



*Protecting our children,
families and future*

Department of Child Services

Practice Indicator Reports

For June 2011



Region 02

June 2011

Data in the report (both historical and current) may be subject to change as it is continually being validated and updated. This may result in statistical data in previous reports to be inconsistent with statistics found in more recent reports even when the data pertain to the same time period.



Published
July 2011



DCS Practice Indicator Report Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

For June 2011

Report Description

This report uses data collected over a rolling three month period ending with the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use a rolling three month period ending with the historical month listed.

Reports Taken – This section has data regarding the reports taken during the three month period ending with the report month.

Count – This is the total number of reports of abuse or neglect that are entered into ICWIS during the period ending with the report month.

Percent Resulting in Screen Outs – This is the percentage of reports that are screened out divided by the total reports taken during the period ending with the report month.

Assessments Substantiated – This section has data regarding the number of assessments with substantiated findings of abuse and/or neglect during the three month period ending with the report month.

Count – This is the total number of assessments with substantiated findings of abuse and/or neglect during the period ending with the report month.

Percent Resulting in Removal – This is the percentage of substantiated assessments during the period that resulted in removal of the child from the home by the end of the report month divided by the total number of substantiated assessments during the period ending with the report month.



DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

For June 2011

June 2011

Location	Reports Taken For Apr 2011 to Jun 2011		Assessments Substantiated For Apr 2011 to Jun 2011	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	40,304	36.1%	6,007	28.9%
Region 2	1,565	34.82%	179	27.37%
Jasper	136	33.8%	10	0.0%
Laporte	545	36.3%	58	36.2%
Newton	102	34.3%	15	26.7%
Porter	584	33.0%	71	23.9%
Pulaski	56	42.9%	9	44.4%
Starke	142	34.5%	16	18.8%


Statewide Percent Removal Trend



Region 2 For June 2010 to June 2011

Month	Reports Taken For Apr 2011 to Jun 2011		Assessments Substantiated For Apr 2011 to Jun 2011	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Jun 2011	1,565	34.8%	179	27.4%
May 2011	1,565	36.7%	152	28.3%
Apr 2011	1,494	37.9%	150	31.3%
Mar 2011	1,550	38.6%	116	36.2%
Feb 2011	1,413	36.0%	137	41.6%
Jan 2011	1,435	34.9%	158	38.0%
Dec 2010	1,420	33.1%	160	32.5%
Nov 2010	1,539	34.8%	174	29.3%
Oct 2010	1,504	35.0%	203	31.0%
Sep 2010	1,340	33.7%	217	35.9%
Aug 2010	1,226	32.1%	206	37.4%
Jul 2010	1,141	32.3%	176	33.5%
Jun 2010	1,181	38.7%	185	24.3%

Region 2 Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS Placements by County

For June 2011

Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the end of the historical month listed. This report looks at CHINS children from CHINS cases that were open on the last day of the report month. It also collects where that child is located (in their own home or in substitute care). For the purpose of this report, a child with a non-custodial parent is considered "in home".

Total CHINS Count – This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the report month.

CHINS – This section has data regarding all children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month.

In Home – This section has data regarding children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home.

Count- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home.

Percent- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home divided by the total number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month.

Foster Care – This section has data regarding children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in out of home placement.

Count- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in out of home placement.

Percent- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in out of home placement divided by the total number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month.

Out of Home Placements – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in out of home placement.

Relative Homes – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed with relatives.

Count- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed with relatives.

Percent- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed with relatives divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

Foster Homes – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in foster homes.

Count- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in foster homes.

Percent- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in foster homes divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

Residential – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in residential setting.

Count- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in residential setting.

Percent- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in residential setting divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

Other – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in other placement settings. Example would be a court ordered unlicensed placement.

Count- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in other placement settings.

Percent- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in other placement settings divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.




DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS Placements by County

For June 2011

June 2011

Location	Total CHINS Count	CHINS				Out of Home Placements							
		In Home		Foster Care		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential		Other	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	13694	4105	30.0%	9,589	70.0%	3514	36.6%	5050	52.7%	844	8.8%	181	1.9%
Region 2	350	100	28.6%	250	71.4%	109	43.6%	109	43.6%	29	11.6%	3	1.2%
Jasper	19	9	47.4%	10	52.6%	2	20.0%	4	40.0%	3	30.0%	1	10.0%
LaPorte	135	35	25.9%	100	74.1%	45	45.0%	41	41.0%	13	13.0%	1	1.0%
Newton	34	21	61.8%	13	38.2%	7	53.8%	4	30.8%	2	15.4%	0	0.0%
Porter	111	21	18.9%	90	81.1%	34	37.8%	48	53.3%	8	8.9%	0	0.0%
Pulaski	25	9	36.0%	16	64.0%	7	43.8%	8	50.0%	0	0.0%	1	6.3%
Starke	26	5	19.2%	21	80.8%	14	66.7%	4	19.0%	3	14.3%	0	0.0%


Statewide Foster Care Placement Breakdown



Region 2 For June 2010 to June 2011

Month	Total CHINS Count	CHINS				Out of Home Placements							
		In Home		Foster Care		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential		Other	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Jun 2011	350	100	28.6%	250	71.4%	109	43.6%	109	43.6%	29	11.6%	3	1.2%
May 2011	332	83	25.0%	249	75.0%	103	41.4%	110	44.2%	32	12.9%	4	1.6%
Apr 2011	338	84	24.9%	254	75.1%	105	41.3%	113	44.5%	34	13.4%	2	0.8%
Mar 2011	323	66	20.4%	257	79.6%	93	36.2%	128	49.8%	33	12.8%	3	1.2%
Feb 2011	344	81	23.5%	263	76.5%	101	38.4%	124	47.1%	36	13.7%	2	0.8%
Jan 2011	350	81	23.1%	269	76.9%	104	38.7%	124	46.1%	37	13.8%	4	1.5%
Dec 2010	347	78	22.5%	269	77.5%	111	41.3%	116	43.1%	39	14.5%	3	1.1%
Nov 2010	347	73	21.0%	274	79.0%	98	35.8%	132	48.2%	41	15.0%	3	1.1%
Oct 2010	351	77	21.9%	274	78.1%	95	34.7%	135	49.3%	42	15.3%	2	0.7%
Sep 2010	364	85	23.4%	279	76.6%	103	36.9%	128	45.9%	45	16.1%	3	1.1%
Aug 2010	381	104	27.3%	277	72.7%	102	36.8%	129	46.6%	44	15.9%	2	0.7%
Jul 2010	396	108	27.3%	288	72.7%	107	37.2%	135	46.9%	43	14.9%	3	1.0%
Jun 2010	386	94	24.4%	292	75.6%	107	36.6%	134	45.9%	49	16.8%	2	0.7%

Region 2 Foster Care Placement Breakdown





DCS Practice Indicator Report

Safely Home Families First by County

June 2011

Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the end of the historical month listed. This report looks at CHINS children from CHINS cases that were open on the last day of the report month. It also collects where that child is located (in their own home or in substitute care). For the purpose of this report, children with non-custodial parents are considered to be in their own home.

IA Count – This is the number of children that have an open Informal Adjustment case on the last day of the report month.

Total CHINS Count – This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the report month.

IA, In Home or Relative Care – This section has data regarding children that have an open IA case or CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home or in relative care.

Count- This is the number of children that have an open IA or CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home or in relative care.

Percent- This is the number of children that have an open IA or CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home or in relative care divided by the total number of children that have an open IA or CHINS case on the last day of the month.

Target (At or Above) % – The target for the percent of the number of children that have an open IA or CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home or in relative care to the total number of children that have an open IA or CHINS case on the last day of the month is 50% or higher.

Non Relative Foster Care – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are not in their own home or in relative care.

Count- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are not in their own home or in relative care.

Percent- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are not in their own home or in relative care divided by the total number of children that have an open IA or CHINS case on the last day of the month.

Target (At or below) % – The target for the percent of the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are not in their own home or in relative care to the total number of children that have an open IA or CHINS case on the last day of the month is 50% or higher.



DCS Practice Indicator Report


Safely Home Families First by County

For June 2011

June 2011

Location	IA	Total CHINS	IA, In Home CHINS, or Relative Care		Target (At or Above) %	Non-Relative Foster Care		Target (At or Below) %
	Count	Count	Count	%		Count	%	
Statewide	1821	13694	9440	60.8%	50.0%	6,075	39.2%	50.0%
Region 2	21	350	230	62.0%	50.0%	141	38.0%	50.0%
Jasper	0	19	11	57.9%	50.0%	8	42.1%	50.0%
LaPorte	10	135	90	62.1%	50.0%	55	37.9%	50.0%
Newton	5	34	33	84.6%	50.0%	6	15.4%	50.0%
Porter	0	111	55	49.5%	50.0%	56	50.5%	50.0%
Pulaski	0	25	16	64.0%	50.0%	9	36.0%	50.0%
Starke	6	26	25	78.1%	50.0%	7	21.9%	50.0%


