




**INDIANA**  
DEPARTMENT OF  
**CHILD**  
SERVICES

*Protecting our children,  
families and future*

# Department of Child Services Practice Indicator Reports For February 2011



## Region 06 February 2011

Data in the report (both historical and current) may be subject to change as it is continually being validated and updated. This may result in statistical data in previous reports to be inconsistent with statistics found in more recent reports even when the data pertain to the same time period.



**Published  
March 2011**



# DCS Practice Indicator Report Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

For February 2011

## Report Description

This report uses data collected over a rolling three month period ending with the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use a rolling three month period ending with the historical month listed.

**Reports Taken** – This section has data regarding the reports taken during the three month period ending with the report month.

**Count** – This is the total number of reports of abuse or neglect that are entered into ICWIS during the period ending with the report month.

**Percent Resulting in Screen Outs** – This is the percentage of reports that are screened out divided by the total reports taken during the period ending with the report month.

**Assessments Substantiated** – This section has data regarding the number of assessments with substantiated findings of abuse and/or neglect during the three month period ending with the report month.

**Count** – This is the total number of assessments with substantiated findings of abuse and/or neglect during the period ending with the report month.

**Percent Resulting in Removal** – This is the percentage of substantiated assessments during the period that resulted in removal of the child from the home by the end of the report month divided by the total number of substantiated assessments during the period ending with the report month.



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### For February 2011

#### February 2011

Location	Reports Taken For Dec 2010 to Feb 2011		Assessments Substantiated For Dec 2010 to Feb 2011	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	34,738	34.9%	5,149	30.8%
Region 6	1,291	32.30%	251	29.08%
Cass	226	30.1%	29	31.0%
Fulton	192	30.7%	35	42.9%
Howard	484	32.9%	85	18.8%
Miami	228	32.9%	63	22.2%
Wabash	161	34.8%	39	48.7%


#### Statewide Percent Removal Trend



#### Region 6 For February 2010 to February 2011

Month	Reports Taken For Dec 2010 to Feb 2011		Assessments Substantiated For Dec 2010 to Feb 2011	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Feb 2011	1,291	32.3%	251	29.1%
Jan 2011	1,371	30.6%	277	32.1%
Dec 2010	1,380	31.3%	318	30.2%
Nov 2010	1,422	34.9%	330	30.9%
Oct 2010	1,314	38.3%	339	27.1%
Sep 2010	1,242	37.2%	305	29.8%
Aug 2010	1,147	34.9%	275	29.8%
Jul 2010	1,202	31.6%	266	24.4%
Jun 2010	1,242	28.0%	264	26.5%
May 2010	1,272	21.3%	298	22.8%
Apr 2010	1,176	14.2%	279	24.7%
Mar 2010	1,070	9.4%	279	24.0%
Feb 2010	1,011	9.5%	285	29.5%

#### Region 6 Percent Removal





# DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS Placements by County

For February 2011

## Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the end of the historical month listed. This report looks at CHINS children from CHINS cases that were open on the last day of the report month. It also collects where that child is located (in their own home or in substitute care). For the purpose of this report, a child with a non-custodial parent is considered "in home".

**Total CHINS Count** – This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the report month.

**CHINS** – This section has data regarding all children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month.

**In Home** – This section has data regarding children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home divided by the total number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month.

**Foster Care** – This section has data regarding children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in out of home placement.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in out of home placement.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in out of home placement divided by the total number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month.

**Out of Home Placements** – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in out of home placement.

**Relative Homes** – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed with relatives.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed with relatives.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed with relatives divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**Foster Homes** – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in foster homes.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in foster homes.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in foster homes divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**Residential** – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in residential setting.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in residential setting.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in residential setting divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**Other** – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in other placement settings. Example would be a court ordered unlicensed placement.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in other placement settings.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in other placement settings divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.




# DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS Placements by County

For February 2011

## February 2011

Location	Total CHINS Count	CHINS				Out of Home Placements							
		In Home		Foster Care		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential		Other	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14102	4181	29.6%	9,921	70.4%	3507	35.3%	5235	52.8%	956	9.6%	223	2.2%
Region 6	443	113	25.5%	330	74.5%	77	23.3%	215	65.2%	35	10.6%	3	0.9%
Cass	73	19	26.0%	54	74.0%	12	22.2%	38	70.4%	4	7.4%	0	0.0%
Fulton	79	38	48.1%	41	51.9%	14	34.1%	25	61.0%	2	4.9%	0	0.0%
Howard	96	13	13.5%	83	86.5%	29	34.9%	43	51.8%	10	12.0%	1	1.2%
Miami	96	23	24.0%	73	76.0%	4	5.5%	53	72.6%	14	19.2%	2	2.7%
Wabash	99	20	20.2%	79	79.8%	18	22.8%	56	70.9%	5	6.3%	0	0.0%


## Statewide Foster Care Placement Breakdown



## Region 6 For February 2010 to February 2011

Month	Total CHINS Count	CHINS				Out of Home Placements							
		In Home		Foster Care		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential		Other	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Feb 2011	443	113	25.5%	330	74.5%	77	23.3%	215	65.2%	35	10.6%	3	0.9%
Jan 2011	437	107	24.5%	330	75.5%	84	25.5%	211	63.9%	30	9.1%	5	1.5%
Dec 2010	422	105	24.9%	317	75.1%	83	26.2%	199	62.8%	31	9.8%	4	1.3%
Nov 2010	427	86	20.1%	341	79.9%	94	27.6%	211	61.9%	33	9.7%	3	0.9%
Oct 2010	406	83	20.4%	323	79.6%	80	24.8%	207	64.1%	34	10.5%	2	0.6%
Sep 2010	378	57	15.1%	321	84.9%	79	24.6%	206	64.2%	33	10.3%	3	0.9%
Aug 2010	387	66	17.1%	321	82.9%	73	22.7%	212	66.0%	33	10.3%	3	0.9%
Jul 2010	365	66	18.1%	299	81.9%	50	16.7%	211	70.6%	34	11.4%	4	1.3%
Jun 2010	346	65	18.8%	281	81.2%	49	17.4%	199	70.8%	32	11.4%	1	0.4%
May 2010	345	71	20.6%	274	79.4%	45	16.4%	190	69.3%	37	13.5%	2	0.7%
Apr 2010	340	66	19.4%	274	80.6%	56	20.4%	179	65.3%	37	13.5%	2	0.7%
Mar 2010	355	71	20.0%	284	80.0%	57	20.1%	186	65.5%	39	13.7%	2	0.7%
Feb 2010	331	67	20.2%	264	79.8%	51	19.3%	177	67.0%	33	12.5%	3	1.1%

## Region 6 Foster Care Placement Breakdown





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Use of Foster Care by County

February 2011

## Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the end of the historical month listed. This report looks at CHINS children from CHINS cases that were open on the last day of the report month. It also collects where that child is located (in their own home or in substitute care). For the purpose of this report, children with non-custodial parents are considered to be in their own home.

**Total CHINS Count** – This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the report month.

**In Home or Relative Care** – This section has data regarding children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home or in relative care.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home or in relative care.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home or in relative care divided by the total number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month.

**Target (At or Above) %** – The target for the percent of the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home or in relative care to the total number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month is 50% or higher.

**Non Relative Foster Care** – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are not in their own home or in relative care.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are not in their own home or in relative care.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are not in their own home or in relative care divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**Target (At or below) %** – The target for the percent of the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are not in their own home or in relative care to the total number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month is 50% or higher.




# DCS Practice Indicator Report Use of Foster Care by County

For February 2011

## February 2011

Location	Total CHINS	In Home or Relative Care		Target (At or Above) %	Non-Relative Foster Care		Target (At or Below) %
	Count	Count	%		Count	%	
Statewide	14102	7688	54.5%	50.0%	6,414	45.5%	50.0%
Region 6	443	190	42.9%	50.0%	253	57.1%	50.0%
Cass	73	31	42.5%	50.0%	42	57.5%	50.0%
Fulton	79	52	65.8%	50.0%	27	34.2%	50.0%
Howard	96	42	43.8%	50.0%	54	56.3%	50.0%
Miami	96	27	28.1%	50.0%	69	71.9%	50.0%
Wabash	99	38	38.4%	50.0%	61	61.6%	50.0%


