




**INDIANA**  
DEPARTMENT OF  
**CHILD**  
SERVICES

*Protecting our children,  
families and future*

# Department of Child Services Practice Indicator Reports For January 2011



## Region 08 January 2011

Data in the report (both historical and current) may be subject to change as it is continually being validated and updated. This may result in statistical data in previous reports to be inconsistent with statistics found in more recent reports even when the data pertain to the same time period.



**Published  
February 2011**



# DCS Practice Indicator Report Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

For January 2011

## Report Description

This report uses data collected over a rolling three month period ending with the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use a rolling three month period ending with the historical month listed.

**Reports Taken** – This section has data regarding the reports taken during the three month period ending with the report month.

**Count** – This is the total number of reports of abuse or neglect that are entered into ICWIS during the period ending with the report month.

**Percent Resulting in Screen Outs** – This is the percentage of reports that are screened out divided by the total reports taken during the period ending with the report month.

**Assessments Substantiated** – This section has data regarding the number of assessments with substantiated findings of abuse and/or neglect during the three month period ending with the report month.

**Count** – This is the total number of assessments with substantiated findings of abuse and/or neglect during the period ending with the report month.

**Percent Resulting in Removal** – This is the percentage of substantiated assessments during the period that resulted in removal of the child from the home by the end of the report month divided by the total number of substantiated assessments during the period ending with the report month.



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### For January 2011

#### January 2011

Location	Reports Taken For Nov 2010 to Jan 2011		Assessments Substantiated For Nov 2010 to Jan 2011	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	36,064	34.1%	5,343	30.8%
Region 8	1,115	31.75%	118	27.97%
Clay	140	40.7%	14	28.6%
Parke	61	29.5%	8	87.5%
Sullivan	125	27.2%	22	18.2%
Vermillion	109	35.8%	9	11.1%
Vigo	680	30.3%	65	26.2%


#### Statewide Percent Removal Trend



#### Region 8 For January 2010 to January 2011

Month	Reports Taken For Nov 2010 to Jan 2011		Assessments Substantiated For Nov 2010 to Jan 2011	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Jan 2011	1,115	31.7%	118	28.0%
Dec 2010	1,147	34.0%	126	33.3%
Nov 2010	1,216	36.1%	150	26.7%
Oct 2010	1,176	38.3%	160	21.3%
Sep 2010	1,164	37.4%	172	22.1%
Aug 2010	1,109	32.6%	177	22.6%
Jul 2010	1,117	31.6%	169	26.6%
Jun 2010	1,116	28.0%	159	26.4%
May 2010	1,035	26.4%	151	29.8%
Apr 2010	929	21.0%	174	25.9%
Mar 2010	817	18.4%	174	22.4%
Feb 2010	752	17.8%	194	19.6%
Jan 2010	712	17.1%	173	19.1%

#### Region 8 Percent Removal





# DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS Placements by County

For January 2011

## Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the end of the historical month listed. This report looks at CHINS children from CHINS cases that were open on the last day of the report month. It also collects where that child is located (in their own home or in substitute care). For the purpose of this report, a child with a non-custodial parent is considered "in home".

**Total CHINS Count** – This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the report month.

**CHINS** – This section has data regarding all children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month.

**In Home** – This section has data regarding children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home divided by the total number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month.

**Foster Care** – This section has data regarding children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in out of home placement.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in out of home placement.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in out of home placement divided by the total number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month.

**Out of Home Placements** – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in out of home placement.

**Relative Homes** – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed with relatives.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed with relatives.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed with relatives divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**Foster Homes** – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in foster homes.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in foster homes.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in foster homes divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**Residential** – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in residential setting.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in residential setting.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in residential setting divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**Other** – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in other placement settings. Example would be a court ordered unlicensed placement.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in other placement settings.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in other placement settings divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.




# DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS Placements by County

For January 2011

## January 2011

Location	Total CHINS Count	CHINS				Out of Home Placements							
		In Home		Foster Care		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential		Other	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14172	4207	29.7%	9,965	70.3%	3554	35.7%	5192	52.1%	981	9.8%	238	2.4%
Region 8	304	88	28.9%	216	71.1%	101	46.8%	103	47.7%	7	3.2%	5	2.3%
Clay	12	3	25.0%	9	75.0%	0	0.0%	9	#####	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Parke	22	11	50.0%	11	50.0%	7	63.6%	3	27.3%	1	9.1%	0	0.0%
Sullivan	44	16	36.4%	28	63.6%	17	60.7%	8	28.6%	2	7.1%	1	3.6%
Vermillion	50	14	28.0%	36	72.0%	24	66.7%	11	30.6%	0	0.0%	1	2.8%
Vigo	176	44	25.0%	132	75.0%	53	40.2%	72	54.5%	4	3.0%	3	2.3%


## Statewide Foster Care Placement Breakdown



## Region 8 For January 2010 to January 2011

Month	Total CHINS Count	CHINS				Out of Home Placements							
		In Home		Foster Care		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential		Other	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Jan 2011	304	88	28.9%	216	71.1%	101	46.8%	103	47.7%	7	3.2%	5	2.3%
Dec 2010	300	92	30.7%	208	69.3%	100	48.1%	94	45.2%	9	4.3%	5	2.4%
Nov 2010	307	90	29.3%	217	70.7%	106	48.8%	97	44.7%	10	4.6%	4	1.8%
Oct 2010	299	86	28.8%	213	71.2%	105	49.3%	94	44.1%	10	4.7%	4	1.9%
Sep 2010	311	95	30.5%	216	69.5%	108	50.0%	93	43.1%	11	5.1%	4	1.9%
Aug 2010	318	87	27.4%	231	72.6%	117	50.6%	94	40.7%	13	5.6%	7	3.0%
Jul 2010	326	93	28.5%	233	71.5%	112	48.1%	102	43.8%	13	5.6%	6	2.6%
Jun 2010	335	107	31.9%	228	68.1%	105	46.1%	102	44.7%	14	6.1%	7	3.1%
May 2010	330	96	29.1%	234	70.9%	114	48.7%	100	42.7%	14	6.0%	6	2.6%
Apr 2010	345	107	31.0%	238	69.0%	111	46.6%	106	44.5%	15	6.3%	6	2.5%
Mar 2010	344	110	32.0%	234	68.0%	98	41.9%	115	49.1%	16	6.8%	5	2.1%
Feb 2010	332	103	31.0%	229	69.0%	98	42.8%	110	48.0%	15	6.6%	6	2.6%
Jan 2010	326	99	30.4%	227	69.6%	96	42.3%	108	47.6%	16	7.0%	7	3.1%

## Region 8 Foster Care Placement Breakdown





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Use of Foster Care by County

January 2011

## Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the end of the historical month listed. This report looks at CHINS children from CHINS cases that were open on the last day of the report month. It also collects where that child is located (in their own home or in substitute care). For the purpose of this report, children with non-custodial parents are considered to be in their own home.

**Total CHINS Count** – This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the report month.

**In Home or Relative Care** – This section has data regarding children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home or in relative care.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home or in relative care.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home or in relative care divided by the total number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month.

**Target (At or Above) %** – The target for the percent of the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home or in relative care to the total number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month is 50% or higher.

**Non Relative Foster Care** – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are not in their own home or in relative care.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are not in their own home or in relative care.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are not in their own home or in relative care divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**Target (At or below) %** – The target for the percent of the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are not in their own home or in relative care to the total number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month is 50% or higher.




# DCS Practice Indicator Report Use of Foster Care by County

For January 2011

## January 2011

Location	Total CHINS	In Home or Relative Care		Target (At or Above) %	Non-Relative Foster Care		Target (At or Below) %
	Count	Count	%		Count	%	
Statewide	14172	7761	54.8%	50.0%	6,411	45.2%	50.0%
Region 8	304	189	62.2%	50.0%	115	37.8%	50.0%
Clay	12	3	25.0%	50.0%	9	75.0%	50.0%
Parke	22	18	81.8%	50.0%	4	18.2%	50.0%
Sullivan	44	33	75.0%	50.0%	11	25.0%	50.0%
Vermillion	50	38	76.0%	50.0%	12	24.0%	50.0%
Vigo	176	97	55.1%	50.0%	79	44.9%	50.0%


