Road No./County: Designation Number: After completing this form, I conclude that this project qualifies for the following type of Categorical Exclusion, Level 2 - The proposed action meets the criteria for Categorical Exclusion Manu Level 2 - table 1, CE Level Thresholds. Required Signatories: ESM, ES, FHWA Categorical Exclusion, Level 3 - The proposed action meets the criteria for Categorical Exclusion Manu Level 4 - table 1, CE Level Thresholds. Required Signatories: ESM, ES, FHWA Note: For documents prepared by or for Environmental Services Division, it is not necessary for the district in which the project is located to release for Public Involvement Date FHWA Signature Date FHWA Signature Date FHWA Signature Date: 7/24/2018 Name and Organizzation of CE/EA Preparer: _Jessien Peterson, MS, Metric Environmental, LLCC Jessign 138 CSM Environmental LLCC Jessign Agmization of CE/EA Preparer: _Jessien Peterson, MS, Metric Environmentals, LLCC Jessignation of Tubble County LLCC Jessign Posterson, MS, Metric Environmental, LLCC Jessignation of CE/EA Preparer: _Jessien Peterson, MS, Metric Environmental, LLCC Jessignature	ityElkha	art Rou	te US 6 and	SR 13/CR 33	Des. No.	1383238		
Designation Number: 1383238	CATEGOR	RICAL EXC	LUSION / EN	VIRONMENT	TAL ASSESSM	ENT FORM		
This Intersection Improvement extends along US 6, from Reference Po (RP) 99+09 to RP 99+47, which is approximately 639 ft. west and 66 ft. east the center of SR 13, for a total of 1,304 ft., along SR 13/CR 3 approximately 527 ft. north and 629 ft. south of the centerline of US for a total of 1,156 ft. After completing this form, I conclude that this project qualifies for the following type of Categorical Exclusion (FHWA must review/approve if Level 4 CE): X	Road No./Coun	ty:						
RP) 99+09 to RP 99+47, which is approximately 639 ft. west and 66 Project Description/Termini:	Designation Nu	mber:	1383238					
Categorical Exclusion, Level 2 – The proposed action meets the criteria for Categorical Exclusion Manu Level 2 - table 1, CE Level Thresholds. Required Signatories: ESM (Environmental Scoping Manager) Categorical Exclusion, Level 3 – The proposed action meets the criteria for Categorical Exclusion Manu Level 3 - table 1, CE Level Thresholds. Required Signatories: ESM, ES (Environmental Services Division) Categorical Exclusion, Level 4 – The proposed action meets the criteria for Categorical Exclusion Manu Level 4 - table 1, CE Level Thresholds. Required Signatories: ESM, ES, FHWA Environmental Assessment (EA) – EAs require a separate FONSI. Additional research and documentation is necessary to determine the effects on the environment. Required Signatories: ES, FHWA Note: For documents prepared by or for Environmental Services Division, it is not necessary for the ESM of the district in which the project is located to release for public involvement or sign for approval. Approval ESM Signature Date ES Signature Date Release for Public Involvement Office of Public Involvement Office of Public Involvement Date Note: Do not approve until after Section 106 public involvement and all other environmental requirements have been satisfied. INDOT ES/District Env. Reviewer Signature: Tom. W. Langewin Date: 7/24/2018	After completing the	s form, I conclude	(RP) 99+09 to 1 ft. east the center approximately 5 for a total of 1,1	RP 99+47, which er of SR 13, for a 27 ft. north and 6 56 ft.	is approximately 63 total of 1,304 ft., al 29 ft. south of the 6	9 ft. west and 665 ong SR 13/CR 33 centerline of US 6		
Level 3 - table 1, CE Level Thresholds. Required Signatories: ESM, ES (Environmental Services Division) Categorical Exclusion, Level 4 – The proposed action meets the criteria for Categorical Exclusion Manu Level 4 - table 1, CE Level Thresholds. Required Signatories: ESM, ES, FHWA Environmental Assessment (EA) – EAs require a separate FONSI. Additional research and documentation is necessary to determine the effects on the environment. Required Signatories: ES, FHWA Note: For documents prepared by or for Environmental Services Division, it is not necessary for the ESM of the district in which the project is located to release for public involvement or sign for approval. Approval ESM Signature Date Release for Public Involvement Office of Public Involvement Office of Public Involvement Date Note: Do not approve until after Section 106 public involvement and all other environmental requirements have been satisfied. INDOT ES/District Env. Reviewer Signature: Tom W. Languar Date: 7/24/2018	X Categori	cal Exclusion, L						
Level 4 - table 1, CE Level Thresholds. Required Signatories: ESM, ES, FHWA Environmental Assessment (EA) – EAs require a separate FONSI. Additional research and documentation is necessary to determine the effects on the environment. Required Signatories: ES, FHWA Note: For documents prepared by or for Environmental Services Division, it is not necessary for the ESM of the district in which the project is located to release for public involvement or sign for approval. Approval ESM Signature Date FHWA Signature Date Release for Public Involvement FHWA Signature Date Certification of Public Involvement Office of Public Involvement Date Note: Do not approve until after Section 106 public involvement and all other environmental requirements have been satisfied. INDOT ES/District Env. Reviewer Signature: Toni W. Langewin Date: 7/24/2018								
is necessary to determine the effects on the environment. Required Signatories: ES, FHWA Note: For documents prepared by or for Environmental Services Division, it is not necessary for the ESM of the district in which the project is located to release for public involvement or sign for approval. Approval ESM Signature Date FHWA Signature Date Release for Public Involvement ESM Initials Date ES Initials Date Certification of Public Involvement Office of Public Involvement Date Note: Do not approve until after Section 106 public involvement and all other environmental requirements have been satisfied. INDOT ES/District Env. Reviewer Signature: Toni W. Langwin Date: 7/24/2018								
Approval								
ESM Signature Date FHWA Signature Date Release for Public Involvement ESM Initials Date ES Initials Date Certification of Public Involvement Office of Public Involvement Date Note: Do not approve until after Section 106 public involvement and all other environmental requirements have been satisfied. INDOT ES/District Env. Reviewer Signature: Toni W. Langevin Date: 7/24/2018				ision, it is not necessary f	or the ESM of the district in	which the project is		
FHWA Signature Date Release for Public Involvement ESM Initials Date ES Initials Date Certification of Public Involvement Office of Public Involvement Date Note: Do not approve until after Section 106 public involvement and all other environmental requirements have been satisfied. INDOT ES/District Env. Reviewer Signature: Toni N. Langavin Date: 7/24/2018		a s	k a r i s ha	2 8 M 2	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1) o .		
Release for Public Involvement ESM Initials Date Date ES Initials Date Certification of Public Involvement Office of Public Involvement Date Note: Do not approve until after Section 106 public involvement and all other environmental requirements have been satisfied. INDOT ES/District Env. Reviewer Signature: Toni W. Langwin Date: 7/24/2018	ESM	Signature	Date	ES Signature		Date		
Release for Public Involvement ESM Initials Date Date ES Initials Date Certification of Public Involvement Office of Public Involvement Date Note: Do not approve until after Section 106 public involvement and all other environmental requirements have been satisfied. INDOT ES/District Env. Reviewer Signature: Toni W. Langwin Date: 7/24/2018			WA Cianatura	Dec				
ESM Initials Date ES Initials Date Certification of Public Involvement Office of Public Involvement Date Note: Do not approve until after Section 106 public involvement and all other environmental requirements have been satisfied. INDOT ES/District Env. Reviewer Signature: Toni W. Langawin Date: 7/24/2018			WA Signature	Dai	le			
ESM Initials Date ES Initials Date Certification of Public Involvement Office of Public Involvement Date Note: Do not approve until after Section 106 public involvement and all other environmental requirements have been satisfied. INDOT ES/District Env. Reviewer Signature: Toni W. Langavin Date: 7/24/2018	Release for Publi	c Involvement		1	,			
Certification of Public Involvement Office of Public Involvement Date Note: Do not approve until after Section 106 public involvement and all other environmental requirements have been satisfied. INDOT ES/District Env. Reviewer Signature: Date: 7/24/2018				-	7/24/2	2018		
Note: Do not approve until after Section 106 public involvement and all other environmental requirements have been satisfied. INDOT ES/District Env. Reviewer Signature: Toni W. Langewin Date: 7/24/2018	ESM Initials	D	ate	ES Initials	Date			
INDOT ES/District Env. Reviewer Signature: Toni N. Langevin Date: 7/24/2018	Certification of P	ublic Involveme		Involvement	Date			
Reviewer Signature:/ ONL N. Langevin Date:	Note: Do not approv	e until after Section	n 106 public involvem	ent and all other enviro	onmental requirements ha	ave been satisfied.		
Name and Organization of CE/EA Preparer:		v. Tone	N. Lange	vin Dat	e: <u>7/24/2018</u>			
	Name and Organization	of CE/EA Preparer:	Jessica Peterson, MS	Metric Environmental, I	LC	_		

