Indiana Recount Commission Minutes December 20, 2022

Members Present: The Hon. Holli Sullivan, Secretary of State and Chairman of the Indiana Election Commission ("Commission"); Mr. Mark Wynn, Member; Mr. Michael Claytor, Member.

Members Absent: None.

Staff Attending: Philip Sicuso, Recount Director; J. Bradley King, Majority Counsel; Matthew Kochevar, Minority Counsel

Others Attending: Ms. Penny Githens; Mr. David Henry, Petitioner; Ms. Kendra Leatherman, State Board of Accounts; Counsel Courtney Milbank; Ms. Dee Owens; First Sergeant Brad Stille, Indiana State Police; Samantha DeWester, Counsel for Respondent.

1. Call to Order:

The Chair called the December 20, 2022 meeting of the Commission to order at 9:00 a.m. EST in Statehouse Room 404, 200 West Washington Street, Indianapolis.

2. Transaction of Commission Business:

The Commission proceeded to transact the business set forth in the Transcript of Proceedings for this meeting prepared by Maria W. Collier, RPR, CRR, of Stewart Richardson and Associates, which is incorporated by reference into these minutes.

The Commission adjourned its meeting at 10:15 a.m. EST.

APPROVED:

Philip Sicuso, Récount Director

Pursuant to Order 2018-1

In the Matter Of:

INDIANA RECOUNT COMMISSION PUBLIC SESSION

Transcript of Proceedings

December 20, 2022



800.869.0873 | www.StewartRichardson.com

Reporting Driven by Excellence - Since 1975

1	
2	INDIANA RECOUNT COMMISSION
3	PUBLIC SESSION
4	
5	
6	
7	Conducted on: December 20, 2022
8	
9	
10	
11	Conducted at: Statehouse Room 404 200 West Washington Street
12	Indianapolis, Indiana
13	
14	
15	
16	A Stenographic Record by:
17	Maria W. Collier, RPR, CRR
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	STEWART RICHARDSON DEPOSITION SERVICES Registered Professional Reporters
25	(800)869-0873

Г

1	APPEARANCES
2	
3	INDIANA RECOUNT COMMISSION:
4	Holli Sullivan, Secretary of State - Chairman
5	Mark Wynn - Commissioner
6	Michael Claytor - Commissioner
7	
8	INDIANA ELECTION DIVISION STAFF:
9	J. Bradley King - Majority Counsel
LO	Matthew Kochevar - Minority Counsel
11	
L2	
L3	
L4	
L5	
L6	
L7	
L8	
L9	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

SECRETARY SULLIVAN: Okay. Good morning. We can start now officially. I got excited to start earlier. So I will call this meeting to order. This is the meeting of the Indiana State Recount Commission. We can call to order since all three of our Commission are present, so there is a quorum.

My name is Holli Sullivan. As your Secretary of State, I serve as the chair and a member of the Commission. I am joined by our commissioners, Michael Claytor and Commissioner Mark Wynn.

Would either of you like to introduce yourselves or make any opening remarks?

MR. WYNN: No. I'm fine.

MR. CLAYTOR: No.

2.2

SECRETARY SULLIVAN: Okay. The Election

Division of the Secretary of State's Office

provides our administrative support to the Recount

Commission and does a stellar job, so thank you.

Brad King serves as the majority counsel to the

Commission, and Matthew Kochevar serves as the

minority counsel.

Our court reporter today is Maria Collier -- thank you -- from Stewart Richardson Reporting. We welcome you and we're thankful you're here.

Before we begin, I want to remind everybody, on behalf of the court reporter, to please identify yourself when you speak before you start, spell your name, and identify yourself clearly and speak very clearly. Please do not speak at the same time as others are speaking.

We will go through, with Brad and Matthew, to confirm the posting of our meeting with the Open Door Law. So, Brad, would you please provide the Commission with a report concerning the compliance of the Commission with the Open Door Law requirements for our meeting today.

MR. KING: Madam Chairman, members of the Commission, on behalf of myself and Mr. Kochevar, we certify that notice was properly posted in accordance with the Indiana Open Door Law for this meeting of the Indiana Recount Commission.

SECRETARY SULLIVAN: Thank you.

Matthew, do you have any other comments?

MR. KOCHEVAR: I do not.

SECRETARY SULLIVAN: We will proceed with the introduction of our recount director and our deputy director, Phil Sicuso.

Mr. Sicuso, would you please provide the Commission with a report regarding the actions you

and others have taken with the petition for recount filed on the November 8th election for Indiana House District 62.

2.

2.2

MR. SICUSO: Absolutely. Thank you, Madam Chair and members of the Commission. My name is Philip Sicuso, S-i-c-u-s-o.

On November 28, 2022, at 9:33 a.m., a verified petition for recount of the election for State Representative for District 62 was filed with the Indiana Election Division by Petitioner David Henry, the chairman of the Monroe County Democratic Party, along with a required deposit of \$430 set forth by statute. This petition is included behind the first tab in your binders.

No other candidate in that election or party chairman of a county within House District 62 filed either a cross-petition for a recount in that race or a petition for a contest proceeding in that election before the noon deadline on the date set by statute.

Upon review of Mr. Henry's petition, I determined that the recount petition complied with all required elements of state law for this matter and, on November 29, 2022, issued Order 2022-11 granting the petition and directing the Indiana

State Police to impound the relevant material and equipment, to serve notice of the filing of this petition on the candidates, and to provide proof of service. These documents are found behind the second tab in your binders.

2.2

Also on November 29, 2022, Samantha DeWester filed an appearance on behalf of respondent, Mr. Hall. This document is found behind the third tab in your binder. As of today, no attorney has filed an appearance on behalf of Mr. Henry.

After consultation with the parties and coordination with the Indiana State Police and the State Board of Accounts, I issued order 2022-12 on December 6th for a pre-recount inspection to be conducted in accordance with the Commission's guidelines beginning on December 7, 2022, in Jackson, Brown, and Monroe Counties. This document is found behind the fourth tab of your binders. The inspections were completed in accordance with Order 2022-12.

The State Board of Accounts proceeded to conduct the recount in House District 62 in accordance with state law, the guidelines adopted by the Commission as amended on June 6th of this year, and the manual developed by the State Board

of Accounts, which is incorporated by reference into those guidelines. The guidelines in the manual are found behind the fifth tab in your binders.

2.2

On December 9th, counsel for respondent filed a waiver of the requirement to the guidelines for the recount to include a count of ballots for each candidate by vote center as well as by precinct.

Mr. Henry joined in this waiver on December 10th.

These filings are found behind the sixth tab in your binders.

The State Board of Accounts conducted the recount in Jackson, Brown, and Monroe Counties beginning on December 11th and concluding on December 13th. The State Board of Accounts has provided a report setting forth the results of this recount, which is found behind the seventh tab in your binders.

Following the conclusion of the work of the State Board of Accounts, I requested that the parties advise the Commission regarding their intentions regarding the recount petition. On December 16th, counsel for respondent withdrew all respondent's objections and submitted a motion to dismiss the recount petition. The petitioner

responded that he would not be filing a motion to dismiss but wished to discuss two exhibits in this matter at today's Commission hearing. A copy of these communications is found behind the final tab in your binders.

2.

2.2

I have been advised by both counsels that, under Indiana Code 3-12-11-12 -- and I'll summarize partial quotes here from statute -- whenever a motion to dismiss a petition for a recount is filed with the State Recount Commission or is made by a member of the Commission, the Commission shall rule on the motion to dismiss before ordering or continuing with the recount. The motion to dismiss must state that the petitioner or cross-petitioner has failed to comply with this chapter and specifically identify the requirement that the petitioner or cross-petitioner has failed to comply with.

In this instance, the motion filed by the respondent does not state that the petitioner failed to comply with this chapter or specifically identify the requirement that the petitioner has failed to comply with. If the Commission denies the pending motion to dismiss, then the Commission must continue with the recount process as set forth

in state law and the guidelines until or unless a 1 2 further motion to dismiss is filed. 3 That concludes my report. 4 SECRETARY SULLIVAN: Thank you. 5 MR. WYNN: Based on your report and the reading of the statute, I believe it would be 6 appropriate to move to deny the motion to dismiss. 7 MR. CLAYTOR: Second. 8 9 SECRETARY SULLIVAN: Okay. So we have a 10 motion on the table and a second, so we need to take a vote of the three Commission members of 11 12 denying -- or accepting your motion. So all those 13 in favor? 14 MR. WYNN: Aye. 15 SECRETARY SULLIVAN: Aye. 16 MR. CLAYTOR: Aye. 17 SECRETARY SULLIVAN: So we have a passed motion in favor of dismissal -- to deny dismissal. 18 19 I'm sorry. Okay. So upon the denial of the motion 20 to dismiss, I will -- back to Mr. Sicuso. 21 I think I'd suggest that Brad MR. SICUSO: 22 Stille from the State Police speak next with 23 respect to the State Police's actions for the 24 impoundment and protection of the materials while

25

under impoundment.

Would it be okay to use the podium?

SECRETARY SULLIVAN: Yes.

2.2

MR. STILLE: My name is Brad Stille. I'm the district investigative commander at the Bloomington post. My last name is pronounced Stille, and it's spelled S-t-i-l-l-e. I hold the rank of first sergeant there at the Bloomington District, which is also known as District 33.

On November the 29th, our agency received the impoundment order. We already had a heads-up that that was coming, and at that point we sent officers to the three counties involved in this recount, being Monroe, Brown, and Jackson County, which falls out of our district, but that county was handled by First Sergeant Roger Drew out of Seymour post.

To kind of give you an overview, when we receive this impoundment order, we basically take custody just as any other piece of evidence that we would handle. Officers went to those locations with crime scene techs. We photographed the election material. We obtained keys for all the doors where no one else had access. The doors were taped and sealed shut; in addition, postings authorizing no entry without State Police presence;

and also, twice a day, each one of those doors containing election material was checked by our uniformed officers.

2.

2.2

Once the recount began, our officers were present, at least one uniformed, if not uniformed, and a detective or part of our criminal staff, investigations staff, to oversee whatever material was moved, for example, in Brown County from one locked location to another. Those materials were observed by our officers. And then anytime, including today, where election material was transported, it was handled just as we would evidence. It was sealed in envelopes, initialed, witnessed, and then signed over, as in today, over to the State Board of Accounts, which they have possession of here today.

MR. SICUSO: Madam Chair, I'd like to thank the State Police. We had to work with them closely, and one day I think we had three or four involved at one time. So it was really a great job and we appreciate it.

SECRETARY SULLIVAN: Thank you.

MR. SICUSO: With your permission, Madam
Chair, I think the State Board of Accounts would be appropriate to speak next. I think my

understanding, Counsel -- correct me if I'm wrong -- but with the next step procedurally we should be looking at the findings of the State Board of Accounts at least for purposes of all the precincts that have no disputes remaining and proceed from there.

2.2

MS. LEATHERMAN: Good morning, Madam Chair, members of the Commission. My name is Kendra Leatherman. It's K-e-n-d-r-a, Leatherman is L-e-a-t-h-e-r-m-a-n. And I am the general counsel and recount lead for the State Board of Accounts. I'll give a brief overview for everyone of the processes that we went through to complete the recount for House District 62.

As the recount director said, after we had a pre-count meeting, that's really our opportunity to get an understanding of the types of voting material and the ballots and what we're going to need to do in terms of procedures to produce a manual count. We started sorting on the 8th in Jackson County, and then we -- we started sorting on the 8th in Jackson County, and on the 9th, we went to Monroe County. On the actual 12th, we started the real recount in Jackson County. We spent half a day there and moved to Brown County,

where we were able to count six precincts before we concluded our day. And the next day, on the 13th, we concluded Brown County in a half a day and then were able to count six precincts in Monroe, which means on the 14th we concluded all of the count, which was 21 precincts at the time, and that required us to manually tabulate around 15,000 ballots.

2.

2.2

I just would like to say for the benefit of the group that I'm really proud of our team. There was a lot of work to be done. And we had a lot of veterans on this recount, some that had done it before, but also had a lot of individuals that hadn't participated in a recount before, and they really did a great job.

So after the actual manual count of the recount was finished, our final numbers for who we will call Candidate 1, Penny Githens, is 12,963, and our final tally for Dave Hall, which we called Candidate 2 during the proceedings, was 13,037 votes.

That's basically the conclusion of our work. Is there anything else we need to talk about?

MR. SICUSO: No. With respect to the proceedings and the guidelines, I think what we

```
might need to do now -- and, Counsel, please guide
 1
     us here -- is take a vote on the findings for each
 2
 3
     precinct that have undisputed ballots, and then we
     can proceed to the precincts that have some
 4
     disputed ballots still on the record.
 5
          MR. CLAYTOR:
                        Madam Chairman, I would move
 6
     that we accept the State Board of Accounts' tally
 7
     in all precincts listed in their exhibit that do
 8
 9
     not have disputed ballots.
10
          MR. WYNN:
                     And I would second.
11
                               Thank you. So we will
          SECRETARY SULLIVAN:
12
     take a vote on the motion on the floor. All those
13
     in favor say "Aye."
14
          MR. WYNN:
                     Aye.
15
          SECRETARY SULLIVAN:
                               Aye.
16
          MR. CLAYTOR:
                        Ave.
```

Hall, 7,812.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SECRETARY SULLIVAN: So we have a motion that

has passed. And thank you for your participation.

