## **Watershed Report**

## Highland-Pigeon (05140202)

							Land Use						
	Total (Ac.)	Crops (Ac.)	% of Total	Forest (Ac.)	% of Total	Water/Wetland (Ac.)	% of Total	Pasture/Hay (Ac.)	% of Total	Urban (Ac.)	% of Total	No Data (Ac.)	% of Total
Gibson	91,711	53,316	15.80	4,999	1.48	401	0.12	20,030	5.94	1,238	0.37	2,093	0.62
Pike	1,154	252	0.07	67	0.02	13	0.00	661	0.20	13	0.00	33	0.01
Posey	57,215	24,895	7.38	3,613	1.07	2,954	0.88	19,497	5.78	1,765	0.52	2	0.00
Vanderburgh	105,604	30,332	8.99	14,500	4.30	1,293	0.38	41,357	12.26	12,883	3.82	3,104	0.92
<u>Warrick</u>	81,693	20,180	5.98	14,703	4.36	2,346	0.70	36,832	10.92	2,963	0.88	2,512	0.74
Totals	337,377	128,975	38.23	37,883	11.23	7,007	2.08	118,377	35.09	18,862	5.59	7,745	2.30

1 and 11aa

Data Source = National Ag Statistics Service, 2006, http://www.nass.usda.gov/research/Cropland/SARS1a.htm

% Crop = Sum of the acres of corn, soybeans, wheat, other small grains, etc. divided by the total acres in the watershed.

% Pasture/Hay = Sum of the acres of pasture, hay, and idle land divided by the total acres in the watershed.

% Forest = Sum of the acres of forest land divided by the total acres in the watershed.

% Public = Sum of the acres of federal, state, and local government land divided by the

% Urban = Sum of the acres of residential and urban land divided by the total acres in the watershed.

% Water/Wetland = Sum of the acres of streams, lakes, ponds, etc. divided by the total acres in the watershed.

% Data Not Available = Sum of the acres of clouds on arial photographs divided by the total acres in the watershed.

(data are viewable on the corresponding watershed map)

	Pu	blic Lands		Cropland Types											
					Crop (Ac.)	% of Total	Corn (Ac.)	% of Total	Wheat (Ac.)	% of Total	Soybeans(Ac.)	% of Total	Other (Ac.)	% of Total	
	Public Lands (Ac.)	% of Total		Gibson	53,316	15.80	35.043	10.39	9.712	2.88	16,932	5.02	0	0.00	
Gibson	115	0.03		Pike	252	0.07	43	0.01	122	0.04	81	0.02	0	0.00	
<u>Pike</u>	0	0.00		Posey	24.895	7.38	11,659	3.46	4.277	1.27	11.267	3.34	197	0.06	
Posey	5,071	1.50		-	,				,		, -		197		
Vanderburgh	776	0.23		Vanderburgh	30,332	8.99	13,746	4.07	1,813	0.54	14,783	4.38	1	0.00	
Warrick	356	0.11		Warrick	20,180	5.98	8,686	2.57	2,135	0.63	9,286	2.75	0	0.00	
Totals	6,318	1.87		Totals	128,975	38.23	69,176	20.50	18,059	5.35	52,349	15.52	198	0.06	
Totals	0,010														
		Natural Resources (State-Managed		Data Source = N % Corn = Acres				ass.usda.gov/res	earch/Cropland/SA	RS1a.htm					
Hoosier Nation	al Forest - U.S. Forest Se	rvice, 2004 and Patoka River USFV	VS, 2003	% Com = Acres					a bla a succession and						

% **Beans** = Acres of soybeans + double-crop soybeans/wheat divided by the acres in the watershed. % **Wheat** = Acres of wheat divided by the acres in the watershed.

% Other Row Crop = Difference of the sum of the acres of corn, soybeans, and wheat minus total cropland acres in the watershed divided by the acres in the watershed. (data are viewable on the corresponding watershed map)

(data are viewable on the corresponding watershed map)

(Federal-Managed Lands)

total acres in the watershed.

