



**INDIANA**  
DEPARTMENT OF  
**CHILD**  
SERVICES

*Protecting our children,  
families and future*

# Department of Child Services

## Practice Indicator Reports

### For January 2012



# Region 08

# January 2012

Data in the report (both historical and current) may be subject to change as it is continually being validated and updated. This may result in statistical data in previous reports to be inconsistent with statistics found in more recent reports even when the data pertain to the same time period.



**Published**  
**February 2012**



# DCS Practice Indicator Report Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

For January 2012

## Report Description

This report uses data collected over a rolling three month period ending with the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use a rolling three month period ending with the historical month listed.

**Reports Taken** – This section has data regarding the reports taken during the three month period ending with the report month.

**Count** – This is the total number of reports of abuse or neglect that are entered into ICWIS during the period ending with the report month.

**Percent Resulting in Screen Outs** – This is the percentage of reports that are screened out divided by the total reports taken during the period ending with the report month.

**Assessments Substantiated** – This section has data regarding the number of assessments with substantiated findings of abuse and/or neglect during the three month period ending with the report month.

**Count** – This is the total number of assessments with substantiated findings of abuse and/or neglect during the period ending with the report month.

**Percent Resulting in Removal** – This is the percentage of substantiated assessments during the period that resulted in removal of the child from the home by the end of the report month divided by the total number of substantiated assessments during the period ending with the report month.




# DCS Practice Indicator Report Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

For January 2012

## January 2012

Location	Reports Taken For Nov 2011 to Jan 2012		Assessments Substantiated For Nov 2011 to Jan 2012	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	35,974	37.0%	5,240	27.4%
Region 8	1,138	34.45%	187	14.97%
Clay	160	35.6%	22	0.0%
Parke	56	28.6%	10	10.0%
Sullivan	113	21.2%	13	30.8%
Vermillion	132	38.6%	32	3.1%
Vigo	677	36.0%	110	20.0%


## Statewide Percent Removal Trend



## Region 8 For January 2011 to January 2012

Month	Reports Taken For Nov 2011 to Jan 2012		Assessments Substantiated For Nov 2011 to Jan 2012	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Jan 2012	1,138	34.4%	187	15.0%
Dec 2011	1,179	37.7%	176	18.8%
Nov 2011	1,232	38.4%	189	30.2%
Oct 2011	1,272	39.1%	214	32.2%
Sep 2011	1,256	36.5%	181	31.5%
Aug 2011	1,148	35.2%	161	24.8%
Jul 2011	1,200	34.8%	147	18.4%
Jun 2011	1,216	35.1%	178	20.8%
May 2011	1,296	36.2%	174	29.3%
Apr 2011	1,172	35.8%	174	35.1%
Mar 2011	1,101	34.5%	157	33.8%
Feb 2011	1,066	33.0%	127	29.1%
Jan 2011	1,115	31.7%	118	28.0%

## Region 8 Percent Removal





# DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS Placements by County

For January 2012

## Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the end of the historical month listed. This report looks at CHINS children from CHINS cases that were open on the last day of the report month. It also collects where that child is located (in their own home or in substitute care). For the purpose of this report, a child with a non-custodial parent is considered “in home”.

**Total CHINS Count** – This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the report month.

**CHINS** – This section has data regarding all children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month.

**In Home** – This section has data regarding children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home divided by the total number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month.

**Foster Care** – This section has data regarding children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in out of home placement.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in out of home placement.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in out of home placement divided by the total number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month.

**Out of Home Placements** – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in out of home placement.

**Relative Homes** – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed with relatives.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed with relatives.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed with relatives divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**Foster Homes** – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in foster homes.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in foster homes.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in foster homes divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**Residential** – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in residential setting.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in residential setting.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in residential setting divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**Other** – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in other placement settings. Example would be a court ordered unlicensed placement.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in other placement settings.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in other placement settings divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.




# DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS Placements by County

For January 2012

## January 2012

Location	Total CHINS Count	CHINS				Out of Home Placements							
		In Home		Foster Care		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential		Other	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	12494	3754	30.0	8740	70.0	3453	39.5	4409	50.4	673	7.7	205	2.3
Region 8	338	90	26.6	248	73.4	119	48.0	119	48.0	10	4.0	0	0.0
Clay	14	6	42.9	8	57.1	0	0.0	8	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Parke	2	0	0.0	2	100.0	1	50.0	0	0.0	1	50.0	0	0.0
Sullivan	35	12	34.3	23	65.7	14	60.9	8	34.8	1	4.3	0	0.0
Vermillion	39	17	43.6	22	56.4	18	81.8	4	18.2	0	0.0	0	0.0
Vigo	248	55	22.2	193	77.8	86	44.6	99	51.3	8	4.1	0	0.0


## Statewide Foster Care Placement Breakdown



## Region 8 For January 2011 to January 2012

Month	Total CHINS Count	CHINS				Out of Home Placements							
		In Home		Foster Care		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential		Other	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Jan 2012	338	90	26.6	248	73.4	119	48.0	119	48.0	10	4.0	0	0.0
Dec 2011	332	89	26.8	243	73.2	118	48.6	113	46.5	11	4.5	1	0.4
Nov 2011	326	81	24.8	245	75.2	121	49.4	112	45.7	11	4.5	1	0.4
Oct 2011	314	63	20.1	251	79.9	129	51.4	109	43.4	12	4.8	1	0.4
Sep 2011	303	74	24.4	229	75.6	109	47.6	108	47.2	10	4.4	2	0.9
Aug 2011	283	68	24.0	215	76.0	109	50.7	97	45.1	8	3.7	1	0.5
Jul 2011	282	73	25.9	209	74.1	104	49.8	93	44.5	9	4.3	3	1.4
Jun 2011	284	79	27.8	205	72.2	98	47.8	93	45.4	11	5.4	3	1.5
May 2011	287	80	27.9	207	72.1	100	48.3	92	44.4	11	5.3	4	1.9
Apr 2011	292	79	27.1	213	72.9	101	47.4	97	45.5	10	4.7	5	2.3
Mar 2011	314	85	27.1	229	72.9	103	45.0	111	48.5	10	4.4	5	2.2
Feb 2011	307	76	24.8	231	75.2	109	47.2	107	46.3	9	3.9	6	2.6
Jan 2011	304	88	28.9	216	71.1	101	46.8	103	47.7	7	3.2	5	2.3

## Region 8 Foster Care Placement Breakdown





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Safely Home Families First by County

January 2012

### Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the end of the historical month listed. This report looks at CHINS children from CHINS cases that were open on the last day of the report month. It also collects where that child is located (in their own home or in substitute care). For the purpose of this report, children with non-custodial parents are considered to be in their own home.

**IA Count** – This is the number of children that have an open Informal Adjustment case on the last day of the report month.

**Total CHINS Count** – This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the report month.

**IA and In Home** – This section has data regarding children that have an open IA case or CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open IA or CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open IA or CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home divided by the total number of children that have an open IA or CHINS case on the last day of the month.

**IA, In Home or Relative Care** – This section has data regarding children that have an open IA case or CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home or in relative care.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open IA or CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home or in relative care.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open IA or CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home or in relative care divided by the total number of children that have an open IA or CHINS case on the last day of the month.

**Target (At or Above) %** – The target for the percent of the number of children that have an open IA or CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home or in relative care to the total number of children that have an open IA or CHINS case on the last day of the month is 50% or higher.

**Non Relative Foster Care** – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are not in their own home or in relative care.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are not in their own home or in relative care.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are not in their own home or in relative care divided by the total number of children that have an open IA or CHINS case on the last day of the month.

**Target (At or below) %** – The target for the percent of the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are not in their own home or in relative care to the total number of children that have an open IA or CHINS case on the last day of the month is 50% or higher.



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Safely Home Families First by County

For January 2012

### January 2012

Location	IA	Total CHINS	IA and In Home CHINS		IA, In Home CHINS, and Relative Care		Target (At or Above) %	Non-Relative Foster Care		Target (At or Below) %
	Count	Count	Count	%	Count	%		Count	%	
Statewide	1763	12494	5517	38.7%	8970	62.9%	50%	5,287	37.1%	50%
Region 8	60	338	150	37.7%	269	67.6%	50%	129	32.4%	50%
Clay	16	14	22	73.3%	22	73.3%	50%	8	26.7%	50%
Parke	3	2	3	60.0%	4	80.0%	50%	1	20.0%	50%
Sullivan	0	35	12	34.3%	26	74.3%	50%	9	25.7%	50%
Vermillion	13	39	30	57.7%	48	92.3%	50%	4	7.7%	50%
Vigo	28	248	83	30.1%	169	61.2%	50%	107	38.8%	50%