MS. LEATHERMAN: The tally for precincts for undisputed -- the tally for the candidates for the undisputed precincts would be for Candidate 1, Penny Githens, 9,272 and for Candidate 2, Dave

MR. SICUSO: So now I think all we have left is a handful of precincts with some disputed

ballots. We've removed all the ballots that have
been withdrawn by the respondent. At this time I
don't know if it's the Chair's preference to allow
the petitioner to call to attention any of the

5 ballots that they wish to discuss or, Counsel, if

2.2

you have other ideas with respect to proceeding.

MR. KOCHEVAR: Yes. Madam Chair and members of the Commission, so according to Chapter 2, Section 20 of our guidelines, what would be appropriate is to pick a county and then start going through, it would be suggested, in alphanumeric order or as listed on the exhibit from the State Board of Accounts each precinct in that county that has disputed ballots.

Per the guidelines, what would be first done would be to take a vote to accept the count of all the undisputed ballots that are listed here in the exhibit to lock those in, and then it would be appropriate to call on, in this case, the petitioner to make their case, their presentation on why they made that dispute and essentially what their ask of the Commission is. Respondent would then be given an opportunity to be heard. And then there would be a chance for a motion, discussion by the Commission to vote on that dispute and

essentially what should be done about it, should that ballot be counted, whatever the result of the dispute in either way.

2.

2.2

I'm going to then turn it over to Co-Director King, the majority counsel, as he is the expert counsel that has actually done a general recount -- I have not; this is my first time -- to add on to and make any corrections to my understanding of the guidelines.

MR. KING: Madam Chair, members of the Commission, thank you, Matthew, for that very helpful and kind introduction. Of course, the Commission just completed its action with regard to the undisputed ballots, and so the Commission now moves into the phase of resolving disputes.

Under the guidelines, the Commission begins by considering disputes raised by the petitioner,
Mr. Henry, and, I think, in the roughly alphanumeric order that Matthew described. It would be helpful for State Board of Accounts to identify the specific precincts in that order so that the parties can then come forward and present their evidence and arguments to the Commission.

SECRETARY SULLIVAN: So at this point, State Board of Accounts will present precincts with

ballots challenged by the petitioner, Mr. Henry,
and then go through those individually with
counsel. So we will turn it back over to Kendra.

2.2

- MS. LEATHERMAN: As requested, we will start in Brown County, and the first precinct with a dispute by Candidate 1 is Hamblen 2, and it is Exhibit No. 17.
- MR. SICUSO: Would it be permissible to allow the petitioner time today to decide whether they wish to continue their dispute? Because I believe State Board of Accounts and I personally would be fine to move forward if there's no further dispute.
- SECRETARY SULLIVAN: So call on Mr. Henry.
 Would you like to --
 - MR. HENRY: Pardon me, Madam Secretary. One moment.

Madam Secretary, as a point of order, in our response today for the two questions we wish to put before the Commission, this particular precinct wasn't one of those. So as a point of order, are we going to have to verify each of these as we go through to say there's no challenge?

- MR. KOCHEVAR: Yes.
- MR. KING: Madam Chair, if I could, and
 Matthew may wish to add, the guidelines permit the

consolidation of ballots that have essentially identical issues. And I think in the communication received that you referenced, there was no explicit withdrawal by yourself regarding those disputes, and so if you wish to withdraw any disputes at this time for this and ballots that fall into that same issue, then the Commission could certainly entertain that motion and act accordingly.

2.2

MR. KOCHEVAR: Yes. Essentially Counsel
King's and my advice to the recount director when
this was discussed about Mr. Henry's email was to
take a conservative view of it to essentially say
that he just identified those two particular issues
that he was going to bring up but didn't want to
foreclose on any of the other precincts where they
raised disputed ballots until such a time that he
informed now the Commission that they're willing to
waive those disputes and allow those ballots to be
added into the totals based on the findings.

So my assumption will be anything that was counted as valid would be added into the recount total; anything that would be invalid, they would be an invalid ballot and not part of the count. So we wanted to make sure that they definitively said which ones they wanted to do, and I think the

- opportunity is now for them to identify which precincts they're willing to forego, which should
- 3 then cut down on the amount of issues that have to
- 4 | be discussed.
- 5 MR. KING: I would agree entirely with
- 6 Mr. Kochevar's remarks and think it might be
- 7 | helpful in this case for State Board of Accounts to
- 8 | identify the ballots that fall into this
- 9 classification that may be subject to withdrawing
- 10 of the dispute.
- 11 MR. SICUSO: I think it would be easier if the
- 12 petitioner identified which ballots he wishes to,
- 13 | and then we could eliminate through the process
- 14 there. We could refer to his email or give him an
- opportunity now to clarify which ballots he wishes
- 16 | to discuss.
- MR. HENRY: So as a point of order, we're on
- 18 | Hamblen 1, Form 1; is that right?
- 19 SECRETARY SULLIVAN: Mr. Henry had a question
- 20 on what precinct.
- 21 MR. SICUSO: We can go wherever you'd like to
- 22 go.
- MR. HENRY: So which precinct was on the floor
- 24 | when we started this?
- MS. LEATHERMAN: We were actually at

1 Hamblen 2. 2 Hamblen 2. MR. HENRY: 3 MR. SICUSO: But if you'd like to go 4 Hamblen 1, that's fine. MR. HENRY: Okay. So should I address the 5 podium? 6 That would be great. 7 SECRETARY SULLIVAN: MR. HENRY: Thank you. Madam Secretary, 8 members of the Commission, my name is David Henry, 9 10 H-e-n-r-y. I'm chairman of Monroe County 11 Democratic Party and petitioner in this recount. 12 I'd like to raise, based on the discussion we 13 just had, the precinct Hamblen 1 in Brown County, 14 That was not included in the initial 15 response, but given the opportunity to discuss 16 Hamblen 1 today, I'm going to avail myself of that 17 opportunity. In that particular Form 1, the challenge that 18 19 we have here is it appears there are 12 more 20 ballots found on page 3 than on page 1 of that 21 form. This was not something that we were planning 2.2 on testing today, but with the opportunity to 23 address it, we feel that, as we discussed in our 24 other petition pieces, that it's an example it is a

near impossibility of figuring out who voted for

25

whom in a particular precinct given the form.

2.2

So that would be the question I would put before the body to consider rejecting Hamblen 1 due to the report out as to whether the 12 ballots reported are 12 more on page 3 than on page 1. I do not have a copy of it, but it appears that counsel does. Thank you.

MR. SICUSO: So the one point where I could just raise, my understanding of what you're indicating is you're not challenging particular ballots, but the overall precinct as a whole.

MR. HENRY: Correct, due to the fact that we have a form that suggests there are 12 more ballots on page 3 than page 1 of that particular exhibit.

MR. KOCHEVAR: So there is a reference to this, at least how I view it -- and I'll turn it over to Co-Director King -- in statute in regards to throwing out an entire precinct's count in a recount. That can be found at Indiana Code 3-12-11-17.7(c), as in cat. It provides -- and I'm just going to read it verbatim because it's only two subdivisions. It provides that "If: A party to the recount presents evidence of fraud, tampering, or misconduct affecting the integrity of the ballot within a precinct; and (2) the

commission determines that the fraud, tampering, or misconduct within that precinct was so pervasive that it is impossible for the commission to determine the approximate number of votes that each candidate received in that precinct; the commission may order that none of the ballots from that precinct be counted."

So the first step here would be for petitioner who is raising that to present evidence of fraud, tampering, or misconduct that affects the integrity of the ballots within that precinct.

I'll turn it over to Mr. King to add on.

MR. KING: Thank you, Mr. Kochevar. I agree entirely with your analysis of the pertinent statute. And just for procedurally, since we're starting this process, this is an opportunity for Mr. Henry, the petitioner, to make his arguments on this point and then attorney for respondent, Ms. DeWester, would have an opportunity to address the Commission on these issues as well.

MR. HENRY: To further our discussion, based on the citation of the Indiana Code in Chapter 3-12-11-17.7, the matter of misconduct we find if we're going to have the guidelines in a particular precinct in Brown County, that it is one of many

exemplars that we will talk to in terms of contested pieces of today's petition on trying to figure out who voted for who in Brown County, which is the question on the table. The form indicates, in our view, a discrepancy.

2.

2.2

It is not an indication of misconduct by the Recount Commission or the director. In fact, if not for their work in identifying some discrepancy in that township, we would be unaware of the defect that occurred in the election -- or potential defect that occurred in the election.

So in terms of the remedy for that, I think Mr. Kochevar has identified a different remedy for that. However, given this was an opportunity to present and discuss this particular precinct today rather than be fully briefed on it just raises a consideration point as to evidence of overall potential misconduct in the Brown County Clerk's Office in the execution of this election.

But that concludes my remarks on that particular statement, and, of course, we'll give counsel an opportunity to respond to that. Thank you.

SECRETARY SULLIVAN: Ms. DeWester, would you like to respond?

MS. DeWESTER: Sure. Good morning. My name is Samantha DeWester. I am counsel for the respondent. S-a-m-a-n-t-h-a, D-e-W-e-s-t-e-r.

2.

2.2

In response to the claims of having a precinct thrown out in its entirety, there was no contest that was filed here challenging or requesting precincts or a review of any type of election.

This was a manual recount, which was done.

Referring to missing ballots and things that could allude to fraud, as you all know, Commission, the level of fraud, misconduct is extremely high. Missing ballots don't raise to that level, and to disenfranchise an entire precinct of individuals who voted because they can't find ballots makes zero sense whatsoever.

So based on the statutes that you previously cited, the fact that no contest was filed, the fact that this doesn't raise to the level of fraud, and even still -- I've alluded to in our communications, even if we just give you those, you still don't win this election. So I would ask that the Commission deny any request to toss out an entire precinct or, by and large, an entire swath of voters due to a missing ballot issue.

SECRETARY SULLIVAN: Thank you.

Commission members, do you have any questions of counsel?

MR. WYNN: I don't.

2.2

MR. CLAYTOR: If I could, I don't know if this is a statement or a question, but I'll have our counsel address it. Procedurally, there was no dispute filed on Hamblen 1. We voted and accepted the vote in Hamblen 1 in our prior motion.

MS. LEATHERMAN: I don't want to interrupt you, Commissioner Claytor, but I'm feeling compelled to.

MR. CLAYTOR: Okay.

MS. LEATHERMAN: To be clear, the petitioner did not have any disputes in Hamblen 1. They did not identify any exhibits in Hamblen 1. However, there is a ballot that we determined invalid at the request of the recount director, and so that has been identified as Exhibit 20. And that is not necessarily a dispute, but it is something for the Commission to consider. And it does appear on our exhibit list, Form 6. So I don't know if that clarifies.

MR. SICUSO: And I can elaborate a little bit on that. There's a handful of ballots that we found that clearly under statute, and the citation

is 3-12-1-13, which are absentee ballots that state statute clearly requires have two initials on the ballot. If we found those ballots and a party did not challenge those, I made an exhibit and considered it an invalid ballot. It was not counted. So that is the only ballot that was, in this precinct, withheld and therefore not officially voted on earlier when you accepted the

MR. CLAYTOR: I understand that. However, the motion on the floor in the past was to accept the tally in all precincts where there was no disputed ballot.

MR. SICUSO: Right.

2.2

others.

MR. CLAYTOR: That was not a disputed ballot. In order to open this precinct, I believe we'd have to reconsider our prior motion to change something in that precinct. But I have not heard anything about finding ballots, which we find in a whole lot of recounts. I've been in a lot of recounts, and that's not an unusual occurrence. This seemed like an unusual county in terms of ballots gone, finding ballots, whatever else. That's not fraud directly unless there's evidence presented of fraud. I did not hear any evidence presented of fraud.

```
1
          And therefore, I would like to make a motion
     to deny the petitioner's request to take away this
 2
 3
     precinct. I don't know if that's properly worded
 4
     as a motion.
                    Madam Chair, members of the
 5
          MR. KING:
     Commission, I think Commissioner Claytor has
 6
     expertly and accurately framed the motion.
 7
                    Just as it relates to this
 8
          MR. WYNN:
 9
     precinct?
10
          MR. CLAYTOR:
                       Correct.
11
          MR. WYNN:
                     I would second.
12
          SECRETARY SULLIVAN: Okay. So we have a
13
     motion on the floor to deny a motion to rescind
14
     this precinct. So I would ask that our Commission
15
     members vote, and for those that vote in favor,
16
     please say "Aye."
17
          MR. WYNN: Aye.
18
          SECRETARY SULLIVAN:
                              Aye.
19
          MR. CLAYTOR:
                        Aye.
20
          SECRETARY SULLIVAN: So we have that 3 to 0 to
     not move forward on Hamblen 1.
21
22
          So at this time, I believe, Mr. Henry, do you
23
     have -- or do you need -- because he was going to
24
     share with us Hamblen 2.
25
                      So I guess, again, point of order
          MR. HENRY:
```

- 1 for the body so I'm understanding our process, the petitioner only has the two exhibits we presented 2 3 otherwise today. And so, again, procedurally I'm 4 happy to address those as we get to them in this 5 recitation going through each precinct, but until that point, we have no other exhibits to present on 6 precincts in Brown County other than the two that 7 were presented to the Commission. 8 9 MR. SICUSO: Would it be appropriate to see if 10 the petitioner would like to formally withdraw any
 - MR. SICUSO: Would it be appropriate to see if the petitioner would like to formally withdraw any other ballots that were previously challenged and then move on to the other two he'd like to address?