## Statewide Foster Care Placement Breakdown



## Region 8 For January 2010 to January 2011

Month	Total CHINS	In Home or Relative Care		Target (At or Above) %	Non-Relative Foster Care		Target (At or Below) %
	Count	Count	%		Count	%	
January 2011	304	189	62.2%	50.0%	115	37.8%	50.0%
December 2010	300	192	64.0%	50.0%	108	36.0%	50.0%
November 2010	307	196	63.8%	50.0%	111	36.2%	50.0%
October 2010	299	191	63.9%	50.0%	108	36.1%	50.0%
September 2010	311	203	65.3%	50.0%	108	34.7%	50.0%
August 2010	318	204	64.2%	50.0%	114	35.8%	50.0%
July 2010	326	205	62.9%	50.0%	121	37.1%	50.0%
June 2010	335	212	63.3%	50.0%	123	36.7%	50.0%
May 2010	330	210	63.6%	50.0%	120	36.4%	50.0%
April 2010	345	218	63.2%	50.0%	127	36.8%	50.0%
March 2010	344	208	60.5%	50.0%	136	39.5%	50.0%
February 2010	332	201	60.5%	50.0%	131	39.5%	50.0%
January 2010	326	195	59.8%	50.0%	131	40.2%	50.0%

## Region 8 Foster Care Placement Breakdown





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Locally Placed CHINS

For January 2011

## Report Description

This report uses data collected over at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the historical month listed. For the purpose of this report, children with their non-custodial parents are considered to be in their own home.

**Total Out of Home Placements** – This is the total number of CHINS children that are in out of home placement on the last day of the report month.

**Placed in Same County** – This is the total number of CHINS children that are placed in the same county as the county of their case.

**Percent Locally Placed** – This is the percentage of CHINS children that are placed in the same county as the county of their case divided by the total number of CHINS children which are in out of home placement on the last day of the report month.




# DCS Practice Indicator Report Locally Placed CHINS

For January 2011

## January 2011

Location	Total Out of Home Placements	Placed in Same County	Percent Locally Placed
Statewide	9,965	7,071	71.0%
Region 8	216	163	75.5%
Clay	9	6	66.7%
Parke	11	9	81.8%
Sullivan	28	20	71.4%
Vermillion	36	23	63.9%
Vigo	132	105	79.5%


## Statewide Percent Placed Locally



## Region 8 For January 2010 to January 2011

Month	Total Out of Home Placements	Placed in Same County	Percent Locally Placed
Jan 2011	216	163	75.5%
Dec 2010	208	158	76.0%
Nov 2010	217	165	76.0%
Oct 2010	213	163	76.5%
Sep 2010	216	161	74.5%
Aug 2010	231	176	76.2%
Jul 2010	233	179	76.8%
Jun 2010	228	174	76.3%
May 2010	234	184	78.6%
Apr 2010	238	183	76.9%
Mar 2010	234	180	76.9%
Feb 2010	229	175	76.4%
Jan 2010	227	174	76.7%

## Region 8 Percent Placed Locally





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Average Number of Placements

For January 2011

## Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the historical month listed.

**Average Number of Placements Per Child** – This is the total number of out of home placements for each CHINS child in out of home placement on the last day of the report month. This includes all placements during the current removal episode. If a child had at least one placement end in the first 8 days from removal, then that child's number of placements was reduced by one.

This number is divided by the total number CHINS children who are in out of home placement on the last day of the report month.




# DCS Practice Indicator Report Average Number of Placements

For January 2010 to January 2011

## January 2011

Location	Average Number of Placements Per Child
Statewide	2.5
Region 8	2.0
Clay	2.6
Parke	1.3
Sullivan	2.3
Vermillion	1.4
Vigo	2.2


## Statewide Average Number of Placements



## Region 8 For January 2010 to January 2011

Month	Average Number of Placements Per Child
January 2011	2.0
December 2010	2.2
November 2010	2.1
October 2010	2.2
September 2010	2.2
August 2010	2.2
July 2010	2.2
June 2010	2.2
May 2010	2.2
April 2010	2.2
March 2010	2.2
February 2010	2.2
January 2010	2.2

## Region 8 Average Number of Placements





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Sibling Placement Report

For January 2011

## Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the end of the historical month listed. For the purpose of this report, children with a non-custodial parent are considered to be in their own home.

**Count of Sibling Cases** – This is the number of open cases as of the end of the report month which have more than one child in out of home placement at the end of the report month.

**Cases With All Children Placed Together** – This is the number of cases with more than one child in out of home placement where all of the children are placed in the same resource with the same resource ID.

**Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases**– This is the percentage of the number of cases where all of the children in out of home placement are placed together divided by the number of open cases with more than one child in out of home placement as of the end of the report month.

**Average Number of Children per Case** – This number takes the number of children in out of home placement from cases with more than one child in out of home placement and divides it by the number of cases with more than one child in out of home placement as of the end of the report month.




# DCS Practice Indicator Report Sibling Placement Report

January 2011

## January 2011

Location	Count of Sibling Cases	Cases With All Children Placed Together	Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases	Average Number of Children per Case
Statewide	2452	1734	70.7%	2.68
Region 8	56	45	80.4%	2.54
Clay	3	1	33.3%	2.67
Parke	3	3	100.0%	2.33
Sullivan	9	8	88.9%	2.22
Vermillion	11	9	81.8%	2.91
Vigo	30	24	80.0%	2.50


## Statewide Percent Together



## Region 8 For January 2010 to January 2011

Month	Count of Sibling Cases	Cases With All Children Placed Together	Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases	Average Number of Children per Case
January 2011	56	45	80.4%	2.54
December 2010	56	44	78.6%	2.48
November 2010	61	48	78.7%	2.49
October 2010	60	49	81.7%	2.47
September 2010	61	50	82.0%	2.43
August 2010	65	55	84.6%	2.40
July 2010	66	55	83.3%	2.39
June 2010	63	50	79.4%	2.41
May 2010	63	48	76.2%	2.46
April 2010	62	44	71.0%	2.50
March 2010	61	45	73.8%	2.43
February 2010	58	43	74.1%	2.52
January 2010	58	44	75.9%	2.50

## Region 8 Percent Together





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Length of Stay in Out of Home Placement

### Children in Out of Home Placement by Length of Time Since Latest Removal

#### For January 2011

#### Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the end of the historical month listed. This report looks at CHINS children that were in out of home placement at the end of the month. It measures the number of days since the child was last removed from its home.

**One Year - 0 to 365 Days**— This is column shows data for CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 0 and 365 days since the child's removal.

**Count-** This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 0 and 365 days since the child's last removal.

**Percent-** This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 0 and 365 days since the child's last removal divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**One Year - 366 to 730 Days**— This is column shows data for CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 366 and 730 days since the c last removal.

**Count-** This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 366 and 730 days since the child's last removal.

**Percent-** This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 366 and 730 days since the child's last removal divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**One Year - 731 to 1095 Days**— This is column shows data for CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 731 to 1095 days since the child's last removal.

**Count-** This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 731 to 1095 days since the child's last removal.

**Percent-** This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 731 to 1095 days since the child's last removal divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**One Year - 1096 Days+**— This is column shows data for CHINS children that have been in out of home placement 1096 days or more since the child's last removal.

**Count-** This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement 1096 days or more since the child's last removal.

**Percent-** This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement 1096 days or more since the child's last removal divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**Length Per Child** – This column shows data for length of time of CHINS children who were in out of home placement at the end of the report month have been in out of home placement since the child's last removal from their home.

**Average-** This column calculates the average or "mean" length of time in out of home placement since the last removal per child in out of home placement at the end of the report month.

**Median-** This column calculates the median length of time in out of home placement since the last removal per child in out of home placement at the end of the report month.



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Length of Stay in Out of Home Placement

### Children in Out of Home Placement by Length of Time Since Latest Removal For January 2011

#### January 2011

Location	One Year 0 to 365 Days		Two Years 366 to 730 Days		Three Years 731 to 1095 Days		Three + Years 1096 Days+		Length Per Child	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Average	Median
Statewide	4,746	47.6%	2,462	24.7%	1,192	12.0%	1,565	15.7%	627.4	397.6
Region 8	121	56.0%	56	25.9%	22	10.2%	17	7.9%	476.1	323.5
Clay	5	55.6%	4	44.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	309.0	193.4
Parke	10	90.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	9.1%	259.8	89.3
Sullivan	19	67.9%	5	17.9%	1	3.6%	3	10.7%	434.5	328.5
Vermillion	27	75.0%	9	25.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	229.9	173.0
Vigo	60	45.5%	38	28.8%	21	15.9%	13	9.8%	581.4	393.0