## Statewide Foster Care Placement Breakdown



## Region 6 For February 2010 to February 2011

Month	Total CHINS	In Home or Relative Care		Target (At or Above) %	Non-Relative Foster Care		Target (At or Below) %
	Count	Count	%		Count	%	
February 2011	443	190	42.9%	50.0%	253	57.1%	50.0%
January 2011	437	191	43.7%	50.0%	246	56.3%	50.0%
December 2010	422	188	44.5%	50.0%	234	55.5%	50.0%
November 2010	427	180	42.2%	50.0%	247	57.8%	50.0%
October 2010	406	163	40.1%	50.0%	243	59.9%	50.0%
September 2010	378	136	36.0%	50.0%	242	64.0%	50.0%
August 2010	387	139	35.9%	50.0%	248	64.1%	50.0%
July 2010	365	116	31.8%	50.0%	249	68.2%	50.0%
June 2010	346	114	32.9%	50.0%	232	67.1%	50.0%
May 2010	345	116	33.6%	50.0%	229	66.4%	50.0%
April 2010	340	122	35.9%	50.0%	218	64.1%	50.0%
March 2010	355	128	36.1%	50.0%	227	63.9%	50.0%
February 2010	331	118	35.6%	50.0%	213	64.4%	50.0%

## Region 6 Foster Care Placement Breakdown





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Locally Placed CHINS

For February 2011

## Report Description

This report uses data collected over at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the historical month listed. For the purpose of this report, children with their non-custodial parents are considered to be in their own home.

**Total Out of Home Placements** – This is the total number of CHINS children that are in out of home placement on the last day of the report month.

**Placed in Same County** – This is the total number of CHINS children that are placed in the same county as the county of their case.

**Percent Locally Placed** – This is the percentage of CHINS children that are placed in the same county as the county of their case divided by the total number of CHINS children which are in out of home placement on the last day of the report month.




# DCS Practice Indicator Report Locally Placed CHINS

For February 2011

## February 2011

Location	Total Out of Home Placements	Placed in Same County	Percent Locally Placed
Statewide	9,921	7,093	71.5%
Region 6	330	149	45.2%
Cass	54	19	35.2%
Fulton	41	20	48.8%
Howard	83	51	61.4%
Miami	73	14	19.2%
Wabash	79	45	57.0%


## Statewide Percent Placed Locally



## Region 6 For February 2010 to February 2011

Month	Total Out of Home Placements	Placed in Same County	Percent Locally Placed
Feb 2011	330	149	45.2%
Jan 2011	330	154	46.7%
Dec 2010	317	153	48.3%
Nov 2010	341	170	49.9%
Oct 2010	323	146	45.2%
Sep 2010	321	143	44.5%
Aug 2010	321	146	45.5%
Jul 2010	299	128	42.8%
Jun 2010	281	127	45.2%
May 2010	274	119	43.4%
Apr 2010	274	131	47.8%
Mar 2010	284	144	50.7%
Feb 2010	264	125	47.3%

## Region 6 Percent Placed Locally





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Average Number of Placements

For February 2011

## Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the historical month listed.

**Average Number of Placements Per Child** – This is the total number of out of home placements for each CHINS child in out of home placement on the last day of the report month. This includes all placements during the current removal episode. If a child had at least one placement end in the first 8 days from removal, then that child's number of placements was reduced by one.

This number is divided by the total number CHINS children who are in out of home placement on the last day of the report month.




# DCS Practice Indicator Report Average Number of Placements

For February 2010 to February 2011

## February 2011

Location	Average Number of Placements Per Child
Statewide	2.5
Region 6	2.0
Cass	1.5
Fulton	1.4
Howard	2.8
Miami	2.1
Wabash	1.8


## Statewide Average Number of Placements



## Region 6 For February 2010 to February 2011

Month	Average Number of Placements Per Child
February 2011	2.0
January 2011	2.0
December 2010	2.0
November 2010	2.0
October 2010	2.1
September 2010	2.2
August 2010	2.2
July 2010	2.2
June 2010	2.2
May 2010	2.2
April 2010	2.2
March 2010	2.3
February 2010	2.3

## Region 6 Average Number of Placements





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Sibling Placement Report

For February 2011

## Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the end of the historical month listed. For the purpose of this report, children with a non-custodial parent are considered to be in their own home.

**Count of Sibling Cases** – This is the number of open cases as of the end of the report month which have more than one child in out of home placement at the end of the report month.

**Cases With All Children Placed Together** – This is the number of cases with more than one child in out of home placement where all of the children are placed in the same resource with the same resource ID.

**Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases**– This is the percentage of the number of cases where all of the children in out of home placement are placed together divided by the number of open cases with more than one child in out of home placement as of the end of the report month.

**Average Number of Children per Case** – This number takes the number of children in out of home placement from cases with more than one child in out of home placement and divides it by the number of cases with more than one child in out of home placement as of the end of the report month.




# DCS Practice Indicator Report Sibling Placement Report

February 2011

## February 2011

Location	Count of Sibling Cases	Cases With All Children Placed Together	Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases	Average Number of Children per Case
Statewide	2433	1743	71.6%	2.70
Region 6	77	60	77.9%	2.75
Cass	14	12	85.7%	3.07
Fulton	11	10	90.9%	2.91
Howard	16	11	68.8%	2.63
Miami	18	10	55.6%	2.61
Wabash	18	17	94.4%	2.67


## Statewide Percent Together



## Region 6 For February 2010 to February 2011

Month	Count of Sibling Cases	Cases With All Children Placed Together	Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases	Average Number of Children per Case
February 2011	77	60	77.9%	2.75
January 2011	77	61	79.2%	2.71
December 2010	74	61	82.4%	2.69
November 2010	85	71	83.5%	2.62
October 2010	78	68	87.2%	2.68
September 2010	79	69	87.3%	2.71
August 2010	81	68	84.0%	2.69
July 2010	77	63	81.8%	2.57
June 2010	72	63	87.5%	2.51
May 2010	71	56	78.9%	2.46
April 2010	70	55	78.6%	2.43
March 2010	74	59	79.7%	2.45
February 2010	68	53	77.9%	2.43

## Region 6 Percent Together





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Length of Stay in Out of Home Placement

### Children in Out of Home Placement by Length of Time Since Latest Removal

#### For February 2011

#### Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the end of the historical month listed. This report looks at CHINS children that were in out of home placement at the end of the month. It measures the number of days since the child was last removed from its home.

**One Year - 0 to 365 Days**— This is column shows data for CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 0 and 365 days since the child's removal.

**Count-** This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 0 and 365 days since the child's last removal.

**Percent-** This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 0 and 365 days since the child's last removal divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**One Year - 366 to 730 Days**— This is column shows data for CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 366 and 730 days since the c last removal.

**Count-** This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 366 and 730 days since the child's last removal.

**Percent-** This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 366 and 730 days since the child's last removal divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**One Year - 731 to 1095 Days**— This is column shows data for CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 731 to 1095 days since the child's last removal.

**Count-** This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 731 to 1095 days since the child's last removal.

**Percent-** This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 731 to 1095 days since the child's last removal divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**One Year - 1096 Days+**— This is column shows data for CHINS children that have been in out of home placement 1096 days or more since the child's last removal.

**Count-** This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement 1096 days or more since the child's last removal.

**Percent-** This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement 1096 days or more since the child's last removal divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**Length Per Child** – This column shows data for length of time of CHINS children who were in out of home placement at the end of the report month have been in out of home placement since the child's last removal from their home.

**Average-** This column calculates the average or "mean" length of time in out of home placement since the last removal per child in out of home placement at the end of the report month.

**Median-** This column calculates the median length of time in out of home placement since the last removal per child in out of home placement at the end of the report month.



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Length of Stay in Out of Home Placement

### Children in Out of Home Placement by Length of Time Since Latest Removal For February 2011

#### February 2011

Location	One Year 0 to 365 Days		Two Years 366 to 730 Days		Three Years 731 to 1095 Days		Three + Years 1096 Days+		Length Per Child	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Average	Median
Statewide	4,635	46.7%	2,469	24.9%	1,234	12.4%	1,583	16.0%	635.4	402.3
Region 6	198	60.0%	65	19.7%	34	10.3%	33	10.0%	504.3	274.5
Cass	22	40.7%	21	38.9%	4	7.4%	7	13.0%	486.4	444.0
Fulton	26	63.4%	10	24.4%	1	2.4%	4	9.8%	481.1	241.6
Howard	43	51.8%	13	15.7%	15	18.1%	12	14.5%	638.1	356.6
Miami	39	53.4%	14	19.2%	14	19.2%	6	8.2%	540.5	340.3
Wabash	68	86.1%	7	8.9%	0	0.0%	4	5.1%	354.4	195.3


