




*Protecting our children,
families and future*

Department of Child Services

Practice Indicator Reports

For June 2010



Region 04

June 2010

Data in the report (both historical and current) may be subject to change as it is continually being validated and updated. This may result in statistical data in previous reports to be inconsistent with statistics found in more recent reports even when the data pertain to the same time period.



Published
August 2010



DCS Practice Indicator Report Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

For June 2010

Report Description

This report uses data collected over a rolling three month period ending with the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use a rolling three month period ending with the historical month listed.

Reports Taken – This section has data regarding the reports taken during the three month period ending with the report month.

Count – This is the total number of reports of abuse or neglect that are entered into ICWIS during the period ending with the report month.

Percent Resulting in Screen Outs – This is the percentage of reports that are screened out divided by the total reports taken during the period ending with the report month.

Assessments Substantiated – This section has data regarding the number of assessments with substantiated findings of abuse and/or neglect during the three month period ending with the report month.

Count – This is the total number of assessments with substantiated findings of abuse and/or neglect during the period ending with the report month.

Percent Resulting in Removal – This is the percentage of substantiated assessments during the period that resulted in removal of the child from the home by the end of the report month divided by the total number of substantiated assessments during the period ending with the report month.



DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

For June 2010

June 2010

Location	Reports Taken For Apr 2010 to Jun 2010		Assessments Substantiated For Apr 2010 to Jun 2010	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	33,673	22.2%	7,342	30.8%
Region 4	2,894	13.37%	632	28.32%
Adams	88	13.6%	33	12.1%
Allen	1,485	18.1%	265	26.8%
Dekalb	290	6.9%	114	22.8%
Huntington	140	12.1%	39	10.3%
LaGrange	129	1.6%	30	33.3%
Noble	223	17.9%	57	70.2%
Steuben	322	0.9%	54	27.8%
Wells	156	14.1%	27	25.9%
Whitley	61	3.3%	13	15.4%


Statewide Percent Removal Trend



Region 4 For June 2009 to June 2010

Month	Reports Taken For Apr 2010 to Jun 2010		Assessments Substantiated For Apr 2010 to Jun 2010	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Jun 2010	2,894	13.4%	632	28.3%
May 2010	2,948	12.4%	628	29.5%
Apr 2010	2,855	12.6%	633	27.3%
Mar 2010	2,641	13.1%	610	29.7%
Feb 2010	2,468	15.0%	566	29.3%
Jan 2010	2,427	14.6%	599	31.6%
Dec 2009	2,458	17.0%	638	31.3%
Nov 2009	2,619	13.9%	672	31.8%
Oct 2009	2,740	14.5%	674	32.3%
Sep 2009	2,796	10.2%	675	31.1%
Aug 2009	2,776	8.0%	738	28.5%
Jul 2009	2,773	4.9%	747	24.9%
Jun 2009	2,722	4.0%	704	22.4%

Region 4 Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS Placements by County

For June 2010

Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the end of the historical month listed. This report looks at CHINS children from CHINS cases that were open on the last day of the report month. It also collects where that child is located (in their own home or in substitute care).

Total CHINS Count – This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the report month.

CHINS – This section has data regarding all children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month.

In Home – This section has data regarding children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home.

Count- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home.

Percent- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home divided by the total number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month.

Foster Care – This section has data regarding children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in out of home placement.

Count- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in out of home placement.

Percent- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in out of home placement divided by the total number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month.

Out of Home Placements – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in out of home placement.

Relative Homes – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed with relatives.

Count- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed with relatives.

Percent- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed with relatives divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

Foster Homes – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in foster homes.

Count- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in foster homes.

Percent- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in foster homes divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

Residential – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in residential setting.

Count- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in residential setting.

Percent- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in residential setting divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

Other – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in other placement settings. Example would be a court ordered unlicensed placement.

Count- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in other placement settings.

Percent- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in other placement settings divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.




DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS Placements by County

For June 2010

June 2010

Location	Total CHINS Count	CHINS				Out of Home Placements							
		In Home		Foster Care		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential		Other	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	15396	4593	29.8%	10,803	70.2%	3827	35.4%	5479	50.7%	1249	11.6%	248	2.3%
Region 4	1633	506	31.0%	1127	69.0%	333	29.5%	613	54.4%	136	12.1%	45	4.0%
Adams	59	21	35.6%	38	64.4%	13	34.2%	18	47.4%	6	15.8%	1	2.6%
Allen	1055	358	33.9%	697	66.1%	197	28.3%	389	55.8%	77	11.0%	34	4.9%
DeKalb	111	21	18.9%	90	81.1%	21	23.3%	58	64.4%	11	12.2%	0	0.0%
Huntington	55	19	34.5%	36	65.5%	15	41.7%	15	41.7%	5	13.9%	1	2.8%
LaGrange	61	21	34.4%	40	65.6%	21	52.5%	13	32.5%	5	12.5%	1	2.5%
Noble	145	23	15.9%	122	84.1%	41	33.6%	67	54.9%	12	9.8%	2	1.6%
Steuben	83	20	24.1%	63	75.9%	4	6.3%	37	58.7%	16	25.4%	6	9.5%
Wells	40	12	30.0%	28	70.0%	17	60.7%	10	35.7%	1	3.6%	0	0.0%
Whitley	24	11	45.8%	13	54.2%	4	30.8%	6	46.2%	3	23.1%	0	0.0%


Statewide Foster Care Placement Breakdown



Region 4 For June 2009 to June 2010

Month	Total CHINS Count	CHINS				Out of Home Placements							
		In Home		Foster Care		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential		Other	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Jun 2010	1633	506	31.0%	1127	69.0%	333	29.5%	613	54.4%	136	12.1%	45	4.0%
May 2010	1642	495	30.1%	1147	69.9%	326	28.4%	634	55.3%	144	12.6%	43	3.7%
Apr 2010	1643	502	30.6%	1141	69.4%	319	28.0%	629	55.1%	144	12.6%	49	4.3%
Mar 2010	1634	502	30.7%	1132	69.3%	320	28.3%	629	55.6%	140	12.4%	43	3.8%
Feb 2010	1605	479	29.8%	1126	70.2%	309	27.4%	620	55.1%	147	13.1%	50	4.4%
Jan 2010	1631	500	30.7%	1131	69.3%	311	27.5%	616	54.5%	147	13.0%	57	5.0%
Dec 2009	1597	478	29.9%	1119	70.1%	299	26.7%	591	52.8%	142	12.7%	87	7.8%
Nov 2009	1643	492	29.9%	1151	70.1%	310	26.9%	611	53.1%	143	12.4%	87	7.6%
Oct 2009	1664	530	31.9%	1134	68.1%	304	26.8%	602	53.1%	143	12.6%	85	7.5%
Sep 2009	1659	519	31.3%	1140	68.7%	325	28.5%	608	53.3%	135	11.8%	72	6.3%
Aug 2009	1671	528	31.6%	1143	68.4%	344	30.1%	602	52.7%	136	11.9%	61	5.3%
Jul 2009	1679	541	32.2%	1138	67.8%	336	29.5%	603	53.0%	136	12.0%	63	5.5%
Jun 2009	1739	559	32.1%	1180	67.9%	366	31.0%	626	53.1%	134	11.4%	54	4.6%

Region 4 Foster Care Placement Breakdown





DCS Practice Indicator Report Use of Foster Care by County

June 2010

Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the end of the historical month listed. This report looks at CHINS children from CHINS cases that were open on the last day of the report month. It also collects where that child is located (in their own home or in substitute care). For the purpose of this report, children with non-custodial parents are considered to be in their own home.

Total CHINS Count – This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the report month.

In Home or Relative Care – This section has data regarding children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home or in relative care.

Count- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home or in relative care.

Percent- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home or in relative care divided by the total number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month.

Target (At or Above) % – The target for the percent of the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home or in relative care to the total number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month is 50% or higher.

Non Relative Foster Care – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are not in their own home or in relative care.

Count- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are not in their own home or in relative care.

Percent- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are not in their own home or in relative care divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

Target (At or below) % – The target for the percent of the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are not in their own home or in relative care to the total number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month is 50% or higher.




DCS Practice Indicator Report Use of Foster Care by County

For June 2010

June 2010

Location	Total CHINS	In Home or Relative Care		Target (At or Above) %	Non-Relative Foster Care		Target (At or Below) %
	Count	Count	%		Count	%	
Statewide	15396	8420	54.7%	50.0%	6,976	45.3%	50.0%
Region 4	1633	839	51.4%	50.0%	794	48.6%	50.0%
Adams	59	34	57.6%	50.0%	25	42.4%	50.0%
Allen	1055	555	52.6%	50.0%	500	47.4%	50.0%
DeKalb	111	42	37.8%	50.0%	69	62.2%	50.0%
Huntington	55	34	61.8%	50.0%	21	38.2%	50.0%
LaGrange	61	42	68.9%	50.0%	19	31.1%	50.0%
Noble	145	64	44.1%	50.0%	81	55.9%	50.0%
Steuben	83	24	28.9%	50.0%	59	71.1%	50.0%
Wells	40	29	72.5%	50.0%	11	27.5%	50.0%
Whitley	24	15	62.5%	50.0%	9	37.5%	50.0%


