



INDIANA
DEPARTMENT OF
CHILD
SERVICES

*Protecting our children,
families and future*

**Department of Child Services
Practice Indicator Reports
For February 2008**

**Region 05
February 2008**



**Published
March 2008**






DCS Practice Indicator Report

Trended Repeat Maltreatment

For February 2007 to February 2008

For February 2008			
County	Children With Substantiated Allegations During Rolling Quarter		
	Count	Children With No Prior Maltreatment in the Prior 12 Months	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent
Statewide	5,070	4,427	87.32%
Region 5	184	145	78.80%
Benton	5	5	100.00%





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Trended Repeat Maltreatment

For February 2007 to February 2008


For February 2008

County	Children With Substantiated Allegations During Rolling Quarter		
	Count	Children With No Prior Maltreatment in the Prior 12 Months	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent
Statewide	5,070	4,427	87.32%
Region 5	184	145	78.80%
Carroll	2	1	50.00%


Statewide Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



Region 5 Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



Carroll County Absence of Repeat Maltreatment








DCS Practice Indicator Report

Trended Repeat Maltreatment

For February 2007 to February 2008

For February 2008			
County	Children With Substantiated Allegations During Rolling Quarter		
	Count	Children With No Prior Maltreatment in the Prior 12 Months	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent
Statewide	5,070	4,427	87.32%
Region 5	184	145	78.80%
Clinton	21	13	61.90%





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Trended Repeat Maltreatment

For February 2007 to February 2008


For February 2008

County	Children With Substantiated Allegations During Rolling Quarter		
	Count	Children With No Prior Maltreatment in the Prior 12 Months	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent
Statewide	5,070	4,427	87.32%
Region 5	184	145	78.80%
Fountain	21	11	52.38%


Statewide Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



Region 5 Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



Fountain County Absence of Repeat Maltreatment





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Trended Repeat Maltreatment

For February 2007 to February 2008


For February 2008

County	Children With Substantiated Allegations During Rolling Quarter		
	Count	Children With No Prior Maltreatment in the Prior 12 Months	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent
Statewide	5,070	4,427	87.32%
Region 5	184	145	78.80%
Tippecanoe	102	87	85.29%


Statewide Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



Region 5 Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



Tippecanoe County Absence of Repeat Maltreatment





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Trended Repeat Maltreatment

For February 2007 to February 2008


For February 2008

County	Children With Substantiated Allegations During Rolling Quarter		
	Count	Children With No Prior Maltreatment in the Prior 12 Months	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent
Statewide	5,070	4,427	87.32%
Region 5	184	145	78.80%
Warren	7	5	71.43%


Statewide Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



Region 5 Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



Warren County Absence of Repeat Maltreatment





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Trended Repeat Maltreatment

For February 2007 to February 2008


For February 2008

County	Children With Substantiated Allegations During Rolling Quarter		
	Count	Children With No Prior Maltreatment in the Prior 12 Months	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent
Statewide	5,070	4,427	87.32%
Region 5	184	145	78.80%
White	26	23	88.46%


Statewide Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



Region 5 Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



White County Absence of Repeat Maltreatment






DCS Practice Indicator Report Visitations and Contacts Report

Average Visitations and Contacts per Child During the Report Month by County
For February 2007 to February 2008


February 2008

County	FCM Contacts With Families Occuring During the Month	Average FCM Contacts With Families Occuring During the Month	Children with Visitations Occuring During the Month	Average Visitations Occuring per Child with Contact During the Month
Statewide	8,074	2.01	778	3.14
Region 5	377	2.39	60	3.77
Benton	52	2.96	11	8.00


Statewide Average Visitations and Contacts



Region 5 Average Visitations and Contacts



Benton County Average Visitations and Contacts






DCS Practice Indicator Report Visitations and Contacts Report

Average Visitations and Contacts per Child During the Report Month by County
For February 2007 to February 2008


February 2008

County	FCM Contacts With Families Occuring During the Month	Average FCM Contacts With Families Occuring During the Month	Children with Visitations Occuring During the Month	Average Visitations Occuring per Child with Contact During the Month
Statewide	8,074	2.01	778	3.14
Region 5	377	2.39	60	3.77
Carroll	6	2.50	0	0.00