### Statewide Foster Care Placement Breakdown



### Region 8 For January 2011 to January 2012

Location	IA	Total CHINS	IA and In Home CHINS		IA, In Home CHINS, and Relative Care		Target (At or Above) %	Non-Relative Foster Care		Target (At or Below) %
	Count	Count	Count	%	Count	%		Count	%	
January 2012	60	338	150	37.7%	269	67.6%	50%	129	32.4%	50%
December 2011	58	332	147	37.7%	265	67.9%	50%	125	32.1%	50%
November 2011	70	326	151	38.1%	272	68.7%	50%	124	31.3%	50%
October 2011	69	314	132	34.5%	261	68.1%	50%	122	31.9%	50%
September 2011	72	303	146	38.9%	255	68.0%	50%	120	32.0%	50%
August 2011	69	283	137	38.9%	246	69.9%	50%	106	30.1%	50%
July 2011	70	282	143	40.6%	247	70.2%	50%	105	29.8%	50%
June 2011	72	284	151	42.4%	249	69.9%	50%	107	30.1%	50%
May 2011	57	287	137	39.8%	237	68.9%	50%	107	31.1%	50%
April 2011	62	292	141	39.8%	242	68.4%	50%	112	31.6%	50%
March 2011	59	314	144	38.6%	247	66.2%	50%	126	33.8%	50%
February 2011	44	307	120	34.2%	229	65.2%	50%	122	34.8%	50%
January 2011	47	304	135	38.5%	236	67.2%	50%	115	32.8%	50%

### Region 8 Foster Care Placement Breakdown





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Locally Placed CHINS

For January 2012

## Report Description

This report uses data collected over at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the historical month listed. For the purpose of this report, children with their non-custodial parents are considered to be in their own home.

**Total Out of Home Placements** – This is the total number of CHINS children that are in out of home placement on the last day of the report month.

**Placed in Same County** – This is the total number of CHINS children that are placed in the same county as the county of their case.

**Percent Locally Placed** – This is the percentage of CHINS children that are placed in the same county as the county of their case divided by the total number of CHINS children which are in out of home placement on the last day of the report month.




# DCS Practice Indicator Report Locally Placed CHINS

For January 2012

## January 2012

Location	Total Out of Home Placements	Placed in Same County	Percent Locally Placed
Statewide	8,740	6,184	70.8%
Region 8	248	189	76.2%
Clay	8	4	50.0%
Parke	2	1	50.0%
Sullivan	23	17	73.9%
Vermillion	22	12	54.5%
Vigo	193	155	80.3%


## Statewide Percent Placed Locally



## Region 8 For January 2011 to January 2012

Month	Total Out of Home Placements	Placed in Same County	Percent Locally Placed
Jan 2012	248	189	76.2%
Dec 2011	243	184	75.7%
Nov 2011	245	191	78.0%
Oct 2011	251	195	77.7%
Sep 2011	229	172	75.1%
Aug 2011	215	165	76.7%
Jul 2011	209	157	75.1%
Jun 2011	205	153	74.6%
May 2011	207	153	73.9%
Apr 2011	213	159	74.6%
Mar 2011	229	166	72.5%
Feb 2011	231	170	73.6%
Jan 2011	216	163	75.5%

## Region 8 Percent Placed Locally





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Average Number of Placements

For January 2012

## Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the historical month listed.

**Average Number of Placements Per Child** – This is the total number of out of home placements for each CHINS child in out of home placement on the last day of the report month. This includes all placements during the current removal episode. If a child had at least one placement end in the first 8 days from removal, then that child's number of placements was reduced by one.

This number is divided by the total number CHINS children who are in out of home placement on the last day of the report month.




# DCS Practice Indicator Report Average Number of Placements

For January 2011 to January 2012

## January 2012

Location	Average Number of Placements Per Child
Statewide	2.6
Region 8	1.7
Clay	1.4
Parke	1.5
Sullivan	2.2
Vermillion	1.5
Vigo	1.7


## Statewide Average Number of Placements



## Region 8 For January 2011 to January 2012

Month	Average Number of Placements Per Child
January 2012	1.7
December 2011	1.8
November 2011	1.8
October 2011	1.8
September 2011	1.9
August 2011	1.9
July 2011	1.9
June 2011	1.9
May 2011	1.9
April 2011	1.9
March 2011	2.0
February 2011	2.0
January 2011	2.0

## Region 8 Average Number of Placements





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Sibling Placement Report

For January 2012

## Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the end of the historical month listed. For the purpose of this report, children with a non-custodial parent are considered to be in their own home.

