



*Protecting our children,  
families and future*

# Department of Child Services

## Practice Indicator Reports

### For June 2010



# Region 01

## June 2010

Data in the report (both historical and current) may be subject to change as it is continually being validated and updated. This may result in statistical data in previous reports to be inconsistent with statistics found in more recent reports even when the data pertain to the same time period.



**Published**  
**August 2010**



# DCS Practice Indicator Report Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

For June 2010

## Report Description

This report uses data collected over a rolling three month period ending with the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use a rolling three month period ending with the historical month listed.

**Reports Taken** – This section has data regarding the reports taken during the three month period ending with the report month.

**Count** – This is the total number of reports of abuse or neglect that are entered into ICWIS during the period ending with the report month.

**Percent Resulting in Screen Outs** – This is the percentage of reports that are screened out divided by the total reports taken during the period ending with the report month.

**Assessments Substantiated** – This section has data regarding the number of assessments with substantiated findings of abuse and/or neglect during the three month period ending with the report month.

**Count** – This is the total number of assessments with substantiated findings of abuse and/or neglect during the period ending with the report month.

**Percent Resulting in Removal** – This is the percentage of substantiated assessments during the period that resulted in removal of the child from the home by the end of the report month divided by the total number of substantiated assessments during the period ending with the report month.



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### For June 2010

#### June 2010

Location	Reports Taken For Apr 2010 to Jun 2010		Assessments Substantiated For Apr 2010 to Jun 2010	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	33,673	22.2%	7,342	30.8%
Region 1	1,920	12.19%	642	46.26%
Lake	1,920	12.2%	642	46.3%


#### Statewide Percent Removal Trend



#### Region 1 For June 2009 to June 2010

Month	Reports Taken For Apr 2010 to Jun 2010		Assessments Substantiated For Apr 2010 to Jun 2010	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Jun 2010	1,920	12.2%	642	46.3%
May 2010	2,009	10.2%	583	50.1%
Apr 2010	1,901	9.3%	508	46.5%
Mar 2010	1,759	9.3%	406	46.1%
Feb 2010	1,483	9.2%	407	47.4%
Jan 2010	1,409	11.3%	345	47.8%
Dec 2009	1,452	12.2%	361	47.9%
Nov 2009	1,642	13.9%	317	45.7%
Oct 2009	1,681	13.6%	316	51.9%
Sep 2009	1,694	14.0%	286	53.1%
Aug 2009	1,724	12.4%	294	50.0%
Jul 2009	1,828	11.4%	324	49.1%
Jun 2009	1,875	9.8%	339	46.6%

#### Region 1 Percent Removal





# DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS Placements by County

For June 2010

## Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the end of the historical month listed. This report looks at CHINS children from CHINS cases that were open on the last day of the report month. It also collects where that child is located (in their own home or in substitute care).

**Total CHINS Count** – This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the report month.

**CHINS** – This section has data regarding all children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month.

**In Home** – This section has data regarding children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home divided by the total number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month.

**Foster Care** – This section has data regarding children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in out of home placement.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in out of home placement.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in out of home placement divided by the total number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month.

**Out of Home Placements** – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in out of home placement.

**Relative Homes** – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed with relatives.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed with relatives.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed with relatives divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**Foster Homes** – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in foster homes.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in foster homes.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in foster homes divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**Residential** – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in residential setting.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in residential setting.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in residential setting divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**Other** – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in other placement settings. Example would be a court ordered unlicensed placement.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in other placement settings.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in other placement settings divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.




# DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS Placements by County

For June 2010

## June 2010

Location	Total CHINS Count	CHINS				Out of Home Placements							
		In Home		Foster Care		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential		Other	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	15396	4593	29.8%	10,803	70.2%	3827	35.4%	5479	50.7%	1249	11.6%	248	2.3%
Region 1	2158	545	25.3%	1613	74.7%	405	25.1%	837	51.9%	327	20.3%	44	2.7%
Lake	2158	545	25.3%	1613	74.7%	405	25.1%	837	51.9%	327	20.3%	44	2.7%


## Statewide Foster Care Placement Breakdown



## Region 1 For June 2009 to June 2010

Month	Total CHINS Count	CHINS				Out of Home Placements							
		In Home		Foster Care		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential		Other	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Jun 2010	2158	545	25.3%	1613	74.7%	405	25.1%	837	51.9%	327	20.3%	44	2.7%
May 2010	2171	527	24.3%	1644	75.7%	403	24.5%	846	51.5%	348	21.2%	47	2.9%
Apr 2010	2180	534	24.5%	1646	75.5%	394	23.9%	835	50.7%	367	22.3%	50	3.0%
Mar 2010	2134	538	25.2%	1596	74.8%	391	24.5%	808	50.6%	338	21.2%	59	3.7%
Feb 2010	2094	527	25.2%	1567	74.8%	362	23.1%	799	51.0%	351	22.4%	55	3.5%
Jan 2010	2055	502	24.4%	1553	75.6%	365	23.5%	777	50.0%	349	22.5%	62	4.0%
Dec 2009	2036	478	23.5%	1558	76.5%	364	23.4%	784	50.3%	357	22.9%	53	3.4%
Nov 2009	2030	454	22.4%	1576	77.6%	355	22.5%	787	49.9%	362	23.0%	72	4.6%
Oct 2009	2065	507	24.6%	1558	75.4%	339	21.8%	799	51.3%	358	23.0%	62	4.0%
Sep 2009	2050	520	25.4%	1530	74.6%	328	21.4%	773	50.5%	371	24.2%	58	3.8%
Aug 2009	2013	485	24.1%	1528	75.9%	316	20.7%	780	51.0%	380	24.9%	52	3.4%
Jul 2009	2035	508	25.0%	1527	75.0%	292	19.1%	799	52.3%	386	25.3%	50	3.3%
Jun 2009	2063	543	26.3%	1520	73.7%	289	19.0%	781	51.4%	394	25.9%	56	3.7%

## Region 1 Foster Care Placement Breakdown





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Use of Foster Care by County

June 2010

## Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the end of the historical month listed. This report looks at CHINS children from CHINS cases that were open on the last day of the report month. It also collects where that child is located (in their own home or in substitute care). For the purpose of this report, children with non-custodial parents are considered to be in their own home.

**Total CHINS Count** – This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the report month.

**In Home or Relative Care** – This section has data regarding children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home or in relative care.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home or in relative care.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home or in relative care divided by the total number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month.

**Target (At or Above) %** – The target for the percent of the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home or in relative care to the total number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month is 50% or higher.

**Non Relative Foster Care** – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are not in their own home or in relative care.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are not in their own home or in relative care.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are not in their own home or in relative care divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**Target (At or below) %** – The target for the percent of the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are not in their own home or in relative care to the total number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month is 50% or higher.




# DCS Practice Indicator Report Use of Foster Care by County

For June 2010

## June 2010

Location	Total CHINS	In Home or Relative Care		Target (At or Above) %	Non-Relative Foster Care		Target (At or Below) %
	Count	Count	%		Count	%	
Statewide	15396	8420	54.7%	50.0%	6,976	45.3%	50.0%
Region 1	2158	950	44.0%	50.0%	1208	56.0%	50.0%
Lake	2158	950	44.0%	50.0%	1208	56.0%	50.0%