Statewide Foster Care Placement Breakdown



Region 2 For June 2010 to June 2011

Location	IA	Total CHINS	IA, In Home CHINS, or Relative Care		Target (At or Above) %	Non-Relative Foster Care		Target (At or Below) %
	Count	Count	Count	%		Count	%	
June 2011	21	350	230	62.0%	50.0%	141	38.0%	50.0%
May 2011	22	332	208	58.8%	50.0%	146	41.2%	50.0%
April 2011	22	338	211	58.6%	50.0%	149	41.4%	50.0%
March 2011	20	323	179	52.2%	50.0%	164	47.8%	50.0%
February 2011	17	344	199	55.1%	50.0%	162	44.9%	50.0%
January 2011	27	350	212	56.2%	50.0%	165	43.8%	50.0%
December 2010	28	347	217	57.9%	50.0%	158	42.1%	50.0%
November 2010	29	347	200	53.2%	50.0%	176	46.8%	50.0%
October 2010	33	351	205	53.4%	50.0%	179	46.6%	50.0%
September 2010	29	364	217	55.2%	50.0%	176	44.8%	50.0%
August 2010	28	381	234	57.2%	50.0%	175	42.8%	50.0%
July 2010	28	396	243	57.3%	50.0%	181	42.7%	50.0%
June 2010	29	386	230	55.4%	50.0%	185	44.6%	50.0%

Region 2 Foster Care Placement Breakdown





DCS Practice Indicator Report Locally Placed CHINS

For June 2011

Report Description

This report uses data collected over at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the historical month listed. For the purpose of this report, children with their non-custodial parents are considered to be in their own home.

Total Out of Home Placements – This is the total number of CHINS children that are in out of home placement on the last day of the report month.

Placed in Same County – This is the total number of CHINS children that are placed in the same county as the county of their case.

Percent Locally Placed – This is the percentage of CHINS children that are placed in the same county as the county of their case divided by the total number of CHINS children which are in out of home placement on the last day of the report month.




DCS Practice Indicator Report Locally Placed CHINS

For June 2011

June 2011

Location	Total Out of Home Placements	Placed in Same County	Percent Locally Placed
Statewide	9,589	6,833	71.3%
Region 2	250	169	67.6%
Jasper	10	3	30.0%
Laporte	100	82	82.0%
Newton	13	8	61.5%
Porter	90	60	66.7%
Pulaski	16	3	18.8%
Starke	21	13	61.9%


Statewide Percent Placed Locally



Region 2 For June 2010 to June 2011

Month	Total Out of Home Placements	Placed in Same County	Percent Locally Placed
Jun 2011	250	169	67.6%
May 2011	249	167	67.1%
Apr 2011	254	174	68.5%
Mar 2011	257	164	63.8%
Feb 2011	263	166	63.1%
Jan 2011	269	166	61.7%
Dec 2010	269	168	62.5%
Nov 2010	274	170	62.0%
Oct 2010	274	169	61.7%
Sep 2010	279	179	64.2%
Aug 2010	277	181	65.3%
Jul 2010	288	195	67.7%
Jun 2010	292	198	67.8%

Region 2 Percent Placed Locally





DCS Practice Indicator Report Average Number of Placements

For June 2011

Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the historical month listed.

Average Number of Placements Per Child – This is the total number of out of home placements for each CHINS child in out of home placement on the last day of the report month. This includes all placements during the current removal episode. If a child had at least one placement end in the first 8 days from removal, then that child's number of placements was reduced by one.

This number is divided by the total number CHINS children who are in out of home placement on the last day of the report month.




DCS Practice Indicator Report Average Number of Placements

For June 2010 to June 2011

June 2011

Location	Average Number of Placements Per Child
Statewide	2.5
Region 2	2.6
Jasper	2.3
Laporte	2.2
Newton	1.5
Porter	3.4
Pulaski	1.8
Starke	2.4


Statewide Average Number of Placements



Region 2 For June 2010 to June 2011

Month	Average Number of Placements Per Child
June 2011	2.6
May 2011	2.7
April 2011	2.6
March 2011	2.8
February 2011	2.7
January 2011	2.7
December 2010	2.8
November 2010	2.8
October 2010	2.8
September 2010	2.8
August 2010	2.8
July 2010	2.8
June 2010	2.9

Region 2 Average Number of Placements





DCS Practice Indicator Report Sibling Placement Report

For June 2011

Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the end of the historical month listed. For the purpose of this report, children with a non-custodial parent are considered to be in their own home.