#### Statewide Median Length



#### Region 6 For February 2010 to February 2011

Month	One Year 0 to 365 Days		Two Years 366 to 730 Days		Three Years 731 to 1095 Days		Three + Years 1096 Days+		Length Per Child	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Average	Median
February 2011	198	60.0%	65	19.7%	34	10.3%	33	10.0%	504.3	274.5
January 2011	201	60.9%	67	20.3%	31	9.4%	31	9.4%	486.9	259.0
December 2010	188	59.3%	66	20.8%	31	9.8%	32	10.1%	498.6	286.4
November 2010	202	59.2%	67	19.6%	35	10.3%	37	10.9%	493.9	259.5
October 2010	187	57.9%	64	19.8%	33	10.2%	39	12.1%	520.6	261.3
September 2010	195	60.7%	54	16.8%	34	10.6%	38	11.8%	512.3	268.4
August 2010	201	62.6%	52	16.2%	29	9.0%	39	12.1%	498.2	262.5
July 2010	177	59.2%	56	18.7%	32	10.7%	34	11.4%	527.6	288.5
June 2010	157	55.9%	63	22.4%	29	10.3%	32	11.4%	545.9	285.4
May 2010	149	54.4%	65	23.7%	24	8.8%	36	13.1%	566.6	313.5
April 2010	149	54.4%	69	25.2%	21	7.7%	35	12.8%	558.0	304.5
March 2010	150	52.8%	73	25.7%	25	8.8%	36	12.7%	562.8	315.0
February 2010	129	48.9%	70	26.5%	25	9.5%	40	15.2%	627.2	382.0

#### Region 6 Median Length





# DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS and IA Permanency Report

For February 2011

## Report Description

This report uses CHINS and IA case types that have case type status end date occurring during the month. It then groups these cases using the closure reasons codes into categories of closure reasons. Case with closure reason code “Case opened in error – disregard” are filtered out for the purpose of this report. This report uses the reason codes selected by the worker at the date of case closure. **Adoption closure reasons will not match the number of actual finalized adoptions reported from the hearing information fields in ICWIS/MaGik.**

Closure Reasons are group by:

Description	Category	Description	Category
Child Reunited with Parents	Reunification	Case closed; AG case created	Guardianship
Child never Removed from own home, wardship terminated	Reunification	Child placed with relatives	Relative
Extended wardship terminated (on court order for age 18 to 21)	Emancipation	Child Placed with Legal guardian	Guardianship
Child Returned to Primary Home	Reunification	Child Reached Age of Majority (age 18)	Emancipation
Period of IA ended code used for Informal Adjustment cases only	Reunification	Emancipated Court Ordered (age 18)	Emancipation
Child Adoption Finalized	Adoption	Child Already Reunited with parent (s) when wardship terminated	Reunification

The Permanency column “Total” refers to the total case with reason codes in the Reunification, Adoption, Relative, Guardianship, and Emancipation categories.

The Permanency column “Total within 24 months” refers to the total case that ended within 24 month of the case status type begin date in the Reunification, Adoption, Relative, Guardianship, and Emancipation categories.




# DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS and IA Permanency Report

For February 2011

## February 2011

Location	Closed Cases Types with Permanency Outcomes					Permanency		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation	Total	Within 24 Months	24 Month Rate
Statewide	583	73	21	51	24	752	665	88.4
Region 6	9	0	0	0	1	10	9	90.0
Cass	3	0	0	0	0	3	3	100.0
Fulton	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	NA
Howard	6	0	0	0	1	7	6	85.7
Miami	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	NA
Wabash	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	NA


## Statewide Permanency within 24 Months



## Region 6 For February 2010 to February 2011

Location	Closed Cases Types with Permanency Outcomes					Permanency		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation	Total	Within 24 Months	24 Month Rate
Feb 2011	9	0	0	0	1	10	9	90.0
Jan 2011	10	0	0	0	2	12	10	83.3
Dec 2010	17	7	0	3	0	27	18	66.7
Nov 2010	25	0	0	0	1	26	24	92.3
Oct 2010	11	4	0	2	0	17	13	76.5
Sep 2010	18	1	0	0	0	19	19	100.0
Aug 2010	10	0	0	3	1	14	12	85.7
Jul 2010	9	1	0	1	0	11	9	81.8
Jun 2010	14	2	0	3	2	21	18	85.7
May 2010	15	1	0	0	0	16	12	75.0
Apr 2010	12	2	0	1	1	16	13	81.3
Mar 2010	23	3	0	0	2	28	23	82.1
Feb 2010	19	0	0	2	0	21	21	100.0

