CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION LEVEL 1 FORM

Date

ESM/ES Initials

X Initial Version		Additional Information to CE Level 1 Dated:	S
			18 B
		x	•
Purpose of this document:		t v v	
X CE Level 1 documentation exempted projects	on for	State-funded categorical exemption documentation	4
Approval CE Level 1 or State-	Funded CE:		
		Environmental Scoping Manager or Environmental Policy Manager	Date
Release for Public Involvemen	t ·	Certification of Public Involvement	
KMN:	8/7/2019		

Office of Public Involvement

Date: August 6, 2019

Date

PROJECT INFORM	IATION		
County, Route	Whitley County, US 30	Des Number	1600515
Purpose and Need:	Need: The need of this project is evidenced by the conservices Study Support Data Report dated March 11 years of 2004 and 2014, there were 17 recorded right crashes at this intersection. The crash rate (crashes/nessentially the same before (0.26 crashes/MEV) and the "School 40 mph when Flashing."	, 2015 (Appendix H-5 to F t angle crashes out of a tota nillion entering vehicles (M	I-6). Between the al 28 recorded ŒV)) remained
	Purpose: The purpose of this project is to improve sa Road (CR) 500 E by reducing the most severe type of	afety along US 30 at the int of crash; right angle crashes	ersection of County
Project .	Location:	,	•
Description:	This intersection improvement project is located at the (CR) 500 E, which is located 5.01 miles east of State 116+90 to RP 117+28) in Union Township, Whitley approximate project length is 0.31 mile.	Route (SR) 205 (Reference	ce Post (RP)
	Existing Conditions: US 30 is a rural principal arterial roadway that is div direction) with an 11' paved outside shoulder and 4' left turns present on both eastbound and westbound (one 12' lane in each direction) with no inside or out traditional intersection. See Appendix B-16 to B-21:	paved inside shoulder. The of US 30. CR 500 E is a tw side shoulder. The existing	ere are right and o-lane roadway intersection is a
ē	Preferred Alternative: The proposed scope of work is to improve the curren intersection with an extension of existing left turn lar 500 E will be restricted to make a right turn only to give Vehicles wishing to make a left turn onto US 30 from then will need to make a U-turn at a constructed cross	nes (Appendix B-7 to B-9). 30 onto either eastbound or 11 need to ma	Vehicles on CR westbound US 30. ake a right turn and

Categorical Exclusion	Level 1 Form	Project:	Inte	rsection Imp	roveme	nt	I	Des No:	1600515
Other Alternatives Considered:	two (2) inlets intersection or 8) will also be installed from guardrail will (Appendix B-458+00 and or predominately and snowplow Maintenance of The MOT for completed first inconvenience no significant Delays may of This alternative crashes and the will be completed Traffic Signals This alternative any further bed	(Str. No. 100 f US 30 and 0 e replaced. St approximate be removed 8 to B-9). Din the north six due to the levable raised pof Traffic (M the project w t and then the to traveling delays are an ecur during compared to the project of the project of the project which is a single state of the project of the pr	and control and co	101; Append 0 E. Two (2 104 will no 1. 453+75 to placed from grading will m Sta. 467+ ming of the cent markers quire single lian work. Thists (includited and all inction but will early along feet and does not does not	ix B-7) addition be dist Sta. 457 approximate of the properties of	will be reponal inlets urbed (Aprile 1466 (Right Inlets of the south Inlets of the south Inlets of the south Inlets of the south Inlets of the sures with restrictions of buses and inner with project by real This project on future. However, urrants for	placed within (Str. No. 102 pendix B-8). Int side) (Appeal a. 466+50 to 5 in side from St (Appendix B Thermoplast Appendix B-13 the outside sh is will pose a to ind emergency if cease upon per completion educing the nu cet exhibits independix to b this alternative a traffic signal	the mediand 103; New guar and 103; New guar and 103; Sta. 471+ a. 454+56-16). This ic pavem as to B-15. coulder we emporary services project could and the ender of dependence is not could.	an west of the Appendix B-drail will be). Existing 25 (Left side) 0 to Sta. s grading is lent markings). ork to be 0; however, empletion. right angle at utility as it sful.
	no significant Delays may or This alternativ crashes and the will be comple Traffic Signals This alternativ	delays are an cour during of the perefore improsted in a single Alternative: e requires significant courses of the course of the	ticipa onstru ourpos oving le proj	ted and all in ction but wine and need of safety along lect and does	I cease I the pr US 30. not rel	iences wil with project oject by re This proje y on future However,	educing the nucct exhibits in the projects to be this alternative.	oroject construction of the successive is not constructed to the construction of the c	empletion. right angle t utility as it ful.
Project Termini:	Do Nothing Al This alternative occur. This alternative considered furt Approximately 500 E	e will not solernative does her.	not n	neet the purp	ose and	need for t	the project and	l will not	be
Funding Source(s):	X Federal	X State		Local	Othe	r Est	imated Cost	\$1,229	009
Project Sponsor:	Indiana Depart						ject Length	0.31 m	
Name and organization of ClinDOT ES/District Env. Reviewer Signature:		Ashley)		Manager	r II, INDOT-	Fort Wayne Dist	rict /2019	·
							į.		. 1
		SCOPE OF	THE	PROPOSE	D ACT	ION .			
Public Involvement*				· · .	. N	o:	Yes: X	Possib	ole:
existing	f Entry letters wright-of-way.				. •	•			ь.