## Statewide Foster Care Placement Breakdown



## Region 1 For June 2009 to June 2010

Month	Total CHINS	In Home or Relative Care		Target (At or Above) %	Non-Relative Foster Care		Target (At or Below) %
	Count	Count	%		Count	%	
June 2010	2158	950	44.0%	50.0%	1208	56.0%	50.0%
May 2010	2171	930	42.8%	50.0%	1241	57.2%	50.0%
April 2010	2180	928	42.6%	50.0%	1252	57.4%	50.0%
March 2010	2134	929	43.5%	50.0%	1205	56.5%	50.0%
February 2010	2094	889	42.5%	50.0%	1205	57.5%	50.0%
January 2010	2055	867	42.2%	50.0%	1188	57.8%	50.0%
December 2009	2036	842	41.4%	50.0%	1194	58.6%	50.0%
November 2009	2030	809	39.9%	50.0%	1221	60.1%	50.0%
October 2009	2065	846	41.0%	50.0%	1219	59.0%	50.0%
September 2009	2050	848	41.4%	50.0%	1202	58.6%	50.0%
August 2009	2013	801	39.8%	50.0%	1212	60.2%	50.0%
July 2009	2035	800	39.3%	50.0%	1235	60.7%	50.0%
June 2009	2063	832	40.3%	50.0%	1231	59.7%	50.0%

## Region 1 Foster Care Placement Breakdown





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Locally Placed CHINS

For June 2010

## Report Description

This report uses data collected over at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the historical month listed. For the purpose of this report, children with their non-custodial parents are considered to be in their own home.

**Total Out of Home Placements** – This is the total number of CHINS children that are in out of home placement on the last day of the report month.

**Placed in Same County** – This is the total number of CHINS children that are placed in the same county as the county of their case.

**Percent Locally Placed** – This is the percentage of CHINS children that are placed in the same county as the county of their case divided by the total number of CHINS children which are in out of home placement on the last day of the report month.




# DCS Practice Indicator Report Locally Placed CHINS

For June 2010

## June 2010

Location	Total Out of Home Placements	Placed in Same County	Percent Locally Placed
Statewide	10,803	7,934	73.4%
Region 1	1,613	1,273	78.9%
Lake	1,613	1,273	78.9%


## Statewide Percent Placed Locally



## Region 1 For June 2009 to June 2010

Month	Total Out of Home Placements	Placed in Same County	Percent Locally Placed
Jun 2010	1,613	1,273	78.9%
May 2010	1,644	1,308	79.6%
Apr 2010	1,646	1,320	80.2%
Mar 2010	1,596	1,262	79.1%
Feb 2010	1,567	1,226	78.2%
Jan 2010	1,553	1,213	78.1%
Dec 2009	1,558	1,219	78.2%
Nov 2009	1,576	1,238	78.6%
Oct 2009	1,558	1,222	78.4%
Sep 2009	1,530	1,207	78.9%
Aug 2009	1,528	1,216	79.6%
Jul 2009	1,527	1,209	79.2%
Jun 2009	1,520	1,200	78.9%

## Region 1 Percent Placed Locally





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Average Number of Placements

For June 2010

## Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the historical month listed.

**Average Number of Placements Per Child** – This is the total number of out of home placements for each CHINS child in out of home placement on the last day of the report month. This includes all placements during the current removal episode. If a child had at least one placement end in the first 8 days from removal, then that child's number of placements was reduced by one.

This number is divided by the total number CHINS children who are in out of home placement on the last day of the report month.




# DCS Practice Indicator Report Average Number of Placements

For June 2009 to June 2010

## June 2010

Location	Average Number of Placements Per Child
Statewide	2.5
Region 1	2.7
Lake	2.7


## Statewide Average Number of Placements



## Region 1 For June 2009 to June 2010

Month	Average Number of Placements Per Child
June 2010	2.7
May 2010	2.7
April 2010	2.7
March 2010	2.7
February 2010	2.8
January 2010	2.8
December 2009	2.8
November 2009	2.8
October 2009	2.7
September 2009	2.7
August 2009	2.7
July 2009	2.7
June 2009	2.7

## Region 1 Average Number of Placements





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Sibling Placement Report

For June 2010

## Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the end of the historical month listed. For the purpose of this report, children with a non-custodial parent are considered to be in their own home.

**Count of Sibling Cases** – This is the number of open cases as of the end of the report month which have more than one child in out of home placement at the end of the report month.

**Cases With All Children Placed Together** – This is the number of cases with more than one child in out of home placement where all of the children are placed in the same resource with the same resource ID.

**Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases**– This is the percentage of the number of cases where all of the children in out of home placement are placed together divided by the number of open cases with more than one child in out of home placement as of the end of the report month.