Statewide Average Visitations and Contacts



Region 5 Average Visitations and Contacts



Carroll County Average Visitations and Contacts






DCS Practice Indicator Report Visitations and Contacts Report

Average Visitations and Contacts per Child During the Report Month by County
For February 2007 to February 2008


February 2008

County	FCM Contacts With Families Occuring During the Month	Average FCM Contacts With Families Occuring During the Month	Children with Visitations Occuring During the Month	Average Visitations Occuring per Child with Contact During the Month
Statewide	8,074	2.01	778	3.14
Region 5	377	2.39	60	3.77
Clinton	26	2.46	13	3.23


Statewide Average Visitations and Contacts



Region 5 Average Visitations and Contacts



Clinton County Average Visitations and Contacts






DCS Practice Indicator Report Visitations and Contacts Report

Average Visitations and Contacts per Child During the Report Month by County
For February 2007 to February 2008


February 2008

County	FCM Contacts With Families Occuring During the Month	Average FCM Contacts With Families Occuring During the Month	Children with Visitations Occuring During the Month	Average Visitations Occuring per Child with Contact During the Month
Statewide	8,074	2.01	778	3.14
Region 5	377	2.39	60	3.77
Fountain	24	2.63	14	3.93


Statewide Average Visitations and Contacts



Region 5 Average Visitations and Contacts



Fountain County Average Visitations and Contacts






DCS Practice Indicator Report Visitations and Contacts Report

Average Visitations and Contacts per Child During the Report Month by County
For February 2007 to February 2008


February 2008

County	FCM Contacts With Families Occuring During the Month	Average FCM Contacts With Families Occuring During the Month	Children with Visitations Occuring During the Month	Average Visitations Occuring per Child with Contact During the Month
Statewide	8,074	2.01	778	3.14
Region 5	377	2.39	60	3.77
Tippecanoe	230	2.34	17	2.12


Statewide Average Visitations and Contacts



Region 5 Average Visitations and Contacts



Tippecanoe County Average Visitations and Contacts






DCS Practice Indicator Report Visitations and Contacts Report

Average Visitations and Contacts per Child During the Report Month by County
For February 2007 to February 2008


February 2008

County	FCM Contacts With Families Occuring During the Month	Average FCM Contacts With Families Occuring During the Month	Children with Visitations Occuring During the Month	Average Visitations Occuring per Child with Contact During the Month
Statewide	8,074	2.01	778	3.14
Region 5	377	2.39	60	3.77
Warren	17	1.88	1	1.00


Statewide Average Visitations and Contacts



Region 5 Average Visitations and Contacts



Warren County Average Visitations and Contacts






DCS Practice Indicator Report Visitations and Contacts Report

Average Visitations and Contacts per Child During the Report Month by County
For February 2007 to February 2008


February 2008

County	FCM Contacts With Families Occuring During the Month	Average FCM Contacts With Families Occuring During the Month	Children with Visitations Occuring During the Month	Average Visitations Occuring per Child with Contact During the Month
Statewide	8,074	2.01	778	3.14
Region 5	377	2.39	60	3.77
White	22	1.55	4	1.00


Statewide Average Visitations and Contacts



Region 5 Average Visitations and Contacts



White County Average Visitations and Contacts





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Finalized Adoption Rates and Reunification Rates

For February 2007 to February 2008


February 2008

Location	Out of Home CHINS with TPR at the end of Jan 2008	Finalized Adoptions Entered During Feb 2008	Percent of Adoptions to CHINS TPRs	Out of Home CHINS without TPR at the end of Jan 2008	Reunifications Occuring During Feb 2008	Percent Reunifications to CHINS without TPR
Statewide	1,252	123	9.82%	8,618	236	2.74%
Region 5	33	1	3.03%	197	15	7.61%
Benton County	0	0	NA	7	0	0.00%


Statewide Rates



Region 5 Rates



Benton County Rates





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Finalized Adoption Rates and Reunification Rates

For February 2007 to February 2008


February 2008

Location	Out of Home CHINS with TPR at the end of Jan 2008	Finalized Adoptions Entered During Feb 2008	Percent of Adoptions to CHINS TPRs	Out of Home CHINS without TPR at the end of Jan 2008	Reunifications Occuring During Feb 2008	Percent Reunifications to CHINS without TPR
Statewide	1,252	123	9.82%	8,618	236	2.74%
Region 5	33	1	3.03%	197	15	7.61%
Carroll County	0	0	NA	0	0	NA