Count of Sibling Cases – This is the number of open cases as of the end of the report month which have more than one child in out of home placement at the end of the report month.

Cases With All Children Placed Together – This is the number of cases with more than one child in out of home placement where all of the children are placed in the same resource with the same resource ID.

Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases– This is the percentage of the number of cases where all of the children in out of home placement are placed together divided by the number of open cases with more than one child in out of home placement as of the end of the report month.

Average Number of Children per Case – This number takes the number of children in out of home placement from cases with more than one child in out of home placement and divides it by the number of cases with more than one child in out of home placement as of the end of the report month.




DCS Practice Indicator Report Sibling Placement Report

June 2011

June 2011

Location	Count of Sibling Cases	Cases With All Children Placed Together	Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases	Average Number of Children per Case
Statewide	2378	1728	72.7%	2.71
Region 2	60	47	78.3%	2.52
Jasper	1	1	100.0%	2.00
Laporte	26	20	76.9%	2.54
Newton	3	3	100.0%	2.33
Porter	22	18	81.8%	2.45
Pulaski	3	1	33.3%	3.33
Starke	5	4	80.0%	2.40


Statewide Percent Together



Region 2 For June 2010 to June 2011

Month	Count of Sibling Cases	Cases With All Children Placed Together	Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases	Average Number of Children per Case
June 2011	60	47	78.3%	2.52
May 2011	57	43	75.4%	2.61
April 2011	57	43	75.4%	2.65
March 2011	60	44	73.3%	2.60
February 2011	62	45	72.6%	2.56
January 2011	61	44	72.1%	2.56
December 2010	63	47	74.6%	2.57
November 2010	68	48	70.6%	2.51
October 2010	68	48	70.6%	2.56
September 2010	69	47	68.1%	2.57
August 2010	71	52	73.2%	2.51
July 2010	73	55	75.3%	2.48
June 2010	73	55	75.3%	2.51

Region 2 Percent Together





DCS Practice Indicator Report

Length of Stay in Out of Home Placement

Children in Out of Home Placement by Length of Time Since Latest Removal

For June 2011

Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the end of the historical month listed. This report looks at CHINS children that were in out of home placement at the end of the month. It measures the number of days since the child was last removed from its home.

One Year - 0 to 365 Days— This is column shows data for CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 0 and 365 days since the child's removal.

Count- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 0 and 365 days since the child's last removal.

Percent- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 0 and 365 days since the child's last removal divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

One Year - 366 to 730 Days— This is column shows data for CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 366 and 730 days since the c last removal.

Count- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 366 and 730 days since the child's last removal.

Percent- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 366 and 730 days since the child's last removal divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

One Year - 731 to 1095 Days— This is column shows data for CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 731 to 1095 days since the child's last removal.

Count- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 731 to 1095 days since the child's last removal.

Percent- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 731 to 1095 days since the child's last removal divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

One Year - 1096 Days+— This is column shows data for CHINS children that have been in out of home placement 1096 days or more since the child's last removal.

Count- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement 1096 days or more since the child's last removal.

Percent- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement 1096 days or more since the child's last removal divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

Length Per Child – This column shows data for length of time of CHINS children who were in out of home placement at the end of the report month have been in out of home placement since the child's last removal from their home.

Average- This column calculates the average or "mean" length of time in out of home placement since the last removal per child in out of home placement at the end of the report month.

Median- This column calculates the median length of time in out of home placement since the last removal per child in out of home placement at the end of the report month.



