



*Protecting our children,
families and future*

Department of Child Services

Practice Indicator Reports

For June 2010



Region 02

June 2010

Data in the report (both historical and current) may be subject to change as it is continually being validated and updated. This may result in statistical data in previous reports to be inconsistent with statistics found in more recent reports even when the data pertain to the same time period.



Published
August 2010



DCS Practice Indicator Report Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

For June 2010

Report Description

This report uses data collected over a rolling three month period ending with the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use a rolling three month period ending with the historical month listed.

Reports Taken – This section has data regarding the reports taken during the three month period ending with the report month.

Count – This is the total number of reports of abuse or neglect that are entered into ICWIS during the period ending with the report month.

Percent Resulting in Screen Outs – This is the percentage of reports that are screened out divided by the total reports taken during the period ending with the report month.

Assessments Substantiated – This section has data regarding the number of assessments with substantiated findings of abuse and/or neglect during the three month period ending with the report month.

Count – This is the total number of assessments with substantiated findings of abuse and/or neglect during the period ending with the report month.

Percent Resulting in Removal – This is the percentage of substantiated assessments during the period that resulted in removal of the child from the home by the end of the report month divided by the total number of substantiated assessments during the period ending with the report month.



DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

For June 2010

June 2010

Location	Reports Taken For Apr 2010 to Jun 2010		Assessments Substantiated For Apr 2010 to Jun 2010	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	33,673	22.2%	7,342	30.8%
Region 2	1,181	38.70%	185	24.32%
Jasper	100	24.0%	20	30.0%
Laporte	441	42.6%	43	48.8%
Newton	62	21.0%	21	9.5%
Porter	418	42.3%	79	12.7%
Pulaski	57	24.6%	17	29.4%
Starke	103	39.8%	5	20.0%


Statewide Percent Removal Trend



Region 2 For June 2009 to June 2010

Month	Reports Taken For Apr 2010 to Jun 2010		Assessments Substantiated For Apr 2010 to Jun 2010	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Jun 2010	1,181	38.7%	185	24.3%
May 2010	1,225	38.0%	197	19.8%
Apr 2010	1,251	37.4%	218	18.3%
Mar 2010	1,211	30.4%	216	18.5%
Feb 2010	1,124	31.2%	217	20.7%
Jan 2010	1,084	30.3%	184	22.8%
Dec 2009	1,062	33.1%	208	26.0%
Nov 2009	1,172	30.9%	235	21.7%
Oct 2009	1,223	29.8%	251	19.1%
Sep 2009	1,231	29.3%	292	19.5%
Aug 2009	1,158	27.7%	323	19.8%
Jul 2009	1,201	26.7%	315	21.6%
Jun 2009	1,235	25.0%	294	19.0%

Region 2 Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS Placements by County

For June 2010

Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the end of the historical month listed. This report looks at CHINS children from CHINS cases that were open on the last day of the report month. It also collects where that child is located (in their own home or in substitute care).

Total CHINS Count – This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the report month.

CHINS – This section has data regarding all children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month.

In Home – This section has data regarding children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home.

Count- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home.

Percent- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home divided by the total number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month.

Foster Care – This section has data regarding children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in out of home placement.

Count- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in out of home placement.

Percent- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in out of home placement divided by the total number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month.

Out of Home Placements – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in out of home placement.

Relative Homes – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed with relatives.

Count- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed with relatives.

Percent- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed with relatives divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

Foster Homes – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in foster homes.

Count- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in foster homes.

Percent- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in foster homes divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

Residential – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in residential setting.

Count- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in residential setting.

Percent- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in residential setting divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

Other – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in other placement settings. Example would be a court ordered unlicensed placement.

Count- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in other placement settings.

Percent- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in other placement settings divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.




DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS Placements by County

For June 2010

June 2010

Location	Total CHINS Count	CHINS				Out of Home Placements							
		In Home		Foster Care		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential		Other	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	15396	4593	29.8%	10,803	70.2%	3827	35.4%	5479	50.7%	1249	11.6%	248	2.3%
Region 2	386	94	24.4%	292	75.6%	107	36.6%	134	45.9%	49	16.8%	2	0.7%
Jasper	38	8	21.1%	30	78.9%	8	26.7%	17	56.7%	5	16.7%	0	0.0%
LaPorte	137	40	29.2%	97	70.8%	42	43.3%	38	39.2%	15	15.5%	2	2.1%
Newton	26	12	46.2%	14	53.8%	4	28.6%	4	28.6%	6	42.9%	0	0.0%
Porter	148	26	17.6%	122	82.4%	45	36.9%	57	46.7%	20	16.4%	0	0.0%
Pulaski	11	2	18.2%	9	81.8%	3	33.3%	6	66.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Starke	26	6	23.1%	20	76.9%	5	25.0%	12	60.0%	3	15.0%	0	0.0%


Statewide Foster Care Placement Breakdown



Region 2 For June 2009 to June 2010

Month	Total CHINS Count	CHINS				Out of Home Placements							
		In Home		Foster Care		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential		Other	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Jun 2010	386	94	24.4%	292	75.6%	107	36.6%	134	45.9%	49	16.8%	2	0.7%
May 2010	406	97	23.9%	309	76.1%	125	40.5%	135	43.7%	46	14.9%	3	1.0%
Apr 2010	414	104	25.1%	310	74.9%	121	39.0%	142	45.8%	45	14.5%	2	0.6%
Mar 2010	449	123	27.4%	326	72.6%	124	38.0%	153	46.9%	48	14.7%	1	0.3%
Feb 2010	446	113	25.3%	333	74.7%	126	37.8%	157	47.1%	47	14.1%	3	0.9%
Jan 2010	441	108	24.5%	333	75.5%	126	37.8%	158	47.4%	46	13.8%	3	0.9%
Dec 2009	445	103	23.1%	342	76.9%	125	36.5%	164	48.0%	50	14.6%	3	0.9%
Nov 2009	445	101	22.7%	344	77.3%	120	34.9%	171	49.7%	48	14.0%	5	1.5%
Oct 2009	450	96	21.3%	354	78.7%	118	33.3%	176	49.7%	53	15.0%	7	2.0%
Sep 2009	450	103	22.9%	347	77.1%	109	31.4%	179	51.6%	49	14.1%	10	2.9%
Aug 2009	433	99	22.9%	334	77.1%	91	27.2%	179	53.6%	50	15.0%	14	4.2%
Jul 2009	452	105	23.2%	347	76.8%	89	25.6%	192	55.3%	45	13.0%	21	6.1%
Jun 2009	434	98	22.6%	336	77.4%	84	25.0%	187	55.7%	51	15.2%	14	4.2%

Region 2 Foster Care Placement Breakdown





DCS Practice Indicator Report Use of Foster Care by County

June 2010

Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the end of the historical month listed. This report looks at CHINS children from CHINS cases that were open on the last day of the report month. It also collects where that child is located (in their own home or in substitute care). For the purpose of this report, children with non-custodial parents are considered to be in their own home.

Total CHINS Count – This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the report month.

In Home or Relative Care – This section has data regarding children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home or in relative care.

Count- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home or in relative care.

Percent- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home or in relative care divided by the total number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month.

Target (At or Above) % – The target for the percent of the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home or in relative care to the total number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month is 50% or higher.

Non Relative Foster Care – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are not in their own home or in relative care.

Count- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are not in their own home or in relative care.

Percent- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are not in their own home or in relative care divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

Target (At or below) % – The target for the percent of the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are not in their own home or in relative care to the total number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month is 50% or higher.




DCS Practice Indicator Report Use of Foster Care by County

For June 2010

June 2010

Location	Total CHINS	In Home or Relative Care		Target (At or Above) %	Non-Relative Foster Care		Target (At or Below) %
	Count	Count	%		Count	%	
Statewide	15396	8420	54.7%	50.0%	6,976	45.3%	50.0%
Region 2	386	201	52.1%	50.0%	185	47.9%	50.0%
Jasper	38	16	42.1%	50.0%	22	57.9%	50.0%
LaPorte	137	82	59.9%	50.0%	55	40.1%	50.0%
Newton	26	16	61.5%	50.0%	10	38.5%	50.0%
Porter	148	71	48.0%	50.0%	77	52.0%	50.0%
Pulaski	11	5	45.5%	50.0%	6	54.5%	50.0%
Starke	26	11	42.3%	50.0%	15	57.7%	50.0%