#### Statewide Median Length



#### Region 8 For January 2010 to January 2011

Month	One Year 0 to 365 Days		Two Years 366 to 730 Days		Three Years 731 to 1095 Days		Three + Years 1096 Days+		Length Per Child	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Average	Median
January 2011	121	56.0%	56	25.9%	22	10.2%	17	7.9%	476.1	323.5
December 2010	117	56.3%	52	25.0%	21	10.1%	18	8.7%	501.4	317.5
November 2010	121	55.8%	52	24.0%	25	11.5%	19	8.8%	493.5	323.6
October 2010	121	56.8%	49	23.0%	24	11.3%	19	8.9%	480.4	307.6
September 2010	122	56.5%	49	22.7%	19	8.8%	26	12.0%	501.7	305.5
August 2010	134	58.0%	49	21.2%	20	8.7%	28	12.1%	488.2	309.4
July 2010	143	61.4%	44	18.9%	25	10.7%	21	9.0%	490.0	316.5
June 2010	138	60.5%	53	23.2%	17	7.5%	20	8.8%	486.0	315.5
May 2010	146	62.4%	47	20.1%	22	9.4%	19	8.1%	473.6	289.0
April 2010	148	62.2%	53	22.3%	18	7.6%	19	8.0%	453.3	262.0
March 2010	141	60.3%	54	23.1%	19	8.1%	20	8.5%	451.6	252.5
February 2010	138	60.3%	53	23.1%	19	8.3%	19	8.3%	454.1	249.6
January 2010	142	62.6%	49	21.6%	19	8.4%	17	7.5%	448.2	229.6

#### Region 8 Median Length





# DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS and IA Permanency Report

For January 2011

## Report Description

This report uses CHINS and IA case types that have case type status end date occurring during the month. It then groups these cases using the closure reasons codes into categories of closure reasons. Case with closure reason code "Case opened in error – disregard" are filtered out for the purpose of this report. This report uses the reason codes selected by the worker at the date of case closure. **Adoption closure reasons will not match the number of actual finalized adoptions reported from the hearing information fields in ICWIS/MaGik.**

Closure Reasons are group by:

Description	Category	Description	Category
Child Reunited with Parents	Reunification	Case closed; AG case created	Guardianship
Child never Removed from own home, wardship terminated	Reunification	Child placed with relatives	Relative
Extended wardship terminated (on court order for age 18 to 21)	Emancipation	Child Placed with Legal guardian	Guardianship
Child Returned to Primary Home	Reunification	Child Reached Age of Majority (age 18)	Emancipation
Period of IA ended code used for Informal Adjustment cases only	Reunification	Emancipated Court Ordered (age 18)	Emancipation
Child Adoption Finalized	Adoption	Child Already Reunited with parent (s) when wardship terminated	Reunification

The Permanency column "Total" refers to the total case with reason codes in the Reunification, Adoption, Relative, Guardianship, and Emancipation categories.

The Permanency column "Total within 24 months" refers to the total case that ended within 24 month of the case status type begin date in the Reunification, Adoption, Relative, Guardianship, and Emancipation categories.




# DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS and IA Permanency Report

For January 2011

## January 2011

Location	Closed Cases Types with Permanency Outcomes					Permanency		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation	Total	Within 24 Months	24 Month Rate
Statewide	726	97	12	64	26	925	796	86.1
Region 8	9	1	0	1	1	12	10	83.3
Clay	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	NA
Parke	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	NA
Sullivan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	NA
Vermillion	4	0	0	1	0	5	5	100.0
Vigo	5	1	0	0	1	7	5	71.4


## Statewide Permanency within 24 Months



## Region 8 For January 2010 to January 2011

Location	Closed Cases Types with Permanency Outcomes					Permanency		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation	Total	Within 24 Months	24 Month Rate
Jan 2011	9	1	0	1	1	12	10	83.3
Dec 2010	11	5	2	0	0	18	15	83.3
Nov 2010	15	0	0	0	1	16	15	93.8
Oct 2010	14	3	1	1	2	21	16	76.2
Sep 2010	12	0	0	2	2	16	15	93.8
Aug 2010	27	3	0	0	0	30	27	90.0
Jul 2010	35	0	1	0	0	36	35	97.2
Jun 2010	19	1	0	0	0	20	18	90.0
May 2010	14	1	0	1	0	16	15	93.8
Apr 2010	20	1	2	3	1	27	26	96.3
Mar 2010	16	2	0	3	0	21	21	100.0
Feb 2010	20	4	0	0	0	24	23	95.8
Jan 2010	15	1	0	0	0	16	16	100.0