DCS Practice Indicator Report


Length of Stay in Out of Home Placement

Children in Out of Home Placement by Length of Time Since Latest Removal For June 2011

June 2011

Location	One Year 0 to 365 Days		Two Years 366 to 730 Days		Three Years 731 to 1095 Days		Three + Years 1096 Days+		Length Per Child	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Average	Median
Statewide	4,339	45.3%	2,397	25.0%	1,208	12.6%	1,644	17.1%	639.6	414.4
Region 2	119	47.6%	58	23.2%	39	15.6%	34	13.6%	636.0	383.0
Jasper	2	20.0%	3	30.0%	1	10.0%	4	40.0%	1,072.8	629.5
Laporte	44	44.0%	33	33.0%	17	17.0%	6	6.0%	562.7	401.0
Newton	9	69.2%	1	7.7%	3	23.1%	0	0.0%	404.8	302.6
Porter	45	50.0%	12	13.3%	15	16.7%	18	20.0%	699.2	363.0
Pulaski	9	56.3%	3	18.8%	3	18.8%	1	6.3%	433.3	341.0
Starke	10	47.6%	6	28.6%	0	0.0%	5	23.8%	803.3	368.6


Statewide Median Length



Region 2 For June 2010 to June 2011

Month	One Year 0 to 365 Days		Two Years 366 to 730 Days		Three Years 731 to 1095 Days		Three + Years 1096 Days+		Length Per Child	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Average	Median
June 2011	119	47.6%	58	23.2%	39	15.6%	34	13.6%	636.0	383.0
May 2011	122	49.0%	56	22.5%	33	13.3%	38	15.3%	655.6	369.5
April 2011	137	53.9%	48	18.9%	31	12.2%	38	15.0%	624.9	340.5
March 2011	129	50.2%	55	21.4%	28	10.9%	45	17.5%	662.5	352.6
February 2011	127	48.3%	60	22.8%	30	11.4%	46	17.5%	652.2	389.5
January 2011	133	49.4%	62	23.0%	27	10.0%	47	17.5%	631.8	369.4
December 2010	127	47.2%	65	24.2%	28	10.4%	49	18.2%	644.1	421.7
November 2010	123	44.9%	75	27.4%	24	8.8%	52	19.0%	647.0	438.5
October 2010	131	47.8%	70	25.5%	22	8.0%	51	18.6%	623.6	408.5
September 2010	136	48.7%	74	26.5%	18	6.5%	51	18.3%	593.8	377.7
August 2010	139	50.2%	67	24.2%	20	7.2%	51	18.4%	590.3	364.5
July 2010	151	52.4%	66	22.9%	18	6.3%	53	18.4%	576.7	351.0
June 2010	158	54.1%	60	20.5%	11	3.8%	63	21.6%	600.2	351.0

Region 2 Median Length





DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS and IA Permanency Report

For June 2011

Report Description

This report uses CHINS and IA case types that have case type status end date occurring during the month. It then groups these cases using the closure reasons codes into categories of closure reasons. Case with closure reason code "Case opened in error – disregard" are filtered out for the purpose of this report. This report uses the reason codes selected by the worker at the date of case closure. **Adoption closure reasons will not match the number of actual finalized adoptions reported from the hearing information fields in ICWIS/MaGik.**

Closure Reasons are group by:

Description	Category	Description	Category
Child Reunited with Parents	Reunification	Case closed; AG case created	Guardianship
Child never Removed from own home, wardship terminated	Reunification	Child placed with relatives	Relative
Extended wardship terminated (on court order for age 18 to 21)	Emancipation	Child Placed with Legal guardian	Guardianship
Child Returned to Primary Home	Reunification	Child Reached Age of Majority (age 18)	Emancipation
Period of IA ended code used for Informal Adjustment cases only	Reunification	Emancipated Court Ordered (age 18)	Emancipation
Child Adoption Finalized	Adoption	Child Already Reunited with parent (s) when wardship terminated	Reunification

The Permanency column "Total" refers to the total case with reason codes in the Reunification, Adoption, Relative, Guardianship, and Emancipation categories.

The Permanency column "Total within 24 months" refers to the total case that ended within 24 month of the case status type begin date in the Reunification, Adoption, Relative, Guardianship, and Emancipation categories.




DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS and IA Permanency Report

For June 2011

June 2011

Location	Closed Cases Types with Permanency Outcomes					Permanency		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation	Total	Within 24 Months	24 Month Rate
Statewide	563	76	12	64	45	760	647	85.1
Region 2	10	1	0	3	2	16	12	75.0
Jasper	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	NA
Laporte	5	1	0	3	1	10	8	80.0
Newton	4	0	0	0	0	4	3	75.0
Porter	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0.0
Pulaski	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	NA
Starke	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	100.0