Statewide Foster Care Placement Breakdown



Region 2 For June 2009 to June 2010

Month	Total CHINS	In Home or Relative Care		Target (At or Above) %	Non-Relative Foster Care		Target (At or Below) %
	Count	Count	%		Count	%	
June 2010	386	201	52.1%	50.0%	185	47.9%	50.0%
May 2010	406	222	54.7%	50.0%	184	45.3%	50.0%
April 2010	414	225	54.3%	50.0%	189	45.7%	50.0%
March 2010	449	247	55.0%	50.0%	202	45.0%	50.0%
February 2010	446	239	53.6%	50.0%	207	46.4%	50.0%
January 2010	441	234	53.1%	50.0%	207	46.9%	50.0%
December 2009	445	228	51.2%	50.0%	217	48.8%	50.0%
November 2009	445	221	49.7%	50.0%	224	50.3%	50.0%
October 2009	450	214	47.6%	50.0%	236	52.4%	50.0%
September 2009	450	212	47.1%	50.0%	238	52.9%	50.0%
August 2009	433	190	43.9%	50.0%	243	56.1%	50.0%
July 2009	452	194	42.9%	50.0%	258	57.1%	50.0%
June 2009	434	182	41.9%	50.0%	252	58.1%	50.0%

Region 2 Foster Care Placement Breakdown





DCS Practice Indicator Report Locally Placed CHINS

For June 2010

Report Description

This report uses data collected over at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the historical month listed. For the purpose of this report, children with their non-custodial parents are considered to be in their own home.

Total Out of Home Placements – This is the total number of CHINS children that are in out of home placement on the last day of the report month.

Placed in Same County – This is the total number of CHINS children that are placed in the same county as the county of their case.

Percent Locally Placed – This is the percentage of CHINS children that are placed in the same county as the county of their case divided by the total number of CHINS children which are in out of home placement on the last day of the report month.




DCS Practice Indicator Report Locally Placed CHINS

For June 2010

June 2010

Location	Total Out of Home Placements	Placed in Same County	Percent Locally Placed
Statewide	10,803	7,934	73.4%
Region 2	292	198	67.8%
Jasper	30	20	66.7%
Laporte	97	78	80.4%
Newton	14	6	42.9%
Porter	122	80	65.6%
Pulaski	9	4	44.4%
Starke	20	10	50.0%


Statewide Percent Placed Locally



Region 2 For June 2009 to June 2010

Month	Total Out of Home Placements	Placed in Same County	Percent Locally Placed
Jun 2010	292	198	67.8%
May 2010	309	213	68.9%
Apr 2010	310	215	69.4%
Mar 2010	326	225	69.0%
Feb 2010	333	227	68.2%
Jan 2010	333	233	70.0%
Dec 2009	342	245	71.6%
Nov 2009	344	240	69.8%
Oct 2009	354	243	68.6%
Sep 2009	347	231	66.6%
Aug 2009	334	216	64.7%
Jul 2009	347	232	66.9%
Jun 2009	336	210	62.5%

Region 2 Percent Placed Locally





DCS Practice Indicator Report Average Number of Placements

For June 2010

Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the historical month listed.

Average Number of Placements Per Child – This is the total number of out of home placements for each CHINS child in out of home placement on the last day of the report month. This includes all placements during the current removal episode. If a child had at least one placement end in the first 8 days from removal, then that child's number of placements was reduced by one.

This number is divided by the total number CHINS children who are in out of home placement on the last day of the report month.




DCS Practice Indicator Report Average Number of Placements

For June 2009 to June 2010

June 2010

Location	Average Number of Placements Per Child
Statewide	2.5
Region 2	2.9
Jasper	1.7
Laporte	2.4
Newton	1.9
Porter	3.9
Pulaski	2.2
Starke	2.5


Statewide Average Number of Placements



Region 2 For June 2009 to June 2010

Month	Average Number of Placements Per Child
June 2010	2.9
May 2010	2.9
April 2010	2.9
March 2010	2.9
February 2010	2.8
January 2010	2.9
December 2009	3.1
November 2009	2.9
October 2009	2.9
September 2009	2.9
August 2009	2.9
July 2009	2.8
June 2009	2.9

Region 2 Average Number of Placements





DCS Practice Indicator Report Sibling Placement Report

For June 2010

Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the end of the historical month listed. For the purpose of this report, children with a non-custodial parent are considered to be in their own home.

Count of Sibling Cases – This is the number of open cases as of the end of the report month which have more than one child in out of home placement at the end of the report month.

