
Daviess	57	39	68.4%	50.0%	18	31.6%	50.0%
Dubois	33	25	75.8%	50.0%	8	24.2%	50.0%
Martin	22	13	59.1%	50.0%	9	40.9%	50.0%
Orange	21	12	57.1%	50.0%	9	42.9%	50.0%
Perry	48	24	50.0%	50.0%	24	50.0%	50.0%
Spencer	39	33	84.6%	50.0%	6	15.4%	50.0%


## Statewide Foster Care Placement Breakdown



## Region 17 For February 2010 to February 2011

Month	Total CHINS	In Home or Relative Care		Target (At or Above) %	Non-Relative Foster Care		Target (At or Below) %
	Count	Count	%		Count	%	
February 2011	278	178	64.0%	50.0%	100	36.0%	50.0%
January 2011	295	187	63.4%	50.0%	108	36.6%	50.0%
December 2010	321	211	65.7%	50.0%	110	34.3%	50.0%
November 2010	333	213	64.0%	50.0%	120	36.0%	50.0%
October 2010	332	221	66.6%	50.0%	111	33.4%	50.0%
September 2010	330	207	62.7%	50.0%	123	37.3%	50.0%
August 2010	333	210	63.1%	50.0%	123	36.9%	50.0%
July 2010	324	209	64.5%	50.0%	115	35.5%	50.0%
June 2010	343	218	63.6%	50.0%	125	36.4%	50.0%
May 2010	354	230	65.0%	50.0%	124	35.0%	50.0%
April 2010	334	207	62.0%	50.0%	127	38.0%	50.0%
March 2010	335	215	64.2%	50.0%	120	35.8%	50.0%
February 2010	319	197	61.8%	50.0%	122	38.2%	50.0%

## Region 17 Foster Care Placement Breakdown





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Locally Placed CHINS

For February 2011

## Report Description

This report uses data collected over at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the historical month listed. For the purpose of this report, children with their non-custodial parents are considered to be in their own home.

**Total Out of Home Placements** – This is the total number of CHINS children that are in out of home placement on the last day of the report month.

**Placed in Same County** – This is the total number of CHINS children that are placed in the same county as the county of their case.

**Percent Locally Placed** – This is the percentage of CHINS children that are placed in the same county as the county of their case divided by the total number of CHINS children which are in out of home placement on the last day of the report month.




# DCS Practice Indicator Report Locally Placed CHINS

For February 2011

## February 2011

Location	Total Out of Home Placements	Placed in Same County	Percent Locally Placed
Statewide	9,921	7,093	71.5%
Region 17	192	102	53.1%
Crawford	38	8	21.1%
Daviess	43	27	62.8%
Dubois	23	18	78.3%
Martin	20	16	80.0%
Orange	17	9	52.9%
Perry	34	12	35.3%
Spencer	17	12	70.6%


## Statewide Percent Placed Locally



## Region 17 For February 2010 to February 2011

Month	Total Out of Home Placements	Placed in Same County	Percent Locally Placed
Feb 2011	192	102	53.1%
Jan 2011	206	113	54.9%
Dec 2010	220	132	60.0%
Nov 2010	235	136	57.9%
Oct 2010	229	139	60.7%
Sep 2010	246	149	60.6%
Aug 2010	235	145	61.7%
Jul 2010	214	129	60.3%
Jun 2010	235	149	63.4%
May 2010	237	153	64.6%
Apr 2010	234	145	62.0%
Mar 2010	216	138	63.9%
Feb 2010	203	122	60.1%

## Region 17 Percent Placed Locally





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Average Number of Placements

For February 2011

## Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the historical month listed.

**Average Number of Placements Per Child** – This is the total number of out of home placements for each CHINS child in out of home placement on the last day of the report month. This includes all placements during the current removal episode. If a child had at least one placement end in the first 8 days from removal, then that child's number of placements was reduced by one.

This number is divided by the total number CHINS children who are in out of home placement on the last day of the report month.