Statewide Rates



Region 5 Rates



Carroll County Rates





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Finalized Adoption Rates and Reunification Rates

For February 2007 to February 2008


February 2008

Location	Out of Home CHINS with TPR at the end of Jan 2008	Finalized Adoptions Entered During Feb 2008	Percent of Adoptions to CHINS TPRs	Out of Home CHINS without TPR at the end of Jan 2008	Reunifications Occuring During Feb 2008	Percent Reunifications to CHINS without TPR
Statewide	1,252	123	9.82%	8,618	236	2.74%
Region 5	33	1	3.03%	197	15	7.61%
Clinton County	5	1	20.00%	15	0	0.00%


Statewide Rates



Region 5 Rates



Clinton County Rates





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Finalized Adoption Rates and Reunification Rates

For February 2007 to February 2008


February 2008

Location	Out of Home CHINS with TPR at the end of Jan 2008	Finalized Adoptions Entered During Feb 2008	Percent of Adoptions to CHINS TPRs	Out of Home CHINS without TPR at the end of Jan 2008	Reunifications Occuring During Feb 2008	Percent Reunifications to CHINS without TPR
Statewide	1,252	123	9.82%	8,618	236	2.74%
Region 5	33	1	3.03%	197	15	7.61%
Fountain County	4	0	0.00%	32	1	3.13%


Statewide Rates



Region 5 Rates



Fountain County Rates





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Finalized Adoption Rates and Reunification Rates

For February 2007 to February 2008


February 2008

Location	Out of Home CHINS with TPR at the end of Jan 2008	Finalized Adoptions Entered During Feb 2008	Percent of Adoptions to CHINS TPRs	Out of Home CHINS without TPR at the end of Jan 2008	Reunifications Occuring During Feb 2008	Percent Reunifications to CHINS without TPR
Statewide	1,252	123	9.82%	8,618	236	2.74%
Region 5	33	1	3.03%	197	15	7.61%
Tippecanoe County	20	0	0.00%	128	14	10.94%


Statewide Rates



Region 5 Rates



Tippecanoe County Rates





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Finalized Adoption Rates and Reunification Rates

For February 2007 to February 2008


February 2008

Location	Out of Home CHINS with TPR at the end of Jan 2008	Finalized Adoptions Entered During Feb 2008	Percent of Adoptions to CHINS TPRs	Out of Home CHINS without TPR at the end of Jan 2008	Reunifications Occuring During Feb 2008	Percent Reunifications to CHINS without TPR
Statewide	1,252	123	9.82%	8,618	236	2.74%
Region 5	33	1	3.03%	197	15	7.61%
Warren County	0	0	NA	2	0	0.00%


Statewide Rates



Region 5 Rates



Warren County Rates





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Finalized Adoption Rates and Reunification Rates

For February 2007 to February 2008


February 2008

Location	Out of Home CHINS with TPR at the end of Jan 2008	Finalized Adoptions Entered During Feb 2008	Percent of Adoptions to CHINS TPRs	Out of Home CHINS without TPR at the end of Jan 2008	Reunifications Occuring During Feb 2008	Percent Reunifications to CHINS without TPR
Statewide	1,252	123	9.82%	8,618	236	2.74%
Region 5	33	1	3.03%	197	15	7.61%
White County	4	0	0.00%	13	0	0.00%


Statewide Rates



Region 5 Rates



White County Rates





DCS Practice Indicator Report

Length of Stay in Out of Home Placement


Children in Out of Home Placement by Length of Time Since Latest Removal

For February 2007 to February 2008


February 2008

County	One Year 0 to 365 Days		Two Years 366 to 730 Days		Three Years 731 to 1095		Three + Years 1096 Days+		Length Available Per Child	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Average	Median
Statewide	4,387	44.2%	2,305	23.2%	1,424	14.3%	1,814	18.3%	668.04	438.00
Region 5	149	63.7%	42	17.9%	14	6.0%	29	12.4%	490.32	261.00
Benton	6	85.7%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	245.50	171.70