Cases With All Children Placed Together – This is the number of cases with more than one child in out of home placement where all of the children are placed in the same resource with the same resource ID.

Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases– This is the percentage of the number of cases where all of the children in out of home placement are placed together divided by the number of open cases with more than one child in out of home placement as of the end of the report month.

Average Number of Children per Case – This number takes the number of children in out of home placement from cases with more than one child in out of home placement and divides it by the number of cases with more than one child in out of home placement as of the end of the report month.




DCS Practice Indicator Report Sibling Placement Report

June 2010

June 2010

Location	Count of Sibling Cases	Cases With All Children Placed Together	Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases	Average Number of Children per Case
Statewide	2745	1960	71.4%	2.67
Region 2	73	55	75.3%	2.51
Jasper	9	8	88.9%	2.67
Laporte	24	17	70.8%	2.50
Newton	3	3	100.0%	2.33
Porter	30	21	70.0%	2.53
Pulaski	3	3	100.0%	2.33
Starke	4	3	75.0%	2.25


Statewide Percent Together



Region 2 For June 2009 to June 2010

Month	Count of Sibling Cases	Cases With All Children Placed Together	Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases	Average Number of Children per Case
June 2010	73	55	75.3%	2.51
May 2010	81	59	72.8%	2.47
April 2010	79	59	74.7%	2.58
March 2010	85	64	75.3%	2.54
February 2010	83	61	73.5%	2.60
January 2010	82	59	72.0%	2.61
December 2009	85	62	72.9%	2.60
November 2009	84	57	67.9%	2.62
October 2009	88	62	70.5%	2.59
September 2009	84	58	69.0%	2.63
August 2009	78	54	69.2%	2.62
July 2009	80	57	71.3%	2.63
June 2009	77	54	70.1%	2.64

Region 2 Percent Together





DCS Practice Indicator Report

Length of Stay in Out of Home Placement

Children in Out of Home Placement by Length of Time Since Latest Removal

For June 2010

Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the end of the historical month listed. This report looks at CHINS children that were in out of home placement at the end of the month. It measures the number of days since the child was last removed from its home. For the purpose of this report, children with a non-custodial parent are treated as in home.

One Year - 0 to 365 Days– This is column shows data for CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 0 and 365 days since the child's removal.

Count- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 0 and 365 days since the child's last removal.

Percent- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 0 and 365 days since the child's last removal divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

One Year - 366 to 730 Days– This is column shows data for CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 366 and 730 days since the c last removal.

Count- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 366 and 730 days since the child's last removal.

Percent- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 366 and 730 days since the child's last removal divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

One Year - 731 to 1095 Days– This is column shows data for CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 731 to 1095 days since the child's last removal.

Count- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 731 to 1095 days since the child's last removal.

Percent- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 731 to 1095 days since the child's last removal divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

One Year - 1096 Days+– This is column shows data for CHINS children that have been in out of home placement 1096 days or more since the child's last removal.

Count- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement 1096 days or more since the child's last removal.

Percent- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement 1096 days or more since the child's last removal divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

Length Per Child – This column shows data for length of time of CHINS children who were in out of home placement at the end of the report month have been in out of home placement since the child's last removal from their home.

Average- This column calculates the average or "mean" length of time in out of home placement since the last removal per child in out of home placement at the end of the report month.

Median- This column calculates the median length of time in out of home placement since the last removal per child in out of home placement at the end of the report month.



DCS Practice Indicator Report


Length of Stay in Out of Home Placement

Children in Out of Home Placement by Length of Time Since Latest Removal
For June 2010

June 2010

Location	One Year 0 to 365 Days		Two Years 366 to 730 Days		Three Years 731 to 1095 Days		Three + Years 1096 Days+		Length Per Child	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Average	Median
Statewide	5,427	50.2%	2,495	23.1%	1,247	11.5%	1,634	15.1%	594.6	363.4
Region 2	158	54.1%	60	20.5%	11	3.8%	63	21.6%	600.2	351.0
Jasper	15	50.0%	3	10.0%	0	0.0%	12	40.0%	692.8	363.0
Laporte	67	69.1%	19	19.6%	2	2.1%	9	9.3%	441.0	289.5
Newton	11	78.6%	3	21.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	246.0	248.0
Porter	52	42.6%	29	23.8%	7	5.7%	34	27.9%	720.7	469.5
Pulaski	4	44.4%	3	33.3%	0	0.0%	2	22.2%	478.4	375.2
Starke	9	45.0%	3	15.0%	2	10.0%	6	30.0%	800.3	513.0