	B	Beef and S	wine Proc	essing
	Beef Plants	Beef Animals	Swine Plants	Swine Animals
<u>Gibson</u>	0	0	0	0
<u>Pike</u>	0	0	0	0
Posey	0	0	0	0
Vanderburgh	0	0	0	0
<u>Warrick</u>	0	0	0	0
Totals	0	0	0	0
Vanderburgh Warrick	0 0 0 <b>0</b>	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 <b>0</b>	

Data Source = Indiana Board of Animal Health, 2006 (Slaughter Processing), http://www.in.gov/boah/food\_safety/inspection/meat\_poulty.html

					Co	nfined Liv	estock 20	06				
	CAFO/CFO*		ry Animals		eef Animals	S Farms	wine Animals	Po Farms	ultry Animals	Sheep Farms Anima		
Gibson	7	0	0	1	11	4	6,823	3	142,000	0	0	
Pike	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Posey	1	0	0	0	0	1	1,410	0	0	0	0	
<u>Vanderburgh</u>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
<u>Warrick</u>	1	1	210	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Totals	9	1	210	1	11	5	8,233	3	142,000	0	0	

\*Because a CAFO/CFO permit may include multiple types of animals, the total number of permits in the county might be less than the sum of the farms with each animal type. **Data Source** = Indiana Department of Environmental Management, Office of Land Quality, 2007, <u>http://www.state.in.us/idem/agriculture/livestock/cfo/index.html</u>

(data is viewable on the corresponding watershed map) Confined Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) = (U. S. Environmental Protection Agency definition) Operations with at least one of the following: 200 dairy cows; 300 veal calves; 300 bef cattle; 750 wine 55 pounds or more; 3000 wine under 55 pounds; 150 hores; 3000 sheep or lambs; 16,500 Urrkeys; 9000 chickens (liquid manure); 25,000 chickens laying hens (not liquid manure); 37,500 chickens - not laying hens (not liquid manure); 1,500 ducks (liquid manure); or 10,000 ducks (not liquid manure). Confined Feeding Operation (CFO) = (Indiana Department of Environmental Management definition) = Operations with at least one of the following: 300 cattle; 600 swine or sheep; or 30,000 poultry.

rburgh 0 0							
	•		Impaired Streams (Mi.)	Impaired Lakes (Ac.)	Wellhead Protection (Ac.)	Karst (Ac.)	% Karst
-	-	Gibson	1.61	0	1,205	0	0.00
1	-	Pike	0.00	0	0	0	0.00
0		Posey	0.19	0	649	0	0.00
-		Vanderburgh	9.93	0	0	0	0.00
ı 1		Warrick	4.01	0	0	0	0.00
		Totals	15.74	0	1,854	0	0.00
ofuels	ent of	http://www.stat	e.in.us/idem/program	s/water/303d/inde	ex.html (data is viewable	e on the corres	ponding watershed map)
i	1 0 0 <b>1</b> Departme iofuels	1 0 0 0 0 0 <b>1 0</b> Department of iofuels	Pike   1 0   0 0   0 0   1 0   Department of iofuels Data Source (II http://www.stat 303(d)-listed s	Pike 0.00   1 0 Posey 0.19   0 0 Vanderburgh 9.93   1 0 Warrick 4.01   Totals 15.74   Department of iofuels Data Source (Impaired Water Bodies http://www.state.in.us/idem/program 303(d)-listed streams = impaired w	Pike 0.00 0   0 0 Posey 0.19 0   0 0 Vanderburgh 9.93 0   1 0 Warrick 4.01 0   Department of iofuels Data Source (Impaired Water Bodies) = 2006 Indiana http://www.state.in.us/idem/programs/water/303d/ind 303(d)-listed streams = impaired waterbodies that http://www.state.in.us/idem/programs/water/303d/ind	Pike 0.00 0 0   1 0 Posey 0.19 0 649   0 0 Vanderburgh 9.93 0 0   1 0 Warrick 4.01 0 0   Department of lofuels Data Source (Impaired Water Bodies) = 2006 Indiana Department of Environn http://www.state.in.us/idem/programs/water/303d/index.html (data is viewable 303(d)-listed streams = impaired waterbodies that have been identified by II	Pike 0.00 0 0 0   0 0 Posey 0.19 0 649 0   0 0 Vanderburgh 9.93 0 0 0   1 0 Warrick 4.01 0 0 0   Department of iofuels Data Source (Impaired Water Bodies) = 2006 Indiana Department of Environmental Manage http://www.state.in.us/idem/programs/water/303d/index.html (data is viewable on the correst 303(d)-listed streams = impaired waterbodies that have been identified by IDEM as exceed

