



INDIANA  
DEPARTMENT OF  
CHILD  
SERVICES

*Protecting our children,  
families and future*

**Department of Child Services**  
**Practice Indicator Reports**  
**For November 2007**

**Region 02**  
**November 2007**



**Published**  
**February 2008**



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for November 2006 to November 2007


#### September 2007 to November 2007

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Sep 2007 to Nov 2007		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Sep 2007 to Nov 2007	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	20,534	25.09%	5,220	31.46%
Region 2	885	38.53%	126	30.95%
Jasper	43	39.53%	12	16.67%


#### Statewide Percent Removal



#### Region 2 Percent Removal



#### Jasper County Percent Removal





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for November 2006 to November 2007


#### September 2007 to November 2007

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Sep 2007 to Nov 2007		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Sep 2007 to Nov 2007	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	20,534	25.09%	5,220	31.46%
Region 2	885	38.53%	126	30.95%
Laporte	379	37.20%	33	21.21%


#### Statewide Percent Removal



#### Region 2 Percent Removal



#### Laporte County Percent Removal





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for November 2006 to November 2007


#### September 2007 to November 2007

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Sep 2007 to Nov 2007		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Sep 2007 to Nov 2007	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	20,534	25.09%	5,220	31.46%
Region 2	885	38.53%	126	30.95%
Newton	36	2.78%	7	42.86%


**Statewide Percent Removal**



**Region 2 Percent Removal**



**Newton County Percent Removal**





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for November 2006 to November 2007


#### September 2007 to November 2007

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Sep 2007 to Nov 2007		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Sep 2007 to Nov 2007	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	20,534	25.09%	5,220	31.46%
Region 2	885	38.53%	126	30.95%
Porter	260	35.00%	37	21.62%


#### Statewide Percent Removal



#### Region 2 Percent Removal



#### Porter County Percent Removal





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for November 2006 to November 2007


#### September 2007 to November 2007

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Sep 2007 to Nov 2007		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Sep 2007 to Nov 2007	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	20,534	25.09%	5,220	31.46%
Region 2	885	38.53%	126	30.95%
Pulaski	37	59.46%	10	70.00%


**Statewide Percent Removal**



**Region 2 Percent Removal**



**Pulaski County Percent Removal**





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for November 2006 to November 2007


#### September 2007 to November 2007

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Sep 2007 to Nov 2007		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Sep 2007 to Nov 2007	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	20,534	25.09%	5,220	31.46%
Region 2	885	38.53%	126	30.95%
Starke	130	53.08%	27	44.44%


**Statewide Percent Removal**



**Region 2 Percent Removal**



**Starke County Percent Removal**






# DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS Placements by County For November 2006 to November 2007


## November 2007

County	Total CHINS	Own Home		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential Care		Other	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	13439	3628	27.00%	2142	15.94%	5745	42.75%	1400	10.42%	524	3.90%
Region 2	578	159	27.51%	91	15.74%	226	39.10%	80	13.84%	22	3.81%
Jasper County	50	13	26.00%	1	2.00%	21	42.00%	15	30.00%	0	0.00%


### Statewide Percentage Breakdown



### Region 2 Percentage Breakdown



### Jasper County Percentage Breakdown






# DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS Placements by County For November 2006 to November 2007


## November 2007

County	Total CHINS	Own Home		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential Care		Other	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	13439	3628	27.00%	2142	15.94%	5745	42.75%	1400	10.42%	524	3.90%
Region 2	578	159	27.51%	91	15.74%	226	39.10%	80	13.84%	22	3.81%
Laporte County	104	32	30.77%	10	9.62%	39	37.50%	16	15.38%	7	6.73%