**Average Number of Children per Case** – This number takes the number of children in out of home placement from cases with more than one child in out of home placement and divides it by the number of cases with more than one child in out of home placement as of the end of the report month.




# DCS Practice Indicator Report Sibling Placement Report

June 2010

## June 2010

Location	Count of Sibling Cases	Cases With All Children Placed Together	Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases	Average Number of Children per Case
Statewide	2745	1960	71.4%	2.67
Region 1	382	244	63.9%	3.08
Lake	382	244	63.9%	3.08


## Statewide Percent Together



## Region 1 For June 2009 to June 2010

Month	Count of Sibling Cases	Cases With All Children Placed Together	Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases	Average Number of Children per Case
June 2010	382	244	63.9%	3.08
May 2010	384	246	64.1%	3.12
April 2010	390	251	64.4%	3.11
March 2010	373	242	64.9%	3.12
February 2010	364	236	64.8%	3.10
January 2010	359	233	64.9%	3.08
December 2009	365	235	64.4%	3.06
November 2009	365	231	63.3%	3.08
October 2009	364	232	63.7%	3.06
September 2009	352	217	61.6%	3.07
August 2009	348	219	62.9%	3.08
July 2009	348	216	62.1%	3.05
June 2009	345	213	61.7%	3.05

## Region 1 Percent Together





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Length of Stay in Out of Home Placement

### Children in Out of Home Placement by Length of Time Since Latest Removal

For June 2010

#### Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the end of the historical month listed. This report looks at CHINS children that were in out of home placement at the end of the month. It measures the number of days since the child was last removed from its home. For the purpose of this report, children with a non-custodial parent are treated as in home.

**One Year - 0 to 365 Days**– This is column shows data for CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 0 and 365 days since the child's removal.

**Count-** This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 0 and 365 days since the child's last removal.

**Percent-** This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 0 and 365 days since the child's last removal divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**One Year - 366 to 730 Days**– This is column shows data for CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 366 and 730 days since the c last removal.

**Count-** This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 366 and 730 days since the child's last removal.

**Percent-** This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 366 and 730 days since the child's last removal divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**One Year - 731 to 1095 Days**– This is column shows data for CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 731 to 1095 days since the child's last removal.

**Count-** This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 731 to 1095 days since the child's last removal.

**Percent-** This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 731 to 1095 days since the child's last removal divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**One Year - 1096 Days+**– This is column shows data for CHINS children that have been in out of home placement 1096 days or more since the child's last removal.

**Count-** This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement 1096 days or more since the child's last removal.

**Percent-** This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement 1096 days or more since the child's last removal divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**Length Per Child** – This column shows data for length of time of CHINS children who were in out of home placement at the end of the report month have been in out of home placement since the child's last removal from their home.

**Average-** This column calculates the average or "mean" length of time in out of home placement since the last removal per child in out of home placement at the end of the report month.

**Median-** This column calculates the median length of time in out of home placement since the last removal per child in out of home placement at the end of the report month.



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Length of Stay in Out of Home Placement

### Children in Out of Home Placement by Length of Time Since Latest Removal For June 2010

#### June 2010

Location	One Year 0 to 365 Days		Two Years 366 to 730 Days		Three Years 731 to 1095 Days		Three + Years 1096 Days+		Length Per Child	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Average	Median
Statewide	5,427	50.2%	2,495	23.1%	1,247	11.5%	1,634	15.1%	594.6	363.4
Region 1	615	38.1%	325	20.1%	251	15.6%	422	26.2%	842.9	530.3
Lake	615	38.1%	325	20.1%	251	15.6%	422	26.2%	842.9	530.3