**Data Source** (Karst) = Karst Data, 2002, Indiana NRCS, data unpublished (data are viewable on the corresponding watershed map)

	Soils-Based Resource Concerns and Analyses																	
	Hydric Leaching Hydric Index >= (Ac.) % 10 (Ac.) n 21 254 6 20 42 120		%	Subsurface Drainage= % H/VH (Ac.) %		Soil Erosion (Wind) >500 (Ac.) %						off Class (Water) >37		Sheet/Rill Erosion Potential Between 1T & 2T (Ac.)	%	Sheet/Rill Erosion Potential >=2 (Ac.)	%	
Gibson	21,254	6.30	42,129	12.49	6	0.00	0	0.00	20,017	5.93	19,706	5.84	47,339	14.03	3,949	1.17	12,658	3.75
Pike	0	0.00	7	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	2	0.00	943	0.28	1,111	0.33	641	0.19	251	0.07
Posey	14,859	4.40	36,312	10.76	0	0.00	0	0.00	13,196	3.91	1,798	0.53	14,739	4.37	2,350	0.70	1,254	0.37
<u>Vanderburgh</u>	10,957	3.25	50,731	15.04	0	0.00	0	0.00	28,608	8.48	16,646	4.93	41,554	12.32	4,046	1.20	16,087	4.77
Warrick	11,655	3.45	25,496	7.56	0	0.00	0	0.00	12,965	3.84	34,347	10.18	53,270	15.79	2,164	0.64	31,262	9.27
Totals	58,725	17.41	154,675	45.85	6	0.00	0	0.00	74,788	22.17	73,440	21.77	158,013	46.84	13,150	3.90	61,512	18.23

Data Source (Hydric Soils) = NRCS Soil Data Mart (2007) - http://soildatamart.nrcs.usda.gov/. A soil mapunit was considered hydric if a majority of its component soils is hydric.

Data Source (Sheet/Rill Erosion Potential) = NRCS Soil Data Mart, 2007, http://soildatamart.nrcs.usda.gov/ and the Revised Universal Soil Loss Equation, Version 2 (RUSLE2). Erosion potential is based on the RUSLE2 calculation for the soil with a "C" Factor equal to that of a typical cropland management system used in Indiana (no-till soybeans, followed by chisel-plowed corn with an injected anhydrous application). Soils (if used to produce annual crops) under this management system between 1 and 2 times of tolerable limits are eroding above sustainable levels; soils (if used to produce annual crops) under this management system stat leave nore residue on the surface, those with less soil disturbance, crop rotations with higher-residue crops, etc. will decrease soil erosion compared to those under the typical cropland system. Management systems that leave less residue, disturb the soil more, and those with crop rotations with lower-residue crops may increase soil erosion above the typical cropland system.