Statewide Median Length



Region 5 Median Length



Benton County Median Length





DCS Practice Indicator Report

Length of Stay in Out of Home Placement


Children in Out of Home Placement by Length of Time Since Latest Removal

For February 2007 to February 2008


February 2008

County	One Year 0 to 365 Days		Two Years 366 to 730 Days		Three Years 731 to 1095		Three + Years 1096 Days+		Length Available Per Child	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Average	Median
Statewide	4,387	44.2%	2,305	23.2%	1,424	14.3%	1,814	18.3%	668.04	438.00
Region 5	149	63.7%	42	17.9%	14	6.0%	29	12.4%	490.32	261.00
Carroll	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.00	0.00


Statewide Median Length



Region 5 Median Length



Carroll County Median Length





DCS Practice Indicator Report

Length of Stay in Out of Home Placement


Children in Out of Home Placement by Length of Time Since Latest Removal

For February 2007 to February 2008


February 2008

County	One Year 0 to 365 Days		Two Years 366 to 730 Days		Three Years 731 to 1095		Three + Years 1096 Days+		Length Available Per Child	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Average	Median
Statewide	4,387	44.2%	2,305	23.2%	1,424	14.3%	1,814	18.3%	668.04	438.00
Region 5	149	63.7%	42	17.9%	14	6.0%	29	12.4%	490.32	261.00
Clinton	14	60.9%	2	8.7%	2	8.7%	5	21.7%	794.64	332.35


Statewide Median Length



Region 5 Median Length



Clinton County Median Length





DCS Practice Indicator Report

Length of Stay in Out of Home Placement


Children in Out of Home Placement by Length of Time Since Latest Removal

For February 2007 to February 2008


February 2008

County	One Year 0 to 365 Days		Two Years 366 to 730 Days		Three Years 731 to 1095		Three + Years 1096 Days+		Length Available Per Child	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Average	Median
Statewide	4,387	44.2%	2,305	23.2%	1,424	14.3%	1,814	18.3%	668.04	438.00
Region 5	149	63.7%	42	17.9%	14	6.0%	29	12.4%	490.32	261.00
Fountain	19	52.8%	12	33.3%	0	0.0%	5	13.9%	484.68	347.00


Statewide Median Length



Region 5 Median Length



Fountain County Median Length





DCS Practice Indicator Report

Length of Stay in Out of Home Placement


Children in Out of Home Placement by Length of Time Since Latest Removal

For February 2007 to February 2008


February 2008

County	One Year 0 to 365 Days		Two Years 366 to 730 Days		Three Years 731 to 1095		Three + Years 1096 Days+		Length Available Per Child	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Average	Median
Statewide	4,387	44.2%	2,305	23.2%	1,424	14.3%	1,814	18.3%	668.04	438.00
Region 5	149	63.7%	42	17.9%	14	6.0%	29	12.4%	490.32	261.00
Tippecanoe	102	69.9%	21	14.4%	7	4.8%	16	11.0%	439.28	199.00


Statewide Median Length



Region 5 Median Length



Tippecanoe County Median Length





DCS Practice Indicator Report

Length of Stay in Out of Home Placement


Children in Out of Home Placement by Length of Time Since Latest Removal

For February 2007 to February 2008


February 2008

County	One Year 0 to 365 Days		Two Years 366 to 730 Days		Three Years 731 to 1095		Three + Years 1096 Days+		Length Available Per Child	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Average	Median
Statewide	4,387	44.2%	2,305	23.2%	1,424	14.3%	1,814	18.3%	668.04	438.00
Region 5	149	63.7%	42	17.9%	14	6.0%	29	12.4%	490.32	261.00
Warren	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	179.91	179.50


Statewide Median Length



Region 5 Median Length



Warren County Median Length





DCS Practice Indicator Report

Length of Stay in Out of Home Placement


Children in Out of Home Placement by Length of Time Since Latest Removal

For February 2007 to February 2008


February 2008

County	One Year 0 to 365 Days		Two Years 366 to 730 Days		Three Years 731 to 1095		Three + Years 1096 Days+		Length Available Per Child	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Average	Median
Statewide	4,387	44.2%	2,305	23.2%	1,424	14.3%	1,814	18.3%	668.04	438.00
Region 5	149	63.7%	42	17.9%	14	6.0%	29	12.4%	490.32	261.00
White	6	30.0%	6	30.0%	5	25.0%	3	15.0%	639.87	481.50