Statewide Permanency within 24 Months



Region 2 For June 2010 to June 2011

Location	Closed Cases Types with Permanency Outcomes					Permanency		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation	Total	Within 24 Months	24 Month Rate
Jun 2011	10	1	0	3	2	16	12	75.0
May 2011	15	0	0	1	0	16	16	100.0
Apr 2011	12	9	0	1	1	23	11	47.8
Mar 2011	22	1	0	4	1	28	26	92.9
Feb 2011	19	2	0	3	0	24	22	91.7
Jan 2011	5	3	0	12	2	22	14	63.6
Dec 2010	17	3	0	1	3	24	19	79.2
Nov 2010	13	1	0	1	0	15	15	100.0
Oct 2010	15	0	0	4	1	20	19	95.0
Sep 2010	30	5	2	1	1	39	34	87.2
Aug 2010	14	8	0	3	3	28	16	57.1
Jul 2010	10	2	0	1	3	16	10	62.5
Jun 2010	24	7	0	4	3	38	33	86.8

Region 2 Permanency within 24 Months





DCS Practice Indicator Report Family Case Manager Contacts Report

For June 2011

Report Description

This report uses data collected over at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the historical month listed. For the purpose of this report, a person is considered family for the child if they have the following relationships:

- Father
- Mother
- Stepfather
- Stepmother
- Grandfather
- Grandmother
- Pre-adoptive father
- Pre-adoptive mother
- Adoptive grandparent
- Legal Guardian

Children Out of Home Entire Month – This column shows the number of children in out of home placement at the end of the report month who also have a removal date prior to the first of the report month.

Contact Information – This section of the reports shows information regarding FCM contacts (from the contact screens) during the report month.

FCM with Family – This section of the report shows FCM contacts with the child's family (as described above) during the report month.

Children – This is the total number of children that the FCM had at least one contact with a member of the child's family during the report month.

Rate – This is the total number of children that FCM had at least one contact with a member of the child's family divided by the total number of children in out of home placement for the entire month (as described above).

FCM with Child – This section of the report shows FCM contacts with the child during the report month.

Children – This is the total number of children that the FCM had at least one contact with a the child during the report month.

Rate – This is the total number of children that FCM had at least one contact with the child divided by the total number of children in out of home placement for the entire month (as described above).

FCM with Family and Child – This section of the report shows FCM contacts with the child's family (as described above) **AND** the child during the report month. Contact with both had to have occurred for the child to be included in this section. The family and child do not need to present at the same contact, contact with each could have been made in two separate contacts.

Children – This is the total number of children that the FCM had at least one contact with a member of the child's family **and** at least one contact with the child during the report month.

Rate – This is the total number of children that FCM had at least one contact with a member of the child's family **and** at least one contact with the child during the report month divided by the total number of children in out of home placement for the entire month (as described above).



DCS Practice Indicator Report


Family Case Manager Contacts Report

For June 2011

June 2011

Location	Children out of home entire month	Contact Information					
		FCM with Family		FCM with Child		FCM with Family and Child	
		Children	Rate	Children	Rate	Children	Rate
Statewide	9,191	3,019	32.8%	9,007	98.0%	2,980	32.4%
Region 2	235	89	37.9%	226	96.2%	85	36.2%
Jasper	10	5	50.0%	10	100.0%	5	50.0%
Laporte	95	32	33.7%	88	92.6%	28	29.5%
Newton	13	5	38.5%	13	100.0%	5	38.5%
Porter	82	30	36.6%	80	97.6%	30	36.6%
Pulaski	15	6	40.0%	15	100.0%	6	40.0%
Starke	20	11	55.0%	20	100.0%	11	55.0%


Statewide Child Contact Rate



Region 2 For June 2010 to June 2011

Month	Children out of home entire month	Contact Information					
		FCM with Family		FCM with Child		FCM with Family and Child	
		Children	Rate	Children	Rate	Children	Rate
Jun 2011	235	89	37.9%	226	96.2%	85	36.2%
May 2011	242	88	36.4%	235	97.1%	86	35.5%
Apr 2011	244	102	41.8%	235	96.3%	97	39.8%
Mar 2011	250	112	44.8%	250	100.0%	112	44.8%
Feb 2011	262	85	32.4%	261	99.6%	84	32.1%
Jan 2011	258	89	34.5%	248	96.1%	86	33.3%
Dec 2010	263	70	26.6%	258	98.1%	70	26.6%
Nov 2010	265	61	23.0%	255	96.2%	59	22.3%
Oct 2010	267	72	27.0%	258	96.6%	70	26.2%
Sep 2010	261	88	33.7%	252	96.6%	87	33.3%
Aug 2010	270	83	30.7%	265	98.1%	82	30.4%
Jul 2010	265	94	35.5%	261	98.5%	92	34.7%
Jun 2010	272	88	32.4%	267	98.2%	88	32.4%