**Count of Sibling Cases** – This is the number of open cases as of the end of the report month which have more than one child in out of home placement at the end of the report month.

**Cases With All Children Placed Together** – This is the number of cases with more than one child in out of home placement where all of the children are placed in the same resource with the same resource ID.

**Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases**– This is the percentage of the number of cases where all of the children in out of home placement are placed together divided by the number of open cases with more than one child in out of home placement as of the end of the report month.

**Average Number of Children per Case** – This number takes the number of children in out of home placement from cases with more than one child in out of home placement and divides it by the number of cases with more than one child in out of home placement as of the end of the report month.




# DCS Practice Indicator Report Sibling Placement Report

January 2012

## January 2012

Location	Count of Sibling Cases	Cases With All Children Placed Together	Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases	Average Number of Children per Case
Statewide	2149	1595	74.2%	2.71
Region 8	71	59	83.1%	2.55
Clay	3	2	66.7%	2.67
Parke	0	0	NA	NA
Sullivan	8	7	87.5%	2.00
Vermillion	6	6	100.0%	2.83
Vigo	54	44	81.5%	2.59


## Statewide Percent Together



## Region 8 For January 2011 to January 2012

Month	Count of Sibling Cases	Cases With All Children Placed Together	Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases	Average Number of Children per Case
January 2012	71	59	83.1%	2.55
December 2011	72	59	81.9%	2.53
November 2011	73	59	80.8%	2.56
October 2011	75	58	77.3%	2.57
September 2011	67	54	80.6%	2.55
August 2011	61	50	82.0%	2.49
July 2011	57	48	84.2%	2.49
June 2011	56	46	82.1%	2.48
May 2011	57	46	80.7%	2.46
April 2011	58	47	81.0%	2.48
March 2011	64	54	84.4%	2.47
February 2011	62	52	83.9%	2.50
January 2011	56	45	80.4%	2.54

## Region 8 Percent Together





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Length of Stay in Out of Home Placement

### Children in Out of Home Placement by Length of Time Since Latest Removal

#### For January 2012

#### Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the end of the historical month listed. This report looks at CHINS children that were in out of home placement at the end of the month. It measures the number of days since the child was last removed from its home.

**One Year - 0 to 365 Days**– This is column shows data for CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 0 and 365 days since the child’s last removal.

**Count**- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 0 and 365 days since the child’s last removal.

**Percent**- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 0 and 365 days since the child’s last removal divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**One Year - 366 to 730 Days**– This is column shows data for CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 366 and 730 days since the child’s last removal.

**Count**- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 366 and 730 days since the child’s last removal.

**Percent**- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 366 and 730 days since the child’s last removal divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**One Year - 731 to 1095 Days**– This is column shows data for CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 731 to 1095 days since the child’s last removal.

**Count**- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 731 to 1095 days since the child’s last removal.

**Percent**- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 731 to 1095 days since the child’s last removal divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**One Year - 1096 Days+**– This is column shows data for CHINS children that have been in out of home placement 1096 days or more since the child’s last removal.

**Count**- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement 1096 days or more since the child’s last removal.

**Percent**- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement 1096 days or more since the child’s last removal divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**Length Per Child** – This column shows data for length of time of CHINS children who were in out of home placement at the end of the report month have been in out of home placement since the child’s last removal from their home.

**Average**- This column calculates the average or “mean” length of time in out of home placement since the last removal per child in out of home placement at the end of the report month.

**Median**- This column calculates the median length of time in out of home placement since the last removal per child in out of home placement at the end of the report month.