## Region 8 Permanency within 24 Months





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Family Case Manager Contacts Report

For January 2011

## Report Description

This report uses data collected over at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the historical month listed. For the purpose of this report, a person is considered family for the child if they have the following relationships:

- Father
- Mother
- Stepfather
- Stepmother
- Grandfather
- Grandmother
- Pre-adoptive father
- Pre-adoptive mother
- Adoptive grandparent
- Legal Guardian

**Children Out of Home Entire Month** – This column shows the number of children in out of home placement at the end of the report month who also have a removal date prior to the first of the report month.

**Contact Information** – This section of the reports shows information regarding FCM contacts (from the contact screens) during the report month.

**FCM with Family** – This section of the report shows FCM contacts with the child's family (as described above) during the report month.

**Children** – This is the total number of children that the FCM had at least one contact with a member of the child's family during the report month.

**Rate** – This is the total number of children that FCM had at least one contact with a member of the child's family divided by the total number of children in out of home placement for the entire month (as described above).

**FCM with Child** – This section of the report shows FCM contacts with the child during the report month.

**Children** – This is the total number of children that the FCM had at least one contact with a the child during the report month.

**Rate** – This is the total number of children that FCM had at least one contact with the child divided by the total number of children in out of home placement for the entire month (as described above).

**FCM with Family and Child** – This section of the report shows FCM contacts with the child's family (as described above) **AND** the child during the report month. Contact with both had to have occurred for the child to be included in this section. The family and child do not need to present at the same contact, contact with each could have been made in two separate contacts.

**Children** – This is the total number of children that the FCM had at least one contact with a member of the child's family **and** at least one contact with the child during the report month.

**Rate** – This is the total number of children that FCM had at least one contact with a member of the child's family **and** at least one contact with the child during the report month divided by the total number of children in out of home placement for the entire month (as described above).



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Family Case Manager Contacts Report

### For January 2011

#### January 2011

Location	Children out of home entire month	Contact Information					
		FCM with Family		FCM with Child		FCM with Family and Child	
		Children	Rate	Children	Rate	Children	Rate
Statewide	9,558	2,669	27.9%	9,315	97.5%	2,634	27.6%
Region 8	204	92	45.1%	201	98.5%	92	45.1%
Clay	8	7	87.5%	8	100.0%	7	87.5%
Parke	10	2	20.0%	10	100.0%	2	20.0%
Sullivan	28	21	75.0%	28	100.0%	21	75.0%
Vermillion	30	16	53.3%	30	100.0%	16	53.3%
Vigo	128	46	35.9%	125	97.7%	46	35.9%


#### Statewide Child Contact Rate



#### Region 8 For January 2010 to January 2011

Month	Children out of home entire month	Contact Information					
		FCM with Family		FCM with Child		FCM with Family and Child	
		Children	Rate	Children	Rate	Children	Rate
Jan 2011	204	92	45.1%	201	98.5%	92	45.1%
Dec 2010	200	84	42.0%	197	98.5%	84	42.0%
Nov 2010	207	87	42.0%	205	99.0%	87	42.0%
Oct 2010	205	85	41.5%	204	99.5%	84	41.0%
Sep 2010	209	87	41.6%	202	96.7%	87	41.6%
Aug 2010	220	87	39.5%	212	96.4%	87	39.5%
Jul 2010	223	95	42.6%	221	99.1%	95	42.6%
Jun 2010	224	89	39.7%	219	97.8%	86	38.4%
May 2010	234	100	42.7%	229	97.9%	99	42.3%
Apr 2010	228	109	47.8%	226	99.1%	109	47.8%
Mar 2010	213	105	49.3%	210	98.6%	105	49.3%
Feb 2010	219	105	47.9%	215	98.2%	105	47.9%
Jan 2010	219	117	53.4%	218	99.5%	117	53.4%

#### Region 8 Child Contact Rate





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Child Visitation Report

For January 2011

## Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the historical month listed. For the purpose of this report, a person is considered family for the child if they have the following relationships:

- Father
- Mother
- Stepfather
- Stepmother
- Grandfather
- Grandmother
- Pre-adoptive father
- Pre-adoptive mother
- Adoptive grandparent
- Legal Guardian

**Children out of home with case plan goal of reunification** – This column shows the number of children in out of home placement at the end of the report month who also have reunification as the most recently entered primary case plan goal.