**Statewide Percentage Breakdown**



**Region 2 Percentage Breakdown**



**Laporte County Percentage Breakdown**






# DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS Placements by County For November 2006 to November 2007


## November 2007

County	Total CHINS	Own Home		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential Care		Other	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	13439	3628	27.00%	2142	15.94%	5745	42.75%	1400	10.42%	524	3.90%
Region 2	578	159	27.51%	91	15.74%	226	39.10%	80	13.84%	22	3.81%
Newton County	42	20	47.62%	7	16.67%	8	19.05%	7	16.67%	0	0.00%


**Statewide Percentage Breakdown**



**Region 2 Percentage Breakdown**



**Newton County Percentage Breakdown**






# DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS Placements by County For November 2006 to November 2007


## November 2007

County	Total CHINS	Own Home		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential Care		Other	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	13439	3628	27.00%	2142	15.94%	5745	42.75%	1400	10.42%	524	3.90%
Region 2	578	159	27.51%	91	15.74%	226	39.10%	80	13.84%	22	3.81%
Porter County	264	60	22.73%	56	21.21%	110	41.67%	26	9.85%	12	4.55%


### Statewide Percentage Breakdown



### Region 2 Percentage Breakdown



### Porter County Percentage Breakdown






# DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS Placements by County For November 2006 to November 2007


## November 2007

County	Total CHINS	Own Home		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential Care		Other	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	13439	3628	27.00%	2142	15.94%	5745	42.75%	1400	10.42%	524	3.90%
Region 2	578	159	27.51%	91	15.74%	226	39.10%	80	13.84%	22	3.81%
Pulaski County	31	5	16.13%	7	22.58%	17	54.84%	2	6.45%	0	0.00%


### Statewide Percentage Breakdown



### Region 2 Percentage Breakdown



### Pulaski County Percentage Breakdown






# DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS Placements by County For November 2006 to November 2007


## November 2007

County	Total CHINS	Own Home		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential Care		Other	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	13439	3628	27.00%	2142	15.94%	5745	42.75%	1400	10.42%	524	3.90%
Region 2	578	159	27.51%	91	15.74%	226	39.10%	80	13.84%	22	3.81%
Starke County	87	29	33.33%	10	11.49%	31	35.63%	14	16.09%	3	3.45%


### Statewide Percentage Breakdown



### Region 2 Percentage Breakdown



### Starke County Percentage Breakdown








# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Locally Placed CHINS

### For November 2006 to November 2007

November 2007			
Location	Total Out of Home Placements	Placed in Same County	Percent Locally Placed
Statewide	9811	7073	72.09%
Region 2	419	234	55.85%
Jasper County	37	13	35.14%








# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Locally Placed CHINS

### For November 2006 to November 2007

November 2007			
Location	Total Out of Home Placements	Placed in Same County	Percent Locally Placed
Statewide	9811	7073	72.09%
Region 2	419	234	55.85%
Laporte County	72	50	69.44%








# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Locally Placed CHINS

### For November 2006 to November 2007

November 2007			
Location	Total Out of Home Placements	Placed in Same County	Percent Locally Placed
Statewide	9811	7073	72.09%
Region 2	419	234	55.85%
Newton County	22	12	54.55%





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Locally Placed CHINS

### For November 2006 to November 2007


#### November 2007

Location	Total Out of Home Placements	Placed in Same County	Percent Locally Placed
Statewide	9811	7073	72.09%
Region 2	419	234	55.85%
Porter County	204	115	56.37%


#### Statewide Percent Placed Locally



#### Region 2 Percent Placed Locally



#### Porter County Percent Placed Locally





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Locally Placed CHINS

### For November 2006 to November 2007


#### November 2007

Location	Total Out of Home Placements	Placed in Same County	Percent Locally Placed
Statewide	9811	7073	72.09%
Region 2	419	234	55.85%
Pulaski County	26	12	46.15%


#### Statewide Percent Placed Locally



#### Region 2 Percent Placed Locally



#### Pulaski County Percent Placed Locally






# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Locally Placed CHINS


### For November 2006 to November 2007

November 2007			
Location	Total Out of Home Placements	Placed in Same County	Percent Locally Placed
Statewide	9811	7073	72.09%
Region 2	419	234	55.85%
Starke County	58	32	55.17%