#### Statewide Median Length



#### Region 1 For June 2009 to June 2010

Month	One Year 0 to 365 Days		Two Years 366 to 730 Days		Three Years 731 to 1095 Days		Three + Years 1096 Days+		Length Per Child	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Average	Median
June 2010	615	38.1%	325	20.1%	251	15.6%	422	26.2%	842.9	530.3
May 2010	620	37.7%	352	21.4%	242	14.7%	430	26.2%	828.1	555.5
April 2010	625	38.0%	354	21.5%	252	15.3%	415	25.2%	828.8	560.0
March 2010	607	38.0%	339	21.2%	238	14.9%	412	25.8%	847.9	572.5
February 2010	567	36.2%	354	22.6%	231	14.7%	415	26.5%	856.2	593.3
January 2010	567	36.5%	345	22.2%	221	14.2%	420	27.0%	865.6	600.3
December 2009	579	37.2%	345	22.1%	214	13.7%	420	27.0%	856.8	589.5
November 2009	555	35.2%	378	24.0%	217	13.8%	426	27.0%	850.8	564.5
October 2009	536	34.4%	382	24.5%	221	14.2%	419	26.9%	842.0	560.0
September 2009	534	34.9%	365	23.9%	209	13.7%	422	27.6%	838.6	562.5
August 2009	545	35.7%	377	24.7%	193	12.6%	413	27.0%	828.8	549.0
July 2009	538	35.2%	382	25.0%	191	12.5%	416	27.2%	831.4	553.3
June 2009	535	35.2%	387	25.5%	183	12.0%	415	27.3%	824.5	542.0

#### Region 1 Median Length





# DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS and IA Permanency Report

For June 2010

## Report Description

This report uses CHINS and IA case types that have case type status end date occurring during the month. It then groups these cases using the closure reasons codes into categories of closure reasons. Case with closure reason code “Case opened in error – disregard” are filtered out for the purpose of this report.

Closure Reasons are group by:

Description	Category	Description	Category
Child Reunited with Parents	Reunification	Case closed; AG case created	Guardianship
Child never Removed from own home, wardship terminated	Reunification	Child placed with relatives	Relative
Extended wardship terminated (on court order for age 18 to 21)	Emancipation	Child Placed with Legal guardian	Guardianship
Child Returned to Primary Home	Reunification	Child Reached Age of Majority (age 18)	Emancipation
Period of IA ended code used for Informal Adjustment cases only	Reunification	Emancipated Court Ordered (age 18)	Emancipation
Child Adoption Finalized	Adoption	Child Already Reunited with parent (s) when wardship terminated	Reunification

The Permanency column “Total” refers to the total case with reason codes in the Reunification, Adoption, Relative, Guardianship, and Emancipation categories.

The Permanency column “Total within 24 months” refers to the total case that ended within 24 month of the case status type begin date in the Reunification, Adoption, Relative, Guardianship, and Emancipation categories.




# DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS and IA Permanency Report

For June 2010

## June 2010

Location	Closed Cases Types with Permanency Outcomes					Permanency		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation	Total	Within 24 Months	24 Month Rate
Statewide	851	78	12	69	61	1071	901	84.1
Region 1	102	7	0	3	6	118	96	81.4
Lake	102	7	0	3	6	118	96	81.4


## Statewide Permanency within 24 Months



## Region 1 For June 2009 to June 2010

Location	Closed Cases Types with Permanency Outcomes					Permanency		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation	Total	Within 24 Months	24 Month Rate
Jun 2010	102	7	0	3	6	118	96	81.4
May 2010	42	11	1	5	5	64	43	67.2
Apr 2010	34	11	0	0	8	53	31	58.5
Mar 2010	53	1	0	0	1	55	45	81.8
Feb 2010	36	6	0	1	8	51	30	58.8
Jan 2010	33	3	1	5	4	46	39	84.8
Dec 2009	37	4	1	4	1	47	38	80.9
Nov 2009	66	10	0	2	1	79	70	88.6
Oct 2009	29	7	0	4	1	41	30	73.2
Sep 2009	30	1	0	4	3	38	29	76.3
Aug 2009	32	5	1	2	4	44	35	79.5
Jul 2009	34	6	1	2	4	47	36	76.6
Jun 2009	26	6	0	2	0	34	24	70.6

## Region 1 Permanency within 24 Months





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Family Case Manager Contacts Report

For June 2010

## Report Description

This report uses data collected over at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the historical month listed. For the purpose of this report, a person is considered family for the child if they have the following relationships:

- Father
- Mother
- Stepfather
- Stepmother
- Grandfather
- Grandmother
- Pre-adoptive father
- Pre-adoptive mother
- Adoptive grandparent
- Legal Guardian

**Children Out of Home Entire Month** – This column shows the number of children in out of home placement at the end of the report month who also have a removal date prior to the first of the report month. For the purpose of this report, children with non-custodial parents and treated as in home.