Statewide Foster Care Placement Breakdown



Region 4 For June 2009 to June 2010

Month	Total CHINS	In Home or Relative Care		Target (At or Above) %	Non-Relative Foster Care		Target (At or Below) %
	Count	Count	%		Count	%	
June 2010	1633	839	51.4%	50.0%	794	48.6%	50.0%
May 2010	1642	821	50.0%	50.0%	821	50.0%	50.0%
April 2010	1643	821	50.0%	50.0%	822	50.0%	50.0%
March 2010	1634	822	50.3%	50.0%	812	49.7%	50.0%
February 2010	1605	788	49.1%	50.0%	817	50.9%	50.0%
January 2010	1631	811	49.7%	50.0%	820	50.3%	50.0%
December 2009	1597	777	48.7%	50.0%	820	51.3%	50.0%
November 2009	1643	802	48.8%	50.0%	841	51.2%	50.0%
October 2009	1664	834	50.1%	50.0%	830	49.9%	50.0%
September 2009	1659	844	50.9%	50.0%	815	49.1%	50.0%
August 2009	1671	872	52.2%	50.0%	799	47.8%	50.0%
July 2009	1679	877	52.2%	50.0%	802	47.8%	50.0%
June 2009	1739	925	53.2%	50.0%	814	46.8%	50.0%

Region 4 Foster Care Placement Breakdown





DCS Practice Indicator Report Locally Placed CHINS

For June 2010

Report Description

This report uses data collected over at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the historical month listed. For the purpose of this report, children with their non-custodial parents are considered to be in their own home.

Total Out of Home Placements – This is the total number of CHINS children that are in out of home placement on the last day of the report month.

Placed in Same County – This is the total number of CHINS children that are placed in the same county as the county of their case.

Percent Locally Placed – This is the percentage of CHINS children that are placed in the same county as the county of their case divided by the total number of CHINS children which are in out of home placement on the last day of the report month.




DCS Practice Indicator Report Locally Placed CHINS

For June 2010

June 2010

Location	Total Out of Home Placements	Placed in Same County	Percent Locally Placed
Statewide	10,803	7,934	73.4%
Region 4	1,127	873	77.5%
Adams	38	23	60.5%
Allen	697	594	85.2%
Dekalb	90	51	56.7%
Huntington	36	29	80.6%
LaGrange	40	31	77.5%
Noble	122	81	66.4%
Steuben	63	34	54.0%
Wells	28	22	78.6%
Whitley	13	8	61.5%


Statewide Percent Placed Locally



Region 4 For June 2009 to June 2010

Month	Total Out of Home Placements	Placed in Same County	Percent Locally Placed
Jun 2010	1,127	873	77.5%
May 2010	1,147	881	76.8%
Apr 2010	1,141	872	76.4%
Mar 2010	1,132	871	76.9%
Feb 2010	1,126	854	75.8%
Jan 2010	1,131	857	75.8%
Dec 2009	1,119	856	76.5%
Nov 2009	1,151	894	77.7%
Oct 2009	1,134	880	77.6%
Sep 2009	1,140	893	78.3%
Aug 2009	1,143	899	78.7%
Jul 2009	1,138	901	79.2%
Jun 2009	1,180	939	79.6%

Region 4 Percent Placed Locally





DCS Practice Indicator Report Average Number of Placements

For June 2010

Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the historical month listed.

Average Number of Placements Per Child – This is the total number of out of home placements for each CHINS child in out of home placement on the last day of the report month. This includes all placements during the current removal episode. If a child had at least one placement end in the first 8 days from removal, then that child's number of placements was reduced by one.

This number is divided by the total number CHINS children who are in out of home placement on the last day of the report month.




DCS Practice Indicator Report Average Number of Placements

For June 2009 to June 2010

June 2010

Location	Average Number of Placements Per Child
Statewide	2.5
Region 4	2.9
Adams	2.6
Allen	3.3
Dekalb	2.0
Huntington	1.5
LaGrange	2.5
Noble	2.1
Steuben	2.7
Wells	1.9
Whitley	1.7


Statewide Average Number of Placements



Region 4 For June 2009 to June 2010

Month	Average Number of Placements Per Child
June 2010	2.9
May 2010	3.0
April 2010	3.0
March 2010	3.0
February 2010	3.1
January 2010	3.1
December 2009	3.1
November 2009	3.1
October 2009	3.1
September 2009	3.1
August 2009	3.1
July 2009	3.1
June 2009	3.1

Region 4 Average Number of Placements





DCS Practice Indicator Report Sibling Placement Report

For June 2010

Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the end of the historical month listed. For the purpose of this report, children with a non-custodial parent are considered to be in their own home.