MR. KING: Yes.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- MR. HENRY: The petitioner makes that motion to withdraw on the other precincts in Brown County except for the two I wish to address today.
- MR. WYNN: I would move that we accept petitioner's request.
- MR. CLAYTOR: Do we need to accept the tally in each of those?
- MR. KING: Correct.
- SECRETARY SULLIVAN: And then we would add that to what we've already accepted. So would you provide --
- 25 MR. SICUSO: I think it's hard to identify

1 exactly which ones until we know what he'd like to, so if you could identify which precincts and 2 3 county. 4 MR. HENRY: As referenced in the communication 5 to the director on Friday, looking at the precinct Jackson 4 in Brown County, Exhibit 6, and the 6 Van Buren precinct in Brown County, Form 1, not the 7 ballots specifically but Form 1. 8 9 MR. SICUSO: So then I think what that means 10 is all precincts other than Jackson 4 and -- what 11 was the other one? 12 MR. HENRY: Van Buren, Form 1 in its entirety. 13 MR. SICUSO: -- Van Buren 1 in Brown County 14 should be accepted as valid ballots accepted by the State Board of Accounts. 15 16 MR. WYNN: I would amend my motion to grant the request and to accept those ballots. 17 18 MR. CLAYTOR: I'll second. 19 SECRETARY SULLIVAN: So we have a motion on 20 the floor. All Commission members in favor please 21 vote "Aye." 2.2 MR. WYNN: Aye. 23 SECRETARY SULLIVAN: Aye.

25 SECRETARY SULLIVAN: Thank you. And proceed

Aye.

MR. CLAYTOR:

24

to those two that are in conflict, then.

2.

2.2

MR. HENRY: Thank you. And thank you again for your time this morning. I hope we expedited some of this today with that motion. But we do have two other matters that I'd like to present. For the record, my name is David Henry. I'm the petitioner and chair of the Monroe County Democratic Party.

I'm joined today by Democratic candidate for House District 62 Penny Githens. Our manager for the recount and my observer, Dee Owens, who is here as well, attended all of the live proceedings as relates to the recount efforts last week.

I want to thank you for consideration of the exhibits and their implications and ensuring that every lawful vote that was cast was counted in the 2022 election in Brown County.

Our first exhibit is from Jackson 4 in Brown County, and that's Exhibit 6. The ballot was declared invalid as a non-Election Day ballot due to a lack of two sets of initials. And if you view the ballot, you'll see a mark at the top of the initial box like a mark you would make with a pen that the ink stopped freely flowing but, in our view, continued at the end of that mark and came

through at the end. We contend, in this particular ballot, there is a mark there and, therefore, the ballot should be counted in favor of Penny Githens in that race.

2.2

However, we discussed a little bit of the challenge that we faced in the overall activities of Brown County, this lack of initials as an exemplar of a larger problem we saw in Brown County that started after the work of the SBOA where the top line not initialed on those ballots cost

Ms. Githens numerous votes, Mr. Hall too, that top line in that particular county because the clerk of courts in that county is a Republican, there's a Republican box on the initial box, and it's missing on too many ballots, Democratic ballots mostly.

Now, the question is really maybe one or two mistakes on Election Day, someone misses an initial, that's a mistake, maybe that's a Mulligan. But what we're looking at here in some cases in Brown County is nine ballots that had a missed initial in that particular -- in the particular precinct of Van Buren. And this could be considered a pattern. We think it is a pattern of either gross negligence or willful ignorance, i.e., the training of the Election Day clerk, or an

activity that would suggest misconduct in the particular precinct.

2.2

The second exhibit -- so if we set aside the first exhibit, just looking at the ballot for its initial marks and then considering that on its own merit, it takes us really to the second exhibit, which is the Van Buren precinct in Brown County, Form 1. The challenge we have is whether or not there was disenfranchisement of voters in Brown County as indicated by this missing initial set. But the other challenge we face is one that the SBOA discovered in its work, which is the misplacement and loss of 17 paper ballots that were unable to be verified through the manual recounts the petitioner asked for.

Now, on Tuesday, the commission -- or the committee director -- I'm sorry -- the recount director and the SBOA arrived in Brown County. The initial find in the cage, the impounded ballots that were caged and impounded by State Police, was there were 261 ballots missing that morning that could not be counted -- or found in the correct envelopes there that morning. Ms. DeWester, counsel for the respondent, and Ms. Owens, my observer, called down to the Brown County jail cage

to discuss the essentially missing 261 ballots.

2.

2.2

Later that morning, the SBOA staff went through every box, every bin, every bag looking for the ballots for Brown County. More were found in wrong precinct bags, while one vote that was never pulled from an absentee ballot envelope was found. That vote was never counted on November 8th. More ballots were found, bringing the loss down to 91 later that morning.

SBOA then had to go through all the ballot bags and sort trying to find the additional missing ballots. It took them nearly an hour to find 39 provisional ballots, which were still attached to their envelopes, well, mostly attached to their envelopes. Four had no envelopes at all, and our understanding is the Brown County clerk had the rest attached as a matter of protocol and they were thrown out. So this relates again to the precinct Form 1 in Van Buren.

So after all ballots that should be in the cage were allegedly identified, Director Sicuso, Ms. DeWester, Ms. Owens, Jennifer Gauger of SBOA, and two State Police officers then drove around Brown County to county government office buildings searching the counsel chambers, searching the

commissioner's office to try to find the last of the 17 votes in case the missing ballots might be found there. The head of the county election board in Brown County, Mark Williams, provided a rundown of the procedures from election night, which included the transporting of ballots in the trunks of various cars to the jail for storage.

I want to be clear at this point that, without the recount as petitioned and the work of the SBOA and the recount director, we would not have known about the defects that seem to be occurring in Brown County where ballots are misplaced, mislabeled, mis-stored, and, in the case of 17 paper ballots, lost. What that means for our petition today is that, in asking for a manual recount, we do not have a manual recount of 17 ballots. Those are 17 voters whose voices will not be heard in this recalculation, tabulation of those results.

Ms. Owens repeatedly raised objections to the development of the last 17 ballots not being found, asking to have the ballot copies printed from the machines -- in this county they're optical scan -- only to be told by the recount director that those really aren't legal ballots; those are a picture of

the ballot. They're not the actual tangible ballots to be counted. And I agree with that assessment, but then we can't count those ballots in that precinct. We then asked about printing from the poll books to see what was missing and were denied.

2.2

So the bottom line here is that the votes were counted or they wouldn't be counted in Election Day tallies, so that's not sufficient to meet the request of granting the petition, which, by the way, was not cross-petitioned by respondent, to have a variation on a manual recount of the paper ballots. So we don't really know those intents of those 17 ballots. Those are votes that are already lost in the cycle.

We find that this is an ongoing problem with the Brown County Clerk's Office, which many of the issues in Brown County are known as far back as June 2020. The primary in 2020 had 122 absentee ballots that were without proper initials in that election. The County Board of Elections voted to accept those at the time. The clerk did retain an attorney in that particular case, which I'm sure you're aware of that instance. But it is yet another example in another election cycle where

Brown County failed to produce an accurate number of the count in that election. I just have a feeling that my successors as Monroe County party chair in the future for the next decade will be appearing before this body asking a lot of questions about what went on in Brown County that day.

2.

2.2

Everywhere recount personnel turned, voters were disenfranchised in the state, either through lost votes, uninitialed ballots, or wrongly sorted provisional ballots. Candidates may have gained or lost votes, but we really don't know at the end. The larger issue is the misconduct that this activity and the missing initials and missing ballots suggest going on in Brown County, not supervising, not training their personnel in the loss of ballots, not properly storing ballots, and the list goes on here.

We understand, as Ms. DeWester suggests, that disenfranchising votes is a serious question, but we would say that any voter's right to cast a ballot and have it counted, whatever the party, is not a partisan question. And so our petition still reflects the spirit of why we filed it, which is to ensure every lawful vote is counted in an election.

The gross negligence and willful ignorance that are on display here in Brown County make that very hard to address.

2.

So therefore, as has been mentioned, there are, of course, remedies under Indiana Code, severe as it may seem, that the Commission is entitled to take when it comes to misconduct in elections in a county. Bottom line is the Van Buren precinct, Form 1, we're never going to know what that precinct really looks like for a true count. And I would hope that we would set aside the interest of expediency on this matter and take a look at that county and that precinct with more scrutinizing eyes today.

In any case, I thank you all for your time in order to ensure that lawful votes are cast and counted in our state. It's hard work. It's been hard work for this Commission, for the folks that work in elections county by county. But with those two exhibits, that concludes my statements and remarks. Thank you.

SECRETARY SULLIVAN: Thank you.

MR. HENRY: As a point of order, Madam

Secretary, is it possible to submit public comment
or letters in this hearing or is that typically not

the case?

2.2

SECRETARY SULLIVAN: Typically not.

MR. HENRY: Thank you.

SECRETARY SULLIVAN: At this time we will have Ms. DeWester and then Commission directors.

MS. DeWESTER: Thank you. There's a lot to unpack in that argument and lots of legal terms thrown around with the assumption, I think, of confusing some people on this Commission. I have done, as a lot of you know, many, many, many recounts statewide and locally. There are discrepancies found, as there were in this election, in every single county we counted. There are always discrepancies that are found, whether electronic or by human error.

I am kind of befuddled by the fact that we call nine ballots missing initials a pattern out of about 12,000. I think it is our duty to make sure that people's votes are counted and the law is upheld pursuant to Title 3, which we have done here. I don't think it's a pattern.

Brown County is an easy target because there were some odd issues. And let's be clear. We didn't go in searching, guns ablazing, looking for ballots. We were walked over to look at the office

where they were counted to make sure nothing was there and driven right back to where the ballots were impounded. This wasn't some hours-long, day-long process. It was that simple. We were looking for ballots, and all the meantime SBOA is counting ballots and opening all of the sealed bags that all election materials were put in.

2.2

And it started at 261. It was whittled down to 17 because ballots were put, as you guys all know, as an elected official, you know how -- if no one's worked the polls here, you might want to start there. Working the polls, people are throwing ballots in what they think are the proper bags. No one anticipates a recount. It's only when you get into a recount you realize, wow, this is a lot of work. It's a lot of work, a lot of humans are involved, lots of hands touching, and mistakes are made.

So we got down to 17 missing ballots. The fact is, they were counted. They weren't not counted. They were counted because they're in the total. We just don't know if I could have challenged any of those 17 because of the same reasons that we've challenged the rest of them, that they're missing initials.

And having people -- Brown County uses a voting system. The clerk was challenged, and this is her last term, is my understanding. They have an electronic voting system they don't use. The election board voted to use all manual, hence, why there was so much paper, stacks of paper.

2.2

Every single precinct and every single county looked at, there was some issue. Jackson County had the smallest. It was an electronic issue. There were two ballots that were counted. It was two down, one from each of the candidates. Numbers change in recounts. It happens. The machine sucked one in twice. Did it not count? We don't know. But that's why we do recounts.

And we have the numbers, we have the evidence, we have the information. There is no gross negligence. There is no misconduct fatal error on behalf of the clerk of Brown County. We're talking about nine misinitialed ballots and whether they're -- to make it a partisan matter is giving a lot of credit to people working on Election Day who are extremely busy or sending out absentee ballots. That would be a huge undertaking, and it's reminiscent of a prior election nationwide here for me.

1 At this point, I would ask you deny any of their challenges throwing out any of those. And, 2 3 again, if I concede and say, fine, take all 17, they still lose, so I'm unclear what we're doing 4 5 I'm not for disenfranchising voters. about following the law, which is what the SBOA 6 did, which is what we're doing here, and not 7 scaring everybody with some weird tactics of the 8 9 clerk and whomever in some gross negligence issue 10 in Brown County.

So I thank you for your time.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

SECRETARY SULLIVAN: Thank you.

Mr. Sicuso, do you have any information on the invalid?