Data Source (Leach Index, Wind Erosion, Water Erosion, Flood Potential, and Surface and Subsurface Drainage) = NRCS Soil Data Mart, 2007, <a href="http://soildatamart.nrcs.usda.gov/">http://soildatamart.nrcs.usda.gov/</a> and the NRCS Indiana Offsite Risk Index (ORI) (Section II of the Indiana Field Office Technical Guide (FOTG)). <a href="http://efotg.nrcs.usda.gov/">http://efotg.nrcs.usda.gov/</a> and the NRCS Indiana Offsite Risk Index (ORI) (Section II of the Indiana Field Office Technical Guide (FOTG)). <a href="http://efotg.nrcs.usda.gov/">http://efotg.nrcs.usda.gov/</a> for the Indiana Office Technical Guide (FOTG)). <a href="http://efotg.nrcs.usda.gov/">http://efotg.nrcs.usda.gov/</a> for the Indiana Office Technical Guide (FOTG)">http://efotg.nrcs.usda.gov/</a> for the Indiana Office Technical Guide (FOTG)). <a href="http://efotg.nrcs.usda.gov/">http://efotg.nrcs.usda.gov/</a> for the Indiana Office Technical Guide (FOTG)">http://efotg.nrcs.usda.gov/</a> for the Indiana Office Technical Guide (FOTG). <a href="http://efotg.nrcs.usda.gov/">http://efotg.nrcs.usda.gov/</a> for the Indiana Office Technical Guide (FOTG)">http://efotg.nrcs.usda.gov/</a> for the Indiana Office Technical Guide (FOTG). <a href="http://efotg.nrcs.usda.gov/">http://efotg.nrcs.usda.gov/</a> for the Indiana Office Technical Guide (FOTG)</a>. <a href="http://efotg.nrcs.usda.gov/">http://efotg.nrcs.usda.gov/</a> for the Indiana Office Technical Guide (FOTG)</a>. <a href="http://efotg.nrcs.usda.gov/">http://efotg.nrcs.usda.gov/</a> for the Indiana Office Technical Guide (FOTG)</a>. <a href="http://efotg.nrcs.usda.gov/">http://efotg.nrcs.usda.gov/</a> for the Indiana Office Technical Guide (FOTG)</a>. <a href="http://efotg.nrcs.usda.gov/">http://efotg.nrcs.usda.gov/</a> for the Indiana Office Technical Guide (FOTG)</a>. <a href="http://efotg.nrcs.usda.gov/">http://efotg.nrcs.usda.gov/</a> for the Indiana Office Technical Guide (FOTG)</a>. <a href="http://efotg.nrcs.usda.gov/">http://efotg.nrcs.usda.gov/</a> for the Indiana Office Techni

Hydric soils = Characterized by, relating to, or requiring an abundance of water. Hydric soils may be indicators of wetlands, which represent unique management considerations including groundwater impacts, crop production limitations, wildlife considerations, etc. A soil mapunit was considered hydric if a majority of its component soils is hydric.

Leach Index = soils with a relatively high risk of water percolating below the crop root zone; developed using annual precipitation, rainfall distribution data and hydrologic soil groups.

Subsurface Drainage = soils with a relatively high risk of having subsurface drainage; determined from a matrix based on soil drainage class and depth to seasonal high water, and the presence of artificial subsurface drainage and surface tile inlets. Soil Erosion (Wind) = soils with a relatively high risk of eroding by wind; determined from a location's C (Climate) Factor and a soil's Soil Erodibility Index (I).

Flooding Potential = soils with a relatively frequent risk of being covered by flowing water from any source; determined from the NRCS soil survey.

Surface Runoff Class = soils with a relatively high risk of soil solution movement from the surface of a management unit; determined using soil permeability and percent slope.

Soil Erosion (Water) = soils with a relatively high risk of eroding by water; determined from a location's R (Rainfall-Runoff Erosivity) Factor, and a soil's K (Soil Erodibility) and LS (Length-Slope) factors.