County	Elkhart	Route	US 6 and SR 13/CR 33	Des. No.	1383238
		Part I	- PUBLIC INVOLVEM	<u>IENT</u>	
			ic involvement, providing for early		
If N	es the project have a hi o, then: pportunity for a Public		cessed under the Historic Bridges		o X
	aring is required for all O, and the ACHP.	historic bridges	processed under the Historic Bridg	ges Programmatic Agre	eement between INDOT,
	Notice of Survey On June 26, 2017, n G-2). The purpose of area. No correspond Section 106 of the N INDOT, on behalf of (Section 106) and oth their undertakings or and other consulting was published in the Area of Potential Effe 41). No public common this project meets the which requires INDO Therefore, a legal n involvement. The envertices of Survey and the survey area of the survey area of Potential Effe 41).	otice of survey of the letter was ence referring to lational Histori FHWA, must oner state and feo historic proper parties (CPs) on Elkhart Truth onect (APE), eligible ents were received me minimum record to offer the protice will appearironmental document will be revisited.	notices, letters to affected properticles, etc.) have occurred for this positives, etc.) have occurred for this positives are mailed (via US Mail) to to provide information regarding to the letter was received from any occeptual properties and afford the Advisory Counceptual properties to comment on such upportunities to comment on such upportunities to comment on such upportunities to comment the "No Haved within 30 days in response to the quirements described in the INDO ublic an opportunity to submit comment in local media contingent upon ument must be released prior to the sed after the public involvement received.	to ten adjacent property the planned surveys we fit the property owners. onal Historic Preservateral agencies to consideral agencies to consideral on Historic Preservate the opportunity to combistoric Properties Affect the option of the notice. or Public Involvement ment and/or request an release of this document initiation of formal public in the plant in the notice.	owners (G-1 to ithin the project din Act (NHPA) er the effects of tion, the public, a legal notice ament about the cted" finding (D-Manual (2012), public hearing, ment for public blic involvement
This is page	2 of 24 Project nam	e:	Intersection Improvement	Date:	July 11, 2018

CountyElkh	art	Route _	US 6 and	SR 13/CR 33	Des. N	lo	1383238
Public Controversy on Will the project involve su	Environmenta ubstantial cont	al Grounds roversy cond	erning com	munity and/or natu	ıral resource imp	Yeacts?	s No X
However,	controversy h if public contr accordingly.	as occurred oversy shou	concerning uld arise du	g community and/ouring the public in	or natural resour volvement proce	ce impacts of ss, this docur	the project. nent will be
Part II - Genera	al Projec	<u>t Identif</u>	<u>ication</u>	<u>, Descriptio</u>	on, and De	sign Info	rmation
Sponsor of the Project: Local Name of the Facility		liana Departi 6 and SR 1		nsportation (INDO	T) INDO	Γ District: Fo	ort Wayne
Funding Source (mark all	I that apply):	Federal	X State	X Local	Other*		
*If other is selected, pleas	se identify the	funding sour	rce:				
PURPOSE AND NEED	D						10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 -
Describe the transportation in this section. (Refer to the	n problem that	the project v	will address	. The solution to the	e traffic problem	should NOT b	e discussed
The purpose of this interpublic.	rsection impro	vement proj	ect is to pro	ovide a safe and i	more efficient int	ersection for t	he traveling
The need for this project District (Engineer's Reportance involving 55 mot crashes were either rearright-of-way', or 'followin average yearly total crastrate was 0.38 percent.	ort) (2017), St tor vehicles, 10 -end (36 perce ng too closely'	rand Associa) injuries, an ent) or right-a as primarv	ates, Inc. (S d no fatalition angle (43 po factors. wl	Strand) reported the es occurred at the ercent) collisions a nich can be attrib	at, between 200 subject intersect nd list 'disregard uted to gueueing	9 and 2013, a ion (I-5 to I-6). ing signal', 'fa g at the inters	Most of 28 Most of the lilure to yield section. The
PROJECT DESCRIPT	TION (PREFE	RRED AL	TERNATI\	/E)	S 12 A 37		
County: Elkhart		M	lunicipality:	N/A	1 1 2		Maria Maria
Limits of Proposed Work:	ft., and alo	6, approxima ng SR 13/CF al of 1,156 ft.	R 33, approx	west and 665 ft. eaximately 527 ft. no	ast the center of arth and 629 ft. so	SR 13, for a to uth of the cen	tal of 1,304 erline of US
Total Work Length:	0.25 W to E 0.22 N to S	Mile(s)		Total Work Area	5.65	Acre(s)	
Is an Interchange Modific	cation Study / I //A grant a con-	nterchange ditional appr	Justification oval for this	Study (IMS/IJS) r project?	equired?	Yes ¹ Date:	No X
If an IMS or IJS is require approval of the IMS/IJS.	ed; a copy of th	ne approved	CE/EA doc	ument must be sul	omitted to the FH	WA with a req	uest for final
						n	Luli, 44 (2042)
This is page 3 of 24 P	roject name:	<u> </u>	Inters	section Improveme	ent	_ Date:	July 11, 2018

County	Elkhart	Route	US 6 and SR 13/CR 33	Des. No.	1383238
County	Likitait	Noute	03 0 and 31 13/01 33	Des. 140.	1303230

In the remarks box below, describe existing conditions, provide in detail the scope of work for the project, including the preferred alternative. Include a discussion of logical termini. Discuss any major issues for the project and how the project will improve safety or roadway deficiencies if these are issues.

This project is at the intersection of US 6 and SR 13/CR 33, approximately 1.03 mile north of Syracuse in Benton Township, Elkhart County, Indiana (B-1). Specifically, the project is on the border of Sections 30 and 31 in Township 35 North, Range 7 East in the Milford, Indiana United States Geological Survey (USGS) 7.5-minute topographic quadrangle (B-1).

The original portion of US 6, which provided one 10-ft, wide lane in each direction, was constructed in 1931 and was resurfaced in 1951. In 1961, the lanes were widened by 2 ft., auxiliary lanes were added, and a functional overlay was completed. In 1999 and 2010, milling and overlay of the asphalt was completed. The intersection of US 6 and SR 13/CR 33 is currently all-way stop-controlled with an overhead flashing signal, which was installed in 2005. Within the project area, US 6 is classified as a Rural Minor Arterial. A typical cross-section of US 6 consists of one 12-ft. wide through-lane, one 11-ft, wide right-turn lane, and a 4-ft, wide paved shoulder adjoined by a 2-ft, wide compacted aggregate shoulder in each direction. SR 13 is classified as a Rural Minor Arterial and is the south leg of the intersection. CR 33 is classified as a Rural Major Collector and is the north leg of the intersection. SR 13/CR 33 will be referred to as SR 13 in the remainder of this document. The typical section of SR 13 consists of one 12-ft. wide travel lane and a 2-ft. wide compacted aggregate shoulder in each direction. No curbs or sidewalks are present within the project limits. The posted speed limit on both roadways is 55 miles per hour. Land use in the area consists of agricultural, residential, and a commercial storage facility. Delineated wetlands are present in the northwest and southwest quadrants of the project area, as described in the Wetlands subsection of this document. Roadside ditches (RSDs) are present in all four quadrants of the project area. RSD-1 is in the southeast quadrant, RSD-2 is in the northeast quadrant, RSD-3 is in the northwest quadrant, and RSD-4 is in the southwest quadrant (F-14). Additional details are provided in the Other Surface Waters subsection of this document. Utilities within and adjacent to the project area include sanitary and storm sewers, underground gas lines, underground water lines, and aerial electrical, cable, and telephone lines.