# DCS Practice Indicator Report Average Number of Placements

For February 2010 to February 2011

## February 2011

Location	Average Number of Placements Per Child
Statewide	2.5
Region 17	2.3
Crawford	4.5
Daviess	1.7
Dubois	2.7
Martin	1.9
Orange	1.4
Perry	1.4
Spencer	1.3


## Statewide Average Number of Placements



## Region 17 For February 2010 to February 2011

Month	Average Number of Placements Per Child
February 2011	2.3
January 2011	2.3
December 2010	2.2
November 2010	2.2
October 2010	2.1
September 2010	2.1
August 2010	2.1
July 2010	2.0
June 2010	2.0
May 2010	2.0
April 2010	2.1
March 2010	2.1
February 2010	2.1

## Region 17 Average Number of Placements





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Sibling Placement Report

For February 2011

## Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the end of the historical month listed. For the purpose of this report, children with a non-custodial parent are considered to be in their own home.

**Count of Sibling Cases** – This is the number of open cases as of the end of the report month which have more than one child in out of home placement at the end of the report month.

**Cases With All Children Placed Together** – This is the number of cases with more than one child in out of home placement where all of the children are placed in the same resource with the same resource ID.

**Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases**– This is the percentage of the number of cases where all of the children in out of home placement are placed together divided by the number of open cases with more than one child in out of home placement as of the end of the report month.

**Average Number of Children per Case** – This number takes the number of children in out of home placement from cases with more than one child in out of home placement and divides it by the number of cases with more than one child in out of home placement as of the end of the report month.




# DCS Practice Indicator Report Sibling Placement Report

February 2011

## February 2011

Location	Count of Sibling Cases	Cases With All Children Placed Together	Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases	Average Number of Children per Case
Statewide	2433	1743	71.6%	2.70
Region 17	52	43	82.7%	2.42
Crawford	9	5	55.6%	2.89
Daviess	14	13	92.9%	2.21
Dubois	7	5	71.4%	2.71
Martin	6	5	83.3%	2.00
Orange	2	2	100.0%	2.00
Perry	8	7	87.5%	2.50
Spencer	6	6	100.0%	2.33


## Statewide Percent Together



## Region 17 For February 2010 to February 2011

Month	Count of Sibling Cases	Cases With All Children Placed Together	Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases	Average Number of Children per Case
February 2011	52	43	82.7%	2.42
January 2011	56	45	80.4%	2.46
December 2010	61	49	80.3%	2.44
November 2010	63	50	79.4%	2.51
October 2010	64	54	84.4%	2.41
September 2010	68	55	80.9%	2.49
August 2010	64	56	87.5%	2.50
July 2010	57	50	87.7%	2.49
June 2010	65	56	86.2%	2.49
May 2010	67	60	89.6%	2.51
April 2010	66	58	87.9%	2.50
March 2010	62	53	85.5%	2.47
February 2010	55	46	83.6%	2.49

## Region 17 Percent Together





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Length of Stay in Out of Home Placement

### Children in Out of Home Placement by Length of Time Since Latest Removal

#### For February 2011

#### Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the end of the historical month listed. This report looks at CHINS children that were in out of home placement at the end of the month. It measures the number of days since the child was last removed from its home.

**One Year - 0 to 365 Days**— This is column shows data for CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 0 and 365 days since the child's removal.

**Count-** This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 0 and 365 days since the child's last removal.

**Percent-** This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 0 and 365 days since the child's last removal divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**One Year - 366 to 730 Days**— This is column shows data for CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 366 and 730 days since the c last removal.

**Count-** This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 366 and 730 days since the child's last removal.

**Percent-** This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 366 and 730 days since the child's last removal divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**One Year - 731 to 1095 Days**— This is column shows data for CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 731 to 1095 days since the child's last removal.