(All data are viewable on the corresponding watershed map)

	Water Resources														
	Standing Water (Ac.)	Streams (Mi.)	1st Order (Mi.)	2nd Order (Mi.)	3rd Order (Mi.)	4th Order (Mi.)	5th Order (Mi.)	6th+ Order (Mi.)	Stream Order Unavailable (Mi.)						
<u>Gibson</u>	179	134.15	78.84	31.07	11.41	0.37	0.00	0.00	12.47						
<u>Pike</u>	11	0.53	0.53	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00						
Posey	2,983	46.64	33.96	6.92	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.19	5.58						
Vanderburgh	277	109.58	72.35	19.00	3.57	14.66	0.00	0.00	0.00						
<u>Warrick</u>	1,676	102.01	64.76	14.79	2.60	17.48	0.00	0.00	2.39						
Totals	5,127	392.92	250.43	71.77	17.58	32.51	0.00	0.19	20.44						

Data Source = National Hydrography Data - U.S. Geological Survey, 2006, http://www.horizon-systems.com/nhdplus/

Stream Order = A hierarchal stream classification system. The confluence of two first order streams forms a second order stream; the confluence of two second order streams forms a third order stream; etc. Generally, larger order streams (such as the Ohio or Mississippi Rivers) have more volume, depth and channel width. They also are located in the lower reaches of watersheds. First order streams (unforked or unbranched streams) are in the upper reaches of watersheds. (data are viewable on the corresponding watershed map)

Air Reso	ource Concern Areas
	% of
	Watershed
Gibson	0.00
Pike	0.00
Posey	0.00
Vanderburgh	31.16
Warrick	24.16
Totals	55.33
Data Source = Envi	ronmental Protection Agency 2006

**Data Source** = Environmental Protection Agency, 2006, data no longer published. (data are viewable on the corresponding watershed map)

## **Unique Habitat Areas**

Ac. Within Range of Known T & E Species	% of Watershed Within Range of Known T & E Species	Natural Communities (Ac.)	Permanent Easement (Ac.)	% of Watershed in Permanent Easement
64,866.84	19.23	1,073.00	148.00	0.04

Data Source (Threatened & Endangered (T & E) Species and Natural Communities) = Indiana Department of Natural Resources, Division of Nature Preserves; Analysis by NRCS, 2007, data source is not public. Habitat ranges indicate the likely life-history range surrounding known locations of threatened & endangered species (state and federal listed) that have the potential to be used by the species (ranges for plants = point - 0 miles; amphibians/reptiles/insects/aquatic species = 1/4 - 1/2 mile; mammals/birds = 1 mile).

**Data Source** (Natural Communities) = Areas identified and classified by the IDNR as unique/rare (data include the Natural Community acreage + ¼ mile buffer), data not published.

Data Source (Permanent Easements) = Indiana NRCS (Wetlands Reserve Program), 2008 data not published

	Farm Census Data														
	Farms	Farms <10 Ac.	Farms <50 Ac.	Farms <180 Ac.	Farms <500 Ac.	Farms <1000 Ac.	Farms >1000 Ac.	Minority Farmers	Full Time Farmers	Part Time Farmers					
<u>Gibson</u>	162	12	40	42	32	18	19	7	29	66					
<u>Pike</u>	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1					
Posey	84	7	18	24	11	9	15	0	11	34					
Vanderburgh	211	23	70	44	38	20	15	6	27	85					
<u>Warrick</u>	131	17	43	36	17	10	8	0	25	54					
Totals	589	59	171	146	98	57	57	13	92	240					

Data Source = National Ag Statistics Service 2002 Census of Agriculture (http://www.nass.usda.gov/census/2/volume1/in/index2.htm). Estimates for each watershed were derived from county values based on the percentage of each county in the watershed.

