



January 13, 2023

Amy Kippenbrock
Dubois County Clerk
1 Courthouse Square
Jasper, IN 47546

Dear Clerk Kippenbrock,

On January 10, 2023, the VSTOP team successfully completed a post-election audit in Dubois County, Indiana, for the 2022 General Election. The VSTOP Team members who participated in person included Dr. Chad Kinsella, VSTOP Co-Director; Liz Beatrice, VSTOP Program Manager; and Rachael Alaniz, VSTOP Project Specialist. This activity was carried out by the VSTOP Team in collaboration with the Wayne County Team. The Wayne County Team members included Amy Kippenbrock, Dubois County Clerk; Audrey Kemler, Dubois County Deputy Clerk; Mary Beckman, Dubois County post-election audit volunteer; David Shelton, Knox County Clerk; Darrell Stephens, Spencer County Clerk; and Michael Harmon, Dubois County Voter Registration Clerk. Vendor representatives Steve Shamo, MicroVote General Manager, and Allen Vermillion, MicroVote Regional Manager were also present and assisted throughout the audit. The audit was observed by Sandra Hopf, Dubois County community member, and Matthew Crane of the Dubois County Free Press

The VSTOP Team would like to express its gratitude and appreciation to Clerk Kippenbrock, her team, and the Dubois County Election Board for hosting this post-election audit. Several preparatory meetings were conducted with the county in the weeks leading up to the post-election audit and Clerk Kippenbrock provided valuable information and data that was used to conduct the audit.

The post-election audit began with an introductory presentation to the county participants on the morning of the audit. This presentation included the generation of a 20-digit seed number, in collaboration with all county participants. The seed number produced is as follows: 59943383547949787798.

The VSTOP team would also like to take this opportunity to provide a brief description of the post-election audit conducted and the contests that were audited. Dubois County uses the **MicroVote EMS 4.3 Direct-Record Electronic Voting System** with Voter Verifiable Paper Audit Trails. The post-election audit was carried out for all Election Day and Walk-In Absentee ballots cast during the Dubois County 2022 General Election.

In consultation with Dubois County, the following three races were audited with an initial risk limit set at 9% (as the attached report shows, the actual risk limits attained were lower):

- Auditor of State
- Judge of the Superior Court
- County Commissioner District 2

There were a total of 13,532 Election Day and Absentee Ballots cast on voter-verifiable paper audit trails. 51 Election Day and Absentee ballots were sampled to reach the risk limit and verify the outcomes. Of this total, the audit team was required to sample 13 ballots for Auditor of State, 15 ballots for Superior Court Judge, and 23 ballots for County Commissioner District 2 to meet the risk limit. Specifics regarding the outcomes of each race are displayed by way of images provided by Dr. Philip Stark's Audit Tool used to conduct the post-election audit and can be found in Appendix A.

VSTOP acknowledges the Indiana Secretary of State and his office staff for their full support. At the end of all post-election audits for the 2022 General Election, a full report of the audit results will be prepared and submitted to the Indiana Secretary of State and each participating county.

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Jay Bagga".

Dr. Jay Bagga, VSTOP Co-Director

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Chad Kinsella".

Dr. Chad Kinsella, VSTOP Co-Director



Dubois County Post-Election Audit Procedure

Election: 2022 General Election

Date(s) of Audit: January 10, 2023

Number of Registered Voters: 30,429

Total Number of Ballots Cast: 14,023

Total Number of Ballots Cast on Voter-Verifiable Paper Audit Trails: 13,532

Stark's Risk-Limit: Initially set at 9%
(See below for the actual risk-limited achieved)

Seed Number: 59943383547949787798

Post-Election Audit Method: Ballot Comparison Risk-Limiting Audit

Post-Election Audit Tools used: The ballot comparison post-election audit tools have been designed by Dr. Philip Stark and are available at:
<https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Vote/auditTools.htm>

Races Audited:

Dubois County Ballot Comparison Audit (ABS Walk-In & Election Day)			
Race/Question	Outcome		Number of Ballots to be Audited*
Auditor of State	(R) Tera K. Klutz*	9273	13
	(D) ZeNai Brooks	3279	
	(L) John Andrew Schick	387	
	Undervotes	585	
	Total Ballots Cast	13532	
Judge of the Superior Court	(R) Anthony D. Quinn*	9255	15
	(D) John E. Birk	4023	
	Undervotes	246	
	Total Ballots Cast	13532	
County Commissioner District 2	(R) Serice Stenftenagel*	8267	23
	(D) Mary E. (Becky) Beckman	4921	
	Undervotes	336	
	Total Ballots Cast	13532	

Asterisk (*) denotes the winner of each contest

Auditor of State

Sample Size:

With the selected risk limit of 9%, the initial sample size for this contest was 13.