Count of Sibling Cases – This is the number of open cases as of the end of the report month which have more than one child in out of home placement at the end of the report month.

Cases With All Children Placed Together – This is the number of cases with more than one child in out of home placement where all of the children are placed in the same resource with the same resource ID.

Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases– This is the percentage of the number of cases where all of the children in out of home placement are placed together divided by the number of open cases with more than one child in out of home placement as of the end of the report month.

Average Number of Children per Case – This number takes the number of children in out of home placement from cases with more than one child in out of home placement and divides it by the number of cases with more than one child in out of home placement as of the end of the report month.




DCS Practice Indicator Report Sibling Placement Report

June 2010

June 2010

Location	Count of Sibling Cases	Cases With All Children Placed Together	Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases	Average Number of Children per Case
Statewide	2745	1960	71.4%	2.67
Region 4	290	198	68.3%	2.60
Adams	10	7	70.0%	2.10
Allen	182	119	65.4%	2.60
Dekalb	23	18	78.3%	2.61
Huntington	9	8	88.9%	2.56
LaGrange	8	7	87.5%	3.13
Noble	33	24	72.7%	2.55
Steuben	16	8	50.0%	2.75
Wells	7	6	85.7%	2.43
Whitley	2	1	50.0%	2.50


Statewide Percent Together



Region 4 For June 2009 to June 2010

Month	Count of Sibling Cases	Cases With All Children Placed Together	Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases	Average Number of Children per Case
June 2010	290	198	68.3%	2.60
May 2010	295	196	66.4%	2.61
April 2010	292	191	65.4%	2.63
March 2010	291	186	63.9%	2.63
February 2010	290	191	65.9%	2.63
January 2010	294	195	66.3%	2.64
December 2009	292	197	67.5%	2.62
November 2009	299	202	67.6%	2.63
October 2009	297	202	68.0%	2.63
September 2009	298	204	68.5%	2.65
August 2009	304	207	68.1%	2.65
July 2009	297	197	66.3%	2.67
June 2009	313	204	65.2%	2.66

Region 4 Percent Together





DCS Practice Indicator Report

Length of Stay in Out of Home Placement

Children in Out of Home Placement by Length of Time Since Latest Removal

For June 2010

Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the end of the historical month listed. This report looks at CHINS children that were in out of home placement at the end of the month. It measures the number of days since the child was last removed from its home. For the purpose of this report, children with a non-custodial parent are treated as in home.

One Year - 0 to 365 Days– This is column shows data for CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 0 and 365 days since the child's removal.

Count- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 0 and 365 days since the child's last removal.

Percent- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 0 and 365 days since the child's last removal divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

One Year - 366 to 730 Days– This is column shows data for CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 366 and 730 days since the c last removal.

Count- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 366 and 730 days since the child's last removal.

Percent- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 366 and 730 days since the child's last removal divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

One Year - 731 to 1095 Days– This is column shows data for CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 731 to 1095 days since the child's last removal.

Count- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 731 to 1095 days since the child's last removal.

Percent- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 731 to 1095 days since the child's last removal divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

One Year - 1096 Days+– This is column shows data for CHINS children that have been in out of home placement 1096 days or more since the child's last removal.

Count- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement 1096 days or more since the child's last removal.

Percent- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement 1096 days or more since the child's last removal divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

Length Per Child – This column shows data for length of time of CHINS children who were in out of home placement at the end of the report month have been in out of home placement since the child's last removal from their home.

Average- This column calculates the average or "mean" length of time in out of home placement since the last removal per child in out of home placement at the end of the report month.

Median- This column calculates the median length of time in out of home placement since the last removal per child in out of home placement at the end of the report month.



DCS Practice Indicator Report


Length of Stay in Out of Home Placement

Children in Out of Home Placement by Length of Time Since Latest Removal For June 2010

June 2010

Location	One Year 0 to 365 Days		Two Years 366 to 730 Days		Three Years 731 to 1095 Days		Three + Years 1096 Days+		Length Per Child	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Average	Median
Statewide	5,427	50.2%	2,495	23.1%	1,247	11.5%	1,634	15.1%	594.6	363.4
Region 4	522	46.3%	267	23.7%	126	11.2%	212	18.8%	676.4	414.6
Adams	13	34.2%	17	44.7%	4	10.5%	4	10.5%	735.0	538.0
Allen	279	40.0%	179	25.7%	90	12.9%	149	21.4%	760.5	481.6
Dekalb	50	55.6%	19	21.1%	5	5.6%	16	17.8%	568.8	339.0
Huntington	22	61.1%	8	22.2%	3	8.3%	3	8.3%	454.1	240.0
LaGrange	23	57.5%	9	22.5%	5	12.5%	3	7.5%	468.0	297.5
Noble	85	69.7%	17	13.9%	6	4.9%	14	11.5%	434.1	185.0
Steuben	29	46.0%	11	17.5%	5	7.9%	18	28.6%	710.7	421.5
Wells	14	50.0%	6	21.4%	7	25.0%	1	3.6%	443.3	342.5
Whitley	7	53.8%	1	7.7%	1	7.7%	4	30.8%	606.4	331.3