Statewide Median Length



Region 2 For June 2009 to June 2010

Month	One Year 0 to 365 Days		Two Years 366 to 730 Days		Three Years 731 to 1095 Days		Three + Years 1096 Days+		Length Per Child	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Average	Median
June 2010	158	54.1%	60	20.5%	11	3.8%	63	21.6%	600.2	351.0
May 2010	157	50.8%	69	22.3%	16	5.2%	67	21.7%	620.9	346.6
April 2010	152	49.0%	64	20.6%	31	10.0%	63	20.3%	639.0	368.0
March 2010	169	51.8%	57	17.5%	37	11.3%	63	19.3%	612.5	340.5
February 2010	167	50.2%	65	19.5%	44	13.2%	57	17.1%	609.1	361.4
January 2010	166	49.8%	65	19.5%	41	12.3%	61	18.3%	608.1	384.6
December 2009	167	48.8%	63	18.4%	44	12.9%	68	19.9%	625.7	377.5
November 2009	169	49.1%	57	16.6%	47	13.7%	71	20.6%	622.1	385.0
October 2009	179	50.6%	56	15.8%	48	13.6%	71	20.1%	599.7	361.0
September 2009	167	48.1%	63	18.2%	48	13.8%	69	19.9%	614.8	379.4
August 2009	154	46.1%	60	18.0%	53	15.9%	67	20.1%	629.7	404.0
July 2009	156	45.0%	70	20.2%	53	15.3%	68	19.6%	619.9	403.5
June 2009	150	44.6%	59	17.6%	58	17.3%	69	20.5%	649.5	420.5

Region 2 Median Length





DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS and IA Permanency Report

For June 2010

Report Description

This report uses CHINS and IA case types that have case type status end date occurring during the month. It then groups these cases using the closure reasons codes into categories of closure reasons. Case with closure reason code “Case opened in error – disregard” are filtered out for the purpose of this report.

Closure Reasons are group by:

Description	Category	Description	Category
Child Reunited with Parents	Reunification	Case closed; AG case created	Guardianship
Child never Removed from own home, wardship terminated	Reunification	Child placed with relatives	Relative
Extended wardship terminated (on court order for age 18 to 21)	Emancipation	Child Placed with Legal guardian	Guardianship
Child Returned to Primary Home	Reunification	Child Reached Age of Majority (age 18)	Emancipation
Period of IA ended code used for Informal Adjustment cases only	Reunification	Emancipated Court Ordered (age 18)	Emancipation
Child Adoption Finalized	Adoption	Child Already Reunited with parent (s) when wardship terminated	Reunification

The Permanency column “Total” refers to the total case with reason codes in the Reunification, Adoption, Relative, Guardianship, and Emancipation categories.

The Permanency column “Total within 24 months” refers to the total case that ended within 24 month of the case status type begin date in the Reunification, Adoption, Relative, Guardianship, and Emancipation categories.




DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS and IA Permanency Report

For June 2010

June 2010

Location	Closed Cases Types with Permanency Outcomes					Permanency		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation	Total	Within 24 Months	24 Month Rate
Statewide	851	78	12	69	61	1071	901	84.1
Region 2	24	7	0	4	3	38	33	86.8
Jasper	7	0	0	0	1	8	8	100.0
Laporte	4	4	0	3	1	12	10	83.3
Newton	4	0	0	0	0	4	4	100.0
Porter	3	0	0	1	1	5	4	80.0
Pulaski	4	0	0	0	0	4	4	100.0
Starke	2	3	0	0	0	5	3	60.0


