



INDIANA  
DEPARTMENT OF  
CHILD  
SERVICES

*Protecting our children,  
families and future*

**Department of Child Services**  
**Practice Indicator Reports**  
**For March 2008**

**Region 08**  
**March 2008**



**Published**  
**May 2008**



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Trended Repeat Maltreatment

For March 2007 to March 2008


For March 2008

County	Children With Substantiated Allegations During Rolling Quarter		
	Count	Children With No Prior Maltreatment in the Prior 12 Months	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent
Statewide	5,303	4,607	86.88%
Region 8	133	119	89.47%
Clay	12	12	100.00%


Statewide Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



Region 8 Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



Clay County Absence of Repeat Maltreatment





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Trended Repeat Maltreatment

For March 2007 to March 2008


### For March 2008

County	Children With Substantiated Allegations During Rolling Quarter		
	Count	Children With No Prior Maltreatment in the Prior 12 Months	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent
Statewide	5,303	4,607	86.88%
Region 8	133	119	89.47%
Parke	4	4	100.00%


### Statewide Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



### Region 8 Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



### Parke County Absence of Repeat Maltreatment






# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Trended Repeat Maltreatment


For March 2007 to March 2008

For March 2008			
County	Children With Substantiated Allegations During Rolling Quarter		
	Count	Children With No Prior Maltreatment in the Prior 12 Months	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent
Statewide	5,303	4,607	86.88%
Region 8	133	119	89.47%
Sullivan	15	12	80.00%


Statewide Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



Region 8 Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



Sullivan County Absence of Repeat Maltreatment





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Trended Repeat Maltreatment

For March 2007 to March 2008


### For March 2008

County	Children With Substantiated Allegations During Rolling Quarter		
	Count	Children With No Prior Maltreatment in the Prior 12 Months	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent
Statewide	5,303	4,607	86.88%
Region 8	133	119	89.47%
Vermillion	7	7	100.00%


**Statewide Absence of Repeat Maltreatment**



**Region 8 Absence of Repeat Maltreatment**



**Vermillion County Absence of Repeat Maltreatment**






# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Trended Repeat Maltreatment


For March 2007 to March 2008

For March 2008			
County	Children With Substantiated Allegations During Rolling Quarter		
	Count	Children With No Prior Maltreatment in the Prior 12 Months	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent
Statewide	5,303	4,607	86.88%
Region 8	133	119	89.47%
Vigo	95	84	88.42%


Statewide Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



Region 8 Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



Vigo County Absence of Repeat Maltreatment






# DCS Practice Indicator Report Visitations and Contacts Report

Average Visitations and Contacts per Child During the Report Month by County  
For March 2007 to March 2008


## March 2008

County	FCM Contacts With Families Occuring During the Month	Average FCM Contacts With Families Occuring During the Month	Children with Visitations Occuring During the Month	Average Visitations Occuring per Child with Contact During the Month
Statewide	8,382	2.02	806	3.09
Region 8	207	3.77	69	5.54
Clay	18	3.11	0	0.00


### Statewide Average Visitations and Contacts



### Region 8 Average Visitations and Contacts



### Clay County Average Visitations and Contacts






# DCS Practice Indicator Report Visitations and Contacts Report

**Average Visitations and Contacts per Child During the Report Month by County  
For March 2007 to March 2008**


## March 2008

County	FCM Contacts With Families Occuring During the Month	Average FCM Contacts With Families Occuring During the Month	Children with Visitations Occuring During the Month	Average Visitations Occuring per Child with Contact During the Month
Statewide	8,382	2.02	806	3.09
Region 8	207	3.77	69	5.54
Parke	34	3.76	0	0.00


### Statewide Average Visitations and Contacts



### Region 8 Average Visitations and Contacts



### Parke County Average Visitations and Contacts






# DCS Practice Indicator Report Visitations and Contacts Report

Average Visitations and Contacts per Child During the Report Month by County  
For March 2007 to March 2008


## March 2008

County	FCM Contacts With Families Occuring During the Month	Average FCM Contacts With Families Occuring During the Month	Children with Visitations Occuring During the Month	Average Visitations Occuring per Child with Contact During the Month
Statewide	8,382	2.02	806	3.09
Region 8	207	3.77	69	5.54
Sullivan	19	1.63	16	9.88