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Length of Stay in Out of Home Placement

### Children in Out of Home Placement by Length of Time Since Latest Removal For January 2012

#### January 2012

Location	One Year 0 to 365 Days		Two Years 366 to 730 Days		Three Years 731 to 1095 Days		Three + Years 1096 Days+		Length Per Child	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Average	Median
Statewide	4,162	47.6%	2,065	23.6%	1,098	12.6%	1,415	16.2%	631.8	393.0
Region 8	142	57.3%	60	24.2%	28	11.3%	18	7.3%	453.4	293.0
Clay	3	37.5%	5	62.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	363.8	431.0
Parke	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	146.6	147.0
Sullivan	12	52.2%	7	30.4%	1	4.3%	3	13.0%	552.5	341.5
Vermillion	9	40.9%	12	54.5%	1	4.5%	0	0.0%	409.5	394.0
Vigo	116	60.1%	36	18.7%	26	13.5%	15	7.8%	453.5	242.4


#### Statewide Median Length



#### Region 8 For January 2011 to January 2012

Month	One Year 0 to 365 Days		Two Years 366 to 730 Days		Three Years 731 to 1095 Days		Three + Years 1096 Days+		Length Per Child	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Average	Median
January 2012	142	57.3%	60	24.2%	28	11.3%	18	7.3%	453.4	293.0
December 2011	142	58.4%	57	23.5%	25	10.3%	19	7.8%	451.9	296.5
November 2011	149	60.8%	55	22.4%	22	9.0%	19	7.8%	428.6	271.6
October 2011	153	61.0%	57	22.7%	20	8.0%	21	8.4%	414.6	255.6
September 2011	134	58.5%	56	24.5%	18	7.9%	21	9.2%	423.5	270.6
August 2011	116	54.0%	62	28.8%	18	8.4%	19	8.8%	446.3	301.3
July 2011	112	53.6%	60	28.7%	21	10.0%	16	7.7%	458.4	340.7
June 2011	118	57.6%	55	26.8%	19	9.3%	13	6.3%	450.8	323.3
May 2011	113	54.6%	60	29.0%	17	8.2%	17	8.2%	457.2	327.6
April 2011	111	52.1%	65	30.5%	23	10.8%	14	6.6%	465.3	303.6
March 2011	126	55.0%	62	27.1%	24	10.5%	17	7.4%	453.8	297.4
February 2011	126	54.5%	59	25.5%	28	12.1%	18	7.8%	476.4	340.6
January 2011	121	56.0%	56	25.9%	22	10.2%	17	7.9%	476.1	323.5

#### Region 8 Median Length





# DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS and IA Permanency Report

For January 2012

## Report Description

This report uses CHINS and IA case types that have case type status end date occurring during the month. It then groups these cases using the closure reasons codes into categories of closure reasons. Case with closure reason code “Case opened in error – disregard” are filtered out for the purpose of this report. This report uses the reason codes selected by the worker at the date of case closure. **Adoption closure reasons will not match the number of actual finalized adoptions reported from the hearing information fields in ICWIS/MaGik.**

Closure Reasons are group by:

Description	Category	Description	Category
Child Reunited with Parents	Reunification	Case closed; AG case created	Guardianship
Child never Removed from own home, wardship terminated	Reunification	Child placed with relatives	Relative
Extended wardship terminated (on court order for age 18 to 21)	Emancipation	Child Placed with Legal guardian	Guardianship
Child Returned to Primary Home	Reunification	Child Reached Age of Majority (age 18)	Emancipation
Period of IA ended code used for Informal Adjustment cases only	Reunification	Emancipated Court Ordered (age 18)	Emancipation
Child Adoption Finalized	Adoption	Child Already Reunited with parent (s) when wardship terminated	Reunification

The Permanency column “Total” refers to the total case with reason codes in the Reunification, Adoption, Relative, Guardianship, and Emancipation categories.

The Permanency column “Total within 24 months” refers to the total case that ended within 24 month of the case status type begin date in the Reunification, Adoption, Relative, Guardianship, and Emancipation categories.




# DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS and IA Permanency Report

For January 2012

## January 2012

Location	Closed Cases Types with Permanency Outcomes					Permanency		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation	Total	Within 24 Months	24 Month Rate
Statewide	653	120	14	63	32	882	731	82.9
Region 8	25	0	0	4	0	29	26	89.7
Clay	4	0	0	0	0	4	1	25.0
Parke	4	0	0	0	0	4	4	100.0
Sullivan	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	100.0
Vermillion	9	0	0	2	0	11	11	100.0
Vigo	8	0	0	1	0	9	9	100.0