## Region 6 Permanency within 24 Months





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Family Case Manager Contacts Report

For February 2011

## Report Description

This report uses data collected over at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the historical month listed. For the purpose of this report, a person is considered family for the child if they have the following relationships:

- Father
- Mother
- Stepfather
- Stepmother
- Grandfather
- Grandmother
- Pre-adoptive father
- Pre-adoptive mother
- Adoptive grandparent
- Legal Guardian

**Children Out of Home Entire Month** – This column shows the number of children in out of home placement at the end of the report month who also have a removal date prior to the first of the report month.

**Contact Information** – This section of the reports shows information regarding FCM contacts (from the contact screens) during the report month.

**FCM with Family** – This section of the report shows FCM contacts with the child's family (as described above) during the report month.

**Children** – This is the total number of children that the FCM had at least one contact with a member of the child's family during the report month.

**Rate** – This is the total number of children that FCM had at least one contact with a member of the child's family divided by the total number of children in out of home placement for the entire month (as described above).

**FCM with Child** – This section of the report shows FCM contacts with the child during the report month.

**Children** – This is the total number of children that the FCM had at least one contact with a the child during the report month.

**Rate** – This is the total number of children that FCM had at least one contact with the child divided by the total number of children in out of home placement for the entire month (as described above).

**FCM with Family and Child** – This section of the report shows FCM contacts with the child's family (as described above) **AND** the child during the report month. Contact with both had to have occurred for the child to be included in this section. The family and child do not need to present at the same contact, contact with each could have been made in two separate contacts.

**Children** – This is the total number of children that the FCM had at least one contact with a member of the child's family **and** at least one contact with the child during the report month.

**Rate** – This is the total number of children that FCM had at least one contact with a member of the child's family **and** at least one contact with the child during the report month divided by the total number of children in out of home placement for the entire month (as described above).



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Family Case Manager Contacts Report

### For February 2011

#### February 2011

Location	Children out of home entire month	Contact Information					
		FCM with Family		FCM with Child		FCM with Family and Child	
		Children	Rate	Children	Rate	Children	Rate
Statewide	9,638	2,728	28.3%	9,378	97.3%	2,684	27.8%
Region 6	323	114	35.3%	303	93.8%	110	34.1%
Cass	48	28	58.3%	45	93.8%	28	58.3%
Fulton	40	17	42.5%	37	92.5%	16	40.0%
Howard	83	34	41.0%	78	94.0%	33	39.8%
Miami	75	14	18.7%	69	92.0%	12	16.0%
Wabash	77	21	27.3%	74	96.1%	21	27.3%


#### Statewide Child Contact Rate



#### Region 6 For February 2010 to February 2011

Month	Children out of home entire month	Contact Information					
		FCM with Family		FCM with Child		FCM with Family and Child	
		Children	Rate	Children	Rate	Children	Rate
Feb 2011	323	114	35.3%	303	93.8%	110	34.1%
Jan 2011	304	111	36.5%	290	95.4%	110	36.2%
Dec 2010	313	105	33.5%	300	95.8%	103	32.9%
Nov 2010	319	126	39.5%	289	90.6%	121	37.9%
Oct 2010	303	104	34.3%	273	90.1%	101	33.3%
Sep 2010	313	124	39.6%	287	91.7%	123	39.3%
Aug 2010	304	118	38.8%	286	94.1%	117	38.5%
Jul 2010	273	93	34.1%	257	94.1%	90	33.0%
Jun 2010	264	81	30.7%	253	95.8%	81	30.7%
May 2010	262	93	35.5%	245	93.5%	92	35.1%
Apr 2010	272	130	47.8%	265	97.4%	130	47.8%
Mar 2010	255	101	39.6%	241	94.5%	99	38.8%
Feb 2010	255	88	34.5%	243	95.3%	88	34.5%

#### Region 6 Child Contact Rate





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Child Visitation Report

For February 2011

## Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the historical month listed. For the purpose of this report, a person is considered family for the child if they have the following relationships:

- Father
- Mother
- Stepfather
- Stepmother
- Grandfather
- Grandmother
- Pre-adoptive father
- Pre-adoptive mother
- Adoptive grandparent
- Legal Guardian

**Children out of home with case plan goal of reunification** – This column shows the number of children in out of home placement at the end of the report month who also have reunification as the most recently entered primary case plan goal.