### Statewide Average Visitations and Contacts



### Region 8 Average Visitations and Contacts



### Sullivan County Average Visitations and Contacts






# DCS Practice Indicator Report Visitations and Contacts Report

**Average Visitations and Contacts per Child During the Report Month by County  
For March 2007 to March 2008**


## March 2008

County	FCM Contacts With Families Occuring During the Month	Average FCM Contacts With Families Occuring During the Month	Children with Visitations Occuring During the Month	Average Visitations Occuring per Child with Contact During the Month
Statewide	8,382	2.02	806	3.09
Region 8	207	3.77	69	5.54
Vermillion	21	3.62	2	2.00


### Statewide Average Visitations and Contacts



### Region 8 Average Visitations and Contacts



### Vermillion County Average Visitations and Contacts






# DCS Practice Indicator Report Visitations and Contacts Report

Average Visitations and Contacts per Child During the Report Month by County  
For March 2007 to March 2008


## March 2008

County	FCM Contacts With Families Occuring During the Month	Average FCM Contacts With Families Occuring During the Month	Children with Visitations Occuring During the Month	Average Visitations Occuring per Child with Contact During the Month
Statewide	8,382	2.02	806	3.09
Region 8	207	3.77	69	5.54
Vigo	115	4.25	51	4.31


### Statewide Average Visitations and Contacts



### Region 8 Average Visitations and Contacts



### Vigo County Average Visitations and Contacts





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Finalized Adoption Rates and Reunification Rates

### For March 2007 to March 2008


March 2008

Location	Out of Home CHINS with TPR at the end of Feb 2008	Finalized Adoptions Entered During Mar 2008	Percent of Adoptions to CHINS TPRs	Out of Home CHINS without TPR at the end of Feb 2008	Reunifications Occuring During Mar 2008	Percent Reunifications to CHINS without TPR
Statewide	1,265	130	10.28%	8,665	256	2.95%
Region 8	20	0	0.00%	157	2	1.27%
Clay County	1	0	0.00%	0	0	NA


**Statewide Rates**



**Region 8 Rates**



**Clay County Rates**





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Finalized Adoption Rates and Reunification Rates

### For March 2007 to March 2008


March 2008

Location	Out of Home CHINS with TPR at the end of Feb 2008	Finalized Adoptions Entered During Mar 2008	Percent of Adoptions to CHINS TPRs	Out of Home CHINS without TPR at the end of Feb 2008	Reunifications Occuring During Mar 2008	Percent Reunifications to CHINS without TPR
Statewide	1,265	130	10.28%	8,665	256	2.95%
Region 8	20	0	0.00%	157	2	1.27%
Parke County	0	0	NA	4	0	0.00%


**Statewide Rates**



**Region 8 Rates**



**Parke County Rates**





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Finalized Adoption Rates and Reunification Rates

### For March 2007 to March 2008


March 2008

Location	Out of Home CHINS with TPR at the end of Feb 2008	Finalized Adoptions Entered During Mar 2008	Percent of Adoptions to CHINS TPRs	Out of Home CHINS without TPR at the end of Feb 2008	Reunifications Occuring During Mar 2008	Percent Reunifications to CHINS without TPR
Statewide	1,265	130	10.28%	8,665	256	2.95%
Region 8	20	0	0.00%	157	2	1.27%
Sullivan County	1	0	0.00%	24	1	4.17%


**Statewide Rates**



**Region 8 Rates**



**Sullivan County Rates**





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Finalized Adoption Rates and Reunification Rates

### For March 2007 to March 2008


March 2008

Location	Out of Home CHINS with TPR at the end of Feb 2008	Finalized Adoptions Entered During Mar 2008	Percent of Adoptions to CHINS TPRs	Out of Home CHINS without TPR at the end of Feb 2008	Reunifications Occuring During Mar 2008	Percent Reunifications to CHINS without TPR
Statewide	1,265	130	10.28%	8,665	256	2.95%
Region 8	20	0	0.00%	157	2	1.27%
Vermillion County	0	0	NA	17	0	0.00%


**Statewide Rates**



**Region 8 Rates**



**Vermillion County Rates**





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Finalized Adoption Rates and Reunification Rates

### For March 2007 to March 2008


March 2008

Location	Out of Home CHINS with TPR at the end of Feb 2008	Finalized Adoptions Entered During Mar 2008	Percent of Adoptions to CHINS TPRs	Out of Home CHINS without TPR at the end of Feb 2008	Reunifications Occuring During Mar 2008	Percent Reunifications to CHINS without TPR
Statewide	1,265	130	10.28%	8,665	256	2.95%
Region 8	20	0	0.00%	157	2	1.27%
Vigo County	18	0	0.00%	112	1	0.89%