The preferred alternative is to reconfigure the intersection into a roundabout, replace or update storm water structures, and modify existing ditches (B-39 to B-77). The roundabout lanes will be constructed of full depth hot mix asphalt pavement with a median constructed of Portland cement concrete paving. The roundabout will have a 174-ft. inscribed circle diameter. The roundabout will have a 20-ft. wide circulating lane and an 18-ft. wide truck apron, adjoined by 2.58 to 2.6-ft. wide combined concrete curb-and-gutter on each side, which will be adjoined by 6-ft. to 8-ft. wide outside shoulders. Roundabout entry and exit lanes will be 23.3-ft. to 23.6-ft. wide. High-speed transitions and splitter islands will be provided. The splitter islands will likely be concrete. To avoid wetlands, the center of the roundabout will be offset slightly northeast of the existing center of the intersection. Pavement markings and signage will be added. Excavation for this project will be approximately 10 ft. deep along the east leg and 6 to 7 ft. in other areas.

Four corrugated metal pipes (CMP) will be installed, as follows:

- At Sta. 25+50.00, an existing 24 in. diameter CMP will be plugged. At Sta. 24+51.00, a new structure will be installed utilizing horizontal directional drilling. The pipe will be a 106-ft. long by 30-in. diameter of Type 1 pipe with two end sections, backfilled with 115.1 cubic yards (cys.) of Type 2 structure backfill, and 10 tons of revertment riprap over 19 square yards (sys.) of geotextile at the outlet (B-52, B-59, and B-78).
- At Sta. 65+10.00, an existing 15 in. diameter CMP will be removed. The replacement structure, to be installed at Sta. 64+81.00, will be a 115-ft. long by 18 in. diameter Type 1 pipe with two end sections, backfilled with 60.9 cubic yards of Type 2 structure backfill, and with 4 tons of revetment riprap on 9 sys. of geotextile at the outlet (B-54, B-61, and B-78).
- At Sta. 67+40.00, an existing 18 in. diameter CMP will be removed (B-54). The replacement structure, to be installed at Sta. 68+80.00, will be a 128-ft. long by 24-in. diameter of Type 1 pipe with two end sections, backfilled with 58.6 cubic yards of Type 2 structure backfill, and 7 tons of revetment riprap over 13 sys. of geotextile at the outlet (B-55, B-62, and B-78).
- The existing 12 in. diameter CMP under a driveway at Sta. 71+02 will be removed and replaced with a 23-ft. long by 15 in. diameter Type 3 pipe with two safety metal end sections, backfilled with 6.3 cys. of Type 2 structure backfill, without any scour protection required (B-55, B-62, and B-78).

Regrading and filling of existing roadside ditches will occur, as follows:

- Approximately 345 ft. of RSD-1 will be regraded parallel to the south side of US 6, east of SR 13 (B-53).
 Approximately 99 sys. of sodding will be seeded within the ditch. The remaining 476 ft. of RSD-1 will be filled in due to a wider pavement footprint.
- Approximately 400 ft. of RSD-2 will be regraded parallel to the north side of US 6, east of SR 13 (B-53).
 Approximately 81 sys. of sodding will be seeded within the ditch. Approximately 290 ft. of RSD-2 will be regraded

This is page 4 of 24	Project name:	Intersection Improvement	Date:	July 11, 2018

County	Elkhart	Route	US 6 and SR 13/CR 33	Des. No.	1383238
Multiple Existing height tra 24 lumina	over 56 sys. of Type be filled in due to a way Approximately 380 ft Approximately 45 to sodding within the dramaining 25 ft. of Research Approximately 400-f Approximately 125 the sodding within the dramaining along the way ansition and end treat aires will be required struction limits for this	1A geotextile with vider pavement foot, of RSD-3 will be a ns of revetment rilitch. Approximatel SD-3 not be impact, of RSD-4 will be ons of revetment atch. The remaining manholes and inletest leg of the interstant will be install through the project alternative will ex	regraded parallel to the west side of prap will be placed over 92 sys. or y 350 ft. of RSD-3 will be filled in ted. The regraded parallel to the west striprap will be placed over 333 sys. 429 ft. of RSD-4 will be filled in due to the west striprap will be placed over 333 sys. 429 ft. of RSD-4 will be filled in due to the west stripped will be modified or replaced. To section will be removed. Approximated along the south side of the west area. Applicable signage and road tend along US 6, approximately 635	ch. The remaining 180 If SR 13, north of US 6 If Type 1A geotextile to accommodate the side of SR 13, south of Type 1A geotextile to the wider pavement hree driveways will be tely 75 ft. of Midwest 0 t leg of the project are pavement markings w It west and 665 ft. 6	oft. of RSD-2 will (B-54 and B-55). with 148 sys. of wider road. The of US 6 (B-54). e with 20 sys. of nt footprint. e reconstructed. Guardrail System a. Approximately vill be added. east the center of
a total of	1,156 ft.		approximately 527 ft. north and 629		
Based or a rounda	n analysis of crash mabout is expected to re	odification factors, educe crashes at th	provided in the Engineer's Report ne location by 74 percent more than	completed by Strand signalization (I-10).	in January 2017,
				* d* = ** ,,	
	ALTERNATIVES		Do-Nothing Alternative and an expla		
design spis expect would occord and a deduring the existing is was discord. The Do Not the two literal to the control of the	peeds of the roads. The ted to result in greate cur if this alternative would alternative would esign year (2040) levele AM and PM peak harded from further contents.	This alternative worker crash reductions was selected. There is the existing el of service (LOS nours (I-7 to I-8). Nornative would not insideration.	s alternative would require extensuld improve the safety of the interses. However, additional right-of-way refore, this alternative was discarded intersection configuration, resulting of "C", which indicates stable flow additional cost would be incurred meet the stated purpose and need udent, or practicable because (Most,	ection, although the property, more time, and more different from further consider gin continued above-awand is the minimum by maintaining the confithe project. Therefore	referred alternative e wetland impacts ration. average crash rate acceptable LOS, ontinued use of the
It would r It would r It would r Other (De	not correct the existin not correct existing de result in serious impa- escribe)	g roadway geomet eteriorated conditio cts to the motoring	ric deficiencies; ns and maintenance problems; or public and general welfare of the e	conomy.	
ROADW	VAY CHARACTER		1 11		
Current A Design H	al Classification: ADT: lour Volume (DHV): d Speed (mph):		ral Minor Arterial VPD (2016) Design Year AE ruck Percentage (%) 31.97 egal Speed (mph): 55	DT: 4,050	VPD (2040)
This is p	age 5 of 24 Projec	t name:	Intersection Improvement	Date:	July 11, 2018

County	Elkhart	Route	US 6 and SF	R 13/CR 33	Des. No.	1383238
		Existing	Р	Proposed		
Number of Lan	es:	2		1		
Type of Lanes:			through-lane and right-turn lane in	One 12-ft. wide through	ugh-lane in	
Pavement Width Shoulder Width Median Width: Sidewalk Width	n:	50 ft. 6 ft. N/A ft. N/A ft.		50 ft. 2 ft. N/A ft. N/A ft.	,	•
Setting: Topography:	E	Urban X Level	Suburban Rolling	X Rural Hilly		
Functional Clas Current ADT: Design Hour Vo Designed Spee	olume (DHV):	US 6 East – R 4830 502 VPH 55	ural Minor Arterial VPD (2016) Truck Percentage Legal Speed (mph		6550	VPD (2040)
		Existing	P	roposed		
Number of Lan	es:	2		1		
Type of Lanes:			through-lane, one -turn lane in each	One 12-ft. wide throu provided in each dire		
Pavement Widt	th:	50 ft.	123	50 ft.		2
Shoulder Width	1:	6 ft.		6-8 ft.		
Median Width:		N/A ft.		N/A ft.		
Sidewalk Width	1:	N/A ft.		IN/A II.		
Setting: Topography:		Urban X Level	Suburban Rolling	X Rural Hilly		
Functional Clas Current ADT: Design Hour Vo Designed Spee	olume (DHV):	SR 13 South – 5,530 493 VPH 55	Rural Minor Arteria VPD (2016) Truck Percentage Legal Speed (mph	_ Design Year ADT: (%)15.05%	5,770	VPD (2040)
		Existing	p	roposed		
Number of Lane	es:	2		1		
		One 11-ft. wide	thru-lane in each	One 12-ft. wide throu		
Type of Lanes:		direction		provided in each dire	ection.	
Pavement Widt		22 ft. 2 ft.		50 ft. 6-8 ft.		
Shoulder Width Median Width:		N/A ft.		N/A ft.		
Sidewalk Width	:	N/A ft.		N/A ft.		
Setting: Topography:		Urban [Suburban Rolling	X Rural Hilly		
Functional Clas Current ADT: Design Hour Vo Designed Spee	olume (DHV):	CR 33 North – 2,692 246 VPH 55	Rural Major Collector VPD (2016) Truck Percentage Legal Speed (mph	_ Design Year ADT: (%)5.70%	3,080	VPD (2040)