## Statewide Permanency within 24 Months



## Region 8 For January 2011 to January 2012

Location	Closed Cases Types with Permanency Outcomes					Permanency		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation	Total	Within 24 Months	24 Month Rate
Jan 2012	25	0	0	4	0	29	26	89.7
Dec 2011	14	0	0	0	0	14	14	100.0
Nov 2011	3	0	0	6	0	9	6	66.7
Oct 2011	8	0	2	0	0	10	10	100.0
Sep 2011	13	2	1	1	1	18	16	88.9
Aug 2011	18	0	0	0	0	18	16	88.9
Jul 2011	9	0	0	1	1	11	10	90.9
Jun 2011	8	5	0	2	0	15	10	66.7
May 2011	24	2	0	0	1	27	25	92.6
Apr 2011	19	5	0	0	0	24	18	75.0
Mar 2011	14	6	0	0	0	20	15	75.0
Feb 2011	24	0	0	3	1	28	28	100.0
Jan 2011	9	1	0	1	1	12	10	83.3

## Region 8 Permanency within 24 Months





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Family Case Manager Contacts Report

For January 2012

## Report Description

This report uses data collected over at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the historical month listed. For the purpose of this report, a person is considered family for the child if they have the following relationships:

- Father
- Mother
- Stepfather
- Stepmother
- Grandfather
- Grandmother
- Pre-adoptive father
- Pre-adoptive mother
- Adoptive grandparent
- Legal Guardian

**Children Out of Home Entire Month** – This column shows the number of children in out of home placement at the end of the report month who also have a removal date prior to the first of the report month.

**Contact Information** – This section of the reports shows information regarding FCM contacts (from the contact screens) during the report month.

**FCM with Family** – This section of the report shows FCM contacts with the child's family (as described above) during the report month.

**Children** – This is the total number of children that the FCM had at least one contact with a member of the child's family during the report month.

**Rate** – This is the total number of children that FCM had at least one contact with a member of the child's family divided by the total number of children in out of home placement for the entire month (as described above).

**FCM with Child** – This section of the report shows FCM contacts with the child during the report month.

**Children** – This is the total number of children that the FCM had at least one contact with a the child during the report month.

**Rate** – This is the total number of children that FCM had at least one contact with the child divided by the total number of children in out of home placement for the entire month (as described above).

**FCM with Family and Child** – This section of the report shows FCM contacts with the child's family (as described above) **AND** the child during the report month. Contact with both had to have occurred for the child to be included in this section. The family and child do not need to present at the same contact, contact with each could have been made in two separate contacts.

**Children** – This is the total number of children that the FCM had at least one contact with a member of the child's family **and** at least one contact with the child during the report month.

**Rate** – This is the total number of children that FCM had at least one contact with a member of the child's family **and** at least one contact with the child during the report month divided by the total number of children in out of home placement for the entire month (as described above).



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Family Case Manager Contacts Report

### For January 2012

#### January 2012

Location	Children out of home entire month	Contact Information					
		FCM with Family		FCM with Child		FCM with Family and Child	
		Children	Rate	Children	Rate	Children	Rate
Statewide	8,351	2,540	30.4%	8,113	97.2%	2,477	29.7%
Region 8	237	127	53.6%	218	92.0%	123	51.9%
Clay	8	5	62.5%	8	100.0%	5	62.5%
Parke	2	2	100.0%	2	100.0%	2	100.0%
Sullivan	23	14	60.9%	23	100.0%	14	60.9%
Vermillion	22	15	68.2%	21	95.5%	15	68.2%
Vigo	182	91	50.0%	164	90.1%	87	47.8%


#### Statewide Child Contact Rate



#### Region 8 For January 2011 to January 2012

Month	Children out of home entire month	Contact Information					
		FCM with Family		FCM with Child		FCM with Family and Child	
		Children	Rate	Children	Rate	Children	Rate
Jan 2012	237	127	53.6%	218	92.0%	123	51.9%
Dec 2011	239	85	35.6%	230	96.2%	85	35.6%
Nov 2011	243	111	45.7%	236	97.1%	108	44.4%
Oct 2011	240	111	46.3%	228	95.0%	110	45.8%
Sep 2011	210	86	41.0%	204	97.1%	85	40.5%
Aug 2011	205	105	51.2%	201	98.0%	104	50.7%
Jul 2011	201	84	41.8%	187	93.0%	82	40.8%
Jun 2011	194	91	46.9%	188	96.9%	89	45.9%
May 2011	200	99	49.5%	193	96.5%	98	49.0%
Apr 2011	208	105	50.5%	206	99.0%	104	50.0%
Mar 2011	215	104	48.4%	213	99.1%	104	48.4%
Feb 2011	219	102	46.6%	214	97.7%	100	45.7%
Jan 2011	204	92	45.1%	201	98.5%	92	45.1%

#### Region 8 Child Contact Rate





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Child Visitation Report

For January 2012

## Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the historical month listed. For the purpose of this report, a person is considered family for the child if they have the following relationships:

- Father
- Mother
- Stepfather
- Stepmother
- Grandfather
- Grandmother
- Pre-adoptive father
- Pre-adoptive mother
- Adoptive grandparent
- Legal Guardian

**Children out of home with case plan goal of reunification** – This column shows the number of children in out of home placement at the end of the report month who also have reunification as the most recently entered primary case plan goal.