MR. SICUSO: Yeah. So maybe we do start with the first, Jackson 4, that first dispute, and go in order from there, if that's all right. I have the original ballot, if I can bring it up and approach, and you guys can view the initial issue.

SECRETARY SULLIVAN: Sure.

MS. LEATHERMAN: So since it's been a while since we talked about what the original determination was, this was an absentee ballot, did not have both signatures. We determined it was invalid, and had it been valid, it would have been

for Candidate 1, Ms. Githens. So this is an invalid ballot, so therefore, it is not in the overall SBOA count, just to clarify.

2.2

MR. SICUSO: My understanding, if you were to grant the petitioner's motion on this and to count the ballot, it would have to be added in because we counted it invalid and not in the tally.

MR. KOCHEVAR: Can I ask a question of the recount director for clarity? Or actually also Kendra. In the report, for Jackson 4, Van Buren 1 only, in your section of disputed invalid ballots tallied by State Board of Accounts, you have Jackson 4, four ballots for Githens, one ballot for Hall in Jackson 4, and then two ballots for Githens in Van Buren 1. Could you go through if -- they're all a little bit different, but are they signature issues or initialing issues?

MS. LEATHERMAN: I will look.

MR. KOCHEVAR: And the other question I have is that the ballot that was passed around, how many of those ballots are Election Day ballots, how many of those are absentee ballots? And you can tell because it will say "Absentee Ballot" on the ballot itself. I believe that is an Election Day ballot that you passed around, if I'm not mistaken.

MS. LEATHERMAN: It was not. They look all
the same. In Brown County, they all look the same.

MR. KOCHEVAR: They do?

MS. LEATHERMAN: They do.

MR. KOCHEVAR: There is no ballot that says "Absentee Ballot" on it?

2.2

MS. LEATHERMAN: Not that I'm aware in Brown County. They look all the same.

MR. KOCHEVAR: If I may, I only mention that because the counting standards under 3-12-1 have two different standards in regards to initials for Election Day ballots and absentee ballots. Brad can -- I don't remember the exact section of that law, but when it comes to absentee ballots, if the two sets of initials are not on there, under law, they can never be counted, even by this Commission. The state law just prohibits it.

When it comes to Election Day, while they may be tossed out by the precinct election board when they review the ballots and not count them, they can be revived, and the Commission does have the ability to count that ballot, even absent those two initials.

But unless there was other documentation, usually it's pretty clear on what's an absentee

1 ballot and what's a general election ballot because, under law, it would say "Absentee Ballot" 2. 3 on it at the top in its title, so we'd be able to If that's not there, hopefully there was a 4 know. 5 better organization by Brown County and we know which ones are absentee ballots and which ones are 6 Election Day ballots because, if there are some 7 Election Day ballots there, then, yes, there can be 8 9 a vote, discussion if you want to take that from 10 being invalid to valid, but if not, then that may 11 be an issue.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MS. LEATHERMAN: Matt, can you hold up the ballot itself. That one, I know there's been a lot of discussion about how things were or were not organized in Brown County. Our original documents that I'm looking at here reference this particular one as an absentee ballot, and I would say some additional evidence to that, as you see, that one has been folded like it's been folded in an envelope. So, again, the Commission can decide what they want, but for consistency's purposes, I think that --

MR. SICUSO: This is about we identified, on behalf of the recount director, as being invalid because it was absentee and we had looked at that

mark not as initials. So I think we wanted to
bring it forth, and the petitioner obviously is
calling for a judgment call on that. If you
consider that an initial, I suppose it could be
counted as a ballot for Candidate Githens. My
determination is that it was not.

MR. KING: Madam Chair, members of the

Commission, I concur with Mr. Kochevar's analysis and presentation. I'll just add for the record that the statute that makes the absence of a bipartisan set of initials a fatal error is 3-12-1-13. And so in the absence of initials of both parties' representatives, then Mr. Kochevar's statement is correct. The Commission could not, under the law, count that ballot.

SECRETARY SULLIVAN: Thank you.

Any discussion from the Commission members?

MR. WYNN: No.

2.2

MR. SICUSO: So I think we would just need to determine if we were to grant or deny the petitioner's motion on this particular ballot to count it.

SECRETARY SULLIVAN: So is there a motion from the Commission to deny or grant the petition for this ballot?

1 MR. WYNN: I would move to deny the petition 2 on this ballot.

MR. CLAYTOR: Second.

2.2

SECRETARY SULLIVAN: Thank you. We have a motion on the floor open for vote to deny the petition for this particular ballot. All those Commission members in favor of the motion please vote "Aye."

MR. WYNN: Aye.

SECRETARY SULLIVAN: Aye.

MR. CLAYTOR: Aye.

SECRETARY SULLIVAN: Thank you. It passes.

MR. SICUSO: I think the only remaining matter, then, is with respect to the petitioner's motion with respect to Form 1 for Van Buren 1 in Brown County. My understanding is essentially it's a similar argument to the first motion made by Mr. Henry with respect to the entire precinct.

I can answer any questions that the Commission may have with respect to what we and the State Board of Accounts and the State Police did in Brown County to locate missing ballots. Happy to go into detail, but rather than repeat everything, essentially the facts that were portrayed on the record so far are accurate. We spent a substantial

amount of time.

2.

2.2

And much credit to the State Board of Accounts and the State Police for being available to have people in multiple places at multiple times always watching the impounded materials. It was really a great effort to really search high and low for every ballot. And ultimately, my sense was we left there having done a very diligent search and count of every single legal ballot we could possibly find, and we had to call it at some point. So that was my judgment. We moved on at that point and closed those precincts.

And we do have one ballot. So there's one ballot with respect to Van Buren 1 which was challenged by the petitioner, and this is an Election Day ballot which lacks two initials. But I did not determine that to be invalid. We counted it as a vote for Candidate Hall. So I can hand this to you if you'd like, but our position was that it should be a valid ballot. So my understanding of what the petitioner is asking is we might need to make a judgment on this particular ballot as well. I can bring it up for your review.

SECRETARY SULLIVAN: Thank you. So, of course, we have an Election Day ballot to consider

in Van Buren.

2.2

MR. KING: Madam Chair, members of the Commission, following up on Mr. Kochevar's earlier presentation with regard to this issue, Election Day ballots are subject to different standards of scrutiny than absentee ballots.

Under Indiana Code 3-12-11-12, with the exception of the rule we just referenced, "...a ballot that's been marked and cast by a voter in compliance with this title but may otherwise not be counted solely as the result of the act or failure to act of an election officer may nevertheless be counted in a proceeding under IC 3-12-11 unless evidence of fraud, tampering, or misconduct affecting the integrity of the ballot is presented by a party to the proceeding. Subsection (c), "The act or failure to act of an election officer is not by itself evidence of fraud, tampering, or misconduct affecting the integrity of the ballot."

SECRETARY SULLIVAN: Any discussion by Commission members or Matthew?

MR. CLAYTOR: Madam Chair, first, just a comment which will eventually address that ballot. I've been doing recounts since '86, so I do have a little bit of experience, and there's always found

1 There are almost never, or at least ballots. rarely, missing ballots of more than one, if that. 2 3 I find 17 ballots just not existing, in the future, you want to shake the clerk quite hard for 4 5 apparently their way of educating their people. find it outrageous that there are missing ballots 6 of any amount, but starting out with a large number 7 and carving it down to 17 is still outrageous. 8 find that absolutely terrible. 9

I don't find it as evidence of Election Day fraud. I would never in my life vote to throw out a precinct unless, you know -- I better watch what I say. I just wouldn't throw out a precinct unless evidence of fraud is so pervasive that you can do nothing else. And I think that's been this Commission's view since I worked on this Commission's recount in the 1986 elections.

And, therefore, I would move that we accept the State Board of Accounts' count, their tally in this particular precinct, and that motion would assume then that that ballot counts. So my motion is to accept the Board of Accounts' tally in this precinct.

SECRETARY SULLIVAN: Thank you.

Anything to follow?

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MR. WYNN: I would just agree. I don't believe we have evidence on the record today of fraud, tampering, or misconduct sufficient for us to consider throwing out a precinct, and I think the same standard applied to this ballot. I agree with that analysis. I think that's where we are today, so I would second the motion.

SECRETARY SILLIVAN: Thank you. We have a

SECRETARY SULLIVAN: Thank you. We have a motion on the floor for consideration of a vote to accept SBOA's count for this precinct. All those Commission members in favor of the motion please vote by saying "Aye."

MR. WYNN: Aye.

2.2

SECRETARY SULLIVAN: Aye.

MR. CLAYTOR: Aye.

SECRETARY SULLIVAN: Thank you. So that has passed, and I appreciate your comments. Thank you.

MR. CLAYTOR: Similarly, I'll make a motion that we accept the State Board of Accounts' tally in Jackson.

MR. WYNN: Second.

SECRETARY SULLIVAN: We have a motion to accept the State Board of Accounts' tally for Jackson 4. All Commission members in favor of the motion please vote by saying "Aye."

1 MR. WYNN: Aye.

- 2 | SECRETARY SULLIVAN: Aye.
- 3 MR. CLAYTOR: Aye.
- 4 | SECRETARY SULLIVAN: Thank you.
 - MR. SICUSO: By my count, I think we've covered all precincts at this point through various motions, so, Counsel, next steps might include certification of the election.
 - MR. KOCHEVAR: I'm going to recommend one more motion. I'm going to, just so that it's clear for the record, recommend that a motion be taken to essentially add in the entire counts for all the various Bloomington precincts that did have a dispute by the petitioner that has since been waived. I don't think you had a motion for that, just so that we can get through Monroe. Then that will make everything that has been considered valid on the sheet to be part of the recount certificate. So one more motion to cover all those remaining precincts.
 - MR. KING: Madam Chairman, members of the Commission, I would agree with Mr. Kochevar's suggestion to make it utterly clear so there's no ambiguity in the matter.
- 25 | SECRETARY SULLIVAN: That's fair. So,

1 Commission members, do you have a motion? MR. CLAYTOR: I'll move that we accept the 2. 3 State Board of Accounts' tally in all precincts in 4 Monroe County. 5 MR. WYNN: Second. SECRETARY SULLIVAN: Thank you. All 6 Commission members in favor of the motion on the 7 8 table please vote "Aye." 9 MR. WYNN: Aye. 10 SECRETARY SULLIVAN: Aye. 11 MR. CLAYTOR: Aye. 12 SECRETARY SULLIVAN: Thank you. Passed. And 13 I think we have then put forward all precincts in 14 the recount. 15 MR. CLAYTOR: Do we actually have a tally, 16 Kendra? 17 MS. LEATHERMAN: We do. Without too much 18 difficulty, I can go through it a few different 19 Since we did the last one in total of all 20 nondisputes, how about I do a total tally of, I'm 21 going to call them, previously disputed precincts. 2.2 Does that make sense, by candidate? 23 For the previously disputed precincts, for 24 Candidate 1, Penny Githens, it was 3,691, and for 25 Candidate 2, Dave Hall, it was 5,225. And so,

therefore, it should match the original total we discussed in our report, which was for Candidate 1, Penny Githens, 12,963, for Candidate 2, Dave Hall,

13,037.

MR. KING: Madam Chair, members of the Commission, the next item provided for by statute is, in fact, certification of the recount of votes cast. I have a document prepared that sets forth the required elements for that certificate, including the number of votes for each candidate and the plurality for the candidate who received the most votes.

So I will ask State Board of Accounts to once more provide the numbers and then the plurality.

MS. LEATHERMAN: So you just want me to give the total tally again, Brad?

MR. KING: Madam Chair, this would be for the certificate that would be transmitted in accordance with the statute to the House of Representatives so, therefore, should be the final total in this matter.

MS. LEATHERMAN: Okay. So final total for Candidate 1, Penny Githens, is 12,963, and final total for Candidate 2, Dave Hall, is 13,037.

MR. KING: Which would give a plurality of?

1 MS. LEATHERMAN: 74.

2.2

MR. CLAYTOR: Do we need a motion to adopt?

SECRETARY SULLIVAN: Okay. So thank you.

That is the conclusion of the recount, and we will

certify the election.

MR. CLAYTOR: Do we need to move to adopt?
MR. KING: Eventually.

SECRETARY SULLIVAN: Okay. So I'm advised by counsels now that, upon the completion of our recount for an election of office, Indiana

Code 3-12-11-18(a) requires that the Commission

"(1) make and sign a certificate showing the total number of votes received in the precincts by each candidate for election to office; and (2) state in its certificate of the candidate who received the highest number of votes in the precincts for election to the office and by what plurality," which we just did; and "(3) file its certificate with the Election Division."

Further, under Indiana Code 3-12-11-20, this recount certificate supersedes all previous returns made in any form of the recounted votes.