**Count-** This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 731 to 1095 days since the child's last removal.

**Percent-** This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 731 to 1095 days since the child's last removal divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**One Year - 1096 Days+**— This is column shows data for CHINS children that have been in out of home placement 1096 days or more since the child's last removal.

**Count-** This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement 1096 days or more since the child's last removal.

**Percent-** This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement 1096 days or more since the child's last removal divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**Length Per Child** – This column shows data for length of time of CHINS children who were in out of home placement at the end of the report month have been in out of home placement since the child's last removal from their home.

**Average-** This column calculates the average or "mean" length of time in out of home placement since the last removal per child in out of home placement at the end of the report month.

**Median-** This column calculates the median length of time in out of home placement since the last removal per child in out of home placement at the end of the report month.



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Length of Stay in Out of Home Placement

### Children in Out of Home Placement by Length of Time Since Latest Removal For February 2011

#### February 2011

Location	One Year 0 to 365 Days		Two Years 366 to 730 Days		Three Years 731 to 1095 Days		Three + Years 1096 Days+		Length Per Child	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Average	Median
Statewide	4,635	46.7%	2,469	24.9%	1,234	12.4%	1,583	16.0%	635.4	402.3
Region 17	112	58.3%	43	22.4%	14	7.3%	23	12.0%	494.0	302.0
Crawford	14	36.8%	9	23.7%	4	10.5%	11	28.9%	784.7	418.0
Daviess	30	69.8%	10	23.3%	0	0.0%	3	7.0%	360.7	302.4
Dubois	16	69.6%	2	8.7%	0	0.0%	5	21.7%	662.9	228.6
Martin	5	25.0%	7	35.0%	7	35.0%	1	5.0%	657.6	582.0
Orange	13	76.5%	4	23.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	229.3	171.3
Perry	18	52.9%	10	29.4%	3	8.8%	3	8.8%	414.1	294.5
Spencer	16	94.1%	1	5.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	184.6	255.4


#### Statewide Median Length



#### Region 17 For February 2010 to February 2011

Month	One Year 0 to 365 Days		Two Years 366 to 730 Days		Three Years 731 to 1095 Days		Three + Years 1096 Days+		Length Per Child	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Average	Median
February 2011	112	58.3%	43	22.4%	14	7.3%	23	12.0%	494.0	302.0
January 2011	119	57.8%	49	23.8%	15	7.3%	23	11.2%	476.0	286.5
December 2010	138	62.7%	46	20.9%	11	5.0%	25	11.4%	465.0	261.0
November 2010	147	62.6%	46	19.6%	17	7.2%	25	10.6%	449.7	265.3
October 2010	146	63.8%	43	18.8%	15	6.6%	25	10.9%	449.6	247.4
September 2010	154	62.6%	47	19.1%	17	6.9%	28	11.4%	453.1	260.0
August 2010	146	62.1%	47	20.0%	16	6.8%	26	11.1%	461.4	281.5
July 2010	133	62.1%	40	18.7%	15	7.0%	26	12.1%	470.9	267.0
June 2010	156	66.4%	37	15.7%	17	7.2%	25	10.6%	433.8	232.3
May 2010	159	67.1%	43	18.1%	10	4.2%	25	10.5%	410.5	204.5
April 2010	157	67.1%	40	17.1%	13	5.6%	24	10.3%	411.8	215.0
March 2010	142	65.7%	37	17.1%	16	7.4%	21	9.7%	416.3	216.5
February 2010	127	62.6%	38	18.7%	16	7.9%	22	10.8%	437.8	235.5

#### Region 17 Median Length





# DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS and IA Permanency Report

For February 2011

## Report Description

This report uses CHINS and IA case types that have case type status end date occurring during the month. It then groups these cases using the closure reasons codes into categories of closure reasons. Case with closure reason code "Case opened in error – disregard" are filtered out for the purpose of this report. This report uses the reason codes selected by the worker at the date of case closure. **Adoption closure reasons will not match the number of actual finalized adoptions reported from the hearing information fields in ICWIS/MaGik.**