**Statewide Rates**



**Region 8 Rates**



**Vigo County Rates**





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Length of Stay in Out of Home Placement


### Children in Out of Home Placement by Length of Time Since Latest Removal

#### For March 2007 to March 2008


### March 2008

County	One Year 0 to 365 Days		Two Years 366 to 730 Days		Three Years 731 to 1095		Three + Years 1096 Days+		Length Available Per Child	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Average	Median
Statewide	4,416	44.4%	2,299	23.1%	1,406	14.1%	1,834	18.4%	665.69	430.52
Region 8	93	50.5%	44	23.9%	23	12.5%	24	13.0%	561.05	362.00
Clay	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	2,793.41	2,793.41


#### Statewide Median Length



#### Region 8 Median Length



#### Clay County Median Length





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Length of Stay in Out of Home Placement


### Children in Out of Home Placement by Length of Time Since Latest Removal

#### For March 2007 to March 2008


### March 2008

County	One Year 0 to 365 Days		Two Years 366 to 730 Days		Three Years 731 to 1095		Three + Years 1096 Days+		Length Available Per Child	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Average	Median
Statewide	4,416	44.4%	2,299	23.1%	1,406	14.1%	1,834	18.4%	665.69	430.52
Region 8	93	50.5%	44	23.9%	23	12.5%	24	13.0%	561.05	362.00
Parke	3	75.0%	1	25.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	207.01	110.00


#### Statewide Median Length



#### Region 8 Median Length



#### Parke County Median Length





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Length of Stay in Out of Home Placement


### Children in Out of Home Placement by Length of Time Since Latest Removal

#### For March 2007 to March 2008


### March 2008

County	One Year 0 to 365 Days		Two Years 366 to 730 Days		Three Years 731 to 1095		Three + Years 1096 Days+		Length Available Per Child	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Average	Median
Statewide	4,416	44.4%	2,299	23.1%	1,406	14.1%	1,834	18.4%	665.69	430.52
Region 8	93	50.5%	44	23.9%	23	12.5%	24	13.0%	561.05	362.00
Sullivan	16	66.7%	4	16.7%	1	4.2%	3	12.5%	414.71	176.00


#### Statewide Median Length



#### Region 8 Median Length



#### Sullivan County Median Length





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Length of Stay in Out of Home Placement


### Children in Out of Home Placement by Length of Time Since Latest Removal

#### For March 2007 to March 2008


### March 2008

County	One Year 0 to 365 Days		Two Years 366 to 730 Days		Three Years 731 to 1095		Three + Years 1096 Days+		Length Available Per Child	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Average	Median
Statewide	4,416	44.4%	2,299	23.1%	1,406	14.1%	1,834	18.4%	665.69	430.52
Region 8	93	50.5%	44	23.9%	23	12.5%	24	13.0%	561.05	362.00
Vermillion	14	56.0%	11	44.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	267.46	332.42


#### Statewide Median Length



#### Region 8 Median Length



#### Vermillion County Median Length





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Length of Stay in Out of Home Placement


### Children in Out of Home Placement by Length of Time Since Latest Removal

#### For March 2007 to March 2008


### March 2008

County	One Year 0 to 365 Days		Two Years 366 to 730 Days		Three Years 731 to 1095		Three + Years 1096 Days+		Length Available Per Child	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Average	Median
Statewide	4,416	44.4%	2,299	23.1%	1,406	14.1%	1,834	18.4%	665.69	430.52
Region 8	93	50.5%	44	23.9%	23	12.5%	24	13.0%	561.05	362.00
Vigo	60	46.2%	28	21.5%	22	16.9%	20	15.4%	638.25	425.00


#### Statewide Median Length



#### Region 8 Median Length



#### Vigo County Median Length





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Sibling Placement Report

### For March 2007 to March 2008


**March 2008**

Location	Count of Sibling Cases	Cases With All Children Placed Together	Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases	Average Number of Children per Case
Statewide	2434	1599	65.69%	2.71
Region 8	41	25	60.98%	2.71
Clay County	0	0	NA	NA


