

#### J. David Hawkins Ph.D. University of Washington jdh@uw.edu

Indiana Prescription Drug and Heroin Symposium-Indianapolis, Indiana, October 14, 2016

thanks to: National Institute on Drug Abuse Center for Substance Abuse Prevention National Institute of Mental Health National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism

National Cancer Institute National Institute on Child Health and Human Development



SOCIAL DEVELOPMENT RESEARCH GROUP

UNIVERSITY of WASHINGTON School of Social Work



#### Deaths per 100,000 population



\*Age-adjusted death rates were calculated by applying age-specific death rates to the 2000 US standard population age distribution. Opioids include drugs such as morphine, oxycodone, hydrocodone, heroin, methadone, fentanyl, and tramadol. **Source: CDC** 





# Shift in Causes of Mortality

- There has been a shift in the leading causes of mortality from infectious to non-communicable diseases and conditions.
- Behavioral health problems including drug overdoses contribute to this shift.



SOCIAL DEVELOPMENT RESEARCH GROUP

School of Social Work

#### Leading Causes of Mortality 15-24 Year Olds (2011, U.S.)

			Total death (per 100,000	
1	Motor Vehicle Crashes	48.8/100.0	<b>1</b> 5.9	
2	Accidents		11.	
3	Intentional self harm (suicide)	OF / 2% OF a	10.7	
4	Assault (homicide)	deaths	10.3	
5	Malignant neoplasms		3.7	
6	Diseases of heart		2.2	
7 at	7 Congenital malformations, deformations and abnormalities			
8	3 Influenza and pneumonia		0.8	
9	Cerebrovascular diseases		0.4	
10	Pregnancy, childbirth and the	puerperium	0.4	
	All other causes (Residual)		11.′	

Hoyert & Xu, 2012 http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/nvsr/nvsr61/nvsr61\_06.pdf

#### Leading Causes of Mortality 15-24 Year Olds, American Indian/Alaskan Natives (2010, U.S.)

		Total deaths (per 100,000)	
1	Intentional self harm (suicide)	20.9	
2	Motor Vehicle Crashes 66.8/100,0	<b>00</b> 18.0	
3	Accidents or 82.6%	<b>9</b> .9	
4	Assault (homicide)	11.5	
5	Drug-related overdose	3.2	
6	Alcohol-related overdose and disease	2.6	
7	Malignant Neoplasms	2.0	
8	Diseases of Heart	1.9	
9	Pregnancy, childbirth and the puerperium	0.7	
10	0.5		
	All other causes (Residual)	9.7	



### Why Focus on Prevention? (Hacker & Walker, 2013: AJPH)

- 50% to 60% of health outcomes are due to behavioral health problems.
- Only 10% of health outcomes are a result of treatment or medical care.



OCIAL DEVELOPMENT RESEARCH GROUP



#### Drug Abuse Prevention in the1970's

- Strategies:
  - Information
  - Fear arousal
  - Just say "no"
- Outcomes:
  - No decreases in drug use
  - Some programs increased drug use (Tobler, 1986)

Lesson: Untested good ideas can make things worse.



SOCIAL DEVELOPMENT RESEARCH GROUP

School of Social Work



Paradigm Shift: A Public Health, Risk and Protection Focused Approach to Prevention

#### **To Prevent a Problem Before It Happens:**

#### 1. Address its Predictors

**Research has Identified Predictors:** 

- Risk Factors (including ACEs)
- Protective Factors

2. Develop Interventions to Target R/P Factors



Risk Factors for Health & Behavior Problems	Substance Abuse	Delinquency	Teen Pregnancy	School Dropout	Violence	Depression & Anxiety		
Community								
Availability of Drugs	•				•			
Availability of Firearms		•			•			
Community Laws and Norms Favorable Toward Drug Use, Firearms, and Crime	•	•			•			
Media Portrayals of the Behavior	•				•			
Transitions and Mobility	•	•		•		•		
Low Neighborhood Attachment and Community Disorganization	•	•			•			
Extreme Economic Deprivation	•	•	•	•	•			
Family								
Family History of the Problem Behavior	•	•	•	•	•	•		
Family Management Problems	•	•	•	•	•	•		
Family Conflict	•	•	•	•	•	•		
Favorable Parental Attitudes and Involvement in the Problem Behavior	•	•			•			
School								
Academic Failure Beginning in Late Elementary School	•	•	•	•	•	•		
Lack of Commitment to School	•	•	•	•	•			
Individual/Peer								
Early and Persistent Antisocial Behavior	•	•	•	•	•	•		
Rebelliousness	•	•		•	•			
Gang Involvement	•	•			•			
Friends Who Engage in the Problem Behavior	•	•	•	•	•			
Favorable Attitudes Toward the Problem Behavior	•	•	•	•	•			
Early Initiation of the Problem Behavior	•	•	•	•	•			
Constitutional Factors	•	•			•	•		