**Children with visits to their family during the month** – This section of the report shows data regarding children who have had a visit with the child's family (as described above) begin during the report month.

**Children** – This is the total number of children that the child had at least one visit with a member of the child's family during the report month.  
**Rate** – This is the total number of children that the child had at least one visit with a member of the child's family divided by the total number of children in out of home placement at the end of the report month with case plan goal of reunification(as described above).



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Child Visitation Report

### For January 2011

#### January 2011

Location	Children out of home with case plan goal of reunification	Children with visits to their family during the month	
		Children	Rate
Statewide	5,268	1,245	23.6%
Region 8	115	44	38.3%
Clay	7	7	100.0%
Parke	4	0	0.0%
Sullivan	17	7	41.2%
Vermillion	30	14	46.7%
Vigo	57	16	28.1%


#### Statewide Visitation Rate



#### Region 8 For January 2010 to January 2011

Month	Children out of home with case plan goal of reunification	Children with visits to their family during the month	
		Children	Rate
Jan 2011	115	44	38.3%
Dec 2010	116	52	44.8%
Nov 2010	120	46	38.3%
Oct 2010	118	41	34.7%
Sep 2010	124	43	34.7%
Aug 2010	127	28	22.0%
Jul 2010	135	36	26.7%
Jun 2010	137	42	30.7%
May 2010	142	36	25.4%
Apr 2010	142	47	33.1%
Mar 2010	127	37	29.1%
Feb 2010	131	50	38.2%
Jan 2010	129	52	40.3%

#### Region 8 Visitation Rate





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Absence of Repeat Maltreatment

For January 2011

## Report Description

This is a description of the key elements used in this report.

**Time Period** – The time period used in this report is the 12 months prior to the month of the report.

**Assessments during time period** – The assessment used in this report are assessment with BOTH report date AND approval date during the time period (12 months prior to the month of the report).

**Victim Selection** – The children used in this report were identified as having at least one substantiated allegation of abuse or neglect on an assessment during the time period.

**First Occurrence** – This looks at the victims of substantiated abuse or neglect during the first 6 months of the time period (6 to 12 months prior to the month of the report). If a child was a victim in multiple assessments with substantiated abuse or neglect, the assessment with the earliest report date is considered the first occurrence.

**Recurrence Time Period** – The time period used to see if a child is a substantiated victim in a recurring assessment of abuse or neglect is based off the report date of the first occurrence. The recurrence period begins one day after the first occurrence report date and ends 183 after the first occurrence report date ( $\geq 1^{\text{st}}$  Occurrence +1 and  $\leq 1^{\text{st}}$  Occurrence +183). If a child has multiple recurrences, the earliest report date in the recurring time period is considered the recurring assessment.

Here is a visual representation of an example. This is a report for December of a given year. The time period to review assessments would run from Jan 1<sup>st</sup> to Dec 31<sup>st</sup>. The time period for a first occurrence would be Jan 1<sup>st</sup> to Jun 30<sup>th</sup>. If a child had a first occurrence on Feb 7<sup>th</sup>, the recurrence period would run from Feb 8<sup>th</sup> to Aug 9<sup>th</sup>. If the child had a recurrence in Aug 5<sup>th</sup>, that would be considered repeat maltreatment. If the child had a recurrence Oct 10<sup>th</sup>, that would not be considered repeat maltreatment because it fell outside the recurrence period.

Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
Time Period (Jan 1 <sup>st</sup> to Dec 31 <sup>st</sup> )											
1 <sup>st</sup> Occurrence Time Period (Jan 1 <sup>st</sup> to Jun 30 <sup>th</sup> )											
						Recurrence Period (Feb 8 <sup>th</sup> to Aug 9 <sup>th</sup> )					

**Percent of absence of repeat maltreatment** – This calculations uses the terms defined above. The numerator is the number of children with a first occurrence minus the number of children with a recurrence. The denominator is the number of children with first occurrence.

$$\frac{(1^{\text{st}} \text{ Occurrence} - \text{Recurrence})}{1^{\text{st}} \text{ Occurrence}}$$

For the purposes of this report, the county the child is assigned to is based off the county of first occurrence. If a child has a recurrence in a different county, the repeat will show for the county of the first occurrence.