**Contact Information** – This section of the reports shows information regarding FCM contacts (from the contact screens) during the report month.

**FCM with Family** – This section of the report shows FCM contacts with the child's family (as described above) during the report month.

**Children** – This is the total number of children that the FCM had at least one contact with a member of the child's family during the report month.

**Rate** – This is the total number of children that FCM had at least one contact with a member of the child's family divided by the total number of children in out of home placement for the entire month (as described above).

**FCM with Child** – This section of the report shows FCM contacts with the child during the report month.

**Children** – This is the total number of children that the FCM had at least one contact with a the child during the report month.

**Rate** – This is the total number of children that FCM had at least one contact with the child divided by the total number of children in out of home placement for the entire month (as described above).

**FCM with Family and Child** – This section of the report shows FCM contacts with the child's family (as described above) **AND** the child during the report month. Contact with both had to have occurred for the child to be included in this section. The family and child do not need to present at the same contact, contact with each could have been made in two separate contacts.

**Children** – This is the total number of children that the FCM had at least one contact with a member of the child's family **and** at least one contact with the child during the report month.

**Rate** – This is the total number of children that FCM had at least one contact with a member of the child's family **and** at least one contact with the child during the report month divided by the total number of children in out of home placement for the entire month (as described above).



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Family Case Manager Contacts Report

### For June 2010

#### June 2010

Location	Children out of home entire month	Contact Information					
		FCM with Family		FCM with Child		FCM with Family and Child	
		Children	Rate	Children	Rate	Children	Rate
Statewide	10,279	3,171	30.8%	10,072	98.0%	3,137	30.5%
Region 1	1,572	384	24.4%	1,549	98.5%	380	24.2%
Lake	1,572	384	24.4%	1,549	98.5%	380	24.2%


#### Statewide Child Contact Rate



#### Region 1 For June 2009 to June 2010

Month	Children out of home entire month	Contact Information					
		FCM with Family		FCM with Child		FCM with Family and Child	
		Children	Rate	Children	Rate	Children	Rate
Jun 2010	1,572	384	24.4%	1,549	98.5%	380	24.2%
May 2010	1,600	383	23.9%	1,583	98.9%	383	23.9%
Apr 2010	1,558	352	22.6%	1,538	98.7%	350	22.5%
Mar 2010	1,524	362	23.8%	1,510	99.1%	361	23.7%
Feb 2010	1,525	340	22.3%	1,500	98.4%	337	22.1%
Jan 2010	1,518	328	21.6%	1,486	97.9%	325	21.4%
Dec 2009	1,494	348	23.3%	1,483	99.3%	348	23.3%
Nov 2009	1,527	299	19.6%	1,488	97.4%	297	19.4%
Oct 2009	1,520	349	23.0%	1,483	97.6%	349	23.0%
Sep 2009	1,485	295	19.9%	1,448	97.5%	293	19.7%
Aug 2009	1,489	350	23.5%	1,461	98.1%	348	23.4%
Jul 2009	1,482	310	20.9%	1,449	97.8%	307	20.7%
Jun 2009	1,477	252	17.1%	1,456	98.6%	249	16.9%

#### Region 1 Child Contact Rate





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Child Visitation Report

For June 2010

## Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the historical month listed. For the purpose of this report, a person is considered family for the child if they have the following relationships:

- Father
- Mother
- Stepfather
- Stepmother
- Grandfather
- Grandmother
- Pre-adoptive father
- Pre-adoptive mother
- Adoptive grandparent
- Legal Guardian

**Children out of home with case plan goal of reunification** – This column shows the number of children in out of home placement at the end of the report month who also have reunification as the most recently entered primary case plan goal.