This is page 6 of 24 Project name:

Intersection Improvement Date: July 11, 2018

County	Elkhart	Route	US 6 and SR 13/CR 33	Des. No.	1383238
		Existing	Proposed		
Number of	Lanes:	2	1		
Type of La	nes:	One 11-ft. wide th		wide through-lane	
		direction		each direction.	
Pavement Shoulder V		22 ft. 2 ft.	50 ft 6-8 ft		
Median Wi		N/A ft.	N/A ft		
Sidewalk V		N/A ft.	N/A ft		
Old Old III.		1	, <u></u> , ,		
Setting:		Urban	Suburban X Rural		
Topograph	y:	X Level	Rolling Hilly		
		10	n _ 1 _ 1	a dig polici con	
DESIGN C	RITERIA FOR	BRIDGES	to an an	to es more, a	a fine en aple
Ot /N	IDI Nemala anda).	N/A C	efficiency Deting:	N/A	
Suructure/N	IBI Number(s):	N/A St	ufficiency Rating:(Rating	Source of Information)	
			(Naulig,	course of information)	
		Existing	Proposed	v (c 10) 1 177 1 1 1 1 1 1	a coc 7, ed 1
Bridge Typ	e:	N/A	N/A		
Number of		N/A	N/A		
Weight Res		N/A ton	N/A ton		
Height Res		N/A ft.	N/A ft. N/A ft.		
Curb to Cu	Outside Width:	N/A ft.	N/A ft.		
Shoulder V		N/A ft.	N/A ft.		
	Channel Work:		N/A ft.		
Desci	ribe bridges and :	structures; provide sp	pecific location information for	small structures.	
-	. [= .	" OMD "III	1 D1t -t	tures will be installed of	Ctoo 64191.00
Rema	arks: Four exis	sting CMPs will be	removed. Replacement struc +02. Further details are prov	ided in the Project Desc	Stas. 04+61.00,
	Alternative	, 24+51.00, and 71	ument (B-52, B-54, B-55, B-5	9 B-61 B-62 and B-78).	No bridges will be
		due to the project.	ument (B 02, B 04, B 00, B 0	0, 201, 202, 414 210).	
				Yes	No_
Will the stru	ucture be rehabili	tated or replaced as	part of the project?		
If the propos	sed action has mu	ultiple bridges or sma	Il structures, this section shou	ld be filled out for each str	ucture.
MAINTEN	IANCE OF TRA	AFFIC (MOT) DUR	ING CONSTRUCTION		
			, H ₁	hitting and a second	Yes No
	rary bridge propo				X
	rary roadway pro			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	X
			uire a ramp closure? (describe	e in remarks)	X
Provisio	ons will be made t	for access by local tra for through-traffic dep	anic and so posted.		X
Provisio	ons will be made t	to accommodate any	local special events or festiva	ls.	X
Will the pro	posed MOT sub	stantially change the	environmental consequences	of the action?	X
Is there sub	ostantial controve	ersy associated with	he proposed method for MOT	?	Х
Remarks:	Maintenance	of traffic will be co	enducted in phases, utilizing	a temporary run-around	l, flaggers, detours,
	temporary pav	ement markings, and	l lane closures.		
	Floggers will b	o utilizad durina mill	ng operations in Stage 1. A te	mnorary run-around will h	e utilized on US 6 to
	maintain traffic	e utilized dufing Mill o in Stages 1 throug	ng operations in Stage 1. A te h 3B. The temporary run-aro	and will be parallel to the	south side of US 6.
	Lanes on the t	emporary run-around	d will be demarcated with temp	orary pavement markings	. The temporary run-
	2055 011 1110 1			, ,	
This is pag	ge 7 of 24 Proje	ect name:	Intersection Improve	ment Date	e: July 11, 2018