Closure Reasons are group by:

Description	Category	Description	Category
Child Reunited with Parents	Reunification	Case closed; AG case created	Guardianship
Child never Removed from own home, wardship terminated	Reunification	Child placed with relatives	Relative
Extended wardship terminated (on court order for age 18 to 21)	Emancipation	Child Placed with Legal guardian	Guardianship
Child Returned to Primary Home	Reunification	Child Reached Age of Majority (age 18)	Emancipation
Period of IA ended code used for Informal Adjustment cases only	Reunification	Emancipated Court Ordered (age 18)	Emancipation
Child Adoption Finalized	Adoption	Child Already Reunited with parent (s) when wardship terminated	Reunification

The Permanency column "Total" refers to the total case with reason codes in the Reunification, Adoption, Relative, Guardianship, and Emancipation categories.

The Permanency column "Total within 24 months" refers to the total case that ended within 24 month of the case status type begin date in the Reunification, Adoption, Relative, Guardianship, and Emancipation categories.




# DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS and IA Permanency Report

For February 2011

## February 2011

Location	Closed Cases Types with Permanency Outcomes					Permanency		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation	Total	Within 24 Months	24 Month Rate
Statewide	583	73	21	51	24	752	665	88.4
Region 17	21	0	0	0	0	21	20	95.2
Crawford	3	0	0	0	0	3	3	100.0
Daviess	3	0	0	0	0	3	3	100.0
Dubois	3	0	0	0	0	3	3	100.0
Martin	4	0	0	0	0	4	3	75.0
Orange	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	100.0
Perry	3	0	0	0	0	3	3	100.0
Spencer	3	0	0	0	0	3	3	100.0


## Statewide Permanency within 24 Months



## Region 17 For February 2010 to February 2011

Location	Closed Cases Types with Permanency Outcomes					Permanency		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation	Total	Within 24 Months	24 Month Rate
Feb 2011	21	0	0	0	0	21	20	95.2
Jan 2011	24	4	0	3	1	32	30	93.8
Dec 2010	14	5	1	0	0	20	15	75.0
Nov 2010	10	0	0	5	0	15	15	100.0
Oct 2010	20	2	0	0	0	22	22	100.0
Sep 2010	15	0	0	2	1	18	17	94.4
Aug 2010	18	0	0	0	0	18	17	94.4
Jul 2010	15	4	0	11	0	30	30	100.0
Jun 2010	35	1	1	0	0	37	35	94.6
May 2010	11	1	0	0	0	12	11	91.7
Apr 2010	14	0	0	0	0	14	13	92.9
Mar 2010	29	3	0	0	0	32	28	87.5
Feb 2010	22	0	0	3	0	25	23	92.0

## Region 17 Permanency within 24 Months





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Family Case Manager Contacts Report

For February 2011

## Report Description

This report uses data collected over at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the historical month listed. For the purpose of this report, a person is considered family for the child if they have the following relationships:

- Father
- Mother
- Stepfather
- Stepmother
- Grandfather
- Grandmother
- Pre-adoptive father
- Pre-adoptive mother
- Adoptive grandparent
- Legal Guardian

**Children Out of Home Entire Month** – This column shows the number of children in out of home placement at the end of the report month who also have a removal date prior to the first of the report month.

**Contact Information** – This section of the reports shows information regarding FCM contacts (from the contact screens) during the report month.

**FCM with Family** – This section of the report shows FCM contacts with the child's family (as described above) during the report month.

**Children** – This is the total number of children that the FCM had at least one contact with a member of the child's family during the report month.

**Rate** – This is the total number of children that FCM had at least one contact with a member of the child's family divided by the total number of children in out of home placement for the entire month (as described above).

**FCM with Child** – This section of the report shows FCM contacts with the child during the report month.

**Children** – This is the total number of children that the FCM had at least one contact with a the child during the report month.