**Children with visits to their family during the month** – This section of the report shows data regarding children who have had a visit with the child's family (as described above) begin during the report month.

**Children** – This is the total number of children that the child had at least one visit with a member of the child's family during the report month.  
**Rate** – This is the total number of children that the child had at least one visit with a member of the child's family divided by the total number of children in out of home placement at the end of the report month with case plan goal of reunification(as described above).



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Child Visitation Report

For June 2010

### June 2010

Location	Children out of home with case plan goal of reunification	Children with visits to their family during the month	
		Children	Rate
Statewide	5,731	988	17.2%
Region 1	774	39	5.0%
Lake	774	39	5.0%


### Statewide Visitation Rate



### Region 1 For June 2009 to June 2010

Month	Children out of home with case plan goal of reunification	Children with visits to their family during the month	
		Children	Rate
Jun 2010	774	39	5.0%
May 2010	808	40	5.0%
Apr 2010	785	32	4.1%
Mar 2010	741	108	14.6%
Feb 2010	703	67	9.5%
Jan 2010	668	26	3.9%
Dec 2009	666	21	3.2%
Nov 2009	692	44	6.4%
Oct 2009	686	112	16.3%
Sep 2009	665	151	22.7%
Aug 2009	673	6	0.9%
Jul 2009	663	7	1.1%
Jun 2009	639	3	0.5%

### Region 1 Visitation Rate





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Absence of Repeat Maltreatment

For June 2010

## Report Description

This is a description of the key elements used in this report.

**Time Period** – The time period used in this report is the 12 months prior to the month of the report.

**Assessments during time period** – The assessment used in this report are assessment with BOTH report date AND approval date during the time period (12 months prior to the month of the report).

**Victim Selection** – The children used in this report were identified as having at least one substantiated allegation of abuse or neglect on an assessment during the time period.

**First Occurrence** – This looks at the victims of substantiated abuse or neglect during the first 6 months of the time period (6 to 12 months prior to the month of the report). If a child was a victim in multiple assessments with substantiated abuse or neglect, the assessment with the earliest report date is considered the first occurrence.

**Recurrence Time Period** – The time period used to see if a child is a substantiated victim in a recurring assessment of abuse or neglect is based off the report date of the first occurrence. The recurrence period begins one day after the first occurrence report date and ends 183 after the first occurrence report date ( $\geq 1^{\text{st}}$  Occurrence +1 and  $\leq 1^{\text{st}}$  Occurrence +183). If a child has multiple recurrences, the earliest report date in the recurring time period is considered the recurring assessment.

Here is a visual representation of an example. This is a report for December of a given year. The time period to review assessments would run from Jan 1<sup>st</sup> to Dec 31<sup>st</sup>. The time period for a first occurrence would be Jan 1<sup>st</sup> to Jun 30<sup>th</sup>. If a child had a first occurrence on Feb 7<sup>th</sup>, the recurrence period would run from Feb 8<sup>th</sup> to Aug 9<sup>th</sup>. If the child had a recurrence in Aug 5<sup>th</sup>, that would be considered repeat maltreatment. If the child had a recurrence Oct 10<sup>th</sup>, that would not be considered repeat maltreatment because it fell outside the recurrence period.

Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
Time Period (Jan 1 <sup>st</sup> to Dec 31 <sup>st</sup> )											
1 <sup>st</sup> Occurrence Time Period (Jan 1 <sup>st</sup> to Jun 30 <sup>th</sup> )											
			Recurrence Period (Feb 8 <sup>th</sup> to Aug 9 <sup>th</sup> )								

**Percent of absence of repeat maltreatment** – This calculations uses the terms defined above. The numerator is the number of children with a first occurrence minus the number of children with a recurrence. The denominator is the number of children with first occurrence.

$$\frac{(1^{\text{st}} \text{ Occurrence} - \text{Recurrence})}{1^{\text{st}} \text{ Occurrence}}$$

For the purposes of this report, the county the child is assigned to is based off the county of first occurrence. If a child has a recurrence in a different county, the repeat will show for the county of the first occurrence.



