Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission (IURC) Midwest ISO 2008 Summer Reliability & Market Update



June 4, 2008



Agenda

- Summer 2007 Review
- Summer 2008 Readiness
 - Summer Readiness Workshop
 - 2008 Summer Assessment
 - New Products
- Items of Interest
 - Ancillary Services Market Update
 - Wind Integration
 - Resource Adequacy
- Value Proposition
 - Contingency Reserve Sharing Group



Summer 2007 Review - Indiana

- Four (4) utilities in Indiana¹ set all-time peak demand records
- Indiana peak load totaled ~17,000 MW
- At that time, Indiana was importing ~2,500 MW (15%)
 - The Midwest ISO was also capable of moving reliably over 4,000 additional MW to Indiana to serve load if needed
- During the peak week (August 4th -10th), Duke's Wabash River Station² was forced off-line due to high river temperatures



¹ Calculations shown represent all Indiana entities within the Midwest ISO

² Capacity = 668 MW (Source: http://www.duke-energy.com/power-plants/coal-fired/wabash.asp)

Summer 2008 Readiness

- Conducted Summer Readiness Workshop on May 1, 2008
- Presented 2008 Summer Assessment
- Reviewed new products (LMR¹ and EDR²)
- Reviewed enhancements to Maximum Generation Emergency Procedures
- Provided an update on Balancing Authority (BA) certification and Ancillary Services Market (ASM)



Summer 2008 Assessment

- The Midwest ISO Market Footprint has a NERC construct reserve margin of 21.5% which exceeds the established minimum of 14.5%
- Margins are calculated with full utilization of demand side management
- Factors influencing Reserve
 Margin change:
 - Diversity factor
 - Decrease in load forecasts
 - Increase in reported demand side resources
 - Former ECAR members now have planning reserve margin

Demand (MW)	2008	2007
Non-Coincident	112,709	113,198
Estimated Diversity	4,454	2,647
Gross Coincident	108,255	110,551
Direct Control Load Management	1,738	1,565
Interruptible Load	3,066	2,534
Behind-the-Meter Generation	3,442	3,215
Net Internal Demand	100,009	103,237
NERC Construct Reserve Margin	2,008	2,007
Reserve Margin (MW)	21,543	13,881
Reserve Margin (%)	21.5%	13.4%



Summer 2008 - Capacity Overview



Summer 2008 - Risk Assessment



Summer 2008 - Nameplate Capacity by Fuel



New Products Emergency Demand Response (EDR)

- While we have received national awards of excellence, we have continued to refine our tools and systems to add even more value and reliability for the summer 2008 – EDR is an example of further refinement
- Midwest ISO filed EDR initiative on December 31, 2007 and received conditional FERC approval on April 22, 2008, effective May 1, 2008
- Provides categories of demand response in an Energy Emergency Level 2 (EEA2) situation
 - To establish curtailment priorities
 - To reflect varying costs
 - To allow the Midwest ISO to create merit order offer stacks by locations and priority status
- Provides compensation of demand response in an EEA2 situation
 - As compared to Day-Ahead schedules
 - The higher of Real-Time Locational Marginal Price (LMP) or emergency demand response offers (offers are initially part of registration process)



Ancillary Services Market Update

- Key accomplishments:
 - FERC Order accepting tariff on February 25th
 - 30-day and 60-day Compliance Filing submitted
 - Balancing Authority Agreement amendments approved on March 14th
 - Balancing Authority Certification received from the three regional entities and NERC on April 16th
 - Successful completion of system operational tests
- Future activities:
 - Additional classroom style training for customers
 - Additional Parallel Operations tests, including three 24-hour continuous five day tests during the next 3 months



Ancillary Services Market Project Schedule

4/1/2008				Marc	h			Аç	oril				May	7	J	lune			J	uly			ŀ	lugus	st		Se	pt
	Week Ending	1	8	15	22	29	5	12	19	26	3	10	17	24 31	7 14	l 21	28	5	12	19	26	2	9	16	23	30	6	9
Launch Checkpoints													15	Require	ments						25	Rea	dine	SS	22	Cuto	ver	
Regulatory Activities	17 Weeks					26															-25							
FERC Filings						26	30 -	day		25	60-d	lay	15	30-Day (Compliar	nce C	Order	Antic	ipate	d	25	Rea	dines	s Ce	rtifica	tion		
Operations Testing Schedule	26 Weeks		5																								3	
Operations Tests			5	12	19			9				7	14	21	11	18	3 25			16	23	30			20	27	3	
Parallel Operations Tests											28-2				2-6				7-1 1					11-15	5			
Implementation Schedule	27 weeks		6																									9
Revised Release 4.0 Schedule	19 weeks		6																	-15								
Code Stabilization	5 weeks																			15					22			
Cutover	3 weeks																								22			9