**Rate** – This is the total number of children that FCM had at least one contact with the child divided by the total number of children in out of home placement for the entire month (as described above).

**FCM with Family and Child** – This section of the report shows FCM contacts with the child's family (as described above) **AND** the child during the report month. Contact with both had to have occurred for the child to be included in this section. The family and child do not need to present at the same contact, contact with each could have been made in two separate contacts.

**Children** – This is the total number of children that the FCM had at least one contact with a member of the child's family **and** at least one contact with the child during the report month.

**Rate** – This is the total number of children that FCM had at least one contact with a member of the child's family **and** at least one contact with the child during the report month divided by the total number of children in out of home placement for the entire month (as described above).



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Family Case Manager Contacts Report

### For February 2011

#### February 2011

Location	Children out of home entire month	Contact Information					
		FCM with Family		FCM with Child		FCM with Family and Child	
		Children	Rate	Children	Rate	Children	Rate
Statewide	9,638	2,728	28.3%	9,378	97.3%	2,684	27.8%
Region 17	185	64	34.6%	181	97.8%	63	34.1%
Crawford	38	1	2.6%	37	97.4%	1	2.6%
Daviess	42	17	40.5%	41	97.6%	16	38.1%
Dubois	23	8	34.8%	23	100.0%	8	34.8%
Martin	20	8	40.0%	20	100.0%	8	40.0%
Orange	17	6	35.3%	16	94.1%	6	35.3%
Perry	33	16	48.5%	33	100.0%	16	48.5%
Spencer	12	8	66.7%	11	91.7%	8	66.7%


#### Statewide Child Contact Rate



#### Region 17 For February 2010 to February 2011

Month	Children out of home entire month	Contact Information					
		FCM with Family		FCM with Child		FCM with Family and Child	
		Children	Rate	Children	Rate	Children	Rate
Feb 2011	185	64	34.6%	181	97.8%	63	34.1%
Jan 2011	201	80	39.8%	187	93.0%	78	38.8%
Dec 2010	213	82	38.5%	200	93.9%	80	37.6%
Nov 2010	219	82	37.4%	211	96.3%	81	37.0%
Oct 2010	217	77	35.5%	203	93.5%	77	35.5%
Sep 2010	228	97	42.5%	210	92.1%	96	42.1%
Aug 2010	221	89	40.3%	202	91.4%	81	36.7%
Jul 2010	204	71	34.8%	203	99.5%	71	34.8%
Jun 2010	226	111	49.1%	215	95.1%	110	48.7%
May 2010	215	94	43.7%	176	81.9%	88	40.9%
Apr 2010	217	100	46.1%	192	88.5%	95	43.8%
Mar 2010	194	119	61.3%	192	99.0%	119	61.3%
Feb 2010	199	104	52.3%	194	97.5%	103	51.8%

#### Region 17 Child Contact Rate





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Child Visitation Report

For February 2011

## Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the historical month listed. For the purpose of this report, a person is considered family for the child if they have the following relationships:

- Father
- Mother
- Stepfather
- Stepmother
- Grandfather
- Grandmother
- Pre-adoptive father
- Pre-adoptive mother
- Adoptive grandparent
- Legal Guardian

**Children out of home with case plan goal of reunification** – This column shows the number of children in out of home placement at the end of the report month who also have reunification as the most recently entered primary case plan goal.

**Children with visits to their family during the month** – This section of the report shows data regarding children who have had a visit with the child's family (as described above) begin during the report month.

**Children** – This is the total number of children that the child had at least one visit with a member of the child's family during the report month.  
**Rate** – This is the total number of children that the child had at least one visit with a member of the child's family divided by the total number of children in out of home placement at the end of the report month with case plan goal of reunification(as described above).