Statewide Median Length



Region 4 For June 2009 to June 2010

Month	One Year 0 to 365 Days		Two Years 366 to 730 Days		Three Years 731 to 1095 Days		Three + Years 1096 Days+		Length Per Child	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Average	Median
June 2010	522	46.3%	267	23.7%	126	11.2%	212	18.8%	676.4	414.6
May 2010	528	46.0%	268	23.4%	131	11.4%	220	19.2%	674.9	412.4
April 2010	535	46.9%	258	22.6%	128	11.2%	220	19.3%	671.1	412.6
March 2010	528	46.6%	267	23.6%	124	11.0%	213	18.8%	663.0	391.0
February 2010	531	47.2%	249	22.1%	130	11.5%	216	19.2%	669.2	410.5
January 2010	526	46.5%	244	21.6%	133	11.8%	228	20.2%	681.2	415.4
December 2009	514	45.9%	251	22.4%	127	11.3%	227	20.3%	690.2	430.5
November 2009	514	44.7%	258	22.4%	140	12.2%	239	20.8%	693.9	443.4
October 2009	494	43.6%	256	22.6%	143	12.6%	241	21.3%	692.6	431.0
September 2009	489	42.9%	249	21.8%	157	13.8%	245	21.5%	699.8	431.0
August 2009	511	44.7%	238	20.8%	164	14.3%	230	20.1%	694.5	417.5
July 2009	500	43.9%	243	21.4%	160	14.1%	235	20.7%	702.5	438.5
June 2009	548	46.4%	225	19.1%	171	14.5%	236	20.0%	690.8	426.5

Region 4 Median Length





DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS and IA Permanency Report

For June 2010

Report Description

This report uses CHINS and IA case types that have case type status end date occurring during the month. It then groups these cases using the closure reasons codes into categories of closure reasons. Case with closure reason code “Case opened in error – disregard” are filtered out for the purpose of this report.

Closure Reasons are group by:

Description	Category	Description	Category
Child Reunited with Parents	Reunification	Case closed; AG case created	Guardianship
Child never Removed from own home, wardship terminated	Reunification	Child placed with relatives	Relative
Extended wardship terminated (on court order for age 18 to 21)	Emancipation	Child Placed with Legal guardian	Guardianship
Child Returned to Primary Home	Reunification	Child Reached Age of Majority (age 18)	Emancipation
Period of IA ended code used for Informal Adjustment cases only	Reunification	Emancipated Court Ordered (age 18)	Emancipation
Child Adoption Finalized	Adoption	Child Already Reunited with parent (s) when wardship terminated	Reunification

The Permanency column “Total” refers to the total case with reason codes in the Reunification, Adoption, Relative, Guardianship, and Emancipation categories.

The Permanency column “Total within 24 months” refers to the total case that ended within 24 month of the case status type begin date in the Reunification, Adoption, Relative, Guardianship, and Emancipation categories.




DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS and IA Permanency Report

For June 2010

June 2010

Location	Closed Cases Types with Permanency Outcomes					Permanency		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation	Total	Within 24 Months	24 Month Rate
Statewide	851	78	12	69	61	1071	901	84.1
Region 4	69	3	3	8	8	91	70	76.9
Adams	2	0	0	0	1	3	2	66.7
Allen	30	1	1	2	4	38	28	73.7
Dekalb	14	1	0	0	0	15	11	73.3
Huntington	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0.0
LaGrange	5	0	1	0	2	8	6	75.0
Noble	8	0	0	6	0	14	14	100.0
Steuben	6	0	1	0	1	8	6	75.0
Wells	3	1	0	0	0	4	3	75.0
Whitley	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	NA