**Statewide Percent Placed Locally**



**Region 2 Percent Placed Locally**



**Starke County Percent Placed Locally**








# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Average Number of Placement Moves

### For November 2006 to November 2007

November 2007	
County	Average Number of Placements Per Child
Statewide	2.86
Region 2	3.09
Jasper	1.96








# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Average Number of Placement Moves

### For November 2006 to November 2007

November 2007	
County	Average Number of Placements Per Child
Statewide	2.86
Region 2	3.09
Laporte	2.76








# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Average Number of Placement Moves

### For November 2006 to November 2007

November 2007	
County	Average Number of Placements Per Child
Statewide	2.86
Region 2	3.09
Newton	1.65








# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Average Number of Placement Moves

### For November 2006 to November 2007

November 2007	
County	Average Number of Placements Per Child
Statewide	2.86
Region 2	3.09
Porter	4.03








# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Average Number of Placement Moves

### For November 2006 to November 2007

November 2007	
County	Average Number of Placements Per Child
Statewide	2.86
Region 2	3.09
Pulaski	1.96








# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Average Number of Placement Moves

### For November 2006 to November 2007

November 2007	
County	Average Number of Placements Per Child
Statewide	2.86
Region 2	3.09
Starke	2.21





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Sibling Placement Report

### For November 2006 to November 2007


#### November 2007

Location	Count of Sibling Cases	Cases With All Children Placed Together	Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases	Average Number of Children per Case
Statewide	2390	1527	63.89%	2.72
Region 2	91	44	48.35%	2.88
Jasper County	6	4	66.67%	3.17


#### Statewide Percent Together



#### Region 2 Percent Together



#### Jasper County Percent Together





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Sibling Placement Report

### For November 2006 to November 2007


#### November 2007

Location	Count of Sibling Cases	Cases With All Children Placed Together	Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases	Average Number of Children per Case
Statewide	2390	1527	63.89%	2.72
Region 2	91	44	48.35%	2.88
Laporte County	11	5	45.45%	2.73


#### Statewide Percent Together



#### Region 2 Percent Together



#### Laporte County Percent Together





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Sibling Placement Report

### For November 2006 to November 2007


#### November 2007

Location	Count of Sibling Cases	Cases With All Children Placed Together	Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases	Average Number of Children per Case
Statewide	2390	1527	63.89%	2.72
Region 2	91	44	48.35%	2.88
Newton County	3	2	66.67%	3.00


#### Statewide Percent Together



#### Region 2 Percent Together



#### Newton County Percent Together





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Sibling Placement Report

### For November 2006 to November 2007


#### November 2007

Location	Count of Sibling Cases	Cases With All Children Placed Together	Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases	Average Number of Children per Case
Statewide	2390	1527	63.89%	2.72
Region 2	91	44	48.35%	2.88
Porter County	50	22	44.00%	2.90


#### Statewide Percent Together



#### Region 2 Percent Together



#### Porter County Percent Together





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Sibling Placement Report

### For November 2006 to November 2007


#### November 2007

Location	Count of Sibling Cases	Cases With All Children Placed Together	Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases	Average Number of Children per Case
Statewide	2390	1527	63.89%	2.72
Region 2	91	44	48.35%	2.88
Pulaski County	6	4	66.67%	3.17


#### Statewide Percent Together



#### Region 2 Percent Together



#### Pulaski County Percent Together





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Sibling Placement Report

### For November 2006 to November 2007


#### November 2007

Location	Count of Sibling Cases	Cases With All Children Placed Together	Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases	Average Number of Children per Case
Statewide	2390	1527	63.89%	2.72
Region 2	91	44	48.35%	2.88
Starke County	15	7	46.67%	2.67