**Children with visits to their family during the month** – This section of the report shows data regarding children who have had a visit with the child's family (as described above) begin during the report month.

**Children** – This is the total number of children that the child had at least one visit with a member of the child's family during the report month.  
**Rate** – This is the total number of children that the child had at least one visit with a member of the child's family divided by the total number of children in out of home placement at the end of the report month with case plan goal of reunification(as described above).



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Child Visitation Report

### For January 2012

#### January 2012

Location	Children out of home with case plan goal of reunification	Children with visits to their family during the month	
		Children	Rate
Statewide	4,722	1,055	22.3%
Region 8	154	38	24.7%
Clay	8	8	100.0%
Parke	2	1	50.0%
Sullivan	11	2	18.2%
Vermillion	15	2	13.3%
Vigo	118	25	21.2%


#### Statewide Visitation Rate



#### Region 8 For January 2011 to January 2012

Month	Children out of home with case plan goal of reunification	Children with visits to their family during the month	
		Children	Rate
Jan 2012	154	38	24.7%
Dec 2011	162	23	14.2%
Nov 2011	168	41	24.4%
Oct 2011	167	33	19.8%
Sep 2011	139	28	20.1%
Aug 2011	133	31	23.3%
Jul 2011	130	18	13.8%
Jun 2011	126	27	21.4%
May 2011	127	29	22.8%
Apr 2011	127	34	26.8%
Mar 2011	137	54	39.4%
Feb 2011	127	49	38.6%
Jan 2011	115	44	38.3%

#### Region 8 Visitation Rate





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Absence of Repeat Maltreatment

For January 2012

## Report Description

This is a description of the key elements used in this report.

**Time Period** – The time period used in this report is the 12 months prior to the month of the report.

**Assessments during time period** – The assessment used in this report are assessment with BOTH report date AND approval date during the time period (12 months prior to the month of the report).

**Victim Selection** – The children used in this report were identified as having at least one substantiated allegation of abuse or neglect on an assessment during the time period.

**First Occurrence** – This looks at the victims of substantiated abuse or neglect during the first 6 months of the time period (6 to 12 months prior to the month of the report). If a child was a victim in multiple assessments with substantiated abuse or neglect, the assessment with the earliest report date is considered the first occurrence.

**Recurrence Time Period** – The time period used to see if a child is a substantiated victim in a recurring assessment of abuse or neglect is based off the report date of the first occurrence. The recurrence period begins one day after the first occurrence report date and ends 183 after the first occurrence report date ( $\geq 1^{\text{st}}$  Occurrence +1 and  $\leq 1^{\text{st}}$  Occurrence +183). If a child has multiple recurrences, the earliest report date in the recurring time period is considered the recurring assessment.

Here is a visual representation of an example. This is a report for December of a given year. The time period to review assessments would run from Jan 1<sup>st</sup> to Dec 31<sup>st</sup>. The time period for a first occurrence would be Jan 1<sup>st</sup> to Jun 30<sup>th</sup>. If a child had a first occurrence on Feb 7<sup>th</sup>, the recurrence period would run from Feb 8<sup>th</sup> to Aug 9<sup>th</sup>. If the child had a recurrence in Aug 5<sup>th</sup>, that would be considered repeat maltreatment. If the child had a recurrence Oct 10<sup>th</sup>, that would not be considered repeat maltreatment because it fell outside the recurrence period.



**Percent of absence of repeat maltreatment** – This calculation uses the terms defined above. The numerator is the number of children with a first occurrence minus the number of children with a recurrence. The denominator is the number of children with first occurrence.

$$\frac{(1^{\text{st}} \text{ Occurrence} - \text{Recurrence})}{1^{\text{st}} \text{ Occurrence}}$$

For the purposes of this report, the county the child is assigned to is based off the county of first occurrence. If a child has a recurrence in a different county, the repeat will show for the county of the first occurrence.