Risk reduction is essential, but not enough

# Build Protective/nurturing environments and individual strengths

Protective factors predict less substance abuse, crime and violence, even in the presence of risk.



SOCIAL DEVELOPMENT RESEARCH GROUP

UNIVERSITY of WASHINGTON School of Social Work

# Five Important Elements of Protection

#### SOCIAL DEVELOPMENT STRATEGY





# 36 Years of Research Advances

# We know the predictors We know what works





SOCIAL DEVELOPMENT RESEARCH GROUP

UNIVERSITY of WASHINGTON School of Social Work



# Effective Prevention NRC & IOM (2009)

Controlled trials focused on reducing risk and strengthening protective factors have identified over 60 effective policies and programs proven to prevent behavioral health problems.

- Effective programs: www.blueprintsprograms.com
- Effective policies: Anderson et al. 2009; Catalano et al. 2012; Hingson & White 2013; Vuolo et al., 2016; US Surgeon General, 2016.
- Effective prevention saves money: www.wsipp.wa.gov
   Lee, S., Aos, S., & Pennucci, A. (2015). What works and what does not? Benefit-cost findings from WSIPP.



SOCIAL DEVELOPMENT RESEARCH GROUP

UNIVERSITY of WASHINGTON School of Social Work

# Recognition of **Evidence-Based Programs**









FOR DISEASE CONTROL AND PREVENTION







Prevention

U.S. Department of Justice





Included in SAMHSA's National Registry of Evidence-based **Programs and Practices** 



AMERICAN PSYCHOLOGICAL Association

NATIONAL INSTITUTE ON DRUG ABUSE

SAP Center for Substance Abuse Prevention

Substance Abuse and Menta

Health Services Administration

**Evidence-Based Policy** 

A NONPROFIT. NONPARTISAN ORGANIZATION

Coalition for 🦊

EVELOPMENT RESEARCH GROUP

of WASHINGTON

School of Social Work

# S D Effective Prevention *Programs* R G Examples:

Good Behavior Game, Life Skills Training, Positive Action, Project Northland, Raising Healthy Children, Incredible Years, Achievement Mentoring-Middle school, ATLAS, BASICS, Coping Power, Keep Safe, Familias Unidas, Strengthening Families 10-14, Strong African-American Families, Guiding Good Choices, **MST**, Functional Family Therapy DEVELOPMENT RESEARCH GROUP

UNIVERSITY of WASHINGTON School of Social Work



# Effective Prevention *Policies* Examples:

- Prescription Drug Monitoring Program PDMP (Patrick et al., 2016)
- PDMP with "Pill Mill Control" policies (Rutkow et al, 2015)
- Graduated Driver Licensing, Night Driving Restrictions, Social Host Liability,
- Increased Taxes Alcohol & Tobacco,
- Minimum Legal Drinking Age Age of Tobacco Possession,
- Tobacco Clean Air Restrictions-Smoking Bans,
- Alcohol & Tobacco Sales Restrictions (outlet density regulations, single cigarette & vending machine prohibitions)



# Some programs prevent multiple behavioral health problems

Program	Drug use	Delinquency	Violence	School	Risky Sex	Mental health		
Life Skills Training	✓	$\checkmark$	✓		✓			
High Scope Preschool		✓	✓	✓				
Strong African American Families	✓	✓	<b>√</b> ,					
MST (Multisystemic Therapy)	✓	✓	✓	✓		✓		
Good Behavior Game	✓	✓	✓		✓	✓		
SOCIAL DEVELOPMENT RESEARCH GROUP								



UNIVERSITY of WASHINGTON School of Social Work



# Example: Life Skills Training

- Middle/JHS School
- Year 1: 15 sessions
- Year 2: 10 sessions
- Year 3: 5 sessions
- Interactive methods
- Provider Training
- Technical Assistance