Region 2 Child Contact Rate





DCS Practice Indicator Report Child Visitation Report

For June 2011

Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the historical month listed. For the purpose of this report, a person is considered family for the child if they have the following relationships:

- Father
- Mother
- Stepfather
- Stepmother
- Grandfather
- Grandmother
- Pre-adoptive father
- Pre-adoptive mother
- Adoptive grandparent
- Legal Guardian

Children out of home with case plan goal of reunification – This column shows the number of children in out of home placement at the end of the report month who also have reunification as the most recently entered primary case plan goal.

Children with visits to their family during the month – This section of the report shows data regarding children who have had a visit with the child's family (as described above) begin during the report month.

Children – This is the total number of children that the child had at least one visit with a member of the child's family during the report month.

Rate – This is the total number of children that the child had at least one visit with a member of the child's family divided by the total number of children in out of home placement at the end of the report month with case plan goal of reunification(as described above).



DCS Practice Indicator Report


Child Visitation Report

For June 2011

June 2011

Location	Children out of home with case plan goal of reunification	Children with visits to their family during the month	
		Children	Rate
Statewide	4,977	1,200	24.1%
Region 2	120	32	26.7%
Jasper	4	4	100.0%
Laporte	45	10	22.2%
Newton	9	8	88.9%
Porter	50	5	10.0%
Pulaski	4	1	25.0%
Starke	8	4	50.0%


Statewide Visitation Rate



Region 2 For June 2010 to June 2011

Month	Children out of home with case plan goal of reunification	Children with visits to their family during the month	
		Children	Rate
Jun 2011	120	32	26.7%
May 2011	133	24	18.0%
Apr 2011	130	37	28.5%
Mar 2011	142	44	31.0%
Feb 2011	136	42	30.9%
Jan 2011	133	43	32.3%
Dec 2010	123	15	12.2%
Nov 2010	133	45	33.8%
Oct 2010	146	40	27.4%
Sep 2010	146	41	28.1%
Aug 2010	146	37	25.3%
Jul 2010	149	45	30.2%
Jun 2010	148	45	30.4%

Region 2 Visitation Rate





DCS Practice Indicator Report Absence of Repeat Maltreatment

For June 2011

Report Description

This is a description of the key elements used in this report.

Time Period – The time period used in this report is the 12 months prior to the month of the report.

Assessments during time period – The assessment used in this report are assessment with BOTH report date AND approval date during the time period (12 months prior to the month of the report).

Victim Selection – The children used in this report were identified as having at least one substantiated allegation of abuse or neglect on an assessment during the time period.

First Occurrence – This looks at the victims of substantiated abuse or neglect during the first 6 months of the time period (6 to 12 months prior to the month of the report). If a child was a victim in multiple assessments with substantiated abuse or neglect, the assessment with the earliest report date is considered the first occurrence.

Recurrence Time Period – The time period used to see if a child is a substantiated victim in a recurring assessment of abuse or neglect is based off the report date of the first occurrence. The recurrence period begins one day after the first occurrence report date and ends 183 after the first occurrence report date ($\geq 1^{\text{st}}$ Occurrence +1 and $\leq 1^{\text{st}}$ Occurrence +183). If a child has multiple recurrences, the earliest report date in the recurring time period is considered the recurring assessment.

Here is a visual representation of an example. This is a report for December of a given year. The time period to review assessments would run from Jan 1st to Dec 31st. The time period for a first occurrence would be Jan 1st to Jun 30th. If a child had a first occurrence on Feb 7th, the recurrence period would run from Feb 8th to Aug 9th. If the child had a recurrence in Aug 5th, that would be considered repeat maltreatment. If the child had a recurrence Oct 10th, that would not be considered repeat maltreatment because it fell outside the recurrence period.

Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
Time Period (Jan 1 st to Dec 31 st)											
1 st Occurrence Time Period (Jan 1 st to Jun 30 th)											
						Recurrence Period (Feb 8 th to Aug 9 th)					

Percent of absence of repeat maltreatment – This calculations uses the terms defined above. The numerator is the number of children with a first occurrence minus the number of children with a recurrence. The denominator is the number of children with first occurrence.

$$\frac{(1^{\text{st}} \text{ Occurrence} - \text{Recurrence})}{1^{\text{st}} \text{ Occurrence}}$$

For the purposes of this report, the county the child is assigned to is based off the county of first occurrence. If a child has a recurrence in a different county, the repeat will show for the county of the first occurrence.