#### Statewide Percent Together



#### Region 2 Percent Together



#### Starke County Percent Together





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Length of Stay in Out of Home Placement


### Children in Out of Home Placement by Length of Time Since Latest Removal

#### For November 2006 to November 2007


### November 2007

County	One Year 0 to 365 Days		Two Years 366 to 730 Days		Three Years 731 to 1095		Three + Years 1096 Days+		Length Available Per Child	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Average	Median
Statewide	4,189	42.7%	2,305	23.5%	1,527	15.6%	1,790	18.2%	676.79	460.16
Region 2	157	37.5%	126	30.1%	63	15.0%	73	17.4%	657.53	494.43
Jasper	16	43.2%	11	29.7%	7	18.9%	3	8.1%	529.90	450.55


#### Statewide Median Length



#### Region 2 Median Length



#### Jasper County Median Length





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Length of Stay in Out of Home Placement


### Children in Out of Home Placement by Length of Time Since Latest Removal

#### For November 2006 to November 2007


### November 2007

County	One Year 0 to 365 Days		Two Years 366 to 730 Days		Three Years 731 to 1095		Three + Years 1096 Days+		Length Available Per Child	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Average	Median
Statewide	4,189	42.7%	2,305	23.5%	1,527	15.6%	1,790	18.2%	676.79	460.16
Region 2	157	37.5%	126	30.1%	63	15.0%	73	17.4%	657.53	494.43
Laporte	33	45.8%	17	23.6%	7	9.7%	15	20.8%	744.22	438.50


#### Statewide Median Length



#### Region 2 Median Length



#### Laporte County Median Length





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Length of Stay in Out of Home Placement


### Children in Out of Home Placement by Length of Time Since Latest Removal

#### For November 2006 to November 2007


### November 2007

County	One Year 0 to 365 Days		Two Years 366 to 730 Days		Three Years 731 to 1095		Three + Years 1096 Days+		Length Available Per Child	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Average	Median
Statewide	4,189	42.7%	2,305	23.5%	1,527	15.6%	1,790	18.2%	676.79	460.16
Region 2	157	37.5%	126	30.1%	63	15.0%	73	17.4%	657.53	494.43
Newton	10	45.5%	9	40.9%	1	4.5%	2	9.1%	499.64	410.50


#### Statewide Median Length



#### Region 2 Median Length



#### Newton County Median Length





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Length of Stay in Out of Home Placement


### Children in Out of Home Placement by Length of Time Since Latest Removal

#### For November 2006 to November 2007


### November 2007

County	One Year 0 to 365 Days		Two Years 366 to 730 Days		Three Years 731 to 1095		Three + Years 1096 Days+		Length Available Per Child	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Average	Median
Statewide	4,189	42.7%	2,305	23.5%	1,527	15.6%	1,790	18.2%	676.79	460.16
Region 2	157	37.5%	126	30.1%	63	15.0%	73	17.4%	657.53	494.43
Porter	50	24.5%	72	35.3%	38	18.6%	44	21.6%	710.87	608.00


#### Statewide Median Length



#### Region 2 Median Length



#### Porter County Median Length





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Length of Stay in Out of Home Placement


### Children in Out of Home Placement by Length of Time Since Latest Removal

#### For November 2006 to November 2007


### November 2007

County	One Year 0 to 365 Days		Two Years 366 to 730 Days		Three Years 731 to 1095		Three + Years 1096 Days+		Length Available Per Child	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Average	Median
Statewide	4,189	42.7%	2,305	23.5%	1,527	15.6%	1,790	18.2%	676.79	460.16
Region 2	157	37.5%	126	30.1%	63	15.0%	73	17.4%	657.53	494.43
Pulaski	18	69.2%	6	23.1%	2	7.7%	0	0.0%	310.41	317.00


#### Statewide Median Length



#### Region 2 Median Length



#### Pulaski County Median Length





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Length of Stay in Out of Home Placement