**Children with visits to their family during the month** – This section of the report shows data regarding children who have had a visit with the child's family (as described above) begin during the report month.

**Children** – This is the total number of children that the child had at least one visit with a member of the child's family during the report month.  
**Rate** – This is the total number of children that the child had at least one visit with a member of the child's family divided by the total number of children in out of home placement at the end of the report month with case plan goal of reunification(as described above).



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Child Visitation Report

### For February 2011

#### February 2011

Location	Children out of home with case plan goal of reunification	Children with visits to their family during the month	
		Children	Rate
Statewide	5,238	1,313	25.1%
Region 6	197	65	33.0%
Cass	33	26	78.8%
Fulton	27	14	51.9%
Howard	44	15	34.1%
Miami	30	0	0.0%
Wabash	63	10	15.9%


#### Statewide Visitation Rate



#### Region 6 For February 2010 to February 2011

Month	Children out of home with case plan goal of reunification	Children with visits to their family during the month	
		Children	Rate
Feb 2011	197	65	33.0%
Jan 2011	175	49	28.0%
Dec 2010	182	67	36.8%
Nov 2010	180	52	28.9%
Oct 2010	172	59	34.3%
Sep 2010	176	51	29.0%
Aug 2010	162	43	26.5%
Jul 2010	159	52	32.7%
Jun 2010	157	64	40.8%
May 2010	145	51	35.2%
Apr 2010	145	51	35.2%
Mar 2010	145	52	35.9%
Feb 2010	137	46	33.6%

#### Region 6 Visitation Rate





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Absence of Repeat Maltreatment

For February 2011

## Report Description

This is a description of the key elements used in this report.

**Time Period** – The time period used in this report is the 12 months prior to the month of the report.

**Assessments during time period** – The assessment used in this report are assessment with BOTH report date AND approval date during the time period (12 months prior to the month of the report).

**Victim Selection** – The children used in this report were identified as having at least one substantiated allegation of abuse or neglect on an assessment during the time period.

**First Occurrence** – This looks at the victims of substantiated abuse or neglect during the first 6 months of the time period (6 to 12 months prior to the month of the report). If a child was a victim in multiple assessments with substantiated abuse or neglect, the assessment with the earliest report date is considered the first occurrence.

**Recurrence Time Period** – The time period used to see if a child is a substantiated victim in a recurring assessment of abuse or neglect is based off the report date of the first occurrence. The recurrence period begins one day after the first occurrence report date and ends 183 after the first occurrence report date ( $\geq 1^{\text{st}}$  Occurrence +1 and  $\leq 1^{\text{st}}$  Occurrence +183). If a child has multiple recurrences, the earliest report date in the recurring time period is considered the recurring assessment.

Here is a visual representation of an example. This is a report for December of a given year. The time period to review assessments would run from Jan 1<sup>st</sup> to Dec 31<sup>st</sup>. The time period for a first occurrence would be Jan 1<sup>st</sup> to Jun 30<sup>th</sup>. If a child had a first occurrence on Feb 7<sup>th</sup>, the recurrence period would run from Feb 8<sup>th</sup> to Aug 9<sup>th</sup>. If the child had a recurrence in Aug 5<sup>th</sup>, that would be considered repeat maltreatment. If the child had a recurrence Oct 10<sup>th</sup>, that would not be considered repeat maltreatment because it fell outside the recurrence period.

Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
Time Period (Jan 1 <sup>st</sup> to Dec 31 <sup>st</sup> )											
1 <sup>st</sup> Occurrence Time Period (Jan 1 <sup>st</sup> to Jun 30 <sup>th</sup> )											
						Recurrence Period (Feb 8 <sup>th</sup> to Aug 9 <sup>th</sup> )					

**Percent of absence of repeat maltreatment** – This calculations uses the terms defined above. The numerator is the number of children with a first occurrence minus the number of children with a recurrence. The denominator is the number of children with first occurrence.

$$\frac{(1^{\text{st}} \text{ Occurrence} - \text{Recurrence})}{1^{\text{st}} \text{ Occurrence}}$$

For the purposes of this report, the county the child is assigned to is based off the county of first occurrence. If a child has a recurrence in a different county, the repeat will show for the county of the first occurrence.