Manual which requires the project sponsor to offer the public an opportunity to submit comment and/or request a public hearing. Therefore, a legal notice will appear in a local publication contingent upon the release of this document for public involvement. This document will be revised after the public

Comments:

involvement requirements are fulfilled.

1600515

SCOPE OF THE PROPOSED ACTION					
Right-of-way	y (permanent and temporary, in acres)	No: X	Yes:	Possible:	
Comments:	According to the Beacon GIS Website (https://beacon.schne existing right-of-way ranges from approximately 90 to 100 full US 30. This project will occur within existing right-of-way be required for this project. If the scope of work changes or if permanent or temporary results and the INDOT Discumediately.	feet both north No permanen ight-of-way b	n and south of at or temporary ecomes necess	the centerline of right-of-way will arry, the INDOT	
Disruption to service)	o public facilities/services (such as schools, emergency	No:	Yes: X	Possible:	
1 NO 1 1 Co. A. 1 1 Uppitute,					
Involvement	with existing bridge(s) (Include structure number(s)	No:	Yes: X	Possible:	
Comments:	One (1) 12" pipe (Str. No. 100 and 101) will be replaced alo Additionally, two (2) inlets (Str. No. 102 and 103) will be act is a culvert located within the project limits and carries Mow	djusted to grad	de (Appendix l	B-8). Str. No. 104.	

INVOLVEMENT WITH RESOURCES

Streams, Rivers, and Watercourses Impacted (linear feet)

Project:

No: X

Yes:

Possible:

Based on a desktop review, a site visit on June 21, 2019 by INDOT-Fort Wayne District, the aerial map of the project area (Appendix B-2), and the water resources map in the RFI report (Appendix E-8), there are two (2) stream segments located within the 0.5 mile search radius. The nearest stream, Mowery Ditch, passes through the project area. However, the culvert carrying Mowery Ditch is listed as "Do Not Disturb" on the plan sheets (Appendix B-8). Therefore, no impacts to Mowery Ditch are expected. Ditch regrading will occur on the south side from Sta. 454+50 to Sta. 458+00 and on the north side from Sta. 467+00 to Sta. 470+75 (Appendix B-16). The ditch from Sta. 454+50 to Sta. 458+00 consists of a steep slope and mowed grass. No Ordinary High Water Mark (OHWM) was observed during the site visit on June 21, 2019 by INDOT-Fort Wayne District. The ditch from Sta. 467+00 to Sta. 470+75 consists of existing riprap. Therefore, no impacts are expected.

Comments:

A Waters of the U.S. Determination / Wetland Delineation Report was approved on July 31, 2019 by the INDOT Ecology and Waterway Permitting Office. Please refer to Appendix F for the Waters of the U.S. Determination / Wetland Delineation Report. It was determined that no jurisdictional waterways are located where the ditch grading will occur. The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) makes all final determinations regarding jurisdiction.

Early Coordination:

Early coordination letters were sent to the Indiana Department of Natural Resources (IDNR) Division of Fish and Wildlife (DFW) and United States Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) on April 12, 2019 (Appendix C-1 to C-2). IDNR DFW responded on May 10, 2019 and provided standard recommendations to minimize impacts to waterways (Appendix C-14). USFWS responded on April 15, 2019 and indicated "because the proposed project will have minor impacts on natural resources, and no Federally endangered species are known to be present, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will not be providing a comment letter" (Appendix C-19). All applicable IDNR DFW recommendations are included in the Environmental Commitments section of this CE document.