### Children in Out of Home Placement by Length of Time Since Latest Removal

#### For November 2006 to November 2007


### November 2007

County	One Year 0 to 365 Days		Two Years 366 to 730 Days		Three Years 731 to 1095		Three + Years 1096 Days+		Length Available Per Child	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Average	Median
Statewide	4,189	42.7%	2,305	23.5%	1,527	15.6%	1,790	18.2%	676.79	460.16
Region 2	157	37.5%	126	30.1%	63	15.0%	73	17.4%	657.53	494.43
Starke	30	51.7%	11	19.0%	8	13.8%	9	15.5%	659.21	341.50


**Statewide Median Length**



**Region 2 Median Length**



**Starke County Median Length**





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Finalized Adoption Rates and Reunification Rates

### For November 2006 to November 2007


November 2007

Location	Out of Home CHINS with TPR at the end of Oct 2007	Finalized Adoptions Entered During Nov 2007	Percent of Adoptions to CHINS TPRs	Out of Home CHINS without TPR at the end of Oct 2007	Reunifications Occuring During Nov 2007	Percent Reunifications to CHINS without TPR
Statewide	1,200	106	8.83%	8,625	259	3.00%
Region 2	68	4	5.88%	341	11	3.23%
Jasper County	2	0	0.00%	38	5	13.16%


**Statewide Rates**



**Region 2 Rates**



**Jasper County Rates**





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Finalized Adoption Rates and Reunification Rates

### For November 2006 to November 2007


November 2007

Location	Out of Home CHINS with TPR at the end of Oct 2007	Finalized Adoptions Entered During Nov 2007	Percent of Adoptions to CHINS TPRs	Out of Home CHINS without TPR at the end of Oct 2007	Reunifications Occuring During Nov 2007	Percent Reunifications to CHINS without TPR
Statewide	1,200	106	8.83%	8,625	259	3.00%
Region 2	68	4	5.88%	341	11	3.23%
Laporte County	8	0	0.00%	63	1	1.59%


**Statewide Rates**



**Region 2 Rates**



**Laporte County Rates**





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Finalized Adoption Rates and Reunification Rates

### For November 2006 to November 2007


November 2007

Location	Out of Home CHINS with TPR at the end of Oct 2007	Finalized Adoptions Entered During Nov 2007	Percent of Adoptions to CHINS TPRs	Out of Home CHINS without TPR at the end of Oct 2007	Reunifications Occuring During Nov 2007	Percent Reunifications to CHINS without TPR
Statewide	1,200	106	8.83%	8,625	259	3.00%
Region 2	68	4	5.88%	341	11	3.23%
Newton County	0	0	NA	25	4	16.00%


**Statewide Rates**



**Region 2 Rates**



**Newton County Rates**





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Finalized Adoption Rates and Reunification Rates

### For November 2006 to November 2007


November 2007

Location	Out of Home CHINS with TPR at the end of Oct 2007	Finalized Adoptions Entered During Nov 2007	Percent of Adoptions to CHINS TPRs	Out of Home CHINS without TPR at the end of Oct 2007	Reunifications Occuring During Nov 2007	Percent Reunifications to CHINS without TPR
Statewide	1,200	106	8.83%	8,625	259	3.00%
Region 2	68	4	5.88%	341	11	3.23%
Porter County	40	2	5.00%	151	1	0.66%


**Statewide Rates**



**Region 2 Rates**



**Porter County Rates**





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Finalized Adoption Rates and Reunification Rates

### For November 2006 to November 2007


November 2007

Location	Out of Home CHINS with TPR at the end of Oct 2007	Finalized Adoptions Entered During Nov 2007	Percent of Adoptions to CHINS TPRs	Out of Home CHINS without TPR at the end of Oct 2007	Reunifications Occuring During Nov 2007	Percent Reunifications to CHINS without TPR
Statewide	1,200	106	8.83%	8,625	259	3.00%
Region 2	68	4	5.88%	341	11	3.23%
Pulaski County	1	0	0.00%	25	0	0.00%