Initial sample size

Contest information

Ballot cards cast in all contests: 13532 Smallest margin (votes): 5,994. Diluted margin: 44.3%

Contest 1. Contest name: Auditor of State

Contest type: plurality super-majority

Winners: 1

Reported votes:

Candidate 1 Name:	Tera K. Klutz	Votes:	9273
Candidate 2 Name:	ZeNai Brooks	Votes:	3279
Candidate 3 Name:	John Andrew Schick	Votes:	387

Add candidate to contest 1 | Remove last candidate from contest 1

Add contest | Remove last contest

Audit parameters

Risk limit: 9%

Expected rates of differences (as decimal numbers):

Overstatements. 1-vote: 0.001 2-vote: 0.0001

Understatements. 1-vote: 0.001 2-vote: 0.0001

Starting size

Round up 1-vote differences. Round up 2-vote differences. Calculate size: 13.

Final Outcome:

With the initial sample size of 13 ballots, the final outcome resulted in a 0% risk limit, which indicates 100% confidence that the audit confirmed the results of this contest. Further sampled ballots were not required for this audit.

Stopping sample size and escalation

Ballots audited so far: 13

1-vote overstatements: 0 Rate: 0

2-vote overstatements: 0 Rate: 0

1-vote understatements: 0 Rate: 0

2-vote understatements: 0 Rate: 0

Estimated stopping size

Calculate Audit complete

If no more differences are observed: 12.

If differences continue at the same rates: 12.

Estimated additional ballots if difference rates stay the same: 0.

Judge of the Superior Court

Sample Size:

With the selected risk limit of 9%, the initial sample size for this contest was 15.

Initial sample size

Contest information

Ballot cards cast in all contests: 13532 Smallest margin (votes): 5,232. Diluted margin: 38.66%

Contest 1. Contest name: Judge of the Superior Court

Contest type: plurality super-majority

Winners: 1

Reported votes:

Candidate 1 Name:	Anthony D. Quiri	Votes:	8255
Candidate 2 Name:	John E. Bink	Votes:	4023

Add candidate to contest 1 Remove last candidate from contest 1

Add contest Remove last contest

Audit parameters

Risk limit: 9%

Expected rates of differences (as decimal numbers):

Overstatements. 1-vote: 0.001 2-vote: 0.0001

Understatements. 1-vote: 0.001 2-vote: 0.0001

Starting size

Round up 1-vote differences. Round up 2-vote differences. Calculate size: 15.

Final Outcome:

With the initial sample size of 15 ballots, the final outcome resulted in a 0% risk limit, which indicates 100% confidence that the audit confirmed the results of this contest. Further sampled ballots were not required for this audit.

Stopping sample size and escalation

Ballots audited so far: 15

1-vote overstatements: 0 Rate: 0

2-vote overstatements: 0 Rate: 0

1-vote understatements: 0 Rate: 0

2-vote understatements: 0 Rate: 0

Estimated stopping size

Calculate **Audit complete**

If no more differences are observed: 13.

If differences continue at the same rates: 13.

Estimated additional ballots if difference rates stay the same: 0.

County Commissioner District 2

Sample Size:

With the selected risk limit of 9%, the initial sample size for this contest was 23.

Initial sample size

Contest information

Ballot cards cast in all contests: 13532 Smallest margin (votes): 3,346 Diluted margin: 24.73%

Contest 1. Contest name: County Commissioner District 2
Contest type: plurality super-majority

Winners: 1

Reported votes:

Candidate Name	Votes
Senica Starfenagel	8267
Mary E. (Becky) Beckman	4921

Audit parameters

Risk limit: 9%

Expected rates of differences (as decimal numbers):

Overstatements	1-vote	2-vote
Understatements	0.001	0.0001

Starting size

Round up 1-vote differences. Round up 2-vote differences. 23.

Final Outcome:

With the initial sample size of 23 ballots, the final outcome resulted in a 0% risk limit, which indicates 100% confidence that the audit confirmed the results of this contest. Further sampled ballots were not required for this audit.

Stopping sample size and escalation

Ballots audited so far: 23

1-vote overstatements:	0	Rate: 0
2-vote overstatements:	0	Rate: 0
1-vote understatements:	0	Rate: 0
2-vote understatements:	0	Rate: 0

Estimated stopping size

Audit complete

If no more differences are observed: 21.
If differences continue at the same rates: 21.
Estimated additional ballots if difference rates stay the same: 0.