And finally, under Indiana Code 3-12-11-21, no later than seven days after the State Recount Commission completes a recount for state

1 legislative office, the Indiana Election Division is required to prepare two certified statements 2 3 showing the number of votes that each candidate 4 received. The Election Division is then required 5 to transmit one statement to the candidate receiving the highest number of votes for the 6 office. The Secretary of State is required to 7 deliver the other statement to the presiding 8 officer of the chamber in which the successful 9 10 candidate is to be seated, which in this case is 11 the Speaker of the House of Representatives. 12 Speaker will then refer the statement for such 13 action as the House considers appropriate.

Okay. In light of the result of the recount proceedings, there's a motion for the Commission to find a refund of the --

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. KING: Excuse me, Madam Chair. I think for purposes of the record, I can briefly read in the certificate, and then the Commission can move on to the minor administrative matters to wrap up its work.

This is the Certificate of Recount of the Votes Cast for the Candidates for the Election of Indiana State Representative, District 62, at the November 8, 2022, General Election; In the Matter

1 of the Recount of the Election of Indiana State Representative, District 62, David Henry, in his 2. 3 capacity as Chairman of the Monroe County 4 Democratic Party, Petitioner, v. Dave Hall, 5 Respondent. 6 "Whereas, under Indiana Code 3-12-11-18(a), the Indiana Recount Commission is required to make 7 and sign a certificate following the completion of 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

a recount;

"Whereas, this certificate: (1) is required to show the total number of votes received in the precincts by each candidate for election to the office; (2) must state the candidate who received the highest number of votes in the precincts for election to the office, and by what plurality; and (3) must be filed with the Election Division of the Office of the Secretary of State of Indiana; and

"Whereas, the Commission has completed a recount for the election of Indiana State

Representative, District 62;

"Now, therefore, the Indiana Recount Commission certifies and orders the following:

"1. The Commission certifies that the attached page (designated as 'State Board of Accounts Tally of Ballots for Petitioned Precincts

- 1 Indiana House District 62 General Election
- 2 | November 8, 2022'), which is incorporated by
- 3 | reference in this Certificate, sets forth the total
- 4 | number of votes received by each candidate for
- 5 | election to the office of Indiana State
- 6 Representative, District 62, at the November 8,
- 7 | 2022, general election, being all of the precincts
- 8 | included within District 62.
- 9 "2. The Commission certifies that Dave Hall
- 10 | is the candidate who received the highest number of
- 11 votes in the precincts described in Paragraph 1 of
- 12 this Certificate, in that Candidate David Hall
- 13 | received 13,037 votes, while Candidate Penny
- 14 Githens received 12,963 votes, being a plurality of
- 15 74 votes for Dave Hall.
- 16 "3. The Commission orders that the Recount
- 17 Director file this certificate with the Election
- 18 | Division, as required by law.
- 19 "So certified and ordered, this 20th day of
- 20 | December, 2010 [sic], the Indiana Recount
- 21 | Commission."
- MR. WYNN: I would move that we approve the
- 23 | certificate as read.
- MR. CLAYTOR: Second.
- 25 | SECRETARY SULLIVAN: Thank you. We have a

```
1
     motion on the floor to approve certificate.
                                                   All
 2.
     the Commission members in favor please signify by
 3
     saying "Aye."
 4
          MR. WYNN: Aye.
 5
          SECRETARY SULLIVAN:
                               Aye.
 6
          MR. CLAYTOR:
                        Aye.
          SECRETARY SULLIVAN: Thank you. Okay.
 7
                                                   In
     light of the certificate being approved, we will do
 8
     a little bit of housecleaning here about expenses.
 9
10
     In light of the result of the recount proceeding,
     is there a motion for the Commission to find that a
11
12
     refund of the cash deposit submitted by the
13
     petitioner in this matter should not be granted?
14
          MR. CLAYTOR: So moved.
15
          MR. WYNN:
                     Second.
16
          SECRETARY SULLIVAN:
                               Thank you. Is there any
17
     discussion on that from the Commission?
18
          MR. WYNN:
                     No.
19
          SECRETARY SULLIVAN: So no further discussion.
20
     All in favor of approval of the motion signify by
21
     saying "Aye."
2.2
          MR. WYNN:
                     Aye.
23
          SECRETARY SULLIVAN:
                               Aye.
24
          MR. CLAYTOR:
                        Aye.
25
          SECRETARY SULLIVAN:
                               The "ayes" have it.
                                                     The
```

motion is approved.

2.

Under Indiana Code 3-12-10-12, a person who claims reimbursement of expenses related to the performance of this Recount Commission must submit a claim to the recount director not later than noon 60 days after today. So that is noon on February 20th of 2023. This includes a claim submitted by another state agency, a county or individual, such as the deputy recount directors. A claim submitted by the recount director must be submitted to the Secretary of State.

In light of the conclusion of the recount proceedings, is there a motion for the Commission to adopt Order 2022-13, which rescinds
Order 2022-10, the previous impound order issued in this matter?

MR. WYNN: So moved.

MR. CLAYTOR: Moved.

MR. WYNN: Second.

SECRETARY SULLIVAN: I think we're there. No further discussion. All in favor of approval of the motion please signify by saying "Aye."

MR. WYNN: Aye.

SECRETARY SULLIVAN: Aye.

MR. CLAYTOR: Aye.

1 SECRETARY SULLIVAN: The "ayes" have it, so 2 our motion is approved. 3 In conclusion here with our Recount 4 Commission, do any of the Commission members have 5 any concluding remarks or questions? MR. WYNN: I would just thank the parties and 6 thank everyone who was involved in this for the 7 8 presentations today and the work done leading up to 9 today's hearing. MR. CLAYTOR: I'd just like to thank the 10 11 recount director for doing another yeoman's job and 12 the State Board of Accounts. I've been in those 13 shoes, and you guys always do a great job. SECRETARY SULLIVAN: I agree. Thank you so 14 15 much for all parties involved. Thank you for 16 quickly getting a lot of work done for the citizens 17 of Indiana. We all appreciate your work. The Commission has concluded its business for 18 19 today's meeting. Is there a motion for the 20 Commission to now adjourn? 21 MR. WYNN: So moved. 2.2 MR. CLAYTOR: Second.

SECRETARY SULLIVAN: Those in favor say "Aye."