Statewide Permanency within 24 Months



Region 4 For June 2009 to June 2010

Location	Closed Cases Types with Permanency Outcomes					Permanency		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation	Total	Within 24 Months	24 Month Rate
Jun 2010	69	3	3	8	8	91	70	76.9
May 2010	61	12	1	1	2	77	64	83.1
Apr 2010	57	3	7	6	3	76	69	90.8
Mar 2010	37	11	0	6	3	57	38	66.7
Feb 2010	57	8	7	9	8	89	69	77.5
Jan 2010	63	5	3	4	1	76	61	80.3
Dec 2009	58	31	13	12	4	118	77	65.3
Nov 2009	66	1	4	5	3	79	66	83.5
Oct 2009	63	19	6	4	2	94	67	71.3
Sep 2009	69	3	2	2	5	81	69	85.2
Aug 2009	56	13	2	1	6	78	57	73.1
Jul 2009	58	13	2	1	6	80	58	72.5
Jun 2009	65	3	1	7	3	79	70	88.6

Region 4 Permanency within 24 Months





DCS Practice Indicator Report Family Case Manager Contacts Report

For June 2010

Report Description

This report uses data collected over at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the historical month listed. For the purpose of this report, a person is considered family for the child if they have the following relationships:

- Father
- Mother
- Stepfather
- Stepmother
- Grandfather
- Grandmother
- Pre-adoptive father
- Pre-adoptive mother
- Adoptive grandparent
- Legal Guardian

Children Out of Home Entire Month – This column shows the number of children in out of home placement at the end of the report month who also have a removal date prior to the first of the report month. For the purpose of this report, children with non-custodial parents and treated as in home.

Contact Information – This section of the reports shows information regarding FCM contacts (from the contact screens) during the report month.

FCM with Family – This section of the report shows FCM contacts with the child's family (as described above) during the report month.

Children – This is the total number of children that the FCM had at least one contact with a member of the child's family during the report month.

Rate – This is the total number of children that FCM had at least one contact with a member of the child's family divided by the total number of children in out of home placement for the entire month (as described above).

FCM with Child – This section of the report shows FCM contacts with the child during the report month.

Children – This is the total number of children that the FCM had at least one contact with a the child during the report month.

Rate – This is the total number of children that FCM had at least one contact with the child divided by the total number of children in out of home placement for the entire month (as described above).

FCM with Family and Child – This section of the report shows FCM contacts with the child's family (as described above) **AND** the child during the report month. Contact with both had to have occurred for the child to be included in this section. The family and child do not need to present at the same contact, contact with each could have been made in two separate contacts.

Children – This is the total number of children that the FCM had at least one contact with a member of the child's family **and** at least one contact with the child during the report month.

Rate – This is the total number of children that FCM had at least one contact with a member of the child's family **and** at least one contact with the child during the report month divided by the total number of children in out of home placement for the entire month (as described above).



DCS Practice Indicator Report


Family Case Manager Contacts Report

For June 2010

June 2010

Location	Children out of home entire month	Contact Information					
		FCM with Family		FCM with Child		FCM with Family and Child	
		Children	Rate	Children	Rate	Children	Rate
Statewide	10,279	3,171	30.8%	10,072	98.0%	3,137	30.5%
Region 4	1,084	352	32.5%	1,073	99.0%	351	32.4%
Adams	38	8	21.1%	37	97.4%	8	21.1%
Allen	675	215	31.9%	672	99.6%	215	31.9%
Dekalb	89	39	43.8%	89	100.0%	39	43.8%
Huntington	30	15	50.0%	29	96.7%	15	50.0%
LaGrange	40	18	45.0%	40	100.0%	18	45.0%
Noble	112	22	19.6%	109	97.3%	22	19.6%
Steuben	61	18	29.5%	58	95.1%	17	27.9%
Wells	27	8	29.6%	27	100.0%	8	29.6%
Whitley	12	9	75.0%	12	100.0%	9	75.0%


Statewide Child Contact Rate



Region 4 For June 2009 to June 2010

Month	Children out of home entire month	Contact Information					
		FCM with Family		FCM with Child		FCM with Family and Child	
		Children	Rate	Children	Rate	Children	Rate
Jun 2010	1,084	352	32.5%	1,073	99.0%	351	32.4%
May 2010	1,112	332	29.9%	1,076	96.8%	327	29.4%
Apr 2010	1,092	333	30.5%	1,077	98.6%	331	30.3%
Mar 2010	1,065	425	39.9%	1,049	98.5%	422	39.6%
Feb 2010	1,079	384	35.6%	1,048	97.1%	380	35.2%
Jan 2010	1,069	339	31.7%	1,045	97.8%	334	31.2%
Dec 2009	1,077	386	35.8%	1,066	99.0%	384	35.7%
Nov 2009	1,107	326	29.4%	1,086	98.1%	325	29.4%
Oct 2009	1,087	352	32.4%	1,069	98.3%	349	32.1%
Sep 2009	1,095	432	39.5%	1,073	98.0%	429	39.2%
Aug 2009	1,099	355	32.3%	1,079	98.2%	352	32.0%
Jul 2009	1,096	351	32.0%	1,084	98.9%	350	31.9%
Jun 2009	1,123	350	31.2%	1,114	99.2%	349	31.1%