County _	Elkhart	Route	US 6 and SR	13/CR 33	Des. No.	1383238
	around will be construct around will result in ap section of this docume be open for traffic duri lane shifts on US 6 duri	proximately 0 nt. The tempoing Stage 3C	0.14 acre of tempo orary run-around v c. Temporary pave	rary wetland impact, will be removed after ment markings and	as described fu Stage 3B. Both a channelization	orther in the Wetlands or US 6 and SR 13 will or device will facilitate
	SR 13 and CR 33 will detour for SR 13 will u posted detour for CR 3 A local detour may be for contacting school of residences and busine	utilize CR 29 33 will utilize (used; howeve listricts and e	and US 6, adding CR 52, CR 133, ar er, the route is unk emergency service	approximately 2.15 nd CR 1400, adding a nown at this time. The as at least 2 weeks p	miles and six n 3.0 miles and eine project spons	ninutes of travel. The light minutes of travel. for will be responsible
	A temporary pipe, drain temporary structure at 65-ft. long by 30-in. o scour protection (B-56 will be removed entire ditches. Approximately temporary run-around around (B-56). After the and/or filled in, as described.	Sta. 25+51.5 diameter of Tand B-78). Tand B-78). Tand B-78). Tand B-78 150 ft. of tand 150 ft. of temporary	50 will be installed Type 2 pipe, back The pipe will con bletion of the proje RSD-2 and RSD of RSD-1 will be re run-around is remerun-around is remere.	I utilizing horizontal of kfilled with 53 cys. of nect to a temporary ct. Existing ditches when and will be reshaped eshaped parallel to to oved, the majority of	directional drilling Type 2 struct of Type 2 struct of the manhole. The reshaped disparallel to the south side of the existing ditcetoners.	g. The pipe will be a sture backfill, without e pipe and manhole for use as temporary e north side of the temporary run-
			ALL E			
ESTIMATE	ED PROJECT COST A	AND SCHEL	JULE			
			1 (8) (8) (8) (8) (8) (8) (8) (8) (8) (8)	000 (2018) C	onstruction: \$	2 913 500 (2020)
Engineering	g: \$ <u>274,552</u> (20	018) Right	-of-Way: \$ <u>300,</u>	000 (2018) C	onstruction: \$	2,913,500 (2020)
Engineering		018) Right	1 (8) (8) (8) (8) (8) (8) (8) (8) (8) (8)	000 (2018) C	onstruction: \$	2,913,500 (2020)
Engineering	g: \$ <u>274,552</u> (20	018) Right n: March	-of-Way: \$ 300, n 1, 2020 1 Statewide Trans	000 (2018) C		
Engineering	g: \$ 274,552 (20 Start Date of Construction incorporated into STIP	018) Right n: <u>March</u> 2018-2021 2017 (H-7	-of-Way: \$ 300, n 1, 2020 1 Statewide Trans			
Engineering Anticipated S Date project	g: \$ 274,552 (20 Start Date of Construction incorporated into STIP	018) Right n: <u>March</u> 2018-2021 2017 (H-7	-of-Way: \$ <u>300,</u> n 1, 2020 1 Statewide Trans _t to H-8)			
Engineering Anticipated S Date project	g: \$ 274,552 (20 Start Date of Construction incorporated into STIP obt in an MPO Area?	2018) Right n: March 2018-2021 2017 (H-7 Yes N	-of-Way: \$ <u>300,</u> n 1, 2020 1 Statewide Trans _t to H-8)	portation Improvemer		
Engineering Anticipated S Date project Is the project If yes, Name of M	start Date of Construction incorporated into STIP of in an MPO Area? PO Michiana Area Construction Project in TIP 2018-2 Program	2018) Right n: March 2018-2021 2017 (H-7 Yes N Council of Gov 021 MACOG m (TIP) (H-1	-of-Way: \$ 300, n 1, 2020 I Statewide Transp to H-8)	portation Improvement PG) provement 28-17		
Engineering Anticipated S Date project Is the project If yes, Name of M Location of	start Date of Construction incorporated into STIP of in an MPO Area? PO Michiana Area Construction Project in TIP 2018-2 Program	2018) Right n: March 2018-2021 2017 (H-7 Yes N X Council of Gov 021 MACOG m (TIP) (H-1 i H-5) and Res	-of-Way: \$ 300,000 n 1, 2020 1 Statewide Transports to H-8) No vernments (MACO) Transportation Implements (H-2) Resolution solution 20-17 (H-4)	portation Improvement PG) provement 28-17		
Engineering Anticipated S Date project Is the project If yes, Name of M Location of	start Date of Construction incorporated into STIP of in an MPO Area? PO Michiana Area Construction Project in TIP 2018-2 Program (H-3 to	2018) Right n: March 2018-2021 2017 (H-7 Yes N X Council of Gov 021 MACOG m (TIP) (H-1 i H-5) and Res	-of-Way: \$ 300,000 n 1, 2020 1 Statewide Transports to H-8) No vernments (MACO) Transportation Implements (H-2) Resolution solution 20-17 (H-4)	portation Improvement PG) provement 28-17		
Engineering Anticipated S Date project Is the project If yes, Name of M Location of	Start Date of Construction Incorporated into STIP In an MPO Area? PO Michiana Area Construction Incorporated into STIP In an MPO Area? Project in TIP 2018-2 Programe (H-3 to corporation by reference in the component of the corporation by reference in the corporation by the corporation by reference in the corporation by the corp	n: March 2018-2021 2017 (H-7 Yes N X Council of Gov 021 MACOG m (TIP) (H-1 i H-5) and Res	-of-Way: \$ 300,000 n 1, 2020 1 Statewide Transports to H-8) No vernments (MACO) Transportation Implements (H-2) Resolution solution 20-17 (H-4)	portation Improvement 28-17 6)		P), Initial, July 3,
Engineering Anticipated S Date project Is the project If yes, Name of M Location of	start Date of Construction incorporated into STIP of in an MPO Area? PO Michiana Area Construction Project in TIP 2018-2 Program (H-3 to	n: March 2018-2021 2017 (H-7 Yes N X Council of Gov 021 MACOG m (TIP) (H-1 i H-5) and Res	-of-Way: \$ 300,000 n 1, 2020 1 Statewide Transports to H-8) No vernments (MACO) Transportation Implements (H-2) Resolution solution 20-17 (H-4)	portation Improvement provement 28-17 6)	nt Program (STI	
Engineering Anticipated S Date project Is the project If yes, Name of M Location of Date of inco	Start Date of Construction Incorporated into STIP In an MPO Area? PO Michiana Area Construction Incorporated into STIP In an MPO Area? Project in TIP 2018-2 Programe (H-3 to corporation by reference in the component of the corporation by reference in the corporation by the corporation by reference in the corporation by the corp	n: March 2018-2021 2017 (H-7 Yes N X Council of Gov 021 MACOG m (TIP) (H-1 i H-5) and Res	-of-Way: \$ 300,000 n 1, 2020 1 Statewide Transports to H-8) No vernments (MACO) Transportation Implements (H-2) Resolution solution 20-17 (H-4)	portation Improvement 28-17 6) Permanent 0.15	nt Program (STI	P), Initial, July 3, Temporary 0.01
Engineering Anticipated S Date project Is the project If yes, Name of M Location of Date of inco	Start Date of Construction Incorporated into STIP In an MPO Area? PO Michiana Area Construction Incorporated into STIP In an MPO Area? Project in TIP 2018-2 Programe (H-3 to corporation by reference in the component of the corporation by reference in the corporation by the corporation by reference in the corporation by the corp	n: March 2018-2021 2017 (H-7 Yes N X Council of Gov 021 MACOG m (TIP) (H-1 i H-5) and Res	-of-Way: \$ 300,000 n 1, 2020 1 Statewide Transports to H-8) No vernments (MACO) Transportation Implements (H-2) Resolution solution 20-17 (H-4)	portation Improvement 28-17 6) Permanent 0.15 0.00	nt Program (STI	P), Initial, July 3, Temporary 0.01 0.03
Engineering Anticipated S Date project Is the project If yes, Name of M Location of Date of inco	Start Date of Construction Incorporated into STIP In an MPO Area? PO Michiana Area Construction Incorporated into STIP In an MPO Area? Project in TIP 2018-2 Programe (H-3 to corporation by reference in the component of the corporation by reference in the corporation by the corporation by reference in the corporation by the corp	n: March 2018-2021 2017 (H-7 Yes N X Council of Gov 021 MACOG m (TIP) (H-1 i H-5) and Res	-of-Way: \$ 300,000 n 1, 2020 1 Statewide Transports to H-8) No vernments (MACO) Transportation Implements (H-2) Resolution solution 20-17 (H-4)	provement 28-17 6) Permanent 0.15 0.00 0.00	nt Program (STI	P), Initial, July 3, Temporary 0.01 0.03 0.09
Engineering Anticipated S Date project Is the project If yes, Name of M Location of	Start Date of Construction Incorporated into STIP In an MPO Area? PO Michiana Area Construction Incorporated into STIP In an MPO Area? Project in TIP 2018-2 Programe (H-3 to corporation by reference in the component of the corporation by reference in the corporation by the corporation by reference in the corporation by the corp	n: March 2018-2021 2017 (H-7 Yes N X Council of Gov 021 MACOG m (TIP) (H-1 i H-5) and Res	-of-Way: \$ 300,000 n 1, 2020 1 Statewide Transports to H-8) No vernments (MACO) Transportation Implements (H-2) Resolution solution 20-17 (H-4)	portation Improvement 28-17 6) Permanent 0.15 0.00	nt Program (STI	P), Initial, July 3, Temporary 0.01 0.03

		indiana De	epartment of Tran	isportation		
County	Elkhart	Routel	JS 6 and SR 13/CR 33	Des. 1	No	1383238
widths (exis suspected, Remarks:	ting and proposed) sho and the impacts on the Existing right-of-way i project limits. A total o be acquired (B-38). T northeast quadrant an of-way will include 0.0 southeast quadrant, 0 southwest quadrant. N	uld also be discuss environmental analysis approximately 0.3 fapproximately 0.3 fapproximately 0.3 facre of ped 0.21 acre of forest acre of residential acre of wetlands or e-acquisition of the services and the services are discussed in the services and the services are discussed in the services are discus	and describe their current and describe their current acquisitysis should be discussed ft. to 246 ft. from the confermanent right-of-way will sted land in the southeast all land in the northeast of in the southwest quadright-of-way or relocation	ition or reacquisition of reacquisition d. centerlines of US 6 t and 0.27 acre of to 1 include 0.15 acre t quadrant. The 0.2 juadrant, 0.09 acre ant, and 0.03 acre is will occur.	and SR 13 to a semporary right of residential 7 acre of tempor agricultural of commercial	hrough the -of-way will land in the orary right- land in the land in the
<u>Par</u>	<u>t III – Identifica</u>	ation and E	valuation of lm	pacts of the	Propos	ed Action
SECTION	A – ECOLOGICAL F	RESOURCES	. re - 740 mi	e fig. (No. of 1999)	, and 11	
Federal Wile State Natura Nationwide		nal Rivers listed		Presence [Impacts Yes N	
Remarks:	Environmental, LLC and E-7). The neares On October 26, 2017 Recreational Rivers; No listed waterways of the Company of the Co	(Metric) identified 2 t stream, an artificial Nationwide Rivers were within or adjact and April 11, 2018, t (Waters Report), ams were identified and March 15 and ers, and other partical effects of this property of Fish and Wildlich will protect nearby Commitments section 17 and March 15, letters (C-5 to C-1 streams exist with e, dated April 10, 2 R (C-31 to C-32). Out time wetland imposited States Fish and artificial streams and march 15.	2018, Metric generate 0 and C-22 to C-29). Th	ments within the 0 proximately 0.02-mile proximately 0.02-mile proximately 0.02-mile proximately 0.02-mile proximately 0.02-mile proximately 0.02-mile proximation are was approved by 1 mits (PSLs). It early coordination from their various 18 to C-21). If 12, 2018, Indiar promended appropria 35 to C-36). The reserved an automatic limits are IDEM recommended appropria are IDEM recommended and refore, it does not WS) Interim Policy	.5-mile search le west of the ; State Natura and Navigable and delineation. INDOT on Ma letters (ECLs areas of exp a Department accommendation DEM Propose adations regard rs (USACE) re is discussed a c qualify for p for the Review	radius (E-2 project area. I, Scenic, or Waterways. The Waters by 15, 2018, It or resource ertise about It of Natural and sediment is included It of Roadway ding streams commended bove. rogrammatic or of Highway
This is pag	e 9 of 24 Project nam	ne:	Intersection Improve	ment	_ Date:	July 11, 2018