24 MR. WYNN: Aye.

23

25

SECRETARY SULLIVAN: Aye.

```
1
          MR. CLAYTOR: Aye.
          SECRETARY SULLIVAN: The "ayes" have it, and
2
     our meeting is adjourned. Thank you.
 3
          (The Indiana Recount Commission Public Meeting
4
5
     was adjourned at 10:15 a.m.)
6
7
 8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	STATE OF INDIANA		
2	COUNTY OF HAMILTON		
3	I, Maria W. Collier, a Notary Public in and		
4	for said county and state, do hereby certify that the		
5	foregoing public session was taken at the time and		
6	place heretofore mentioned between 9:00 a.m. and		
7	10:15 a.m.;		
8	That said public meeting was taken down in		
9	stenograph notes and afterwards reduced to typewriting		
LO	under my direction; and that the typewritten		
L1	transcript is a true record of the public meeting.		
L2	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my		
L3	hand and affixed my notarial seal this 5th day of		
L4	January, 2023.		
L5			
L6	Maria W. Collier NOTARY PUBLIC SEAL STATE OF INDIANA Commission No. NP0693933		
L7			
L8			
L9	My Commission Expires Dec. 5, 2024		
20			
21	My Commission expires: December 5, 2024		
22	DECEMBEL 3, 2024		
23	Job No. 177984		
24			
25			

\$	20 15:9 25:18	6th 6:14,24
	2020 35:19 2022 5:7,24 6:6,16 30:17 55:25 2022-11 5:24	7 7 6:16
\$430 5:12		
(2022-12 6:13,20	7,812 14:23
(4) 54.40	21 13:6	74 54:1
(1) 54:12	261 32:21 33:1 39:8	
(2) 21:25 54:14	28 5:7	8
(3) 54:18 (c) 48:16	29 5:24 6:6	8 55:25
(6) 40.10	29th 10:9	86 48:24
0		8th 5:2 12:20,22 33:7
0.07:00	3	Oth 5.2 12.20,22 55.7
0 27:20	3 20:20 21:5,14 27:20 38:20	9
1	3,691 52:24	9,272 14:22
4. 40.40.44.04.47.0.40.40.00.4.40	3-12-1 43:10	91 33:8
1 13:18 14:21 17:6 19:18 20:4,13, 14,16,18,20 21:3,5,14 25:7,8,14,	3-12-1-13 26:1 45:12	9:33 5:7
15 27:21 29:7,8,12,13 32:8 33:19	3-12-11 48:13	9th 7:5 12:22
37:9 42:1,10,15 46:15 47:14 52:24 53:2,23	3-12-11-12 8:7 48:7	
10th 7:9	3-12-11-17.7 22:23	Α
11th 7:14	3-12-11-17.7(c) 21:20	a.m. 5:7
12 20:19 21:4,5,13	3-12-11-18(a) 54:11	ability 43:22
12,000 38:18	3-12-11-20 54:20	ablazing 38:24
12,963 13:18 53:3,23	3-12-11-21 54:23	absence 45:10,12
122 35:19	33 10:8	absent 43:22
12th 12:23	39 33:12	absentee 26:1 33:6 35:19 40:22
13,037 13:20 53:4,24		41:23 42:22,23 43:6,12,14,25
13th 7:15 13:2		44:2,6,17,25 48:6
14th 13:5	4 29:6,10 30:18 41:16 42:10,13,14 50:24	absolutely 5:4 49:9
15,000 13:7		accept 14:7 15:16 26:11 28:17, 19 29:17 35:22 49:18,22 50:10,
16th 7:23	5	19,23 52:2
17 17:7 32:13 34:2,13,16,17,21		accepted 25:7 26:8 28:23 29:14
35:14 39:9,19,23 41:3 49:3,8	5,225 52:25	accepting 9:12
1986 49:17	6	access 10:23
2	6 25:21 29:6 30:19	accordance 4:16 6:15,19,23 53:18
2 13:20 14:22 15:8 17:6 20:1,2 27:24 52:25 53:3,24	62 5:3,9,16 6:22 12:14 30:10 55:24	Accounts 6:13,21 7:1,12,15,20 11:15,24 12:4,11 15:13 16:20,25 17:11 19:7 29:15 42:12 46:21

47:2 53:13 **Accounts'** 14:7 49:19,22 50:19, 23 52:3 **accurate** 36:1 46:25

accurately 27:7
act 18:8 48:11,12,17
action 16:13 55:13
actions 4:25 9:23
activities 31:6

activity 32:1 36:14 actual 12:23 13:16 35:1

add 16:7 17:25 22:12 28:22 45:9

51:12

added 18:19,21 42:6

addition 10:24

additional 33:11 44:18

address 20:5,23 22:19 25:6 28:4, 12.16 37:3 48:23

administrative 3:18 55:20

adopt 54:2,6 adopted 6:23 advice 18:10 advise 7:21

advised 8:6 54:8

affecting 21:24 48:15,19

affects 22:10 agency 10:9

agree 19:5 22:13 35:2 50:1,5 51:22

allegedly 33:21 allude 24:10 alluded 24:19

alphanumeric 15:12 16:19

ambiguity 51:24 amend 29:16 amended 6:24

amount 19:3 47:1 49:7 **analysis** 22:14 45:8 50:6

anticipates 39:14

anytime 11:10 apparently 49:5 appearance 6:7,10 appearing 36:5

appears 20:19 21:6

applied 50:5 approach 41:18 approximate 22:4 argument 38:7 46:17 arguments 16:23 22:17

arrived 32:18 assessment 35:3 assume 49:21

assumption 18:20 38:8 **attached** 33:13,14,17 **attended** 30:12

attention 15:4

attorney 6:9 22:18 35:23

authorizing 10:25

avail 20:16

aware 35:24 43:7

Aye 9:14,15,16 14:13,14,15,16 27:16,17,18,19 29:21,22,23,24 46:8,9,10,11 50:12,13,14,15,25 51:1,2,3 52:8,9,10,11

В

back 9:20 17:3 35:18 39:2

baq 33:3

bags 33:5,11 39:6,14

ballot 16:2 18:23 21:25 24:24 25:16 26:3,5,6,13,15 30:19,20,22 31:2,3 32:4 33:6,10 34:22 35:1 36:22 41:18,23 42:2,6,13,20,23, 24 43:5,6,22 44:1,2,13,17 45:5, 15,21,25 46:2,6 47:7,9,13,14,16, 20,23,25 48:9,15,19,23 49:21 50:5

ballots 7:7 12:18 13:8 14:3,5,9 15:1,5,14,17 16:14 17:1 18:1,6,

16,18 19:8,12,15 20:20 21:4,11, 13 22:6,11 24:9,12,14 25:24 26:1, 3,19,22,23 28:11 29:8,14,17 31:10,15,20 32:13,19,21 33:1,4,8, 12,13,20 34:2,6,12,14,17,21,25 35:2,3,13,14,20 36:10,11,15,17 38:17,25 39:2,5,6,9,13,19 40:10, 19,22 42:11,13,14,21,22 43:12, 14,20 44:6,7,8 46:22 48:5,6 49:1, 2,3.6

based 9:5 18:19 20:12 22:21 24:16

basically 10:18 13:22

befuddled 38:16

began 11:4 begin 4:1

beginning 6:16 7:14

begins 16:16

behalf 4:2,14 6:7,10 40:18 44:24

benefit 13:9bin 33:3binder 6:9

binders 5:14 6:5,18 7:4,11,18 8:5

bipartisan 45:11

bit 25:23 31:5 42:16 48:25

Bloomington 10:4,7 51:13

board 6:13,21,25 7:12,15,20 11:15,24 12:4,11 14:7 15:13 16:20,25 17:11 19:7 29:15 34:3 35:21 40:5 42:12 43:19 46:21 47:2 49:19,22 50:19,23 52:3 53:13

body 21:3 28:1 36:5

books 35:5

bottom 35:7 37:8

box 30:23 31:14 33:3

Brad 3:20 4:7,9 9:21 10:3 43:12 53:16

briefed 23:16 briefly 55:18

bring 18:14 41:18 45:2 47:23

bringing 33:8

Index: Brown..considers

Brown 6:17 7:13 10:13 11:8 12:25 13:3 17:5 20:13 22:25 23:3, 18 28:7,15 29:6,7,13 30:17,18 31:7,8,20 32:7,9,18,25 33:4,16,24 34:4,12 35:17,18 36:1,6,15 37:2 38:22 40:1,18 41:10 43:2,7 44:5, 15 46:16,21

buildings 33:24

Buren 29:7,12,13 31:22 32:7 33:19 37:8 42:10,15 46:15 47:14 48:1

busy 40:22

C

cage 32:19,25 33:21

caged 32:20

call 3:3,5 13:18 15:4,19 17:13 38:17 45:3 47:10 52:21

called 13:19 32:25

calling 45:3

candidate 5:15 7:8 13:18,20 14:21,22 17:6 22:5 30:9 42:1 45:5 47:18 52:22,24,25 53:2,3,10,11, 23,24 54:14,15 55:3,5,10

candidates 6:3 14:20 36:11 40:11 55:23

cars 34:7

carving 49:8

case 15:19,20 19:7 34:2,13 35:23 37:15 38:1 55:10

cases 31:19

cast 30:16 36:21 37:16 48:9 53:8 55:23

cat 21:20

center 7:8

certificate 51:18 53:9,18 54:12,

15,18,21 55:19,22

certification 51:8 53:7

certified 55:2

certify 4:15 54:5

chair 3:9 5:5 11:17,24 12:7 15:7 16:10 17:24 27:5 30:7 36:4 45:7 48:2,22 53:5,17 55:17

Chair's 15:3

chairman 4:13 5:11,16 14:6 20:10 51:21

challenge 17:22 20:18 26:4 31:6 32:8,11

challenged 17:1 28:11 39:23,24 40:2 47:15

challenges 41:2

challenging 21:10 24:6

chamber 55:9 chambers 33:25 chance 15:24

change 26:17 40:12

chapter 8:15,21 15:8 22:22

checked 11:2

citation 22:22 25:25

cited 24:17 claims 24:4 clarifies 25:22 clarify 19:15 42:3 clarity 42:9

classification 19:9

Claytor 3:11,15 9:8,16 14:6,16 25:4,10,12 26:10,15 27:6,10,19 28:19 29:18,24 46:3,11 48:22 50:15,18 51:3 52:2,11,15 54:2,6

clear 25:13 34:8 38:23 43:25 51:10.23

clerk 31:12,25 33:16 35:22 40:2, 18 41:9 49:4

Clerk's 23:18 35:17

closed 47:12 **closely** 11:19

Co-director 16:4 21:17

Code 8:7 21:19 22:22 37:5 48:7 54:11,20,23

Collier 3:23

commander 10:4

comment 37:24 48:23

comments 4:19 50:17

commission 3:5,6,10,19,21 4:10,11,14,17,25 5:5 6:24 7:21 8:3,10,11,23,24 9:11 12:8 15:8, 22,25 16:11,13,14,16,23 17:19 18:7,17 20:9 22:1,3,5,20 23:7 24:10,22 25:1,20 27:6,14 28:8 29:20 32:16 37:6,18 38:5,9 43:16, 21 44:20 45:8,14,17,24 46:7,19 48:3,21 50:11,24 51:22 52:1,7 53:6 54:11,25 55:15,19

Commission's 6:15 49:16,17

Commissioner 3:11 25:10 27:6

commissioner's 34:1 commissioners 3:10 committee 32:17

communication 18:2 29:4 communications 8:4 24:20

compelled 25:11 complete 12:13

completed 6:19 16:13

completes 54:25 completion 54:9

compliance 4:10 48:10

complied 5:22

comply 8:15,17,21,23

concede 41:3

concluded 13:2,3,5

concludes 9:3 23:20 37:20

concluding 7:14

conclusion 7:19 13:22 54:4

concur 45:8 conduct 6:22

conducted 6:15 7:12

confirm 4:8 conflict 30:1 confusing 38:9

conservative 18:12

consideration 23:17 30:14 50:9 **considered** 26:5 31:23 51:17

considers 55:13

Index: consistency's..dismiss

denied 35:6 consistency's 44:21 **courts** 31:13 consolidation 18:1 denies 8:23 **cover** 51:19 consultation 6:11 covered 51:6 deny 9:7,18 24:22 27:2,13 41:1 45:20,24 46:1,5 contend 31:1 credit 40:21 47:2 denying 9:12 contest 5:18 24:5.17 crime 10:21 deposit 5:12 contested 23:2 criminal 11:6 deputy 4:22 continue 8:25 17:10 cross-petition 5:17 **detail** 46:23 continued 30:25 cross-petitioned 35:11 detective 11:6 continuing 8:13 cross-petitioner 8:14,17 determination 41:23 45:6 coordination 6:12 custody 10:19 determine 22:4 45:20 47:17 **copies** 34:22 cut 19:3 determined 5:22 25:16 41:24 **cycle** 35:15,25 copy 8:3 21:6 determines 22:1 correct 12:1 21:12 27:10 28:21 D developed 6:25 32:22 45:14 corrections 16:8 development 34:21 **D-E-W-E-S-T-E-R** 24:3 cost 31:10 **Dewester** 6:6 22:19 23:24 24:1,2 date 5:19 32:23 33:22 36:19 38:5,6 counsel 3:20,22 7:5,23 12:1,10 **Dave** 13:19 14:22 52:25 53:3.24 14:1 15:5 16:5,6 17:3 18:9 21:7 difficulty 52:18 23:22 24:2 25:2,6 32:24 33:25 **David** 5:10 20:9 30:6 diligent 47:8 51:7 **day** 11:1,19 12:25 13:2,3 30:20 directing 5:25 counsels 8:6 54:9 31:17,25 35:8 36:7 40:21 42:21, directly 26:23 24 43:12,18 44:7,8 47:16,25 48:5 **count** 7:7 12:20 13:1,4,5,16 49:10 15:16 18:23 21:18 35:3 36:2 director 4:22,23 12:15 18:10 37:10 40:13 42:3,5 43:20,22 23:7 25:17 29:5 32:17,18 33:21 day-long 39:4 45:15,22 47:8 49:19 50:10 51:5 34:10,24 42:9 44:24 days 54:24 counted 16:2 18:21 22:7 26:6 directors 38:5 deadline 5:19 30:16 31:3 32:22 33:7 35:2,8 discovered 32:12 36:22,25 37:17 38:13,19 39:1,20, decade 36:4 21 40:10 42:7 43:16 45:5 47:17 discrepancies 38:12,14 **December** 6:14,16 7:5,9,14,15, 48:11,13 discrepancy 23:5,8 **counties** 6:17 7:13 10:12 discuss 8:2 15:5 19:16 20:15 decide 17:9 44:20 counting 39:6 43:10 23:15 33:1 declared 30:20 counts 49:21 51:12 discussed 18:11 19:4 20:23 **Dee** 30:11 31:5 53:2 **county** 5:11,16 10:13,14 11:8 defect 23:9.11 12:21,22,23,24,25 13:3 15:10,14 discussion 15:24 20:12 22:21 17:5 20:10,13 22:25 23:3,18 44:9,14 45:17 48:20 defects 34:11 26:22 28:7,15 29:3,6,7,13 30:7, disenfranchise 24:13 definitively 18:24 17,19 31:7,8,12,13,20 32:7,10,18, 25 33:4,16,24 34:3,4,12,23 35:17, disenfranchised 36:9 deliver 55:8 18,21 36:1,3,6,15 37:2,8,13,19 disenfranchisement 32:9 **Democratic** 5:11 20:11 30:8.9 38:13,22 40:1,7,8,18 41:10 43:2,8 44:5,15 46:16,22 52:4 31:15 disenfranchising 36:20 41:5 denial 9:19 court 3:23 4:2 **dismiss** 7:25 8:2,9,12,13,24 9:2,