Region 4 Child Contact Rate





DCS Practice Indicator Report Child Visitation Report

For June 2010

Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the historical month listed. For the purpose of this report, a person is considered family for the child if they have the following relationships:

- Father
- Mother
- Stepfather
- Stepmother
- Grandfather
- Grandmother
- Pre-adoptive father
- Pre-adoptive mother
- Adoptive grandparent
- Legal Guardian

Children out of home with case plan goal of reunification – This column shows the number of children in out of home placement at the end of the report month who also have reunification as the most recently entered primary case plan goal.

Children with visits to their family during the month – This section of the report shows data regarding children who have had a visit with the child's family (as described above) begin during the report month.

Children – This is the total number of children that the child had at least one visit with a member of the child's family during the report month.
Rate – This is the total number of children that the child had at least one visit with a member of the child's family divided by the total number of children in out of home placement at the end of the report month with case plan goal of reunification(as described above).



DCS Practice Indicator Report


Child Visitation Report

For June 2010

June 2010

Location	Children out of home with case plan goal of reunification	Children with visits to their family during the month	
		Children	Rate
Statewide	5,731	988	17.2%
Region 4	584	102	17.5%
Adams	10	2	20.0%
Allen	353	62	17.6%
Dekalb	52	12	23.1%
Huntington	28	1	3.6%
LaGrange	27	4	14.8%
Noble	69	15	21.7%
Steuben	27	0	0.0%
Wells	10	3	30.0%
Whitley	8	3	37.5%


Statewide Visitation Rate



Region 4 For June 2009 to June 2010

Month	Children out of home with case plan goal of reunification	Children with visits to their family during the month	
		Children	Rate
Jun 2010	584	102	17.5%
May 2010	602	131	21.8%
Apr 2010	609	135	22.2%
Mar 2010	605	177	29.3%
Feb 2010	596	147	24.7%
Jan 2010	580	109	18.8%
Dec 2009	580	140	24.1%
Nov 2009	556	117	21.0%
Oct 2009	527	187	35.5%
Sep 2009	500	176	35.2%
Aug 2009	525	191	36.4%
Jul 2009	531	114	21.5%
Jun 2009	542	150	27.7%

Region 4 Visitation Rate





DCS Practice Indicator Report Absence of Repeat Maltreatment

For June 2010

Report Description

This is a description of the key elements used in this report.

Time Period – The time period used in this report is the 12 months prior to the month of the report.

Assessments during time period – The assessment used in this report are assessment with BOTH report date AND approval date during the time period (12 months prior to the month of the report).

Victim Selection – The children used in this report were identified as having at least one substantiated allegation of abuse or neglect on an assessment during the time period.

First Occurrence – This looks at the victims of substantiated abuse or neglect during the first 6 months of the time period (6 to 12 months prior to the month of the report). If a child was a victim in multiple assessments with substantiated abuse or neglect, the assessment with the earliest report date is considered the first occurrence.

Recurrence Time Period – The time period used to see if a child is a substantiated victim in a recurring assessment of abuse or neglect is based off the report date of the first occurrence. The recurrence period begins one day after the first occurrence report date and ends 183 after the first occurrence report date ($\geq 1^{\text{st}}$ Occurrence +1 and $\leq 1^{\text{st}}$ Occurrence +183). If a child has multiple recurrences, the earliest report date in the recurring time period is considered the recurring assessment.

Here is a visual representation of an example. This is a report for December of a given year. The time period to review assessments would run from Jan 1st to Dec 31st. The time period for a first occurrence would be Jan 1st to Jun 30th. If a child had a first occurrence on Feb 7th, the recurrence period would run from Feb 8th to Aug 9th. If the child had a recurrence in Aug 5th, that would be considered repeat maltreatment. If the child had a recurrence Oct 10th, that would not be considered repeat maltreatment because it fell outside the recurrence period.

Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
Time Period (Jan 1 st to Dec 31 st)											
1 st Occurrence Time Period (Jan 1 st to Jun 30 th)											
			Recurrence Period (Feb 8 th to Aug 9 th)								

Percent of absence of repeat maltreatment – This calculations uses the terms defined above. The numerator is the number of children with a first occurrence minus the number of children with a recurrence. The denominator is the number of children with first occurrence.

$$\frac{(1^{\text{st}} \text{ Occurrence} - \text{Recurrence})}{1^{\text{st}} \text{ Occurrence}}$$

For the purposes of this report, the county the child is assigned to is based off the county of first occurrence. If a child has a recurrence in a different county, the repeat will show for the county of the first occurrence.