DCS Practice Indicator Report


Absence of Repeat Maltreatment

For June 2011

June 2011

County	Victims from Jul 2010 to Jan 2011	Victims without a recurrence within 6 months	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent	National Standard
Statewide	10,111	9,445	93.41%	94.60%
Region 2	313	299	95.53%	94.60%
Jasper	37	35	94.59%	94.60%
Laporte	61	60	98.36%	94.60%
Newton	27	24	88.89%	94.60%
Porter	125	121	96.80%	94.60%
Pulaski	20	18	90.00%	94.60%
Starke	43	41	95.35%	94.60%


Statewide Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



Region 2 For June 2010 to June 2011

County	Victims from Jul 2010 to Jan 2011	Victims without a recurrence within 6 months	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent	National Standard
June 2011	313	299	95.53%	94.60%
May 2011	332	313	94.28%	94.60%
April 2011	332	312	93.98%	94.60%
March 2011	341	322	94.43%	94.60%
February 2011	339	316	93.22%	94.60%
January 2011	338	309	91.42%	94.60%
December 2010	344	314	91.28%	94.60%
November 2010	351	332	94.59%	94.60%
October 2010	362	342	94.48%	94.60%
September 2010	364	345	94.78%	94.60%
August 2010	377	362	96.02%	94.60%
July 2010	404	391	96.78%	94.60%
June 2010	403	392	97.27%	94.60%

Region 2 Absence of Repeat Maltreatment





DCS Practice Indicator Report Absence of Maltreatment in Foster Care

For June 2011

Report Description

This is a description of the key elements used in this report.

Time Period – The time period used in this report is the 12 months prior to the month of the report.

Assessments during the time period – The assessment used in this report are assessment with BOTH report date AND approval date during the time period (12 months prior to the month of the report).

Victim of maltreatment in Foster Care – The children used in this report were identified as having at least one substantiated allegation of abuse or neglect on an assessment during the time period where the perpetrator is a foster parent or institutional staff.

Children in Foster Care during the time period – All CHINS children with an open removal episode at any time during the 12 months prior to the month of the report. Also included are Probation children (who are IV-E eligible) with an open removal episode at any time during the 12 months prior to the month of the report.

Percent of absence of maltreatment – This calculations uses the terms defined above. The numerator is the number of children in foster care minus the number of victims of maltreatment in foster care. The denominator is the number of children in foster care.

$$\frac{(\text{Children in Foster Care} - \text{Victims of maltreatment in Foster Care})}{\text{Children in Foster Care}}$$



DCS Practice Indicator Report


Absence of Maltreatment in Foster Care

For June 2011

For June 2011

Location	Children in Foster Care from Jul 2010 to Jun 2011	Substantiated Victims in Foster Care	Absence of Maltreatment Percent	National Standard
Statewide	20,859	55	99.74%	99.68%
Region 2	580	1	99.83%	99.68%
Jasper	50	0	100.00%	99.68%
Laporte	216	0	100.00%	99.68%
Newton	42	0	100.00%	99.68%
Porter	198	0	100.00%	99.68%
Pulaski	31	1	96.77%	99.68%
Starke	43	0	100.00%	99.68%

Statewide Trend of Absence of Maltreatment in Foster Care



Region 2 for June 2010 to June 2011

Month	Children in Foster Care from Jul 2010 to Jun 2011	Substantiated Victims in Foster Care	Absence of Maltreatment Percent	National Standard
June 2011	580	1	99.83%	99.68%
May 2011	573	1	99.83%	99.68%
April 2011	591	1	99.83%	99.68%
March 2011	599	1	99.83%	99.68%
February 2011	607	0	100.00%	99.68%
January 2011	624	0	100.00%	99.68%
December 2010	620	3	99.52%	99.68%
November 2010	624	3	99.52%	99.68%
October 2010	631	3	99.52%	99.68%
September 2010	638	3	99.53%	99.68%
August 2010	646	3	99.54%	99.68%
July 2010	660	3	99.55%	99.68%
June 2010	657	3	99.54%	99.68%

Region 2 Trend of Absence of Maltreatment in Foster Care