Wetlands (acres)

No: X

Yes:

Possible: .

Based on a review of the National Wetlands Inventory (NWI) online mapper (https://www.fws.gov/wetlands/data/Mapper.html), the USGS topographic map (Appendix B-3), and the RFI report (Appendix E-3 and E-8) showed there are 29 wetlands located within the 0.5 mile search radius. The nearest wetland is located approximately 0.01 mile north of the project area. A site visit was conducted on June 21, 2019 by INDOT-Fort Wayne District and no wetlands were observed in the areas where ground disturbance will occur. These areas include the eastern and western medians as well as two sections of ditch grading as indicated in Appendix B-16. Therefore, no impacts are expected.

Comments:

A Waters of the U.S. Determination / Wetland Delineation Report was approved on July 31, 2019 by the INDOT Ecology and Waterway Permitting Office. Please refer to Appendix F for the Waters of the U.S. Determination / Wetland Delineation Report. It was determined that no wetlands are located where the ditch grading will occur. The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) makes all final determinations regarding jurisdiction

Early Coordination:

Early coordination letters were sent to the IDNR DFW and USFWS on April 12, 2019 (Appendix C-1 to C-2). IDNR DFW responded on May 10, 2019 and did not provide recommendations regarding wetlands (Appendix C-14). USFWS responded on April 15, 2019 and indicated "because the proposed project will have minor impacts on natural resources, and no Federally endangered species are known to be present, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will not be providing a comment letter" (Appendix C-19).

Project:

INVOLVEMENT WITH RESOURCES				
Disturbance	of Terrestrial Habitat (acres)	No:	Yes: X	Possible:
Comments:	Based on a desktop review, a site visit on June 21, 2019 by map of the project area (Appendix B-2), the project area conwell as a grassy ditch (Sta. 454+50 to Sta. 458+00) to be regditch regrading will occur from Sta. 467+00 to Sta. 470+75; No trees will be removed as part of this project. A Rule 5 pethan one (1) acre of soil disturbance related to the ditch regradite alternatives are not feasible due to the space needs of the prehas been minimized to stay within existing right-of-way.	sists of maintain graded on the so however, this d armit is anticipate ading and media	ned mowed grauth side. On the litch consists of the litch consists of the litch work. Avoid the moves and work. Avoid the litch work.	assy median as e north side, f existing riprap. ed due to greater lance
	Early Coordination: Early coordination letters were sent to the IDNR DFW and I C-2). IDNR DFW responded on May 10, 2019 and provided minimize impacts to terrestrial habitat (Appendix C-14). US indicated "because the proposed project will have minor impendangered species are known to be present, the U.S. Fish at comment letter" (Appendix C-19). All applicable IDNR DF Environmental Commitments section of this CE document.	d standard recor FWS responded pacts on natural nd Wildlife Serv	nmendations to l on April 15, 2 resources, and vice will not be	o avoid or 2019 and no Federally providing a
Karst Featu	res	No: X	Yes:	Possible:
Comments:	Based on a desktop review, a site visit on June 21, 2019 by I the project area (Appendix B-3), and the RFI report (Appendicated outside the designated karst region of Indiana as out Understanding (MOU). There are no karst features identifie coordination response, the Indiana Geological Survey (IGS) in the project area (Appendix C-15 to C-17). The IGS did in • Geological Hazards • Moderate liquefaction potential • Mineral Resources • Bedrock Resource: High Potential • Sand and Gravel Resource: Low Potentia • No documented active or abandoned mineral resour The features will not be affected because of the scope of working the store of the scope of working the store of the scope of working the project area (Appendix B-3), and the RFI report (Appendix B-4) and the RFI report	lix E-3 and E-8 lined in the Octo d within the product did not indicate dicate the follow), the proposed ober 13, 1993 N ject area. In the that karst feat wing features in	project is Memorandum of e early ures may exist their response:
Threatened	and Endangered Species	No: X	Yes:	Possible:
Comments:	Based on a desktop review and the RFI (Appendix E-4 to E-on March 18, 2019, the IDNR Whitley County Endangered, been checked and is included in Appendix E-10 to E-11. The federal and state identified ETR species located within the coordination response letter dated May 10, 2019 (Appendix Database has been checked and no plant or animal species liendangered, or rare have been reported to occur in the project. The project qualifies for the Range-wide Programmatic Infonorthern long-eared bat (NLEB), dated May 2016 (revised Failroad Administration (FRA), and USFWS. Project inform Information for Planning and Consultation (IPaC) portal, and (Appendix C-31 to C-36) and no additional species were found within range of the federally endangered Indiana bat (Myotis	Threatened and a highlighted spounty. According C-14), the Naturated as state or activities. The consultation of the consultation was submed an official speared within the point of the consultation was submed an official speared within the point within the point of the consultation was submed an official speared within the point within the point was submed and within the point within the point was submedian of the consultation was submedian of the consultation was submedian within the point was submedian was submedian within the point was submedian was submedian within the point was submedian was submedian was submedian was submedian was submedian within the point was submedian was submedia	Rare (ETR) S ecies on the lis g to the IDNR ral Heritage Pr federally threat fon for the Indi between FHW nitted through to cies list was ge roject area. Wh	pecies List has t reflect the -DFW early ogram's ened, ana bat and A, Federal the USFWS's enerated nitley County is