Statewide Permanency within 24 Months



Region 2 For June 2009 to June 2010

Location	Closed Cases Types with Permanency Outcomes					Permanency		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation	Total	Within 24 Months	24 Month Rate
Jun 2010	24	7	0	4	3	38	33	86.8
May 2010	8	5	0	2	1	16	10	62.5
Apr 2010	18	4	0	1	3	26	18	69.2
Mar 2010	11	2	0	7	0	20	18	90.0
Feb 2010	6	6	0	0	2	14	9	64.3
Jan 2010	12	9	3	1	1	26	19	73.1
Dec 2009	21	3	0	2	2	28	22	78.6
Nov 2009	6	3	0	5	0	14	10	71.4
Oct 2009	22	2	0	4	4	32	28	87.5
Sep 2009	19	0	2	0	4	25	22	88.0
Aug 2009	32	4	0	6	1	43	42	97.7
Jul 2009	32	4	0	6	1	43	42	97.7
Jun 2009	11	0	0	2	1	14	13	92.9

Region 2 Permanency within 24 Months





DCS Practice Indicator Report Family Case Manager Contacts Report

For June 2010

Report Description

This report uses data collected over at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the historical month listed. For the purpose of this report, a person is considered family for the child if they have the following relationships:

- Father
- Mother
- Stepfather
- Stepmother
- Grandfather
- Grandmother
- Pre-adoptive father
- Pre-adoptive mother
- Adoptive grandparent
- Legal Guardian

Children Out of Home Entire Month – This column shows the number of children in out of home placement at the end of the report month who also have a removal date prior to the first of the report month. For the purpose of this report, children with non-custodial parents and treated as in home.

Contact Information – This section of the reports shows information regarding FCM contacts (from the contact screens) during the report month.

FCM with Family – This section of the report shows FCM contacts with the child's family (as described above) during the report month.

Children – This is the total number of children that the FCM had at least one contact with a member of the child's family during the report month.

Rate – This is the total number of children that FCM had at least one contact with a member of the child's family divided by the total number of children in out of home placement for the entire month (as described above).

FCM with Child – This section of the report shows FCM contacts with the child during the report month.

Children – This is the total number of children that the FCM had at least one contact with a the child during the report month.

Rate – This is the total number of children that FCM had at least one contact with the child divided by the total number of children in out of home placement for the entire month (as described above).

FCM with Family and Child – This section of the report shows FCM contacts with the child's family (as described above) **AND** the child during the report month. Contact with both had to have occurred for the child to be included in this section. The family and child do not need to present at the same contact, contact with each could have been made in two separate contacts.

Children – This is the total number of children that the FCM had at least one contact with a member of the child's family **and** at least one contact with the child during the report month.

Rate – This is the total number of children that FCM had at least one contact with a member of the child's family **and** at least one contact with the child during the report month divided by the total number of children in out of home placement for the entire month (as described above).



DCS Practice Indicator Report


Family Case Manager Contacts Report

For June 2010

June 2010

Location	Children out of home entire month	Contact Information					
		FCM with Family		FCM with Child		FCM with Family and Child	
		Children	Rate	Children	Rate	Children	Rate
Statewide	10,279	3,171	30.8%	10,072	98.0%	3,137	30.5%
Region 2	272	88	32.4%	267	98.2%	88	32.4%
Jasper	30	7	23.3%	30	100.0%	7	23.3%
Laporte	88	27	30.7%	84	95.5%	27	30.7%
Newton	11	2	18.2%	11	100.0%	2	18.2%
Porter	117	44	37.6%	116	99.1%	44	37.6%
Pulaski	9	3	33.3%	9	100.0%	3	33.3%
Starke	17	5	29.4%	17	100.0%	5	29.4%


Statewide Child Contact Rate



Region 2 For June 2009 to June 2010

Month	Children out of home entire month	Contact Information					
		FCM with Family		FCM with Child		FCM with Family and Child	
		Children	Rate	Children	Rate	Children	Rate
Jun 2010	272	88	32.4%	267	98.2%	88	32.4%
May 2010	300	89	29.7%	285	95.0%	86	28.7%
Apr 2010	304	88	28.9%	300	98.7%	88	28.9%
Mar 2010	310	105	33.9%	309	99.7%	105	33.9%
Feb 2010	327	76	23.2%	317	96.9%	75	22.9%
Jan 2010	326	86	26.4%	317	97.2%	85	26.1%
Dec 2009	327	90	27.5%	327	100.0%	90	27.5%
Nov 2009	335	76	22.7%	327	97.6%	75	22.4%
Oct 2009	346	99	28.6%	342	98.8%	99	28.6%
Sep 2009	334	81	24.3%	321	96.1%	80	24.0%
Aug 2009	322	100	31.1%	318	98.8%	98	30.4%
Jul 2009	322	84	26.1%	318	98.8%	84	26.1%
Jun 2009	324	101	31.2%	321	99.1%	100	30.9%