7,20

Index: dismissal..floor

floor 14:12 19:23 26:11 27:13

29:20 46:5 50:9

dismissal 9:18 elements 5:23 53:9 expertly 27:7 display 37:2 eliminate 19:13 explicit 18:3 **dispute** 15:21,25 16:3 17:6,10,12 **email** 18:11 19:14 **extremely** 24:11 40:22 19:10 25:7,19 41:16 51:14 end 30:25 31:1 36:12 eyes 37:14 disputed 14:5,9,25 15:14 18:16 ensure 36:25 37:16 26:12,15 42:11 52:21,23 F ensuring 30:15 **disputes** 12:5 16:15,17 18:4,5,18 25:14 entertain 18:8 face 32:11 **district** 5:3,9,16 6:22 10:4,7,8,14 entire 21:18 24:13,23 46:18 **faced** 31:6 12:14 30:10 55:24 51:12 fact 21:12 23:7 24:17 38:16 39:20 **Division** 3:17 5:10 54:19 55:1,4 entirety 24:5 29:12 53:7 document 6:8.17 53:8 entitled 37:6 facts 46:24 documentation 43:24 entry 10:25 failed 8:15,17,21,23 36:1 documents 6:4 44:15 **envelope** 33:6 44:20 failure 48:11,17 **Door** 4:9,11,16 envelopes 11:13 32:23 33:14,15 fair 51:25 doors 10:23 11:1 equipment 6:2 fall 18:6 19:8 **Drew** 10:15 error 38:15 40:17 45:11 falls 10:14 driven 39:2 essentially 15:21 16:1 18:1,9,12 **fatal** 40:17 45:11 33:1 46:16,24 51:12 drove 33:23 favor 9:13,18 14:13 27:15 29:20 eventually 48:23 54:7 31:3 46:7 50:11,24 52:7 due 21:3,12 24:24 30:20 evidence 10:19 11:13 16:23 feel 20:23 duty 38:18 21:23 22:9 23:17 26:24.25 40:15 feeling 25:10 36:3 44:18 48:14,18 49:10,14 50:2 Ε figure 23:3 **exact** 43:13 figuring 20:25 exception 48:8 earlier 3:3 26:8 48:3 **file** 54:18 excited 3:2 **easier** 19:11 **filed** 5:2,9,16 6:7,10 7:5 8:9,19 **Excuse** 55:17 easy 38:22 9:2 24:6,17 25:7 36:24 execution 23:19 educating 49:5 filing 6:28:1 exemplar 31:8 effort 47:6 filings 7:10 exemplars 23:1 **efforts** 30:13 **final** 8:4 13:17,19 53:20,22,23 **exhibit** 14:8 15:12,18 17:7 21:14 elaborate 25:23 **finally** 54:23 25:18,21 26:4 29:6 30:18,19 32:3, elected 39:10 **find** 22:23 24:14 26:19 32:19 **election** 3:16 5:2,8,10,15,19 33:11,12 34:1 35:16 47:10 49:3,6, exhibits 8:2 25:15 28:2,6 30:15 9,10 55:16 10:22 11:2,11 23:10,11,19 24:7, 37:20 21 30:17 31:17,25 34:3,5 35:8,21, finding 26:19,22 existing 49:3 25 36:2,25 38:13 39:7 40:5,21,24 42:21,24 43:12,18,19 44:1,7,8 findings 12:3 14:2 18:19 expediency 37:12 47:16,25 48:4,12,17 49:10 51:8 fine 3:14 17:12 20:4 41:3 expedited 30:3 54:5,10,14,17,19 55:1,4,23,25 finished 13:17 experience 48:25 elections 35:21 37:7.19 49:17

expert 16:5

electronic 38:15 40:4.9

Index: flowing..informed

hour 33:12 flowing 30:24 **group** 13:10 **folded** 44:19 **guess** 27:25 hours-long 39:3 **folks** 37:18 **guide** 14:1 **House** 5:3,16 6:22 12:14 30:10 53:19 55:11,13 follow 49:25 guidelines 6:16,23 7:2,6 9:1 13:25 15:9,15 16:9,16 17:25 huge 40:23 foreclose 18:15 22:24 human 38:15 forego 19:2 guns 38:24 **humans** 39:17 **form** 19:18 20:14,18,21 21:1,13 guys 39:9 41:19 23:4 25:21 29:7,8,12 32:8 33:19 37:9 46:15 54:22 ı н formally 28:10 **i.e.** 31:24 forward 16:22 17:12 27:21 52:13 H-E-N-R-Y 20:10 **IC** 48:13 **found** 6:4,8,18 7:3,10,17 8:4 half 12:25 13:3 **ideas** 15:6 20:20 21:19 25:25 26:3 32:22 **Hall** 6:8 13:19 14:23 31:11 42:14 33:4,6,8 34:3,21 38:12,14 48:25 identical 18:2 47:18 52:25 53:3,24 fourth 6:18 identified 18:13 19:12 23:13 **Hamblen** 17:6 19:18 20:1,2,4,13, 25:18 33:21 44:23 framed 27:7 16 21:3 25:7,8,14,15 27:21,24 identify 4:2,4 8:16,22 16:21 19:1, **fraud** 21:23 22:1,9 24:10,11,18 hand 47:18 8 25:15 28:25 29:2 26:23,24,25 48:14,18 49:11,14 handful 14:25 25:24 50:3 identifying 23:8 handle 10:20 freely 30:24 ignorance 31:24 37:1 handled 10:15 11:12 Friday 29:5 implications 30:15 **hands** 39:17 fully 23:16 impossibility 20:25 happy 28:4 46:22 future 36:4 49:3 impossible 22:3 hard 28:25 37:2,17,18 49:4 impound 6:1 G **head** 34:3 **impounded** 32:19,20 39:3 47:5 **heads-up** 10:10 **gained** 36:11 impoundment 9:24,25 10:10,18 hear 26:25 Gauger 33:22 include 7:7 51:7 heard 15:23 26:18 34:18 general 12:10 16:6 44:1 55:25 included 5:13 20:14 34:6 hearing 8:3 37:25 **Githens** 13:18 14:22 30:10 31:3, including 11:11 53:10 11 42:1,13,14 45:5 52:24 53:3,23 helpful 16:12,20 19:7 incorporated 7:1 give 10:17 12:12 19:14 23:21 **Henry** 5:11 6:10 7:9 16:18 17:1, **Indiana** 3:4 4:16,17 5:2,10,25 24:20 53:15,25 13,15 19:17,19,23 20:2,5,8,9 6:12 8:7 21:19 22:22 37:5 48:7 21:12 22:17,21 27:22,25 28:14 **giving** 40:20 54:10,20,23 55:1,24 29:4.12 30:2.6 37:23 38:3 46:18 Good 3:1 12:7 24:1 indicating 21:10 **Henry's** 5:21 18:11 government 33:24 indication 23:6 high 24:11 47:6 grant 29:16 42:5 45:20,24 individually 17:2 highest 54:16 55:6 granting 5:25 35:10 individuals 13:13 24:13 **hold** 10:6 44:12 **great** 11:20 13:15 20:7 47:6 information 40:16 41:13

Holli 3:8

hope 30:3 37:11

informed 18:17

gross 31:24 37:1 40:16 41:9

Index: initial..mark

initial 20:14 30:23 31:14,18,21 32:5.10.19 41:19 45:4

initialed 11:13 31:10

initialing 42:17

initials 26:2 30:21 31:7 35:20 36:14 38:17 39:25 43:11,15,23 45:1,11,12 47:16

ink 30:24

inspection 6:14

inspections 6:19

instance 8:19 35:24

integrity 21:24 22:10 48:15,19

intentions 7:22

intents 35:13

interest 37:11

interrupt 25:9

introduce 3:12

introduction 4:22 16:12

invalid 18:22,23 25:16 26:5 30:20 41:14,25 42:2,7,11 44:10, 24 47:17

24 47.17

investigations 11:7

investigative 10:4

involved 10:12 11:20 39:17

issue 18:7 24:24 36:13 40:8,9 41:9,19 44:11 48:4

issued 5:24 6:13

issues 18:2,13 19:3 22:20 35:18 38:23 42:17

item 53:6

J

Jackson 6:17 7:13 10:13 12:21, 22,24 29:6,10 30:18 40:8 41:16 42:10,13,14 50:20,24

jail 32:25 34:7

Jennifer 33:22

iob 3:19 11:20 13:15

joined 3:10 7:9 30:9

judgment 45:3 47:11,22

June 6:24 35:19

Κ

K-E-N-D-R-A 12:9

Kendra 12:8 17:3 42:10 52:16

keys 10:22

kind 10:17 16:12 38:16

King 3:20 4:13 16:5,10 17:24 19:5 21:17 22:12,13 27:5 28:13, 21 45:7 48:2 51:21 53:5,17,25 54:7 55:17

King's 18:10

know 15:3 24:10 25:4,21 27:3 29:1 35:13 36:12 37:9 38:10 39:10,22 40:14 44:4,5,13 49:12

Kochevar 3:21 4:14,20 15:7 17:23 18:9 21:15 22:13 23:13 42:8,19 43:3,5,9 51:9

Kochevar's 19:6 45:8,13 48:3 51:22

L

L-E-A-T-H-E-R-M-A-N 12:10

lack 30:21 31:7

lacks 47:16

large 24:23 49:7

larger 31:8 36:13

law 4:9,11,16 5:23 6:23 9:1 38:19 41:6 43:14,15,17 44:2 45:15

lawful 30:16 36:25 37:16

lead 12:11

Leatherman 12:7,9 14:19 17:4 19:25 25:9,13 41:21 42:18 43:1,4, 7 44:12 52:17 53:15,22 54:1

left 14:24 47:7

legal 34:25 38:7 47:9

legislative 55:1

letters 37:25

level 24:11,12,18

life 49:11

light 55:14

list 25:21 36:18

listed 14:8 15:12,17

live 30:12

locally 38:11

locate 46:22

location 11:9

locations 10:20

lock 15:18

locked 11:9

looked 40:8 44:25

lose 41:4

loss 32:13 33:8 36:17

lost 34:14 35:15 36:10,12

lot 13:11,13 26:19,20 36:5 38:6,

10 39:16 40:21 44:13

lots 38:7 39:17

low 47:6

М

machine 40:12

machines 34:23

Madam 4:13 5:4 11:17,23 12:7 14:6 15:7 16:10 17:15,17,24 20:8 27:5 37:23 45:7 48:2,22 51:21 53:5,17 55:17

made 8:10 15:21 26:4 39:18 46:17 54:22

majority 3:20 16:5

make 3:13 15:20 16:8 18:24 22:17 27:1 30:23 37:2 38:18 39:1 40:20 47:22 50:18 51:17,23 52:22 54:12

makes 24:14 28:14 45:10

manager 30:10

manual 6:25 7:3 12:20 13:16 24:8 32:14 34:15,16 35:12 40:5

manually 13:7

Maria 3:23

mark 3:11 30:22,23,25 31:2 34:4

paper 32:13 34:14 35:12 40:6

45:1 numerous 31:11 21 33:1.11 34:2 35:5 36:14 38:17 39:19.25 46:22 49:2.6 marked 48:9 0 mistake 31:18 **marks** 32:5 mistaken 42:25 match 53:1 objections 7:24 34:20 mistakes 31:17 39:18 material 6:1 10:22 11:2,7,11 observed 11:10 **moment** 17:16 12:18 observer 30:11 32:25 materials 9:24 11:9 39:7 47:5 **Monroe** 5:11 6:17 7:13 10:13 obtained 10:22 12:23 13:4 20:10 30:7 36:3 51:16 **Matt** 44:12 52:4 occurred 23:10,11 matter 5:23 8:3 22:23 33:17 morning 3:1 12:7 24:1 30:3 occurrence 26:21 37:12 40:20 46:14 51:24 53:21 32:21,23 33:2,9 55:25 occurring 34:11 motion 7:24 8:1,9,12,13,19,24 matters 30:5 55:20 odd 38:23 9:2,7,10,12,18,19 14:12,17 15:24 Matthew 3:21 4:7,19 16:11,19 18:8 25:8 26:11,17 27:1,4,7,13 office 3:17 23:19 33:24 34:1 28:14 29:16,19 30:4 42:5 45:21, 17:25 48:21 35:17 38:25 54:10,14,17 55:1,7 23 46:5,7,15,17 49:20,21 50:7,9, means 13:5 29:9 34:14 officer 48:12.17 55:9 11,18,22,25 51:10,11,15,19 52:1, 7 54:2 55:15 meantime 39:5 officers 10:11,20 11:3,4,10 33:23 meet 35:9 motions 51:7 official 39:10 move 9:7 14:6 17:12 27:21 28:12, meeting 3:3,4 4:8,12,17 12:16 officially 3:2 26:8 17 46:1 49:18 52:2 54:6 55:19 member 3:9 8:11 one's 39:11 moved 11:8 12:25 47:11 members 4:13 5:5 9:11 12:8 ongoing 35:16 moves 16:15 15:7 16:10 20:9 25:1 27:5,15 open 4:8,11,16 26:16 46:5 29:20 45:7,17 46:7 48:2,21 50:11, Mulligan 31:18 24 51:21 52:1,7 53:5 opening 3:13 39:6 multiple 47:4 mention 43:9 opportunity 12:16 15:23 19:1,15 20:15,17,22 22:16,19 23:14,22 mentioned 37:4 Ν optical 34:23 merit 32:6 nationwide 40:24 **order** 3:3,5 5:24 6:13,20 10:10,18 Michael 3:11 15:12 16:19,21 17:17,20 19:17 necessarily 25:19 minor 55:20 22:6 26:16 27:25 37:16,23 41:17 negligence 31:24 37:1 40:17 minority 3:22 ordering 8:12 41:9 mis-stored 34:13 organization 44:5 **night** 34:5 misconduct 21:24 22:2,10,23 organized 44:15 non-election 30:20 23:6,18 24:11 32:1 36:13 37:7 original 41:18,22 44:15 53:1 40:17 48:14,19 50:3 nondisputes 52:20 outrageous 49:6,8 misinitialed 40:19 **noon** 5:19 oversee 11:7 mislabeled 34:13 notice 4:15 6:2 overview 10:17 12:12 misplaced 34:12 **November** 5:2,7,24 6:6 10:9 33:7 55:25 Owens 30:11 32:24 33:22 34:20 misplacement 32:13 number 22:4 36:1 49:7 53:10 missed 31:20 54:13,16 55:3,6 Ρ misses 31:17 **numbers** 13:17 40:11,15 53:14

missing 24:9,12,24 31:14 32:10,

Index: Pardon..protection