SOCIAL DEVELOPMENT RESEARCH GROUP







# Effectiveness

- 32 published studies
- Randomized Trials
- Short and long-term
- SA and violence
- Diverse populations
- Different providers
- Multiple replications
- \$17.25 benefit -\$1 cost (WSIPP, 2016)







SOCIAL DEVELOPMENT R\_\_\_ UNIVERSITY of WASHINGTON

School of Social Work

### Strengthening Families 10-14 Program

- Population Universal
  - All families with children entering adolescence
- Objectives
  - Enhance family protective factors
  - Reduce family-based risk factors for child problem behaviors
- Administration/Program Length
  - 7 weekly two-hour sessions
  - All but one session include one hour for separate parent and child training and one hour for family training
- Parent and Child Involvement
  - Children and parents attend all sessions



#### Strengthening Families 10-14 Reduced Prescription and Opioid Drug Misuse



#### \*\* p<.01;

Notes: General=Non prescribed use of narcotics or CNS depressants or stimulants.

Source: R Spoth et al. American Journal of Public Health 2013

# S D<br/>R GBenefits versus costs of<br/>Strengthening Families 10-14

## Return of \$5.00 for every \$1 invested. (Washington State Institute for Public Policy, 2016)





Combining School and Family Programs to Prevent Teen Opioid Misuse Source: NIDA Notes (December 2015)





#### Combined Life Skills Training and Strengthening Families 10-14 Effects for High-Risk Subsample



Note. PDMO = prescription drug misuse overall; POM = prescription opioid misuse; \*P < .05; \*\*P < .01.

Spoth, Trudeau, Shin, Ralston, Redmond, Greenberg, & Feinberg (2013). Longitudinal effects of universal preventive intervention on prescription drug misuse: Three RCTs with late adolescents and young adults. *American Journal of Public Health*, 103(4), 665-672.



# PROSPER –Community Prevention System The Community Team

- PROSPER Community Teams between 8-10 members including:
  - Extension-based Team Leader (average 10 hours/week)
  - School-based Co-team Leader (about 1 hour/week)
  - Community volunteers (about 3 hours/month)
    - \* Local mental health/public health representatives
    - \* Local substance abuse agency representative
    - \* Parents
    - \* Youth



## PROSPER - Menu of School and Family Focused Prevention Programs



- Family-focused Programs
  - Guiding Good Choices
  - Strengthening Families Program:
     For Parents and Youth 10-14

- School-based Programs
  - Life Skills Training
  - Project Alert
  - All Stars





# PROSPER Long-term Impact on Young Adult Prescription Drug Misuse



PROSPER vs. control differences are practically significant: For every 100 misusers in non-PROSPER communities, there will be about 20-26 fewer in PROSPER communities.

Note: \*p<.05, RRRs=20-26%. Source: Spoth et al., Long-term effects of the PROSPER delivery system for universal prevention: Emerging adult substance misuse and associated risk behavior outcomes. Manuscript under review.



# Example: Nurse Family Partnership David Olds, Ph.D.

- Home visitors are trained public health nurses
- Guideline-driven and family-centered
- Visit from pregnancy through child age 2
- Visit 2-4 times a month: weekly during 1st mo., every other week through pregnancy, weekly for 1st 6 weeks postpartum, & every other week until 2<sup>nd</sup> birthday
   Caseload of 25 families per full-time nurse



#### Evidence of NFP Effects: Elmira Follow-Up

Produced reductions of 40% - 60% in...

- Child abuse and neglect
- Arrest rate and convictions of the mothers (for poor, unmarried women)
- Arrest rate of juveniles (for children of poor, unmarried women)
- Problems associated with drug and alcohol abuse by mothers (poor, unmarried women)
- 25% reduction in smoking during pregnancy (poor, unmarried)
- Benefit over cost: \$1.61 return on \$1 invested (WSIPP, 2016).