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Child Visitation Report

### For February 2011

#### February 2011

Location	Children out of home with case plan goal of reunification	Children with visits to their family during the month	
		Children	Rate
Statewide	5,238	1,313	25.1%
Region 17	123	16	13.0%
Crawford	21	4	19.0%
Daviess	29	5	17.2%
Dubois	16	4	25.0%
Martin	9	1	11.1%
Orange	14	2	14.3%
Perry	23	0	0.0%
Spencer	11	0	0.0%


#### Statewide Visitation Rate



#### Region 17 For February 2010 to February 2011

Month	Children out of home with case plan goal of reunification	Children with visits to their family during the month	
		Children	Rate
Feb 2011	123	16	13.0%
Jan 2011	137	25	18.2%
Dec 2010	140	35	25.0%
Nov 2010	142	30	21.1%
Oct 2010	147	42	28.6%
Sep 2010	157	55	35.0%
Aug 2010	144	53	36.8%
Jul 2010	127	40	31.5%
Jun 2010	135	36	26.7%
May 2010	143	30	21.0%
Apr 2010	148	55	37.2%
Mar 2010	130	52	40.0%
Feb 2010	127	55	43.3%

#### Region 17 Visitation Rate





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Absence of Repeat Maltreatment

For February 2011

## Report Description

This is a description of the key elements used in this report.

**Time Period** – The time period used in this report is the 12 months prior to the month of the report.

**Assessments during time period** – The assessment used in this report are assessment with BOTH report date AND approval date during the time period (12 months prior to the month of the report).

**Victim Selection** – The children used in this report were identified as having at least one substantiated allegation of abuse or neglect on an assessment during the time period.

**First Occurrence** – This looks at the victims of substantiated abuse or neglect during the first 6 months of the time period (6 to 12 months prior to the month of the report). If a child was a victim in multiple assessments with substantiated abuse or neglect, the assessment with the earliest report date is considered the first occurrence.

**Recurrence Time Period** – The time period used to see if a child is a substantiated victim in a recurring assessment of abuse or neglect is based off the report date of the first occurrence. The recurrence period begins one day after the first occurrence report date and ends 183 after the first occurrence report date ( $\geq 1^{\text{st}}$  Occurrence +1 and  $\leq 1^{\text{st}}$  Occurrence +183). If a child has multiple recurrences, the earliest report date in the recurring time period is considered the recurring assessment.

Here is a visual representation of an example. This is a report for December of a given year. The time period to review assessments would run from Jan 1<sup>st</sup> to Dec 31<sup>st</sup>. The time period for a first occurrence would be Jan 1<sup>st</sup> to Jun 30<sup>th</sup>. If a child had a first occurrence on Feb 7<sup>th</sup>, the recurrence period would run from Feb 8<sup>th</sup> to Aug 9<sup>th</sup>. If the child had a recurrence in Aug 5<sup>th</sup>, that would be considered repeat maltreatment. If the child had a recurrence Oct 10<sup>th</sup>, that would not be considered repeat maltreatment because it fell outside the recurrence period.

Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
Time Period (Jan 1 <sup>st</sup> to Dec 31 <sup>st</sup> )											
1 <sup>st</sup> Occurrence Time Period (Jan 1 <sup>st</sup> to Jun 30 <sup>th</sup> )											
						Recurrence Period (Feb 8 <sup>th</sup> to Aug 9 <sup>th</sup> )					

**Percent of absence of repeat maltreatment** – This calculations uses the terms defined above. The numerator is the number of children with a first occurrence minus the number of children with a recurrence. The denominator is the number of children with first occurrence.

$$\frac{(1^{\text{st}} \text{ Occurrence} - \text{Recurrence})}{1^{\text{st}} \text{ Occurrence}}$$

For the purposes of this report, the county the child is assigned to is based off the county of first occurrence. If a child has a recurrence in a different county, the repeat will show for the county of the first occurrence.