long-eared bat (NLEB) (Myotis septentrionalis). In addition, an effect determination key was completed on May 24, 2019, and based on the responses provided, the project was found to "May Affect - Not Likely to Adversely Affect (NLAA)" the Indiana bat and/or the NLEB (Appendix C-20 to C-30). INDOT verified the

Des No:

	INVOLVEMENT WITH RESO	URCES		24
	19			. 16
,	finding on May 24, 2019 and requested USFWS's review of USFWS within the 14-day review period; therefore, it was a Avoidance and Minimization Measures (AMMs) are include Commitments section of this document.	concluded they o	concur with the	e finding.
	This precludes the need for further consultation on this project Endangered Species Act, as amended. If new information or available, or if project plans are changed, USFWS will be consultation.	n endangered sp	ecies at the site	of the e becomes
Drinking W	ater Resources	No: X	Yes:	Possible:
Comments:	Sole Source Aquifer: The project is located in Whitley County, which is not locat Source Aquifer, the only legally designated sole source aquifer HWA/EPA Sole Source Aquifer Memorandum of Underst No impacts are expected. Wellhead Protection Area: The Indiana Department of Environmental Management's W (http://www.in.gov/idem/cleanwater/pages/wellhead/) was a Wayne District. This project is not located within a Wellhead Water Wells: The Indiana Department of Natural Resources Water Well R (https://www.in.gov/dnr/water/3595.htm) was accessed on N No wells are located near this project. Therefore, no impacts Urban Area Boundary: Based on a desktop review of the INDOT MS4 website (http://wayne District on May 24, 2019, and the RFI report; the Boundary location. No impacts are expected. Public Water System: Based on a desktop review, a site visit on June 21, 2019 by I map of the project area (Appendix B-2), this project is not loimpacts. Therefore, no impacts are expected.	Vellhead Proximaccessed on May decord Database May 24, 2019 by a are expected. Des://entapps.indois project is not	of Indiana. The is not applicable is not applicable in the impacts of the impacts of the impacts of the impacts of the impact of	tor website NDOT-Fort are expected. Wayne District. by INDOT- Urban Area
Flood Plains	(note transverse or longitudinal impact)	No: X	Yes:	Possible:
Comments:	The Indiana Department of Natural Resources Indiana Flood (http://dnrmaps.dnr.in.gov/appsphp/fdms/) was accessed on This project is not located in a regulatory floodplain as deter (Appendix F-7). Therefore, it does not fall within the guidelic CFR 771, and 44 CFR. No impacts are expected.	May 24, 2019 b mined from app	y INDOT-Fort proved IDNR fl	: Wayne District. loodplain maps
Farmland (a		No: X	Yes:	Possible:
Comments:	Based on a desktop review, a site visit on June 21, 2019 by I the project area (Appendix B-2), there is no land that meets the Protection Policy Act (FPPA) within or adjacent to the project apply to this project; therefore, no impacts are expected.	the definition of	farmland unde	er the Farmland
Cultural Res		No: X	Yes:	Possible:
Comments:	On April 5, 2019, the INDOT Cultural Resource Office (CR guidelines of Categories A-2, A-3, and B-3 under the Minor D-1 to D-3).	O) determined t Projects Progra	hat this project mmatic Agreer	t falls within the ment, (Appendix

Intersection Improvement

Des No:

1600515

INVOLVEMENT WITH RESOURCES

A-2: All work within interchanges and within medians of divided highways in previously disturbed soils.

A-3: Replacement, repair, lining, or extension of culverts and other drainage structures that do not exhibit wood, stone, or brick structures or parts therein and are in previously disturbed soils.