Statewide Median Length



Region 5 Median Length



White County Median Length





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Sibling Placement Report

For February 2007 to February 2008


February 2008

Location	Count of Sibling Cases	Cases With All Children Placed Together	Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases	Average Number of Children per Case
Statewide	2432	1591	65.42%	2.71
Region 5	54	43	79.63%	2.57
Benton County	2	2	100.00%	2.00


Statewide Percent Together



Region 5 Percent Together



Benton County Percent Together





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Sibling Placement Report

For February 2007 to February 2008


February 2008

Location	Count of Sibling Cases	Cases With All Children Placed Together	Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases	Average Number of Children per Case
Statewide	2432	1591	65.42%	2.71
Region 5	54	43	79.63%	2.57
Carroll County	0	0	NA	NA


Statewide Percent Together



Region 5 Percent Together



Carroll County Percent Together





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Sibling Placement Report

For February 2007 to February 2008


February 2008

Location	Count of Sibling Cases	Cases With All Children Placed Together	Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases	Average Number of Children per Case
Statewide	2432	1591	65.42%	2.71
Region 5	54	43	79.63%	2.57
Clinton County	5	5	100.00%	2.80


Statewide Percent Together



Region 5 Percent Together



Clinton County Percent Together





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Sibling Placement Report

For February 2007 to February 2008


February 2008

Location	Count of Sibling Cases	Cases With All Children Placed Together	Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases	Average Number of Children per Case
Statewide	2432	1591	65.42%	2.71
Region 5	54	43	79.63%	2.57
Fountain County	8	8	100.00%	2.75


Statewide Percent Together



Region 5 Percent Together



Fountain County Percent Together





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Sibling Placement Report

For February 2007 to February 2008


February 2008

Location	Count of Sibling Cases	Cases With All Children Placed Together	Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases	Average Number of Children per Case
Statewide	2432	1591	65.42%	2.71
Region 5	54	43	79.63%	2.57
Tippecanoe County	35	26	74.29%	2.51


Statewide Percent Together



Region 5 Percent Together



Tippecanoe County Percent Together





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Sibling Placement Report

For February 2007 to February 2008


February 2008

Location	Count of Sibling Cases	Cases With All Children Placed Together	Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases	Average Number of Children per Case
Statewide	2432	1591	65.42%	2.71
Region 5	54	43	79.63%	2.57
Warren County	0	0	NA	NA


Statewide Percent Together



Region 5 Percent Together



Warren County Percent Together





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Sibling Placement Report

For February 2007 to February 2008


February 2008

Location	Count of Sibling Cases	Cases With All Children Placed Together	Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases	Average Number of Children per Case
Statewide	2432	1591	65.42%	2.71
Region 5	54	43	79.63%	2.57
White County	4	2	50.00%	2.75


Statewide Percent Together



Region 5 Percent Together



White County Percent Together








DCS Practice Indicator Report

Average Number of Placement Moves

For February 2007 to February 2008

February 2008	
County	Average Number of Placements Per Child
Statewide	2.85
Region 5	2.79
Benton	2.13








DCS Practice Indicator Report

Average Number of Placement Moves

For February 2007 to February 2008

February 2008	
County	Average Number of Placements Per Child
Statewide	2.85
Region 5	2.79
Carroll	NA








DCS Practice Indicator Report

Average Number of Placement Moves

For February 2007 to February 2008

February 2008	
County	Average Number of Placements Per Child
Statewide	2.85
Region 5	2.79
Clinton	3.00








DCS Practice Indicator Report

Average Number of Placement Moves

For February 2007 to February 2008

February 2008	
County	Average Number of Placements Per Child
Statewide	2.85
Region 5	2.79
Fountain	2.62








DCS Practice Indicator Report

Average Number of Placement Moves

For February 2007 to February 2008

February 2008	
County	Average Number of Placements Per Child
Statewide	2.85
Region 5	2.79
Tippecanoe	2.85








DCS Practice Indicator Report

Average Number of Placement Moves

For February 2007 to February 2008

February 2008	
County	Average Number of Placements Per Child
Statewide	2.85
Region 5	2.79
Warren	2.50