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Absence of Repeat Maltreatment

### For February 2011

#### February 2011

County	Victims from Mar 2010 to Sep 2010	Victims without a recurrence within 6 months	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent	National Standard
Statewide	12,002	10,748	89.55%	94.60%
Region 17	322	277	86.02%	94.60%
Crawford	34	32	94.12%	94.60%
Daviess	87	68	78.16%	94.60%
Dubois	50	46	92.00%	94.60%
Martin	15	13	86.67%	94.60%
Orange	51	44	86.27%	94.60%
Perry	44	37	84.09%	94.60%
Spencer	41	37	90.24%	94.60%


#### Statewide Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



#### Region 17 For February 2010 to February 2011

County	Victims from Mar 2010 to Sep 2010	Victims without a recurrence within 6 months	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent	National Standard
February 2011	322	277	86.02%	94.60%
January 2011	365	316	86.58%	94.60%
December 2010	386	338	87.56%	94.60%
November 2010	390	349	89.49%	94.60%
October 2010	387	348	89.92%	94.60%
September 2010	382	348	91.10%	94.60%
August 2010	337	312	92.58%	94.60%
July 2010	307	281	91.53%	94.60%
June 2010	310	286	92.26%	94.60%
May 2010	321	294	91.59%	94.60%
April 2010	321	298	92.83%	94.60%
March 2010	311	287	92.28%	94.60%
February 2010	331	300	90.63%	94.60%

#### Region 17 Absence of Repeat Maltreatment





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Absence of Maltreatment in Foster Care

For February 2011

### Report Description

This is a description of the key elements used in this report.

**Time Period** – The time period used in this report is the 12 months prior to the month of the report.

**Assessments during the time period** – The assessment used in this report are assessment with BOTH report date AND approval date during the time period (12 months prior to the month of the report).

**Victim of maltreatment in Foster Care** – The children used in this report were identified as having at least one substantiated allegation of abuse or neglect on an assessment during the time period where the perpetrator is a foster parent or institutional staff.

**Children in Foster Care during the time period** – All CHINS children with an open removal episode at any time during the 12 months prior to the month of the report. Also included are Probation children (who are IV-E eligible) with an open removal episode at any time during the 12 months prior to the month of the report.

**Percent of absence of maltreatment** – This calculations uses the terms defined above. The numerator is the number of children in foster care minus the number of victims of maltreatment in foster care. The denominator is the number of children in foster care.

$$\frac{(\text{Children in Foster Care} - \text{Victims of maltreatment in Foster Care})}{\text{Children in Foster Care}}$$



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Absence of Maltreatment in Foster Care

### For February 2011

#### For February 2011

Location	Children in Foster Care from Mar 2010 to Feb 2011	Substantiated Victims in Foster Care	Absence of Maltreatment Percent	National Standard
Statewide	21,280	65	99.69%	99.68%
Region 17	514	0	100.00%	99.68%
Crawford	80	0	100.00%	99.68%
Daviess	141	0	100.00%	99.68%
Dubois	61	0	100.00%	99.68%
Martin	42	0	100.00%	99.68%
Orange	52	0	100.00%	99.68%
Perry	97	0	100.00%	99.68%
Spencer	41	0	100.00%	99.68%

#### Statewide Trend of Absence of Maltreatment in Foster Care



#### Region 17 for February 2010 to February 2011

Month	Children in Foster Care from Mar 2010 to Feb 2011	Substantiated Victims in Foster Care	Absence of Maltreatment Percent	National Standard
February 2011	514	0	100.00%	99.68%
January 2011	521	2	99.62%	99.68%
December 2010	538	2	99.63%	99.68%
November 2010	534	2	99.63%	99.68%
October 2010	537	2	99.63%	99.68%
September 2010	527	2	99.62%	99.68%
August 2010	520	2	99.62%	99.68%
July 2010	512	2	99.61%	99.68%
June 2010	511	2	99.61%	99.68%
May 2010	513	3	99.42%	99.68%
April 2010	496	2	99.60%	99.68%
March 2010	492	3	99.39%	99.68%
February 2010	469	1	99.79%	99.68%

#### Region 17 Trend of Absence of Maltreatment in Foster Care