B-3: Construction of added travel, turning, or auxiliary lanes (e.g. bicycle, truck climbing, acceleration and deceleration lanes) and shoulder widening under the following conditions: work occurs in previously disturbed soils and work does not occur adjacent or within a National Register-listed or National Register-eligible district or individual above-ground resource.

No further consultation is required. This completes the Section 106 process and the responsibilities of the FHWA under Section 106 have been fulfilled.

Section 4(f) and Section 6(f) Resources

No: X

Yes:

Possible:

Section 4(f) of the U.S. Department of Transportation Act of 1966 prohibits the use of certain public and historic lands for federally funded transportation facilities unless there is no feasible and prudent alternative. The law applies to significant publicly owned parks, recreation areas, wildlife / waterfowl refuges, and NRHP eligible or listed historic properties regardless of ownership. Lands subject to this law are considered Section 4(f) resources.

Based on a desktop review, a site visit on June 21, 2019 by INDOT-Fort Wayne District, the aerial map of the project area (Appendix B-2), and the RFI report (Appendix E-2), there are no Section 4(f) resources within or adjacent to the project area. Therefore, no impacts are expected.

Comments:

The U.S. Land and Water Conservation Fund Act of 1965 established the Land and Water Conservation Fund (LWCF), which was created to preserve, develop, and assure accessibility to outdoor recreation resources. Section 6(f) of this Act prohibits conversion of lands purchased with LWCF monies to a non-recreation use.

A review of 6(f) properties on the Land and Water Conservation Fund (LWCF) website at https://www.lwcfcoalition.com/tools revealed a total of seven (7) properties in Whitley County (Appendix H-9). None of these properties are located within or adjacent to the project area. Therefore, there will be no impacts to 6(f) resources as a result of this project.

Air Quality Impacts

No: X

Yes:

Possible:

This project is included in the Fiscal Year (FY) 2020-2024 Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) initial listing, approved July 2, 2019 (Appendix G-1) and the FY 2018-2021 STIP, approved July 3, 2017 (Appendix G-2). In addition, the project is included within the FY 2016-2019 STIP via Amendment 16-36, approved March 1, 2017 (Appendix G-3).

Comments:

This project is located in Whitley County, which is currently in attainment for all criteria pollutants according to the Indiana Department of Environmental Management (IDEM) (https://www.in.gov/idem/airquality/files/nonattainment_county_list.pdf). Therefore, the conformity procedures of 40 CFR Part 93 do not apply.

This project is of a type qualifying as a categorical exclusion (Group 1) under 23 CFR 771.117(c), or exempt under the Clean Air Act conformity rule under 40 CFR 93.126, and as such, a Mobile Source Air Toxics analysis is not required.

Community/Economic Impacts

No: X

Yes:

Possible:

Comments:

Indirect and Cumulative Impacts

Indirect impacts are effects which are caused by the action and are later in time or farther removed in distance, but are still reasonably foreseeable. Indirect effects may include growth inducing effects and

Des No:

1600515

INVOLVEMENT WITH RESOURCES

other effects related to induced changes in the pattern of land use, population density, or growth rate. Cumulative impacts affect the environment which result from the incremental impact of the action when added to other past, present, and reasonably foreseeable future actions regardless of what agency or person undertakes such actions.

This project is not expected to have any substantial community cohesion impacts. No community events should be substantially impacted by this project. The proposed action will not result in substantial indirect or cumulative impacts. This project will not add capacity to the roadway, nor is it intended to change the surrounding properties.

Environmental Justice (EJ)

Under FHWA Order 6640.23A, FHWA and INDOT, as a recipient of funding from FHWA, are responsible to ensure that their programs, policies, and activities do not have a disproportionately high and adverse effect on minority or low-income populations. This project will have no relocations and will not require any right-of-way; therefore, an EJ analysis is not required per the current INDOT Categorical Exclusion Manual.

Hazardous Materials

No: X

Yes:

Possible:

Comments:

Based on a review of GIS and available public records, a Red Flag Investigation (RFI) was completed on March 18, 2019 by INDOT-Fort Wayne District and approved on April 15, 2019 by INDOT-Site Assessment and Management (SAM) (Appendix E). One (1) Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) generator, one (1) Underground Storage Tank (UST), one (1) Leaking Underground Storage Tank (LUST), and one (1) National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Facility are located within 0.5 mile of the project area. However, no hazmat sites were identified in or within 0.5 mile of the project area that will impact the project. The nearest RCRA generator is located adjacent to the project area. The nearest UST site is located 0.13 mile south of the project area. The nearest LUST site is located 0.13 mile northwest of the project area. The nearest NPDES facility is located 0.49 mile northwest of the project area. No impacts are expected because of distance or a No Further Action determination by Indiana Department of Environmental Management (IDEM). Further investigation for hazardous material concerns is not required at this time.