Pardon 17:15 **Phil** 4:23 51:6,13,20 52:3,13,21,23 54:13, Philip 5:6 part 11:6 18:23 51:18 preference 15:3 partial 8:8 photographed 10:21 prepare 55:2 participated 13:14 pick 15:10 prepared 53:8 participation 14:18 picture 34:25 presence 10:25 parties 6:11 7:21 16:22 **piece** 10:19 present 3:6 11:5 16:22,25 22:9 parties' 45:13 pieces 20:24 23:2 23:15 28:6 30:5 partisan 36:23 40:20 places 47:4 presentation 15:20 45:9 48:4 party 5:12,15 20:11 21:22 26:3 planning 20:21 presented 26:24,25 28:2,8 48:15 30:8 36:3,22 48:16 plurality 53:11,14,25 54:17 presents 21:23 passed 9:17 14:18 42:20,25 podium 10:1 20:6 50:17 52:12 presiding 55:8 **point** 10:11 16:24 17:17,20 19:17 pretty 43:25 **passes** 46:12 21:8 22:18 23:17 27:25 28:6 34:8 past 26:11 37:23 41:1 47:10,11 51:6 previous 54:21 pattern 31:23 38:17,21 **Police** 6:1,12 9:22 10:25 11:18 previously 24:16 28:11 52:21,23 32:20 33:23 46:21 47:3 pen 30:23 primary 35:19 Police's 9:23 pending 8:24 printed 34:22 poll 35:5 printing 35:4 Penny 13:18 14:22 30:10 31:3 52:24 53:3,23 **polls** 39:11,12 prior 25:8 26:17 40:24 people 38:9 39:12 40:1,21 47:4 portrayed 46:24 problem 31:8 35:16 49:5 position 47:19 procedurally 12:2 22:15 25:6 people's 38:19 possession 11:16 28:3 permissible 17:8 possibly 47:9 procedures 12:19 34:5 permission 11:23 post 10:5,16 **proceed** 4:21 12:6 14:4 29:25 **permit** 17:25 posted 4:15 proceeded 6:21 personally 17:11 posting 4:8 proceeding 5:18 15:6 48:13,16 personnel 36:8,16 postings 10:24 proceedings 13:20,25 30:12 pertinent 22:14 55:15 potential 23:10,18 pervasive 22:2 49:14 process 8:25 19:13 22:16 28:1 pre-count 12:16 39:4 **petition** 5:1,8,13,18,21,22,25 6:3 pre-recount 6:14 7:22,25 8:9 20:24 23:2 34:15 processes 12:13 35:10 36:23 45:24 46:1,6 precinct 7:8 14:3 15:13 17:5,19 produce 12:19 36:1 19:20,23 20:13 21:1,11,25 22:2,5, petitioned 34:9 7,11,25 23:15 24:4,13,23 26:7,16, prohibits 43:17 **petitioner** 5:10 7:25 8:14,17,20, 18 27:3,9,14 28:5 29:5,7 31:22 pronounced 10:5 22 15:4,20 16:17 17:1,9 19:12 32:2,7 33:5,18 35:4 37:8,10,13 20:11 22:8,17 25:13 28:2,10,14 40:7 43:19 46:18 49:12,13,20,23 proof 6:3 30:7 32:15 45:2 47:15,21 51:14 50:4,10 proper 35:20 39:13 petitioner's 27:2 28:18 42:5 precinct's 21:18 properly 4:15 27:3 36:17 45:21 46:14 **precincts** 12:5 13:1,4,6 14:4,8, protection 9:24 **phase** 16:15 19,21,25 16:21,25 18:15 19:2

24:7 26:12 28:7,15 29:2,10 47:12

rundown 34:4

protocol 33:17 recommend 51:9.11 Reporting 3:24 reconsider 26:17 Representative 5:9 55:24 **proud** 13:10 **provide** 4:9,24 6:3 28:24 53:14 record 14:5 30:6 45:9 46:25 50:2 representatives 45:13 53:19 51:11 55:18 provided 7:16 34:4 53:6 Republican 31:13,14 recount 3:4,18 4:17,22 5:1,8,17, provisional 33:13 36:11 22 6:22 7:7,13,17,22,25 8:9,10, request 24:22 25:17 27:2 28:18 13,25 10:12 11:4 12:11,14,15,24 **public** 37:24 29:17 35:10 13:12,14,17 16:6 18:10,21 20:11 pulled 33:6 21:19,23 23:7 24:8 25:17 30:11, requested 7:20 17:4 13 32:17 34:9,10,16,24 35:12 **purposes** 12:4 44:21 55:18 requesting 24:6 36:8 39:14,15 42:9 44:24 49:17 pursuant 38:20 51:18 52:14 53:7 54:4,10,21,24, required 5:12,23 13:7 53:9 55:2, 25 55:14,22 put 17:18 21:2 39:7,9 52:13 recounted 54:22 requirement 7:6 8:16,22 Q recounts 26:20 32:14 38:11 requirements 4:12 40:12.14 48:24 requires 26:2 54:11 question 19:19 21:2 23:4 25:5 refer 19:14 55:12 31:16 36:20,23 42:8,19 rescind 27:13 reference 7:1 21:15 44:16 questions 17:18 25:1 36:6 46:19 resolving 16:15 referenced 18:3 29:4 48:8 quorum 3:7 respect 9:23 13:24 15:6 46:14, Referring 24:9 15,18,20 47:14 auotes 8:8 reflects 36:24 respond 23:22,25 R **refund** 55:16 responded 8:1 regard 16:13 48:4 respondent 6:7 7:5,23 8:20 race 5:17 31:4 15:2,22 22:18 24:3 32:24 35:11 rejecting 21:3 raise 20:12 21:9 24:12.18 respondent's 7:24 relates 27:8 30:13 33:18 raised 16:17 18:16 34:20 response 17:18 20:15 24:4 relevant 6:1 raises 23:16 rest 33:17 39:24 remaining 12:5 46:13 51:19 raising 22:9 result 16:2 48:11 55:14 remarks 3:13 19:6 23:20 37:21 rank 10:6 results 7:16 34:19 remedies 37:5 rarely 49:2 retain 35:22 remedy 23:12,13 read 21:21 55:18 returns 54:21 remember 43:13 reading 9:6 review 5:21 24:7 43:20 47:23 remind 4:1 real 12:24 revived 43:21 reminiscent 40:24 realize 39:15 Richardson 3:24 removed 15:1 reasons 39:24 right 19:18 26:14 36:21 39:2 repeat 46:23 recalculation 34:18 41:17 repeatedly 34:20 receive 10:18 **Roger** 10:15 report 4:10,25 7:16 9:3,5 21:4 received 10:9 18:3 22:5 53:11 roughly 16:18 42:10 53:2 54:13,15 55:4 rule 8:11 48:8 reported 21:5

reporter 3:23 4:2

receiving 55:6

recitation 28:5

S

S-A-M-A-N-T-H-A 24:3

S-I-C-U-S-O 5:6

S-T-I-L-E 10:6

Samantha 6:6 24:2

SBOA 31:9 32:12,18 33:2,10,22

34:9 39:5 41:6 42:3

SBOA's 50:10

scan 34:23

scaring 41:8

scene 10:21

scrutinizing 37:13

scrutiny 48:6

sealed 10:24 11:13 39:6

search 47:6,8

searching 33:25 38:24

seated 55:10

Secretary 3:1,8,16,17 4:18,21 9:4,9,15,17 10:2 11:22 14:11,15, 17 16:24 17:13,15,17 19:19 20:7, 8 23:24 24:25 27:12,18,20 28:22 29:19,23,25 37:22,24 38:2,4

41:12,20 45:16,23 46:4,10,12 47:24 48:20 49:24 50:8,14,16,22 51:2,4,25 52:6,10,12 54:3,8 55:7

section 15:9 42:11 43:13

sending 40:22

sense 24:15 47:7 52:22

sergeant 10:7,15

serve 3:9 6:2

serves 3:20,21

service 6:4

set 5:12,19 8:25 32:3,10 37:11

45:11

sets 30:21 43:15 53:8

setting 7:16

seventh 7:17

severe 37:5

Seymour 10:15

shake 49:4

share 27:24

sheet 51:18

showing 54:12 55:3

shut 10:24

Sicuso 4:23,24 5:4,6 9:20,21 11:17,23 13:24 14:24 17:8 19:11, 21 20:3 21:8 25:23 26:14 28:9,25

29:9,13 33:21 41:13,15 42:4 44:23 45:19 46:13 51:5

sign 54:12

signature 42:16

signatures 41:24

signed 11:14

similar 46:17

Similarly 50:18

simple 39:4

single 38:13 40:7 47:9

sixth 7:10

smallest 40:9

solely 48:11

sort 33:11

sorted 36:10

sorting 12:20,21

speak 4:3,4,5 9:22 11:25

Speaker 55:11,12

speaking 4:6

specific 16:21

specifically 8:16,21 29:8

spell 4:3

spelled 10:6

spent 12:25 46:25

spirit 36:24

stacks 40:6

staff 11:6,7 33:2

standard 50:5

standards 43:10,11 48:5

start 3:2 4:3 15:10 17:4 39:12

41:15

started 12:20,21,24 19:24 31:9

39:8

starting 22:16 49:7

state 3:4,9 5:8,23 6:1,12,13,21,

23,25 7:12,15,20 8:10,14,20 9:1,

22,23 10:25 11:15,18,24 12:3,11

14:7 15:13 16:20,24 17:11 19:7 26:1 20:15 32:20 33:23 36:0

26:1 29:15 32:20 33:23 36:9

37:17 42:12 43:17 46:20,21 47:2,

3 49:19 50:19,23 52:3 53:13

54:14,24,25 55:7,24

State's 3:17

statement 23:21 25:5 45:14

55:5,8,12

statements 37:20 55:2

statewide 38:11

statute 5:13,20 8:8 9:6 21:17

22:15 25:25 26:2 45:10 53:6,19

statutes 24:16

stellar 3:19

step 12:2 22:8

steps 51:7

Stewart 3:24

Stille 9:22 10:3.5

stopped 30:24

storage 34:7

storing 36:17

subdivisions 21:22

subject 19:9 48:5

submit 37:24

submitted 7:24

Subsection 48:16

substantial 46:25

successful 55:9

successors 36:3

sucked 40:13

sufficient 35:9 50:3

suggest 9:21 32:1 36:15

suggested 15:11

suggestion 51:23

Index: suggests..voted

terms 12:19 23:1.12 26:22 38:7 typically 37:25 38:2 suggests 21:13 36:19 terrible 49:9 **Sullivan** 3:1,8,16 4:18,21 9:4,9, U 15,17 10:2 11:22 14:11,15,17 testing 20:22 16:24 17:13 19:19 20:7 23:24 24:25 27:12,18,20 28:22 29:19, thankful 3:25 ultimately 47:7 23,25 37:22 38:2,4 41:12,20 things 24:9 44:14 unable 32:14 45:16,23 46:4,10,12 47:24 48:20 49:24 50:8,14,16,22 51:2,4,25 throw 49:11,13 unaware 23:9 52:6,10,12 54:3,8 throwing 21:18 39:13 41:2 50:4 unclear 41:4 summarize 8:7 thrown 24:5 33:18 38:8 understand 26:10 36:19 supersedes 54:21 time 4:5 11:20 13:6 15:2 16:7 understanding 12:1,17 16:8 supervising 36:16 17:9 18:6,16 27:22 30:3 35:22 21:9 28:1 33:16 40:3 42:4 46:16 37:15 38:4 41:11 47:1 47:21 support 3:18 times 47:4 undertaking 40:23 suppose 45:4 title 38:20 44:3 48:10 undisputed 14:3,20,21 15:17 swath 24:23 16:14 today 3:23 4:12 6:9 11:11,14,16 system 40:2,4 17:9,18 20:16,22 23:15 28:3,16 uniformed 11:3,5 30:4,9 34:15 37:14 50:2,7 uninitialed 36:10 Т today's 8:3 23:2 unpack 38:7 told 34:24 tab 5:14 6:5,9,18 7:3,10,17 8:4 unusual 26:21.22 **top** 30:22 31:10,11 44:3 table 9:10 23:4 52:8 **upheld** 38:20 toss 24:22 tabulate 13:7 utterly 51:23 tossed 43:19 tabulation 34:18 **total** 18:22 39:22 52:19,20 53:1, tactics 41:8 ٧ 16,20,22,24 54:12 takes 32:6 totals 18:19 **valid** 18:21 29:14 41:25 44:10 talk 13:23 23:1 47:20 51:17 touching 39:17 talked 41:22 **Van** 29:7,12,13 31:22 32:7 33:19 township 23:9 37:8 42:10.15 46:15 47:14 48:1 talking 40:18 training 31:25 36:16 variation 35:12 tallied 42:12 transmit 55:5 verbatim 21:21 tallies 35:9 transmitted 53:18 verified 5:7 32:14 tally 13:19 14:7,19,20 26:12 transported 11:12 28:19 42:7 49:19,22 50:19,23 verify 17:21 52:3,15,20 53:16 transporting 34:6 veterans 13:12 tampering 21:24 22:1,10 48:14, true 37:10 view 18:12 21:16 23:5 30:21,25 18 50:3 41:19 49:16 **trunks** 34:6 tangible 35:1 voices 34:17 Tuesday 32:16 taped 10:24 **vote** 7:8 9:11 14:2,12 15:16,25 turn 16:4 17:3 21:16 22:12 target 38:22 25:8 27:15 29:21 30:16 33:5,7 turned 36:8 36:25 44:9 46:5,8 47:18 49:11 team 13:10 50:9,12,25 52:8 type 24:7 techs 10:21 voted 20:25 23:3 24:14 25:7 26:8 types 12:17 term 40:3 35:21 40:5

Index: voter..year

voter 48:9

voter's 36:21

voters 24:24 32:9 34:17 36:8

41:5

votes 13:21 22:4 31:11 34:2 35:7, 14 36:10,12,20 37:16 38:19 53:7, 10,12 54:13,16,22 55:3,6,23

voting 12:17 40:2,4

W

waive 18:18

waived 51:15

waiver 7:6,9

walked 38:25

wanted 18:24,25 45:1

watch 49:12

watching 47:5

ways 52:19

week 30:13

weird 41:8

whatsoever 24:15

whittled 39:8

whomever 41:9

willful 31:24 37:1

Williams 34:4

win 24:21

wished 8:2

wishes 19:12,15

withdraw 18:5 28:10,15

withdrawal 18:4

withdrawing 19:9

withdrawn 15:2

withdrew 7:23

withheld 26:7

witnessed 11:14

worded 27:3

work 7:19 11:18 13:11,22 23:8 31:9 32:12 34:9 37:17,18,19

39:16 55:21

worked 39:11 49:16

working 39:12 40:21

wow 39:15

wrap 55:20

wrong 12:2 33:5

wrongly 36:10

Wynn 3:11,14 9:5,14 14:10,14 25:3 27:8,11,17 28:17 29:16,22 45:18 46:1,9 50:1,13,21 51:1

2.5 0

52:5,9

Υ

year 6:25