**Statewide Percent Together**



**Region 8 Percent Together**



**Clay County Percent Together**





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Sibling Placement Report

### For March 2007 to March 2008


**March 2008**

Location	Count of Sibling Cases	Cases With All Children Placed Together	Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases	Average Number of Children per Case
Statewide	2434	1599	65.69%	2.71
Region 8	41	25	60.98%	2.71
Parke County	1	1	100.00%	2.00


**Statewide Percent Together**



**Region 8 Percent Together**



**Parke County Percent Together**






# DCS Practice Indicator Report Sibling Placement Report For March 2007 to March 2008


**March 2008**

Location	Count of Sibling Cases	Cases With All Children Placed Together	Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases	Average Number of Children per Case
Statewide	2434	1599	65.69%	2.71
Region 8	41	25	60.98%	2.71
Sullivan County	7	5	71.43%	2.29


**Statewide Percent Together**



**Region 8 Percent Together**



**Sullivan County Percent Together**





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Sibling Placement Report

### For March 2007 to March 2008


**March 2008**

Location	Count of Sibling Cases	Cases With All Children Placed Together	Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases	Average Number of Children per Case
Statewide	2434	1599	65.69%	2.71
Region 8	41	25	60.98%	2.71
Vermillion County	4	0	0.00%	3.75


**Statewide Percent Together**



**Region 8 Percent Together**



**Vermillion County Percent Together**





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Sibling Placement Report

### For March 2007 to March 2008


March 2008

Location	Count of Sibling Cases	Cases With All Children Placed Together	Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases	Average Number of Children per Case
Statewide	2434	1599	65.69%	2.71
Region 8	41	25	60.98%	2.71
Vigo County	29	19	65.52%	2.69


**Statewide Percent Together**



**Region 8 Percent Together**



**Vigo County Percent Together**








# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Average Number of Placement Moves

### For March 2007 to March 2008

March 2008	
County	Average Number of Placements Per Child
Statewide	2.85
Region 8	2.93
Clay	6.00








# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Average Number of Placement Moves

### For March 2007 to March 2008

March 2008	
County	Average Number of Placements Per Child
Statewide	2.85
Region 8	2.93
Parke	2.00








# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Average Number of Placement Moves

### For March 2007 to March 2008

March 2008	
County	Average Number of Placements Per Child
Statewide	2.85
Region 8	2.93
Sullivan	3.06








# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Average Number of Placement Moves

### For March 2007 to March 2008

March 2008	
County	Average Number of Placements Per Child
Statewide	2.85
Region 8	2.93
Vermillion	1.92








# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Average Number of Placement Moves

### For March 2007 to March 2008

March 2008	
County	Average Number of Placements Per Child
Statewide	2.85
Region 8	2.93
Vigo	3.07








# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Locally Placed CHINS

### For March 2007 to March 2008




Location	Total Out of Home Placements	Placed in Same County	Percent Locally Placed
Statewide	9955	7242	72.75%
Region 8	184	133	72.28%
Clay County	1	0	0.00%





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Locally Placed CHINS For March 2007 to March 2008

March 2008			
Location	Total Out of Home Placements	Placed in Same County	Percent Locally Placed
Statewide	9955	7242	72.75%
Region 8	184	133	72.28%
Parke County	4	0	0.00%





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Locally Placed CHINS

### For March 2007 to March 2008


#### March 2008

Location	Total Out of Home Placements	Placed in Same County	Percent Locally Placed
Statewide	9955	7242	72.75%
Region 8	184	133	72.28%
Sullivan County	24	19	79.17%


#### Statewide Percent Placed Locally



#### Region 8 Percent Placed Locally



#### Sullivan County Percent Placed Locally





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Locally Placed CHINS

### For March 2007 to March 2008


#### March 2008

Location	Total Out of Home Placements	Placed in Same County	Percent Locally Placed
Statewide	9955	7242	72.75%
Region 8	184	133	72.28%
Vermillion County	25	12	48.00%


#### Statewide Percent Placed Locally



#### Region 8 Percent Placed Locally






#### Vermillion County Percent Placed Locally





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Locally Placed CHINS For March 2007 to March 2008

March 2008			
Location	Total Out of Home Placements	Placed in Same County	Percent Locally Placed
Statewide	9955	7242	72.75%
Region 8	184	133	72.28%
Vigo County	130	102	78.46%






# DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS Placements by County For March 2007 to March 2008


**March 2008**

County	Total CHINS	Own Home		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential Care		Other	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	13736	3781	27.53%	2258	16.44%	5718	41.63%	1504	10.95%	475	3.46%
Region 8	237	53	22.36%	38	16.03%	115	48.52%	24	10.13%	7	2.95%
Clay County	1	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%