# DCS Practice Indicator Report Absence of Repeat Maltreatment

For January 2012

## January 2012

County	Victims from Feb 2011 to Aug 2011	Victims without a recurrence within 6 months	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent	National Standard
Statewide	10,083	9,324	92.47%	94.60%
Region 8	308	280	90.91%	94.60%
Clay	14	14	100.00%	94.60%
Parke	14	13	92.86%	94.60%
Sullivan	31	28	90.32%	94.60%
Vermillion	53	50	94.34%	94.60%
Vigo	196	175	89.29%	94.60%


## Statewide Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



## Region 8 For January 2011 to January 2012

County	Victims from Feb 2011 to Aug 2011	Victims without a recurrence within 6 months	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent	National Standard
January 2012	308	280	90.91%	94.60%
December 2011	302	274	90.73%	94.60%
November 2011	294	268	91.16%	94.60%
October 2011	272	257	94.49%	94.60%
September 2011	256	239	93.36%	94.60%
August 2011	264	246	93.18%	94.60%
July 2011	256	239	93.36%	94.60%
June 2011	262	249	95.04%	94.60%
May 2011	269	257	95.54%	94.60%
April 2011	283	266	93.99%	94.60%
March 2011	285	260	91.23%	94.60%
February 2011	290	265	91.38%	94.60%
January 2011	296	272	91.89%	94.60%

## Region 8 Absence of Repeat Maltreatment





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Absence of Maltreatment in Foster Care

For January 2012

## Report Description

This is a description of the key elements used in this report.

**Time Period** – The time period used in this report is the 12 months prior to the month of the report.

**Assessments during the time period** – The assessment used in this report are assessment with BOTH report date AND approval date during the time period (12 months prior to the month of the report).

**Victim of maltreatment in Foster Care** – The children used in this report were identified as having at least one substantiated allegation of abuse or neglect on an assessment during the time period where the perpetrator is a foster parent or institutional staff.

**Children in Foster Care during the time period** – All CHINS children with an open removal episode at any time during the 12 months prior to the month of the report. Also included are Probation children (who are IV-E eligible) with an open removal episode at any time during the 12 months prior to the month of the report.

**Percent of absence of maltreatment** – This calculation uses the terms defined above. The numerator is the number of children in foster care minus the number of victims of maltreatment in foster care. The denominator is the number of children in foster care.

$$\frac{(\text{Children in Foster Care} - \text{Victims of maltreatment in Foster Care})}{\text{Children in Foster Care}}$$



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Absence of Maltreatment in Foster Care

### For January 2012

#### For January 2012

Location	Children in Foster Care from Feb 2011 to Jan 2012	Substantiated Victims in Foster Care	Absence of Maltreatment Percent	National Standard
Statewide	19,512	29	99.85%	<b>99.68%</b>
Region 8	465	0	100.00%	<b>99.68%</b>
Clay	17	0	100.00%	<b>99.68%</b>
Parke	18	0	100.00%	<b>99.68%</b>
Sullivan	61	0	100.00%	<b>99.68%</b>
Vermillion	64	0	100.00%	<b>99.68%</b>
Vigo	305	0	100.00%	<b>99.68%</b>

#### Statewide Trend of Absence of Maltreatment in Foster Care



#### Region 8 for January 2011 to January 2012

Month	Children in Foster Care from Feb 2011 to Jan 2012	Substantiated Victims in Foster Care	Absence of Maltreatment Percent	National Standard
January 2012	465	0	100.00%	<b>99.68%</b>
December 2011	467	1	99.79%	<b>99.68%</b>
November 2011	467	2	99.57%	<b>99.68%</b>
October 2011	475	3	99.37%	<b>99.68%</b>
September 2011	472	3	99.36%	<b>99.68%</b>
August 2011	455	3	99.34%	<b>99.68%</b>
July 2011	459	2	99.56%	<b>99.68%</b>
June 2011	456	2	99.56%	<b>99.68%</b>
May 2011	465	2	99.57%	<b>99.68%</b>
April 2011	467	3	99.36%	<b>99.68%</b>
March 2011	478	3	99.37%	<b>99.68%</b>
February 2011	452	5	98.89%	<b>99.68%</b>
January 2011	457	6	98.69%	<b>99.68%</b>

#### Region 8 Trend of Absence of Maltreatment in Foster Care