Permits

No:

Yes: X

Possible:

A Rule 5 permit is anticipated to be required for this project due to soil disturbance exceeding one (1) acre. No other permits are anticipated. IDNR responded to the early coordination letter on May 10, 2019 and indicated that "formal approval by the Department of Natural Resources under the regulatory programs. administered by the Division of Water is not required for this project" (Appendix C-14).

Comments:

Applicable recommendations provided by IDNR are included in the Environmental Commitments section of this document. If permits are found to be necessary, the conditions of the permit will be requirements of the project and will supersede these recommendations.

It is the responsibility of the project sponsor to identify and obtain all required permits.

Des No:

1600515

ENVIRONMENTAL COMMITMENTS:

FIRM

1. If the scope of work changes or permanent or temporary right-of-way becomes necessary, the INDOT Environmental Services Division (ESD) and the INDOT District Environmental Section will be contacted immediately. (INDOT)

. It is the responsibility of the project sponsor to notify school corporations and emergency services at least two

weeks prior to any construction that would block or limit access. (INDOT)

3. If a spill occurs or contaminated soils or ground water are encountered during construction, appropriate personal protective equipment (PPE) will be utilized. Contaminated materials will need to be properly handled and disposed of in accordance with current regulations. IDEM should be notified through the spill line at (888) 233-7745 within 24 hours of discovery of contamination from an Underground Storage Tank system and within 2 hours of discovery of a spill. (INDOT-SAM)

4. If any archaeological artifacts or human remains are uncovered during construction, demolition, or earthmoving activities, construction in the immediate area of the find will be stopped, and the INDOT Cultural Resources Office and the Division of Historic Preservation and Archaeology will be notified immediately. (INDOT-CRO)

- 5. General AMM 1: Ensure all operators, employees, and contractors working in areas of known or presumed bat habitat are aware of all FHWA/FRA/FTA (Transportation Agencies) environmental commitments, including all applicable AMMs. (USFWS)
- 6. Lighting AMM 1: Direct temporary lighting away from suitable habitat during the active season. (USFWS)

FOR FURTHER CONSIDERATION

7. Revegetate all bare and disturbed areas with a mixture of grasses (excluding all varieties of tall fescue) and legumes as soon as possible upon completion; low endophyte tall fescue may be used in the ditch bottom and side slopes only. (IDNR DFW)

3. Appropriately designed measures for controlling erosion and sediment must be implemented to prevent sediment from entering the stream or leaving the construction site; maintain these measures until construction is complete

and all disturbed areas are stabilized. (IDNR DFW)

9. Consider widening the radii at County Road (CR) 500 E. (Whitley County Highway)

10. Consider extending the mill and fill to the right-of-way lines on CR 500 E. (Whitley County Highway)

11. Consider consulting with the Fort Wayne Bridge Inspector to assess the condition of the culvert east of the intersection. This is a legal county regulated drain, the Mowery J2 A, #460-000A. (Whitley County Highway)

12. Consider studying and making adjustments, if necessary, to the signal timing at both the CR 300 E and CR 600 E intersections with US 30. (Whitley County Highway)

1600515

Formal noise analysis required?	No: X	Yes:
Environmental Justice analysis required?	No: X	Yes:
Right-of-Way acquisition greater than 0.5 acre?	No: X	Yes:
Relocation of residences/businesses/etc.?	No: X	Yes:
Added through-traffic lanes?	No: X	Yes:
Facility on new location or realignment?	No: X	Yes:
Permanent alteration of local traffic pattern?	No: X	Yes:
Section 4(f) and Section 6(f) resource impacts?	No: X	Yes:
Sole Source Aquifer Groundwater Assessment required?	No: X	Y.es:
Is the project "Likely to Adversely Affect" Threatened and Endangered Species?	No: X	Yes:
Stream impacts greater than 300 linear feet, or work beyond 75 feet from pavement?	No: X	Yes:
Wetland impacts greater than 0.1 acre?	No: X	Yes:
Does the project have historic bridge involvement, or a Section 106 finding of No Adverse Effect / Adverse Effect?	No: X	Yes:

^{*} Please note, this table is not applicable for state funded CE's.