Region 2 Child Contact Rate





DCS Practice Indicator Report Child Visitation Report

For June 2010

Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the historical month listed. For the purpose of this report, a person is considered family for the child if they have the following relationships:

- Father
- Mother
- Stepfather
- Stepmother
- Grandfather
- Grandmother
- Pre-adoptive father
- Pre-adoptive mother
- Adoptive grandparent
- Legal Guardian

Children out of home with case plan goal of reunification – This column shows the number of children in out of home placement at the end of the report month who also have reunification as the most recently entered primary case plan goal.

Children with visits to their family during the month – This section of the report shows data regarding children who have had a visit with the child's family (as described above) begin during the report month.

Children – This is the total number of children that the child had at least one visit with a member of the child's family during the report month.

Rate – This is the total number of children that the child had at least one visit with a member of the child's family divided by the total number of children in out of home placement at the end of the report month with case plan goal of reunification(as described above).



DCS Practice Indicator Report


Child Visitation Report

For June 2010

June 2010

Location	Children out of home with case plan goal of reunification	Children with visits to their family during the month	
		Children	Rate
Statewide	5,731	988	17.2%
Region 2	148	45	30.4%
Jasper	12	5	41.7%
Laporte	57	7	12.3%
Newton	7	2	28.6%
Porter	61	22	36.1%
Pulaski	5	5	100.0%
Starke	6	4	66.7%


Statewide Visitation Rate



Region 2 For June 2009 to June 2010

Month	Children out of home with case plan goal of reunification	Children with visits to their family during the month	
		Children	Rate
Jun 2010	148	45	30.4%
May 2010	165	42	25.5%
Apr 2010	161	59	36.6%
Mar 2010	159	73	45.9%
Feb 2010	153	35	22.9%
Jan 2010	155	46	29.7%
Dec 2009	147	29	19.7%
Nov 2009	149	25	16.8%
Oct 2009	156	23	14.7%
Sep 2009	145	23	15.9%
Aug 2009	133	24	18.0%
Jul 2009	113	25	22.1%
Jun 2009	105	26	24.8%

Region 2 Visitation Rate





DCS Practice Indicator Report Absence of Repeat Maltreatment

For June 2010

Report Description

This is a description of the key elements used in this report.

Time Period – The time period used in this report is the 12 months prior to the month of the report.

Assessments during time period – The assessment used in this report are assessment with BOTH report date AND approval date during the time period (12 months prior to the month of the report).

Victim Selection – The children used in this report were identified as having at least one substantiated allegation of abuse or neglect on an assessment during the time period.

First Occurrence – This looks at the victims of substantiated abuse or neglect during the first 6 months of the time period (6 to 12 months prior to the month of the report). If a child was a victim in multiple assessments with substantiated abuse or neglect, the assessment with the earliest report date is considered the first occurrence.

Recurrence Time Period – The time period used to see if a child is a substantiated victim in a recurring assessment of abuse or neglect is based off the report date of the first occurrence. The recurrence period begins one day after the first occurrence report date and ends 183 after the first occurrence report date ($\geq 1^{\text{st}}$ Occurrence +1 and $\leq 1^{\text{st}}$ Occurrence +183). If a child has multiple recurrences, the earliest report date in the recurring time period is considered the recurring assessment.

Here is a visual representation of an example. This is a report for December of a given year. The time period to review assessments would run from Jan 1st to Dec 31st. The time period for a first occurrence would be Jan 1st to Jun 30th. If a child had a first occurrence on Feb 7th, the recurrence period would run from Feb 8th to Aug 9th. If the child had a recurrence in Aug 5th, that would be considered repeat maltreatment. If the child had a recurrence Oct 10th, that would not be considered repeat maltreatment because it fell outside the recurrence period.

Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
Time Period (Jan 1 st to Dec 31 st)											
1 st Occurrence Time Period (Jan 1 st to Jun 30 th)											
			Recurrence Period (Feb 8 th to Aug 9 th)								

Percent of absence of repeat maltreatment – This calculations uses the terms defined above. The numerator is the number of children with a first occurrence minus the number of children with a recurrence. The denominator is the number of children with first occurrence.

$$\frac{(1^{\text{st}} \text{ Occurrence} - \text{Recurrence})}{1^{\text{st}} \text{ Occurrence}}$$

For the purposes of this report, the county the child is assigned to is based off the county of first occurrence. If a child has a recurrence in a different county, the repeat will show for the county of the first occurrence.