### **Example: Seattle Social Development Project** (Raising Healthy Children – RHC)

- Teacher training in classroom instruction and management – Grades 1-6
- Parent training in behavior management and academic support – Grades 1-3, 5-6
- Child social, emotional and cognitive skill development – Grades 1-2



AL DEVELOPMENT RESEARCH GROUP

UNIVERSITY of WASHINGTON

# RHC Changed Risk, Protection and Outcomes



# Fewer Pregnancies and Births among Teenage Girls

#### **Among Females At age 21**







JCHOOLOL JOCIAL WOLK

3

#### **SD RHC Eliminated Racial Disparity in Sexually Transmitted Infections**



School of Social Work

# S RHC Reduced Racial Disparity in RG Household Income



SOCIAL DEVELOPMENT RESEARCH GROUP

School of Social Work



# Benefit versus Costs of Raising Healthy Children

#### Return of \$4.27 for every \$1 invested. (Washington State Institute for Public Policy, 2016)




#### www.blueprintsprograms.com

Anxiety Depression Autistic Behaviors

Risky driving

Aggressive behavior and conduct problems

Delinquent behavior

Alcohol, tobacco, other drug misuse

Violence

Selfinflicted injury

Risky sexual behavior School dropout



SOCIAL DEVELOPMENT RESEARCH GROUP UNIVERSITY of WASHINGTON School of Social Work



## Summary: Advances of Prevention Science



- 1. Risk and Protection Focus
- 2. Social Development Strategy
- 3. Tested and Effective Programs/Policies



SOCIAL DEVELOPMENT RESEARCH GROUP

UNIVERSITY of WASHINGTON School of Social Work



"According to the Office of National Drug Control Policy, the most effective way to mitigate the costs associated with illicit drug use is through prevention."

Source: Comptroller General's Forum, U.S. Government Accountability Office, 2016





# How much are we investing in prevention compared to treatment and law enforcement?







Source: Office of National Drug Control Policy National Drug Control Budget Funding Highlights for Fiscal Years 2016 & 2017.





## Implications

- Effective Prevention is within our Reach
  - We Must Increase Investment in Prevention Programs and Policies Proven to Work if We Want to Reduce Prescription and Opioid Drug Misuse.
- Leverage Prevention Power
  - Combine Interventions Shown to Prevent Multiple Behavioral Health Problems including Opioid Addiction.



SOCIAL DEVELOPMENT RESEARCH GROUP



## Think, Pair, Share





# What did I hear worth remembering?

# What do we want to ask or discuss with David?



SOCIAL DEVELOPMENT RESEARCH GROUP

UNIVERSITY of WASHINGTON School of Social Work



## Despite this progress...

Tested and effective interventions for preventing behavioral health problems are not widely used. We are not investing in prevention!

## In fact...

When we do invest, prevention approaches that do not work or have not been evaluated are more widely used than those shown to be

effective. (Ringwalt, Vincus, et al. 2009)



SOCIAL DEVELOPMENT RESEARCH GROUP

UNIVERSITY of WASHINGTON School of Social Work

### S D R G

# How do we ensure the healthy development of *all* youth?



School of Social Work



Build community capacity to choose and implement effective preventive interventions that address prevalent risks and strengthen protection against behavioral health problems.



#### The Challenge for Community Prevention: Different Communities, Different Needs

#### Different Norms & Values

### Different levels of risk and protection

Different youth problem behaviors



Different resources & capacity



SOCIAL DEVELOPMENT RESEARCH GROUP

UNIVERSITY of WASHINGTON School of Social Work



#### **Example: Communities That Care**

A system for building local capacity to choose and implement effective preventive interventions that address prevalent risks and strengthen protection against behavioral health problems.



SOCIAL DEVELOPMENT RESEARCH GROUP

UNIVERSITY *of* WASHINGTON School of Social Work

### Communities That Care = Powerful Results





33% tobacco

- 32% alcohol
- 25% delinquent behavior

A large trial of Communities That Care produced reductions in drug use and delinquency.







# How do CTC communities get these results?





Building Protection into Daily Interactions with Young People

#### SOCIAL DEVELOPMENT STRATEGY



## 8<sup>th</sup> Grade Protection CTC vs Control





#### Communities That Care Core Elements

- Uses a public health approach to prevent youth problem behaviors by addressing risk and protective factors
- **Community owned and operated**: carried out by a coalition of community stakeholders from all sectors
- **Data Driven:** the community makes its decisions using the community's own data
- Evidence Based: adoption and expansion of effective programs & policies
- **Outcome Focused:** measures changes in community levels of adolescent behavior problems; improvements in child & youth well-being



SOCIAL DEVELOPMENT RESEARCH GROUP UNIVERSITY of WASHINGTON School of Social Work

## CTC - A Continuous Improvement Process





communities that care



## How CTC is organized





#### Community





CTC solves real problems in each community by giving kids a real voice.