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Absence of Repeat Maltreatment

### For February 2011

#### February 2011

County	Victims from Mar 2010 to Sep 2010	Victims without a recurrence within 6 months	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent	National Standard
Statewide	12,002	10,748	89.55%	94.60%
Region 6	511	441	86.30%	94.60%
Cass	85	75	88.24%	94.60%
Fulton	53	47	88.68%	94.60%
Howard	170	147	86.47%	94.60%
Miami	123	103	83.74%	94.60%
Wabash	80	69	86.25%	94.60%


#### Statewide Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



#### Region 6 For February 2010 to February 2011

County	Victims from Mar 2010 to Sep 2010	Victims without a recurrence within 6 months	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent	National Standard
February 2011	511	441	86.30%	94.60%
January 2011	503	436	86.68%	94.60%
December 2010	481	421	87.53%	94.60%
November 2010	492	444	90.24%	94.60%
October 2010	490	433	88.37%	94.60%
September 2010	484	442	91.32%	94.60%
August 2010	474	425	89.66%	94.60%
July 2010	493	435	88.24%	94.60%
June 2010	499	440	88.18%	94.60%
May 2010	521	462	88.68%	94.60%
April 2010	524	478	91.22%	94.60%
March 2010	513	464	90.45%	94.60%
February 2010	503	461	91.65%	94.60%

#### Region 6 Absence of Repeat Maltreatment





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Absence of Maltreatment in Foster Care

For February 2011

### Report Description

This is a description of the key elements used in this report.

**Time Period** – The time period used in this report is the 12 months prior to the month of the report.

**Assessments during the time period** – The assessment used in this report are assessment with BOTH report date AND approval date during the time period (12 months prior to the month of the report).

**Victim of maltreatment in Foster Care** – The children used in this report were identified as having at least one substantiated allegation of abuse or neglect on an assessment during the time period where the perpetrator is a foster parent or institutional staff.

**Children in Foster Care during the time period** – All CHINS children with an open removal episode at any time during the 12 months prior to the month of the report. Also included are Probation children (who are IV-E eligible) with an open removal episode at any time during the 12 months prior to the month of the report.

**Percent of absence of maltreatment** – This calculations uses the terms defined above. The numerator is the number of children in foster care minus the number of victims of maltreatment in foster care. The denominator is the number of children in foster care.

$$\frac{(\text{Children in Foster Care} - \text{Victims of maltreatment in Foster Care})}{\text{Children in Foster Care}}$$



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Absence of Maltreatment in Foster Care

### For February 2011

#### For February 2011

Location	Children in Foster Care from Mar 2010 to Feb 2011	Substantiated Victims in Foster Care	Absence of Maltreatment Percent	National Standard
Statewide	21,280	65	99.69%	99.68%
Region 6	641	2	99.69%	99.68%
Cass	80	0	100.00%	99.68%
Fulton	101	0	100.00%	99.68%
Howard	157	2	98.73%	99.68%
Miami	150	0	100.00%	99.68%
Wabash	153	0	100.00%	99.68%

#### Statewide Trend of Absence of Maltreatment in Foster Care



#### Region 6 for February 2010 to February 2011

Month	Children in Foster Care from Mar 2010 to Feb 2011	Substantiated Victims in Foster Care	Absence of Maltreatment Percent	National Standard
February 2011	641	2	99.69%	99.68%
January 2011	650	2	99.69%	99.68%
December 2010	625	1	99.84%	99.68%
November 2010	633	1	99.84%	99.68%
October 2010	616	1	99.84%	99.68%
September 2010	591	1	99.83%	99.68%
August 2010	597	1	99.83%	99.68%
July 2010	575	1	99.83%	99.68%
June 2010	569	1	99.82%	99.68%
May 2010	580	0	100.00%	99.68%
April 2010	571	1	99.82%	99.68%
March 2010	565	2	99.65%	99.68%
February 2010	540	3	99.44%	99.68%

#### Region 6 Trend of Absence of Maltreatment in Foster Care