DCS Practice Indicator Report


Absence of Repeat Maltreatment

For June 2010

June 2010

County	Victims from Jul 2009 to Jan 2010	Victims without a recurrence within 6 months	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent	National Standard
Statewide	11,636	10,733	92.24%	94.60%
Region 4	1,041	956	91.83%	94.60%
Adams	55	43	78.18%	94.60%
Allen	438	423	96.58%	94.60%
Dekalb	205	173	84.39%	94.60%
Huntington	66	64	96.97%	94.60%
LaGrange	55	53	96.36%	94.60%
Noble	82	72	87.80%	94.60%
Steuben	72	65	90.28%	94.60%
Wells	42	37	88.10%	94.60%
Whitley	26	26	100.00%	94.60%


Statewide Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



Region 4 For June 2009 to June 2010

County	Victims from Jul 2009 to Jan 2010	Victims without a recurrence within 6 months	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent	National Standard
June 2010	1,041	956	91.83%	94.60%
May 2010	1,102	1,020	92.56%	94.60%
April 2010	1,174	1,088	92.67%	94.60%
March 2010	1,167	1,082	92.72%	94.60%
February 2010	1,146	1,063	92.76%	94.60%
January 2010	1,131	1,045	92.40%	94.60%
December 2009	1,093	1,013	92.68%	94.60%
November 2009	1,038	940	90.56%	94.60%
October 2009	927	840	90.61%	94.60%
September 2009	960	872	90.83%	94.60%
August 2009	1,016	922	90.75%	94.60%
July 2009	1,074	979	91.15%	94.60%
June 2009	1,125	1,025	91.11%	94.60%

Region 4 Absence of Repeat Maltreatment





DCS Practice Indicator Report Absence of Maltreatment in Foster Care

For June 2010

Report Description

This is a description of the key elements used in this report.

Time Period – The time period used in this report is the 12 months prior to the month of the report.

Assessments during the time period – The assessment used in this report are assessment with BOTH report date AND approval date during the time period (12 months prior to the month of the report).

Victim of maltreatment in Foster Care – The children used in this report were identified as having at least one substantiated allegation of abuse or neglect on an assessment during the time period where the perpetrator is a foster parent or institutional staff.

Children in Foster Care during the time period – All CHINS children with an open removal episode at any time during the 12 months prior to the month of the report. Also included are Probation children (who are IV-E eligible) with an open removal episode at any time during the 12 months prior to the month of the report.

Percent of absence of repeat maltreatment – This calculations uses the terms defined above. The numerator is the number of children in foster care minus the number of victims of maltreatment in foster care. The denominator is the number of children in foster care.

$$\frac{(\text{Children in Foster Care} - \text{Victims of maltreatment in Foster Care})}{\text{Children in Foster Care}}$$



DCS Practice Indicator Report


Absence of Maltreatment in Foster Care

For June 2010

For June 2010

Location	Children in Foster Care from Jul 2009 to Jun 2010	Substantiated Victims in Foster Care	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent	National Standard
Statewide	21,990	95	99.57%	99.68%
Region 4	2,184	5	99.77%	99.68%
Adams	69	0	100.00%	99.68%
Allen	1,265	5	99.60%	99.68%
Dekalb	238	0	100.00%	99.68%
Huntington	62	0	100.00%	99.68%
LaGrange	84	0	100.00%	99.68%
Noble	233	0	100.00%	99.68%
Steuben	146	0	100.00%	99.68%
Wells	55	0	100.00%	99.68%
Whitley	32	0	100.00%	99.68%

Statewide Trend of Absence of Maltreatment in Foster Care



Region 4 for June 2009 to June 2010

Month	Children in Foster Care from Jul 2009 to Jun 2010	Substantiated Victims in Foster Care	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent	National Standard
June 2010	2,184	5	99.77%	99.68%
May 2010	2,190	2	99.91%	99.68%
April 2010	2,192	3	99.86%	99.68%
March 2010	2,191	2	99.91%	99.68%
February 2010	2,176	3	99.86%	99.68%
January 2010	2,176	3	99.86%	99.68%
December 2009	2,221	4	99.82%	99.68%
November 2009	2,253	3	99.87%	99.68%
October 2009	2,297	3	99.87%	99.68%
September 2009	2,335	3	99.87%	99.68%
August 2009	2,369	3	99.87%	99.68%
July 2009	2,373	4	99.83%	99.68%
June 2009	2,366	9	99.62%	99.68%

Region 4 Trend of Absence of Maltreatment in Foster Care