County _	Elkhart	Rou	te US 6 and SR 13/C	R 33	Des. No.	1383238
	USFWS did not included, as appro	have conce priate, in the	erns about the project (C- e Environmental Commitmer	60). The USFV	VS standard recom s document.	mendations are
Other Surfa	900000 BB			Presence	Impacts Yes No	
Reservoirs						
Lakes						
Farm Ponds						
Detention B						
	r Management Facili	ities		X	X	
Other:						
Remarks:	mile search radius quadrant of the pro On August 15, 201 measuring a total	(E-3 and E oject area. No 7 and April of 3,274 lin ostablished f	and signed by INDOT on M -7). The nearest feature is Id No impact is expected. No ot 11, 2018, Metric conducted ear feet (Ift.), were identified flow line or OHWM were obs	ocated approxim her surface wate a waters determ d within PSLs, w served on these	ately adjacent to the ers were identified. nination and delineat vith one within each roadside ditches, s	ion. Four RSDs, quadrant of the o they are likely
	Project Description regraded and the rand the remaining RSD-3 will be fille regraded and the regretary and the regraded and t	(Preferred remaining 4 180 ft. of R ed, and 25 emaining 42	and F-14). The ditches will be Alternative) subsection of the 76 ft. of RSD-1 will be filled is D-2 will be filled in. Appropriate of RSD-3 will remain ure 19 ft. of will be filled in. A total	nis document. Ap in. Approximate ximately 380 ft. on disturbed. App al of 3,250 lft. of	oproximately 345 ft. bly 690 ft. of RSD-2 of RSD-3 will be reg roximately 400-ft. o RSDs will be impact	of RSD-1 will be will be regraded raded, 350 ft. of f RSD-4 will be ted.
	No additional surf management facilit	face waters ies were m	s, including reservoirs, lak entioned within any of the ag	es, farm ponds gency responses	s, detention basins, s the ECLs (Appendi	or stormwater x C).
				Presence	Impacts	
Wetlands				X	Yes No	
Total wetla	nd area: 0.554	acre(s) Total wetland area	impacted:	0.177 acre(s)	
	-		, on-isolated/isolated wetlands		wetland area impact	ed above.)
Wetland	Classification	Total Size (Acres)	Impacted Acres		Comments	
Α	PEM1C	0.203*	0.001 acre	5 cubic y	ards (cys.) of clean	earthen fill
В	PEM1A	0.351*	0.036 acre (permanent) 0.140 acre (temporary)		3 cys. of clean earthe eotextile and tempo	
*Within PSL	S					
			<u>Documentation</u>	<u>n</u>	ES Approv	al Dates
	Mark all that apply)				May 15	2018
Wetland Det			X		May 15	
Wetland Del	ineation ated Waters Determi	nation			Iviay 15	, 2010
USACE ISOR Mitigation Pl		Halloll				
N						
would resul	t in (Mark all that ap	ply and exp	wetland impacts are not pr plain): t homes, businesses, or othe			•
	itiai aavoroo impaoto	to days				
This is page			Intersection Im		Date:	 July 11, 201

		mulana	Department of Trai	ioportation				
County _	Elkhart	Route _	US 6 and SR 13/CR 33	Des. No.	1383238			
Unique Substar	ntially increased projec engineering, traffic, ma ntial adverse social, ec ject not meeting the id	aintenance, or s onomic, or envi			X X			
Measures to	avoid, minimize, and i	mitigate wetland	l impacts need to be discus	sed in the remarks box.				
Remarks:	In the RFI, dated May Report, dated May 12 Wetlands Inventory wetland in the north discussed below (F-20.07-mile southwest of Con August 15, 2017 hydric soil, and wetland of SR 13, on the north Report of SR 13, on th	7 3, 2018 and si I, 2018 and app NWI)-mapped west portion of 3 and F-14). To of the PSLs, but and April 11, 20 and hydrology. Torth and south	gned by INDOT on May 7, proved by INDOT on May 1, Palustrine, Scrub-Shrub, E the project area, which was additional NWI-mapped they were not verified to expose they wetlands, Wetland A assides of US 6, respectively	2018, and in the desktop re is, 2018, Metric identified or road-leaved, Deciduous, is as verified to exist and w palustrine wetlands were ist (F-3 and F-14). PSLs for evidence of hyd ad Wetland B, were identific (F-6 and F-14). Wetland	ne USFWS National Seasonally Flooded vill be impacted, as 0.03-mile east and rophytic vegetation, ed on the west side I A is a 0.203-acre			
	Emergent and Scrub- is 0.351-acre and Pa were classified as po possess no significar	-Shrub, Persiste alustrine, Emerg oor-quality becant ht hydrologic fur	easonally Flooded wetland ent, Seasonally Flooded we gent, Persistent, Temporar ause they receive significa actions. Both wetlands exhi re likely jurisdictional Water	tland outside of PSLs (F-7 ly Flooded within PSLs (I nt polluted run-off, low sp oit significant nexus with th	' to F-8). Wetland B F-8). Both wetlands ecies diversity, and			
	Approximately 5 cys. of clean earthen fill and riprap will be discharged into Wetland A, resulting in approximately 0.001-acre of permanent impact (F-34). Approximately 113 cys. of clean earthen fill and 450 cys. of geotextile and temporary pavement will be discharged into Wetland B, resulting in approximately 0.036-acre of permanent impact and 0.140 acre of temporary impact, respectively (F-34). 17. A firm commitment that silt fence or other erosion control measures must be installed around the perimeter of any wetlands and/or other waterbodies to remain undisturbed at the project site.							
	This project will likely fall under Regional General Permit (RGP) to comply with Clean Water Act (CWA) Sections 401 and 404. The IDEM RGP Terms and Conditions state, "As work progresses, all areas void of protective cover shall be re-vegetated or stabilized, as [will be] described in the plan. Areas that are to be re-vegetated must utilize mulch that is anchored or, under more severe conditions, erosion control blankets." The requirement is included in the Environmental Commitments section of this document and will be updated in the INDOT database, if necessary, upon receipt of the permits.							
	coordinating with IDE	M and USACE	ovember 21, 2017 and regarding CWA Sections 4 mit permit applications to I	01 and 404 permits (C-17	PFW recommended and C-35 to C-36).			
	On October 26, 20 Construction Projects 404 (C-5 to C-10 and	s letters, which	15, 2018, Metric general recommended obtaining p	ed an automatic IDEM I ermits to comply with CW	Proposed Roadway A Sections 401 and			
	In their ECL respons Coordination with IDN	e, dated April ´ NR was comple	10, 2018, USACE recomme ted and is discussed above	ended coordinating with ID	NR (C-31 to C-32).			
	coordination of the U	SFWS Interim project (C-60).	impacts over 0.1-acre; the Policy. In their ECL respor The USFWS standard reco ction of this document, but t	se, dated May 8, 2018, U mmendations are included	SFWS did not have d, as appropriate, in			
			Presence	<u>Impacts</u>				
	11.1.27.4			Yes No				
Terrestrial Unique or H	Habitat ligh-Quality Habitat		X		4			
3440 01 1								

This is page 11 of 24 Project name:

Intersection Improvement Date: July 11, 2018

			·			
County	Elkhart	Route	US 6 and SR 13/CR 33	Des. No	1	383238
Use the rem	arks box to identify eac	ch type of habita	t and the acres impacted (i.e.	forested, grassland,	farmland, lav	vn, etc.).
Remarks:	included reed cana (Phragmites austral pratensis) in the her	ary grass (<i>Pha.</i> is), tall false r b stratum, white m, and black ch	pril 11, 2018 field reconnaiss laris arundinacea), rice cut ye grass (<i>Schedonorus aru</i> e willow (<i>Salix alba</i>) and Morr erry (<i>Prunus serotina</i>) and co	grass (<i>Leersia ory</i> <i>ndinaceus</i>), and Ke ow's honeysuckle (<i>L</i>	rzoides), cor entucky blue onicera mori	nmon reed grass (<i>Poa</i> rowii) in the
	project area are train approximately 5.65 cover impacts will in 0.57 acre of brush.	nsportation, resi acres of land w clude removal or removal of 0.43	raph location map and the gradential, commercial, and fore fill be disturbed during const of 2.40 acres of pavement, disacre of trees, removal of 0.4, and permanent fill of approx	ested (B-3 to B-37). ruction (B-39 to B-7 sturbance of 1.67 ac 40 acre of gravel driv	Based on de 7). Approxim res of grass, /eways, temp	esign plans, late ground removal of porary fill of
	high-quality habitat tree/brush clearing, suitable for Indiana	within the pro implementing a bat or Northern	mber 21, 2017 and April 12, 2 ject area but recommended ppropriate erosion and sedim long-eared bat from April 1 t e Environmental Commitment	I revegetating distu nent control measure hrough September 3	rbed areas, es, and not c 60 (C-17 and	minimizing utting trees
	In their ECL respon USFWS standard re of this document.	se, dated May commendations	8, 2018, USFWS did not ha are included, as appropriate	ave concerns about , in the Environment	the project (al Commitme	C-60). The ents section
	th incidences of animal me ent, consideration of utiliz		ed in the project area, or if bridges ngs should be taken.	and other areas appea	ar to be the sol	e corridor for
Karst Is the p Are kar	roposed project locate st features located with	d within or adjac in or adjacent to	ent to the potential Karst Area o the footprint of the proposed	a of Indiana?	Yes	No X X
	If yes, will the projec	t impact any of t	hese karst features?			
	arks box to identify any October 13, 1993)	karst features v	vithin the project area. (Karst	investigation must c	omply with th	e Karst
Remarks:	This project is outsid (MOU) between IND	e of the designa OT, IDNR, IDEN	nted karst region of Indiana oບ <i>I, and USFW</i> S (1993).	tlined in the Memore	andum of Und	derstanding
	In the RFI, dated Ma such as springs, cav	ay 3, 2018 and e entrances, sin	signed by INDOT on May 7, kholes, or sinking-stream bas	2018, Metric did no ins, within the 0.5-mi	t identify kar le search rad	st features, lius (E-2).
	project area, geolog	jic hazards inc k and sand/gra	er 30, 2017, Indiana Geologio lude a moderate liquefaction vel, and no active or abando	n potential, mineral	resources i	nclude low
	No impact to karst or	geological feat	ures is anticipated as a result	of this project.		
Within th Any critic Federal :	or Endangered Spece e known range of any cal habitat identified wit species found in project ecies found in project a	federal species hin project area t area (based u	oon informal consultation) n consultation with IDNR)	<u>X</u>	Yes X	No
This !=	o 40 of 04 Project no	ımo'	Intersection Improvem	nent	Date:	July 11, 2018
This is page	e 12 of 24 Project na	IIII —	mersection improven	ICIIL	Date	odly 11, 2010

County _	Elkha	art	Route _	US 6 and SR 13/	CR 33	Des. No.	1383238
Is Section	n 7 formal cor	nsultation red	quired for this	action?	Yes	No	
Remarks:	The IDNR is completed by threatened, species, inc	Elkhart Cour by Metric on endangered	nty Endangere May 3, 2018 I, and rare s American bu	ed, Threatened and (E-10 to E-12). The pecies. This proje urying beetle (<i>Nicr</i>	e highlighted spe ct is within the	ecies are the federa range of two fede	al- and state-listed erally endangered
	bat (Myotis	septentriona	lis) and feder	of Indiana is within in ally endangered In r ETR are listed on	diana bat (<i>Myoti</i>	<i>s sodalis)</i> and rust	orthern long-eared y patched bumble
		15, 2017 an eld reconnai		018, Metric did no	t observe any e	ndangered species	s or their habitats
	Heritage Pro threatened, cutting trees	ogram's Data endangered s suitable for	a had been c , or rare have Indiana bat c	ember 21, 2017 an hecked. To date, r e been reported to or Northern long-ea s in the Environmer	o plant or anima occur in the pro red bat from Apr	al species listed as ject vicinity," and i il 1 through Septen	state or federally recommended not nber 30 (C-17 and
	Projects in the with FHWA requirement review of the of the project Planning an Indiana bat massasauga 2018, Metric affect, but is INDOT concadditional reference.	the Range of the Range of the Range of the USFWS do ct area. On lond Consultate (Myotis sode a (Sistrurus) of completed is not likely the the sponse was to the curred with the sponse was reduced to the curred with	f the Indiana tailroad Admition 7(a) (2) of atabase did not May 7, 2018, ion (IPaC) walis) and the tata determinate to adversely the effect finds received from the state of t	ence provided in the Bat and Northern nistration, and Fe of the Endangered of indicate the pres Metric obtained arebsite (ecos.fws.gr.hreatened Northern ere listed, with no ion key, and the reaffect, the Indianaling and generated from USFWS. Since effect finding.	Long-Eared Bat, deral Transit Ac Species Act (ES ence of endange official species ov/ipac/project/lish long-eared bat critical habitats esponses resulte bat or Northern a concurrence	prepared by USF dministration (Rev. A) of 1973, as amored bat species in list from the USFV (C-37 to C-43). (Myotis septentrion within this projected in a finding that long-eared bat". (verification letter (4)	WS in conjunction 2018) to satisfy ended. INDOT ES or within 0.5 miles VS Information for The endangered nalis) and Eastern area. On May 7, this project "may on May 15, 2018, C-45 to C-60). No
	applicable U	response, o JSFWS stand nis document	dard recomme	2018, USFWS did endations from the	not have concei Interim Policy ar	rns regarding the p e in the Environme	roject (C-60). The ntal Commitments
	This preclud	des the need	for further co	nsultation on this p	oject as required	d under Section 7 o	f the ESA.
SECTION	B – OTHER	RESOURC	ES		7.0		,
Wellhead Public W Resident Source V	ater Resourc I Protection A later System(s ial Well(s) Vater Protection Ince Aquifer (S	on Area(s)			X X	Yes	No X
	is present, an			to	Yes	No	
	ne Project in the ne FHWA/EPA			tem?		X	
This is page	e 13 of 24 F	⊃roject name	:	Intersection	mprovement	Date:	July 11, 2018

			maran	a Dopartin				
County	Ell	khart	Route	US 6 and	SR 13/CR 33	Des. No.	1	383238
			ment Required essment Requ					
Remarks:	required Wellhead that they	project local Protection had no cond ed up promp	ition data was Area (C-11). I cerns regardina	s provided, ar n their ECL re g their Wellhea	ebsite was accessed it was determined sponse, dated May and Protection Program or operly removed (C	ed that the proje 14, 2018, the To m, but that all spi	ect is locate wn of Syra lled fuels ar	ed within a cuse stated and oils must
			e not located ecause of this		struction limits; the	refore, no impac	ts are antic	ipated to a
	Nineteen located u	water wells inconsolidat	were identified	d within the 0.5 cated approxi	r Well Record Datab -mile search radius. mately 0.06-mile ea	The nearest feat	ure, identifie	ed as a field
	source a	quifer in li	ndiana. There	efore, the MC	. Joseph Aquifer Sy DU Between FHW In V and a groundwa	A, Region 5 ar	nd the Uni	ted States
		CL response quifer (C-15)		er 30, 2017, U	SEPA stated that the	e project is not w	ithin a desig	nated Sole
	It was det	ermined tha	t no drinking w	ater resources	will be impacted by	the actions of thi	s proposed	project.
Transve Project le	linal Encroa rse Encroac ocated withi	hment n a regulate	d floodplain in 1000' up/do	ownstream fron	Presence n project	e Imp Yes	No No	
Discuss impa	acts accordii	ng to classifi	cation system	described in th	ne "Procedural Manu	al for Preparing I	Environmen	tal Studies".
Remarks:	The proje Managem	ct does not ent Associa	encroach upor	n a regulatory	floodplain as determ id F-12). Therefore,	ined from availab	ole Federal	Emergency
	the Depar	tment of Na	s, dated Nove tural Resource ct," (C-17 and	es under regula	and April 12, 2018, atory programs adm	IDNR, DFW state inistered by the I	ed, "Formal Division of V	approval of Vater is not
-	ral Lands armland (pe	r NRCS)			Presence	Impacts Yes	No	
Total Poir	its (from Se		CPA-106/AD-1 r guidance.	006*				
See <i>CE Mand</i> Remarks:	None of the	ne land with eir ECL resp	in constructior oonses, dated	limits meets October 26, 2	opropriate for your properties of farm 017 and March 22, use a conversion of properties of the propert	nland in the Farm 2018, Natural Re	esources Co	onservation
				·				
This is page	e 14 of 24	Project nar	ne:	Interse	ection Improvement		Date:	July 11, 2018
1-3		- A CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY					200	