DCS Practice Indicator Report

Average Number of Placement Moves

For February 2007 to February 2008

February 2008	
County	Average Number of Placements Per Child
Statewide	2.85
Region 5	2.79
White	2.50








DCS Practice Indicator Report

Locally Placed CHINS

For February 2007 to February 2008

February 2008			
Location	Total Out of Home Placements	Placed in Same County	Percent Locally Placed
Statewide	9930	7217	72.68%
Region 5	234	143	61.11%
Benton County	7	5	71.43%








DCS Practice Indicator Report

Locally Placed CHINS

For February 2007 to February 2008

February 2008			
Location	Total Out of Home Placements	Placed in Same County	Percent Locally Placed
Statewide	9930	7217	72.68%
Region 5	234	143	61.11%
Carroll County	0	0	NA





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Locally Placed CHINS

For February 2007 to February 2008


February 2008

Location	Total Out of Home Placements	Placed in Same County	Percent Locally Placed
Statewide	9930	7217	72.68%
Region 5	234	143	61.11%
Clinton County	23	15	65.22%


Statewide Percent Placed Locally



Region 5 Percent Placed Locally



Clinton County Percent Placed Locally








DCS Practice Indicator Report

Locally Placed CHINS

For February 2007 to February 2008

February 2008			
Location	Total Out of Home Placements	Placed in Same County	Percent Locally Placed
Statewide	9930	7217	72.68%
Region 5	234	143	61.11%
Fountain County	36	12	33.33%





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Locally Placed CHINS

For February 2007 to February 2008


February 2008

Location	Total Out of Home Placements	Placed in Same County	Percent Locally Placed
Statewide	9930	7217	72.68%
Region 5	234	143	61.11%
Tippecanoe County	146	97	66.44%


Statewide Percent Placed Locally



Region 5 Percent Placed Locally



Tippecanoe County Percent Placed Locally








DCS Practice Indicator Report

Locally Placed CHINS

For February 2007 to February 2008

February 2008			
Location	Total Out of Home Placements	Placed in Same County	Percent Locally Placed
Statewide	9930	7217	72.68%
Region 5	234	143	61.11%
Warren County	2	0	0.00%





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Locally Placed CHINS

For February 2007 to February 2008


February 2008

Location	Total Out of Home Placements	Placed in Same County	Percent Locally Placed
Statewide	9930	7217	72.68%
Region 5	234	143	61.11%
White County	20	14	70.00%


Statewide Percent Placed Locally



Region 5 Percent Placed Locally



White County Percent Placed Locally






DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS Placements by County For February 2007 to February 2008


February 2008

County	Total CHINS	Own Home		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential Care		Other	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	13513	3583	26.52%	2213	16.38%	5736	42.45%	1473	10.90%	508	3.76%
Region 5	345	111	32.17%	51	14.78%	130	37.68%	43	12.46%	10	2.90%
Benton County	10	3	30.00%	4	40.00%	2	20.00%	1	10.00%	0	0.00%


Statewide Percentage Breakdown



Region 5 Percentage Breakdown



Benton County Percentage Breakdown






DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS Placements by County For February 2007 to February 2008


February 2008

County	Total CHINS	Own Home		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential Care		Other	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	13513	3583	26.52%	2213	16.38%	5736	42.45%	1473	10.90%	508	3.76%
Region 5	345	111	32.17%	51	14.78%	130	37.68%	43	12.46%	10	2.90%
Carroll County	0	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA


Statewide Percentage Breakdown



Region 5 Percentage Breakdown



Carroll County Percentage Breakdown






DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS Placements by County For February 2007 to February 2008


February 2008

County	Total CHINS	Own Home		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential Care		Other	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	13513	3583	26.52%	2213	16.38%	5736	42.45%	1473	10.90%	508	3.76%
Region 5	345	111	32.17%	51	14.78%	130	37.68%	43	12.46%	10	2.90%
Clinton County	38	15	39.47%	4	10.53%	17	44.74%	2	5.26%	0	0.00%


Statewide Percentage Breakdown



Region 5 Percentage Breakdown



Clinton County Percentage Breakdown






DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS Placements by County For February 2007 to February 2008