Summer 2008 - Wind Integration

- Wind is composing a larger portion of the footprint
 - 96% increase in nameplate capacity from 2007
 - Indiana has 130 MW of wind generation online, with ~3,150 MW in the Midwest ISO interconnection queue through December 2009
- Intermittent nature provides no guarantee of wind capacity availability on peak

	20	05	20	06	20	07	2008			
	MW	% of NP	MW	% of NP	MW	% of NP	MW	% of NP		
Nameplate Capacity (NP)	871		1,032		1,462		2,890			
Nameplate less Intermittent Deration ⁴	174	20.0%	217	21.0%	307	21.0%	604	20.9%		
Designated Network Resources ⁴	92	10.6%	148	14.3%	147	10.1%	224	7.8%		
Actual Metered at Peak	103 ¹	11.8% ¹	686 ²	66.5% ²	24 ³	1.6% ³				

¹ Midwest ISO Peak Hour - August 3, 2005 16:00

² Midwest ISO Peak Hour - July 31, 2006 16:00

³ Midwest ISO Peak Hour - August 8, 2007 16:00

⁴ Due to the limited amount and irregular distribution of data available, this methodology may not be used for future analyses or wind resource accreditation.



Wind Utilization





* - Capacity factor is calculated by dividing actual generation by the installed capacity

ERCOT & Midwest ISO Wind "Events"

ERCOT (2/26 1840 -2140)

- Drop of 1,400 MW of wind generation (from 1,700 MW to 300 MW) over 3hour period combined with evening ramp load increase and unexpected unit outages
- Drop in wind generation led to system constraints in moving generation from North Zone to load in West Zone
- ERCOT moved straight to 2nd stage of emergency procedures
- Loads Acting as Resource (LAAR) demand response program activated
 - Approximately 1,100 MW of resources received via LAAR program

<u>Midwest ISO (4/22 0540 - 0840)</u>

- Drop of 1,200 MW of wind generation (1,450 MW to 250 MW) over 3-hour period combined with morning ramp load increase of approximately 8,000 MW
- Drop in wind generation led to no significant system constraints
- No emergency procedures were initiated
- No demand response programs were activated
- Midwest ISO experienced swings in Net Scheduled Interchange (NSI) during the day of as much as 5,800 MW – this is common operational reality on the Midwest ISO system and operators are used to managing



Midwest ISO Day-Ahead vs. Real-Time (4/22)





Midwest ISO Real-Time Load – 4/22





Resource Adequacy Status

- Revised Module E filed December 28, 2007
- Approved by Commission March 26, 2008
- Approved tariff includes:
 - Reserve margin requirements
 - -Load forecast requirements
 - Qualification criteria for both supply and demand side resources
 - Compliance assessment



Resource Adequacy Status (2)

- FERC filing required by June 25, 2008 to address financial settlement of "deficient" LSEs
 - OMS and stakeholder working groups have developed proposals based on assessment of monthly charge to LSEs that fail to procure sufficient resources to meet reserve margin requirements
 - OMS has voted to support charge to load with inadequate resources based on administratively determined price (intended to reflect cost of new entry)
 - Stakeholder working groups expect to vote by end of May
 - Financial settlement expected to be implemented for 2009-2010 planning year



Midwest ISO Value Proposition



Qualitative Value Drivers

 Price Transparency

 Data / Informational
Transparency

 Planning Coordination

 Seams Management

 Seams Management

 Regulatory Compliance

 Wholesale Platform for
Demand Response

 Wholesale Platform for
Renewable Portfolio
Standards

(1) Figures shown reflect annual benefits and costs reflected in 2007 dollars.

Energizing the Heartland

Contingency Reserve Sharing Group





1 - Data is self-scheduled and as supplied by Balancing Authorities, causing the variation in volumes from the Contingency Reserve Requirements

2 - Reductions and savings calculated as the savings over the same month in 2006