**Statewide Rates**



**Region 2 Rates**



**Pulaski County Rates**





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Finalized Adoption Rates and Reunification Rates

### For November 2006 to November 2007


November 2007

Location	Out of Home CHINS with TPR at the end of Oct 2007	Finalized Adoptions Entered During Nov 2007	Percent of Adoptions to CHINS TPRs	Out of Home CHINS without TPR at the end of Oct 2007	Reunifications Occuring During Nov 2007	Percent Reunifications to CHINS without TPR
Statewide	1,200	106	8.83%	8,625	259	3.00%
Region 2	68	4	5.88%	341	11	3.23%
Starke County	17	2	11.76%	39	0	0.00%


**Statewide Rates**



**Region 2 Rates**



**Starke County Rates**






# DCS Practice Indicator Report Visitations and Contacts Report

Average Visitations and Contacts per Child During the Report Month by County  
For November 2006 to November 2007


## November 2007

County	FCM Contacts With Families Occuring During the Month	Average FCM Contacts With Families Occuring During the Month	Children with Visitations Occuring During the Month	Average Visitations Occuring per Child with Contact During the Month
Statewide	7,097	1.94	642	3.47
Region 2	362	1.47	99	3.38
Jasper	36	1.39	13	1.92


### Statewide Average Visitations and Contacts



### Region 2 Average Visitations and Contacts



### Jasper County Average Visitations and Contacts






# DCS Practice Indicator Report Visitations and Contacts Report

Average Visitations and Contacts per Child During the Report Month by County  
For November 2006 to November 2007


## November 2007

County	FCM Contacts With Families Occuring During the Month	Average FCM Contacts With Families Occuring During the Month	Children with Visitations Occuring During the Month	Average Visitations Occuring per Child with Contact During the Month
Statewide	7,097	1.94	642	3.47
Region 2	362	1.47	99	3.38
Laporte	58	1.60	8	1.38


### Statewide Average Visitations and Contacts



### Region 2 Average Visitations and Contacts



### Laporte County Average Visitations and Contacts






# DCS Practice Indicator Report Visitations and Contacts Report

Average Visitations and Contacts per Child During the Report Month by County  
For November 2006 to November 2007


## November 2007

County	FCM Contacts With Families Occuring During the Month	Average FCM Contacts With Families Occuring During the Month	Children with Visitations Occuring During the Month	Average Visitations Occuring per Child with Contact During the Month
Statewide	7,097	1.94	642	3.47
Region 2	362	1.47	99	3.38
Newton	61	1.62	19	3.11


### Statewide Average Visitations and Contacts



### Region 2 Average Visitations and Contacts



### Newton County Average Visitations and Contacts






# DCS Practice Indicator Report Visitations and Contacts Report

Average Visitations and Contacts per Child During the Report Month by County  
For November 2006 to November 2007


## November 2007

County	FCM Contacts With Families Occuring During the Month	Average FCM Contacts With Families Occuring During the Month	Children with Visitations Occuring During the Month	Average Visitations Occuring per Child with Contact During the Month
Statewide	7,097	1.94	642	3.47
Region 2	362	1.47	99	3.38
Porter	80	1.43	5	2.80


### Statewide Average Visitations and Contacts



### Region 2 Average Visitations and Contacts



### Porter County Average Visitations and Contacts






# DCS Practice Indicator Report Visitations and Contacts Report

Average Visitations and Contacts per Child During the Report Month by County  
For November 2006 to November 2007


## November 2007

County	FCM Contacts With Families Occuring During the Month	Average FCM Contacts With Families Occuring During the Month	Children with Visitations Occuring During the Month	Average Visitations Occuring per Child with Contact During the Month
Statewide	7,097	1.94	642	3.47
Region 2	362	1.47	99	3.38
Pulaski	34	1.35	38	4.58