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Absence of Repeat Maltreatment

### For January 2011

#### January 2011

County	Victims from Feb 2010 to Aug 2010	Victims without a recurrence within 6 months	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent	National Standard
Statewide	11,919	10,697	89.75%	94.60%
Region 8	296	265	89.53%	94.60%
Clay	26	25	96.15%	94.60%
Parke	16	15	93.75%	94.60%
Sullivan	33	33	100.00%	94.60%
Vermillion	40	31	77.50%	94.60%
Vigo	181	161	88.95%	94.60%


#### Statewide Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



#### Region 8 For January 2010 to January 2011

County	Victims from Feb 2010 to Aug 2010	Victims without a recurrence within 6 months	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent	National Standard
January 2011	296	265	89.53%	94.60%
December 2010	286	253	88.46%	94.60%
November 2010	303	276	91.09%	94.60%
October 2010	311	290	93.25%	94.60%
September 2010	321	311	96.88%	94.60%
August 2010	335	324	96.72%	94.60%
July 2010	361	347	96.12%	94.60%
June 2010	385	374	97.14%	94.60%
May 2010	360	347	96.39%	94.60%
April 2010	355	339	95.49%	94.60%
March 2010	347	331	95.39%	94.60%
February 2010	328	315	96.04%	94.60%
January 2010	293	278	94.88%	94.60%

#### Region 8 Absence of Repeat Maltreatment





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Absence of Maltreatment in Foster Care

For January 2011

### Report Description

This is a description of the key elements used in this report.

**Time Period** – The time period used in this report is the 12 months prior to the month of the report.

**Assessments during the time period** – The assessment used in this report are assessment with BOTH report date AND approval date during the time period (12 months prior to the month of the report).

**Victim of maltreatment in Foster Care** – The children used in this report were identified as having at least one substantiated allegation of abuse or neglect on an assessment during the time period where the perpetrator is a foster parent or institutional staff.

**Children in Foster Care during the time period** – All CHINS children with an open removal episode at any time during the 12 months prior to the month of the report. Also included are Probation children (who are IV-E eligible) with an open removal episode at any time during the 12 months prior to the month of the report.

**Percent of absence of maltreatment** – This calculations uses the terms defined above. The numerator is the number of children in foster care minus the number of victims of maltreatment in foster care. The denominator is the number of children in foster care.

$$\frac{(\text{Children in Foster Care} - \text{Victims of maltreatment in Foster Care})}{\text{Children in Foster Care}}$$



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Absence of Maltreatment in Foster Care

### For January 2011

#### For January 2011

Location	Children in Foster Care from Feb 2010 to Jan 2011	Substantiated Victims in Foster Care	Absence of Maltreatment Percent	National Standard
Statewide	21,543	71	99.67%	99.68%
Region 8	457	6	98.69%	99.68%
Clay	17	0	100.00%	99.68%
Parke	27	0	100.00%	99.68%
Sullivan	67	1	98.51%	99.68%
Vermillion	74	1	98.65%	99.68%
Vigo	272	4	98.53%	99.68%

#### Statewide Trend of Absence of Maltreatment in Foster Care



#### Region 8 for January 2010 to January 2011

Month	Children in Foster Care from Feb 2010 to Jan 2011	Substantiated Victims in Foster Care	Absence of Maltreatment Percent	National Standard
January 2011	457	6	98.69%	99.68%
December 2010	457	5	98.91%	99.68%
November 2010	463	4	99.14%	99.68%
October 2010	457	4	99.12%	99.68%
September 2010	459	4	99.13%	99.68%
August 2010	458	2	99.56%	99.68%
July 2010	456	1	99.78%	99.68%
June 2010	456	1	99.78%	99.68%
May 2010	471	1	99.79%	99.68%
April 2010	471	1	99.79%	99.68%
March 2010	464	1	99.78%	99.68%
February 2010	455	2	99.56%	99.68%
January 2010	449	1	99.78%	99.68%

#### Region 8 Trend of Absence of Maltreatment in Foster Care