February 2008

County	Total CHINS	Own Home		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential Care		Other	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	13513	3583	26.52%	2213	16.38%	5736	42.45%	1473	10.90%	508	3.76%
Region 5	345	111	32.17%	51	14.78%	130	37.68%	43	12.46%	10	2.90%
Fountain County	40	4	10.00%	7	17.50%	23	57.50%	5	12.50%	1	2.50%


Statewide Percentage Breakdown



Region 5 Percentage Breakdown



Fountain County Percentage Breakdown






DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS Placements by County For February 2007 to February 2008


February 2008

County	Total CHINS	Own Home		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential Care		Other	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	13513	3583	26.52%	2213	16.38%	5736	42.45%	1473	10.90%	508	3.76%
Region 5	345	111	32.17%	51	14.78%	130	37.68%	43	12.46%	10	2.90%
Tippecanoe County	229	83	36.24%	33	14.41%	76	33.19%	29	12.66%	8	3.49%


Statewide Percentage Breakdown



Region 5 Percentage Breakdown



Tippecanoe County Percentage Breakdown






DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS Placements by County For February 2007 to February 2008


February 2008

County	Total CHINS	Own Home		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential Care		Other	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	13513	3583	26.52%	2213	16.38%	5736	42.45%	1473	10.90%	508	3.76%
Region 5	345	111	32.17%	51	14.78%	130	37.68%	43	12.46%	10	2.90%
Warren County	2	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	2	100.00%	0	0.00%


Statewide Percentage Breakdown



Region 5 Percentage Breakdown



Warren County Percentage Breakdown






DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS Placements by County For February 2007 to February 2008


February 2008

County	Total CHINS	Own Home		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential Care		Other	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	13513	3583	26.52%	2213	16.38%	5736	42.45%	1473	10.90%	508	3.76%
Region 5	345	111	32.17%	51	14.78%	130	37.68%	43	12.46%	10	2.90%
White County	26	6	23.08%	3	11.54%	12	46.15%	4	15.38%	1	3.85%


Statewide Percentage Breakdown



Region 5 Percentage Breakdown



White County Percentage Breakdown





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

Trended for February 2007 to February 2008


December 2007 to February 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Dec 2007 to Feb 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Dec 2007 to Feb 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	18,555	19.44%	5,110	28.00%
Region 5	923	25.79%	185	29.19%
Benton	31	0.00%	5	20.00%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 5 Percent Removal



Benton County Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

Trended for February 2007 to February 2008


December 2007 to February 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Dec 2007 to Feb 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Dec 2007 to Feb 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	18,555	19.44%	5,110	28.00%
Region 5	923	25.79%	185	29.19%
Carroll	56	33.93%	2	0.00%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 5 Percent Removal



Carroll County Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

Trended for February 2007 to February 2008


December 2007 to February 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Dec 2007 to Feb 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Dec 2007 to Feb 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	18,555	19.44%	5,110	28.00%
Region 5	923	25.79%	185	29.19%
Clinton	125	32.80%	21	19.05%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 5 Percent Removal



Clinton County Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

Trended for February 2007 to February 2008


December 2007 to February 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Dec 2007 to Feb 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Dec 2007 to Feb 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	18,555	19.44%	5,110	28.00%
Region 5	923	25.79%	185	29.19%
Fountain	54	14.81%	21	38.10%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 5 Percent Removal



Fountain County Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

Trended for February 2007 to February 2008


December 2007 to February 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Dec 2007 to Feb 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Dec 2007 to Feb 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	18,555	19.44%	5,110	28.00%
Region 5	923	25.79%	185	29.19%
Tippecanoe	519	27.17%	106	33.96%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 5 Percent Removal



Tippecanoe County Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

Trended for February 2007 to February 2008


December 2007 to February 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Dec 2007 to Feb 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Dec 2007 to Feb 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	18,555	19.44%	5,110	28.00%
Region 5	923	25.79%	185	29.19%
Warren	14	21.43%	7	28.57%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 5 Percent Removal



Warren County Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

Trended for February 2007 to February 2008


December 2007 to February 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Dec 2007 to Feb 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Dec 2007 to Feb 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	18,555	19.44%	5,110	28.00%
Region 5	923	25.79%	185	29.19%
White	124	20.97%	23	13.04%

Statewide Percent Removal



Region 5 Percent Removal



White County Percent Removal