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Absence of Repeat Maltreatment

For June 2010

### June 2010

County	Victims from Jul 2009 to Jan 2010	Victims without a recurrence within 6 months	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent	National Standard
Statewide	11,636	10,733	92.24%	94.60%
Region 1	543	519	95.58%	94.60%
Lake	543	519	95.58%	94.60%


### Statewide Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



### Region 1 For June 2009 to June 2010

County	Victims from Jul 2009 to Jan 2010	Victims without a recurrence within 6 months	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent	National Standard
June 2010	543	519	95.58%	94.60%
May 2010	510	487	95.49%	94.60%
April 2010	522	500	95.79%	94.60%
March 2010	556	535	96.22%	94.60%
February 2010	565	544	96.28%	94.60%
January 2010	589	565	95.93%	94.60%
December 2009	646	624	96.59%	94.60%
November 2009	644	625	97.05%	94.60%
October 2009	583	566	97.08%	94.60%
September 2009	595	575	96.64%	94.60%
August 2009	607	582	95.88%	94.60%
July 2009	572	539	94.23%	94.60%
June 2009	524	484	92.37%	94.60%

### Region 1 Absence of Repeat Maltreatment





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Absence of Maltreatment in Foster Care

For June 2010

## Report Description

This is a description of the key elements used in this report.

**Time Period** – The time period used in this report is the 12 months prior to the month of the report.

**Assessments during the time period** – The assessment used in this report are assessment with BOTH report date AND approval date during the time period (12 months prior to the month of the report).

**Victim of maltreatment in Foster Care** – The children used in this report were identified as having at least one substantiated allegation of abuse or neglect on an assessment during the time period where the perpetrator is a foster parent or institutional staff.

**Children in Foster Care during the time period** – All CHINS children with an open removal episode at any time during the 12 months prior to the month of the report. Also included are Probation children (who are IV-E eligible) with an open removal episode at any time during the 12 months prior to the month of the report.

**Percent of absence of repeat maltreatment** – This calculations uses the terms defined above. The numerator is the number of children in foster care minus the number of victims of maltreatment in foster care. The denominator is the number of children in foster care.

$$\frac{(\text{Children in Foster Care} - \text{Victims of maltreatment in Foster Care})}{\text{Children in Foster Care}}$$



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Absence of Maltreatment in Foster Care

### For June 2010

#### For June 2010

Location	Children in Foster Care from Jul 2009 to Jun 2010	Substantiated Victims in Foster Care	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent	National Standard
Statewide	21,990	95	99.57%	<b>99.68%</b>
Region 1	2,575	16	99.38%	<b>99.68%</b>
Lake	2,575	16	99.38%	<b>99.68%</b>

#### Statewide Trend of Absence of Maltreatment in Foster Care



#### Region 1 for June 2009 to June 2010

Month	Children in Foster Care from Jul 2009 to Jun 2010	Substantiated Victims in Foster Care	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent	National Standard
June 2010	2,575	16	99.38%	<b>99.68%</b>
May 2010	2,581	11	99.57%	<b>99.68%</b>
April 2010	2,577	11	99.57%	<b>99.68%</b>
March 2010	2,534	11	99.57%	<b>99.68%</b>
February 2010	2,498	11	99.56%	<b>99.68%</b>
January 2010	2,478	6	99.76%	<b>99.68%</b>
December 2009	2,474	7	99.72%	<b>99.68%</b>
November 2009	2,409	7	99.71%	<b>99.68%</b>
October 2009	2,442	9	99.63%	<b>99.68%</b>
September 2009	2,452	9	99.63%	<b>99.68%</b>
August 2009	2,450	10	99.59%	<b>99.68%</b>
July 2009	2,439	10	99.59%	<b>99.68%</b>
June 2009	2,439	9	99.63%	<b>99.68%</b>

#### Region 1 Trend of Absence of Maltreatment in Foster Care