	NRCS Practices															
Year:	Vegetative Agronomic Practices (Ac.)	No Till (Ac.)	Mulch Till (Ac.)	Upland Buffers (Ft.)	Aquatic Buffers (Ac.)	Grazing Practices (Ac.)	Nutrient Mgt. (Ac.)	Pest Mgt. (Ac.)	Irrigation (Ac.)	CNMPs (#)	Gully Control Grassed Waterway (Ac.)	Gully Control Other (#)	Wildlife Habitat (Ac.)	Forestry Practices (Ac.)	Confined Livestock Waste Storage (#)	Wetland Practices (Ac.)
2007	294	4,352	3,590	4,004	150	8	8,083	7,232	0	0	9	48	338	82	0	0
2006	79	991	278	1,998	60	0	3,129	3,288	Ō	Ō	4	23	97	32	0	156
2005	0	452	635	5,806	8	0	632	639	0	22	2	3	198	62	0	0
2004	63	936	1,303	0	36	5	1,239	0	0	n/a	15	31	8	0	0	0
2003	n/a	640	954	0	37	0	2,161	2,527	0	0	n/a	n/a	384	0	0	0
2002	n/a	1,963	1,617	0	86	0	2,845	2,642	0	0	n/a	n/a	620	16	1	0
Totals (2002-2007):	436	9,334	8,377	11,808	377	13	18,089	16,328	0	22	30	89	1,645	192	1	156

Data Source = NRCS Performance Results System Reports, 2007, <u>http://ias.sc.egov.usda.gov/prshome/index.aspx</u>. Vegetative Agronomic Practices = Acres of Conservation Cover (327) + 342 (Critical Area Planting) + 340 (Cover Crops) practices installed in the given fiscal year. No-Till = Acres of Residue & Tillage Management, No-Till/Strip Till/Direct Seed (329) + Residue Management, No-Till/Strip Till (329A) practices installed in the given fiscal year.

Mulch-Till = Acres of Residue & Tillage Management, Mulch Till (345) + Residue Management, Mulch Till (329B) practices installed in the given fiscal year.

Upland Buffers = Feet of Field Border (386) + Windbreak/Shelterbelt Establishment (380) + Hedgerow Planting (422) + Windbreak/Shelterbelt Renovation (650) practices installed in the given fiscal year.

Aquatic Buffers = Acres of Filter Strips (393) + Riparian Forest Buffers (391) practices installed in the given fiscal year.

Grazing Practices = Acres of Prescribed Grazing (528 and 528A) + Pasture and Hayland Planting (512) practices installed in the given fiscal year. Nutrient Mgmt = Acres of Nutrient Management (590) + Waste Utilization (633) practices installed in the given fiscal year.

Pest Mgmt = Acres of Pest Management (595) practices installed in the given fiscal year.

Irrigation = Acres of Irrigation System, Microirrigation (441) + Irrigation System, Sprinkler (442) + Irrigation System, System, Surface and Subsurface (443) + Irrigation Water Management (449) practices installed in the given fiscal year.

**CNMPs** = Number of Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plans written in the given fiscal year. Gully Control - grassed waterways = Acres of Grassed Waterway (412) practices installed in the given fiscal year.

Gully Control - other = Acres of Grade Stabilization Structure (410) + Water and Sediment Control Basin (638) practices installed in the given fiscal year.

Wildlife habitat = Acres of Upland Wildlife Habitat Management (645) + Wetland Wildlife Habitat Management (644) + Restoration and Management of Rare and Declining Habitats (653) + Early Successional Habitat Development/Management (647) practices installed in the given fiscal year.

Forestry Practices = Acres of Tree/Shrub Establishment (612) + Forest Stand Improvement (666) practices installed in the given fiscal year.

Confined Livestock Waste Storage Facilities = Number of Waste Storage Facility (313) + Compositing Facility (317) + Waste Treatment Lagoon (359) practices installed in the given fiscal year.

Wetland Practices = Acres of Wetland Restoration (657) + Wetland Creation (658) + Wetland Enhancement (659) practices installed in the given fiscal year.