DCS Practice Indicator Report


Absence of Repeat Maltreatment

For June 2010

June 2010

County	Victims from Jul 2009 to Jan 2010	Victims without a recurrence within 6 months	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent	National Standard
Statewide	11,636	10,733	92.24%	94.60%
Region 2	403	392	97.27%	94.60%
Jasper	41	40	97.56%	94.60%
Laporte	107	105	98.13%	94.60%
Newton	26	26	100.00%	94.60%
Porter	193	187	96.89%	94.60%
Pulaski	11	9	81.82%	94.60%
Starke	25	25	100.00%	94.60%


Statewide Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



Region 2 For June 2009 to June 2010

County	Victims from Jul 2009 to Jan 2010	Victims without a recurrence within 6 months	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent	National Standard
June 2010	403	392	97.27%	94.60%
May 2010	432	419	96.99%	94.60%
April 2010	471	459	97.45%	94.60%
March 2010	494	475	96.15%	94.60%
February 2010	488	467	95.70%	94.60%
January 2010	450	428	95.11%	94.60%
December 2009	441	419	95.01%	94.60%
November 2009	423	402	95.04%	94.60%
October 2009	399	379	94.99%	94.60%
September 2009	432	419	96.99%	94.60%
August 2009	448	438	97.77%	94.60%
July 2009	458	449	98.03%	94.60%
June 2009	483	470	97.31%	94.60%

Region 2 Absence of Repeat Maltreatment





DCS Practice Indicator Report Absence of Maltreatment in Foster Care

For June 2010

Report Description

This is a description of the key elements used in this report.

Time Period – The time period used in this report is the 12 months prior to the month of the report.

Assessments during the time period – The assessment used in this report are assessment with BOTH report date AND approval date during the time period (12 months prior to the month of the report).

Victim of maltreatment in Foster Care – The children used in this report were identified as having at least one substantiated allegation of abuse or neglect on an assessment during the time period where the perpetrator is a foster parent or institutional staff.

Children in Foster Care during the time period – All CHINS children with an open removal episode at any time during the 12 months prior to the month of the report. Also included are Probation children (who are IV-E eligible) with an open removal episode at any time during the 12 months prior to the month of the report.

Percent of absence of repeat maltreatment – This calculations uses the terms defined above. The numerator is the number of children in foster care minus the number of victims of maltreatment in foster care. The denominator is the number of children in foster care.

$$\frac{(\text{Children in Foster Care} - \text{Victims of maltreatment in Foster Care})}{\text{Children in Foster Care}}$$



DCS Practice Indicator Report


Absence of Maltreatment in Foster Care

For June 2010

For June 2010

Location	Children in Foster Care from Jul 2009 to Jun 2010	Substantiated Victims in Foster Care	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent	National Standard
Statewide	21,990	95	99.57%	99.68%
Region 2	657	3	99.54%	99.68%
Jasper	67	0	100.00%	99.68%
Laporte	188	0	100.00%	99.68%
Newton	47	0	100.00%	99.68%
Porter	263	3	98.86%	99.68%
Pulaski	24	0	100.00%	99.68%
Starke	68	0	100.00%	99.68%

Statewide Trend of Absence of Maltreatment in Foster Care



Region 2 for June 2009 to June 2010

Month	Children in Foster Care from Jul 2009 to Jun 2010	Substantiated Victims in Foster Care	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent	National Standard
June 2010	657	3	99.54%	99.68%
May 2010	656	3	99.54%	99.68%
April 2010	651	3	99.54%	99.68%
March 2010	658	3	99.54%	99.68%
February 2010	667	3	99.55%	99.68%
January 2010	680	0	100.00%	99.68%
December 2009	696	0	100.00%	99.68%
November 2009	702	0	100.00%	99.68%
October 2009	725	0	100.00%	99.68%
September 2009	760	1	99.87%	99.68%
August 2009	755	1	99.87%	99.68%
July 2009	764	1	99.87%	99.68%
June 2009	759	1	99.87%	99.68%

Region 2 Trend of Absence of Maltreatment in Foster Care