## **CTC Youth Survey**

- Assesses young peoples' experiences and perspectives.
- Provides valid and reliable measures of risk and protective factors across state, gender, age and racial/ethnic groups. (Arthur et al., 2002; Glaser et al., 2005)
- Identifies levels of risk and protective factors and substance use, crime, violence and depression for state, district, city, school, or neighborhood.
- Provides a foundation for selection of appropriate tested, effective actions.
- Monitors effects of chosen actions by repeating surveys every two years.



#### High School "N" Risk Profile 10th Grade





# Each CTC community selects the right evidence-based programs for its unique needs.



### Blueprints for Healthy Youth Development



Find a program that matches your needs with the tools below, or view our entire List of Programs »



#### **Effective Programs Implemented in CTC Trial**

School-Based

All Stars Core Life Skills Training (LST) Lion's Quest SFA (LQ-SFA) Project Alert Olweus Bullying Prevention Program Towards No Drug Abuse (TNDA) Class Action Program Development Evaluation Training

Selective After school Participate and Learn Skills (PALS) Big Brothers/Big Sisters Stay SMART Tutoring Valued Youth

Family Focused

Strengthening Families 10-14 Guiding Good Choices Parents Who Care Family Matters Parenting Wisely









#### Numbers exposed to effective programs

Program Type	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
School-Based	1432	3886	5165	5705
After-school*	546	612	589	448
Family Focused	517	665	476	379

*Note*: Total eligible population = 10,030.

\*Includes PALS, BBBS, Stay SMART, and Tutoring programs

(Fagan et al., 2009)



SOCIAL DEVELOPMENT RESEARCH GROUP UNIVERSITY of WASHINGTON

School of Social Work



#### **CTC Implementation Fidelity Monitoring System**

- Training for all program implementers
- Fidelity "checklists" to rate adherence
- Observations to rate adherence and quality
- Documentation of attendance
- Local monitoring and quality assurance by community coalitions
- External monitoring



ELOPMENT RESEARCH GROUP

## CTC Achieves High Implementation Fidelity



## The Test of Communities That Care 2003-2013

#### 24 incorporated towns

- ~ Matched in pairs within state
- Randomly assigned to CTC or control condition



#### Longitudinal panel of 4407 students

- ~ All 5<sup>th</sup> graders in public schools
- ~ Surveyed annually from grade 5



## Sustained Effects through High School

In CTC communities:

- 33% had never used alcohol (v. 23% control)
- 50% had never smoked cigarettes (v. 43% control)
- 42% had never engaged in delinquency (v. 33% control)
- 34% had never engaged in violent behavior (v. 41% control)











## **Cost Benefit Summary**



Communities That Care is Cost-Beneficial – even when effect sizes are reduced by 50%

- For every \$1 spent \$4.23 return on investment
- Low risk of negative investment return likely to get a benefit 99 times out of 100

Washington State Institute for Public Policy, 2013







#### From CTC to CTC PLUS

#### **Traditional CTC**

- Conducted by certified national trainers
- Delivered during 6 full day sessions
- Training new coalition members was difficult
- Refresher training was costly
- No coaching/ta was available

## **CTC PLUS**

- CTC workshops streamed online to make access easy
- Workshops led by a local facilitator trained by UW
- Workshop content available to coalition members online
- Proactive coaching/ta from Center for CTC at UW

**SOCIAL DEVELOPMENT RESEARCH GROUP** 

School of Social Work



#### Web streamed workshops

- Content provided by experts in brief videos followed by checks for understanding and activities to ensure learning and application
- Workshops divided into 50 modules with facilitator guides
- 3 types of video content (122 total videos):
  - 1. Big idea
  - 2. Instructional
  - 3. Testimonial









#### Table Talk

What did I hear worth remembering?

## What do we want to ask or discuss with David?



SOCIAL DEVELOPMENT RESEARCH GROUP

UNIVERSITY of WASHINGTON School of Social Work



#### Thank you!

J. David Hawkins

jdh@uw.edu



www.communitiesthatcare.net





SOCIAL DEVELOPMENT RESEARCH GROUP