**Statewide Percentage Breakdown**



**Region 8 Percentage Breakdown**



**Clay County Percentage Breakdown**






# DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS Placements by County For March 2007 to March 2008


**March 2008**

County	Total CHINS	Own Home		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential Care		Other	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	13736	3781	27.53%	2258	16.44%	5718	41.63%	1504	10.95%	475	3.46%
Region 8	237	53	22.36%	38	16.03%	115	48.52%	24	10.13%	7	2.95%
Parke County	5	1	20.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	4	80.00%	0	0.00%


**Statewide Percentage Breakdown**



**Region 8 Percentage Breakdown**



**Parke County Percentage Breakdown**






# DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS Placements by County For March 2007 to March 2008


## March 2008

County	Total CHINS	Own Home		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential Care		Other	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	13736	3781	27.53%	2258	16.44%	5718	41.63%	1504	10.95%	475	3.46%
Region 8	237	53	22.36%	38	16.03%	115	48.52%	24	10.13%	7	2.95%
Sullivan County	32	8	25.00%	10	31.25%	10	31.25%	0	0.00%	4	12.50%


### Statewide Percentage Breakdown



### Region 8 Percentage Breakdown



### Sullivan County Percentage Breakdown






# DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS Placements by County For March 2007 to March 2008


## March 2008

County	Total CHINS	Own Home		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential Care		Other	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	13736	3781	27.53%	2258	16.44%	5718	41.63%	1504	10.95%	475	3.46%
Region 8	237	53	22.36%	38	16.03%	115	48.52%	24	10.13%	7	2.95%
Vermillion County	29	4	13.79%	3	10.34%	15	51.72%	7	24.14%	0	0.00%


### Statewide Percentage Breakdown



### Region 8 Percentage Breakdown



### Vermillion County Percentage Breakdown






# DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS Placements by County For March 2007 to March 2008


## March 2008

County	Total CHINS	Own Home		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential Care		Other	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	13736	3781	27.53%	2258	16.44%	5718	41.63%	1504	10.95%	475	3.46%
Region 8	237	53	22.36%	38	16.03%	115	48.52%	24	10.13%	7	2.95%
Vigo County	170	40	23.53%	25	14.71%	89	52.35%	13	7.65%	3	1.76%


### Statewide Percentage Breakdown



### Region 8 Percentage Breakdown



### Vigo County Percentage Breakdown





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for March 2007 to March 2008


#### January 2008 to March 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Jan 2008 to Mar 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Jan 2008 to Mar 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	19,479	15.18%	5,285	28.57%
Region 8	544	16.91%	136	38.24%
Clay	96	28.13%	12	0.00%


#### Statewide Percent Removal



#### Region 8 Percent Removal



#### Clay County Percent Removal





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for March 2007 to March 2008


#### January 2008 to March 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Jan 2008 to Mar 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Jan 2008 to Mar 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	19,479	15.18%	5,285	28.57%
Region 8	544	16.91%	136	38.24%
Parke	28	17.86%	4	0.00%


#### Statewide Percent Removal



#### Region 8 Percent Removal



#### Parke County Percent Removal





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for March 2007 to March 2008


#### January 2008 to March 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Jan 2008 to Mar 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Jan 2008 to Mar 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	19,479	15.18%	5,285	28.57%
Region 8	544	16.91%	136	38.24%
Sullivan	63	46.03%	18	50.00%


#### Statewide Percent Removal



#### Region 8 Percent Removal



#### Sullivan County Percent Removal





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for March 2007 to March 2008


#### January 2008 to March 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Jan 2008 to Mar 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Jan 2008 to Mar 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	19,479	15.18%	5,285	28.57%
Region 8	544	16.91%	136	38.24%
Vermillion	16	12.50%	7	71.43%


#### Statewide Percent Removal



#### Region 8 Percent Removal



#### Vermillion County Percent Removal





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for March 2007 to March 2008


#### January 2008 to March 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Jan 2008 to Mar 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Jan 2008 to Mar 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	19,479	15.18%	5,285	28.57%
Region 8	544	16.91%	136	38.24%
Vigo	341	8.50%	95	40.00%

#### Statewide Percent Removal



#### Region 8 Percent Removal



#### Vigo County Percent Removal