### Statewide Average Visitations and Contacts



### Region 2 Average Visitations and Contacts



### Pulaski County Average Visitations and Contacts






# DCS Practice Indicator Report Visitations and Contacts Report

Average Visitations and Contacts per Child During the Report Month by County  
For November 2006 to November 2007


## November 2007

County	FCM Contacts With Families Occuring During the Month	Average FCM Contacts With Families Occuring During the Month	Children with Visitations Occuring During the Month	Average Visitations Occuring per Child with Contact During the Month
Statewide	7,097	1.94	642	3.47
Region 2	362	1.47	99	3.38
Starke	93	1.40	16	3.25


### Statewide Average Visitations and Contacts



### Region 2 Average Visitations and Contacts



### Starke County Average Visitations and Contacts





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Trended Repeat Maltreatment

### For November 2006 to November 2007


#### For November 2007

County	Children With Substantiated Allegations During Rolling Quarter		
	Count	Children With No Prior Maltreatment in the Prior 12 Months	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent
Statewide	5,100	4,458	87.41%
Region 2	118	114	96.61%
Jasper	11	11	100.00%


**Statewide Absence of Repeat Maltreatment**



**Region 2 Absence of Repeat Maltreatment**



**Jasper County Absence of Repeat Maltreatment**





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Trended Repeat Maltreatment

### For November 2006 to November 2007


#### For November 2007

County	Children With Substantiated Allegations During Rolling Quarter		
	Count	Children With No Prior Maltreatment in the Prior 12 Months	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent
Statewide	5,100	4,458	87.41%
Region 2	118	114	96.61%
Laporte	33	33	100.00%


**Statewide Absence of Repeat Maltreatment**



**Region 2 Absence of Repeat Maltreatment**



**Laporte County Absence of Repeat Maltreatment**





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Trended Repeat Maltreatment

### For November 2006 to November 2007


#### For November 2007

County	Children With Substantiated Allegations During Rolling Quarter		
	Count	Children With No Prior Maltreatment in the Prior 12 Months	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent
Statewide	5,100	4,458	87.41%
Region 2	118	114	96.61%
Newton	7	7	100.00%


**Statewide Absence of Repeat Maltreatment**



**Region 2 Absence of Repeat Maltreatment**



**Newton County Absence of Repeat Maltreatment**





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Trended Repeat Maltreatment

### For November 2006 to November 2007


#### For November 2007

County	Children With Substantiated Allegations During Rolling Quarter		
	Count	Children With No Prior Maltreatment in the Prior 12 Months	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent
Statewide	5,100	4,458	87.41%
Region 2	118	114	96.61%
Porter	30	28	93.33%


#### Statewide Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



#### Region 2 Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



#### Porter County Absence of Repeat Maltreatment





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Trended Repeat Maltreatment

### For November 2006 to November 2007


**For November 2007**

County	Children With Substantiated Allegations During Rolling Quarter		
	Count	Children With No Prior Maltreatment in the Prior 12 Months	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent
Statewide	5,100	4,458	87.41%
Region 2	118	114	96.61%
Pulaski	10	10	100.00%


**Statewide Absence of Repeat Maltreatment**



**Region 2 Absence of Repeat Maltreatment**



**Pulaski County Absence of Repeat Maltreatment**





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Trended Repeat Maltreatment

### For November 2006 to November 2007


#### For November 2007

County	Children With Substantiated Allegations During Rolling Quarter		
	Count	Children With No Prior Maltreatment in the Prior 12 Months	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent
Statewide	5,100	4,458	87.41%
Region 2	118	114	96.61%
Starke	27	25	92.59%

**Statewide Absence of Repeat Maltreatment**



**Region 2 Absence of Repeat Maltreatment**



**Starke County Absence of Repeat Maltreatment**