County _	El	khart	Route	US 6 and	SR 13/CR 33	Des. N	lo1	383238
SECTION	C – CUL1	URAL RESO	URCES				1 17	F
Minor Project	s PA Clear	ance [Type le and/or List ource Presen		oval Dates		N/A
Results of R	esearch							
Archaeology NRHP Buildir NRHP Distric NRHP Bridge	ct(s)							
Project Effec	:t		1			_	7	
No Historic P	roperties A	.ffected X	No Ac	lverse Effect	Adv	erse Effect		
			Documer					
Documentati	ion (mark a	all that apply)	<u>Prepa</u>		ES/FHWA pproval Date(s)	SH Approva	PO Il Date(s)	1
	erty Report al Records			0	ctober 31, 2017	Decembe	er 12, 2017 v 16, 2018	
Archaeologica	al Phase Id al Phase II	Survey Report Investigation R Data Recover	eport		May 15, 2018	June 1	11, 2018	1
	y, and Effe	ct Determination			May 9, 2018 May 9, 2018	June 1	11, 2018 11, 2018	
				MOA	Signature Dates	(List all signatori	es)	ur ga
Memorandum	n of Agreen	nent (MOA)	- 1					10°
categories ou in local news	itlined in th spapers. P	e remarks box. lease indicate	The comp the publicat	letion of the ion date, na	a detailed summ Section 106 proce me of paper(s) ar at a later date, suc	ess requires that a nd the comment p	ı Legal Notice period deadlir	be published ne. Likewise
Remarks:	which an propertie 1,035 ft.	undertaking m s. For abovegro	nay directly ound historic east, and 1	or indirectly resources, t 1,500 ft. wes	en 3) defined an cause alterations he APE for this prot of the center of rea.	in the character of oject extends app	or use of exis roximately 1,8	ting historic 10 ft. south,
	Structure Report (2 (2009). C 3 comple the APE INDOT C	s, State Histor 2005), and <i>Indi</i> In September 7 ted the Historic but recommer ultural Resourc	ic Architectu ana Historic 7, 2017, Gree Property Readed no rese des Office (C	ural and Arcl Bridge Inversion 3 surveye eport (HPR), ources as el	toric Places (NRH naeological Resea ntory, Volume 2: L d the APE for hist in which they foun igible for the NRH ed the HPR and, o chaeology (DHPA	arch Database, the Listing of Historic foric resources. On the two previously HP (D-34 to D-35 In November 16, 2	ne Elkhart Co and Non-Hist n October 3, 2 surveyed reso 5). On Octobe 2017, it was tra	unty Interim oric Bridges 2017, Green urces within ansmitted to
This is page	e 15 of 24	Project name	:	Inters	section Improveme	ent	Date:	July 11, 2018

County	Elkhart	Route	US 6 and SR 13/CR 33	Des. No.	1383238

concurred with the HPR (D-27 to D-28).

On August 25, 2017, Green 3 completed a Phase 1a records check, and found ten previously recorded archaeological sites within the project area, of which nine were recommended ineligible for the NRHP and one was 'Indeterminate'. On September 28, 2017, Green 3 surveyed the APE for archaeological resources. On October 27, 2017, Green 3 completed the *Phase 1a Archaeological Investigation* (Phase 1a), which recommended no further archaeological work (D-36 to D-37). On November 1, 2017, INDOT CRO approved the Phase 1a and it was submitted to IDNR DHPA. The report was lost; therefore, on December 15, 2018, the Phase 1a was resubmitted to IDNR DHPA. On January 16, 2018, IDNR DHPA concurred with the conclusions and recommendations of the Phase 1a (D-32 to D-33). On April 8, 2018, Green 3 surveyed the expanded project area for archaeological resources. On May 3, 2018, Green 3 completed the *Addendum: Phase Ia Archaeological Investigation* (Addendum Phase 1a), in which they located no additional archaeological sites and recommended no further archaeological work (D-38 to D-40). On May 15, 2018, INDOT CRO approved the Addendum Phase 1a and on May 16, 2018, it was transmitted to IDNR DHPA. On June 11, 2018, IDNR DHPA concurred with the conclusions and recommendations of the Addendum Phase 1a (D-42 to D-43).

On November 21, 2017, potential CPs were invited via e-mail to view the CP coordination letter (CPCL), dated November 14, 2017, and other Section 106 documentation on Indiana Section 106 Consultation and Outreach Portal (INSCOPE) (D-18 to D-20 and D-25 to D-26). In addition to INDOT CRO, IDNR DHPA, and FHWA, automatic CPs, the following groups were invited to be CPs:

Invited CP	Date of Response	Response
Elkhart County Historian	N/A	N/A
Elkhart County Historical Museum	N/A	N/A
Indiana Landmarks, Northern Regional Office	N/A	N/A
Michigan Area Council of Governments	N/A	N/A
Eastern Shawnee Tribe of Oklahoma	December 26, 2017	Yes
Forest County Potawatomi Community	December 5, 2017	Yes
Miami Tribe of Oklahoma	November 28, 2017	Yes
Peoria Tribe of Indians of Oklahoma	N/A	N/A
Pokagon Band of Potawatomi Indians	N/A	N/A

On November 28, 2017, Miami Tribe of Oklahoma accepted the CP invitation and requested to be contacted immediately if human remains or archaeological evidence are discovered (D-23). On December 5, 2017, Forest County Potawatomi Community accepted the CP invitation and requested to be contacted immediately if human remains or archaeological evidence are discovered (D-24 to D-25). Both requests are included in the Environmental Commitments section of this document. On December 12, 2017, the IDNR DHPA wrote that they had no additional CPs to suggest (D-27 to D-28). On December 26, 2017, the Eastern Shawnee Tribe of Oklahoma responded to the CPCL and stated that this project the project is within the area of the Eastern Shawnee Removal Trail (i.e., Lewistown Seneca-Shawnee or 'Eastern Shawnee' Trail of Tears) but will have "No Effect" on properties of significance to the tribe (D-30). No other comments from CPs were received.

On May 9, 2018, INDOT CRO, on behalf of the FHWA, approved the APE and issued a "No Historic Properties Affected" finding (D-2). On May 15, 2018, the 800.11(d) documentation and effects finding were transmitted to IDNR DHPA. The IDNR DHPA concurred with the finding on June 11, 2018 (D-42 to D-43).

A legal notice was published in the *Elkhart Truth* on May 19, 2018, offering the public the opportunity to comment about the APE, eligibility determinations, and the "No Historic Properties Affected" finding (D-41). No public comments were received within 30 days, thus concluding the Section 106 process.

This is page 16 of 24	Project name:	Intersection Improvement	Date:	July 11, 2018

County	Elkhart	Route	US 6 and SR 13/CR 33	Des. No.	1383238
SECTION	D - SECTION	4(f) / SECTION 6(f)	RESOURCES	The property of the	
Parks & Otl Publicly Publicly	her Recreationa owned park owned recreation		Presence	Yes No	
"De	ogrammatic Secti e minimis" Impact ividual Section 4	*	Evaluations Prepared	FHWA Approval date	
Nationa Nationa State W	Vaterfowl Refug al Wildlife Refuge al Natural Landma vildlife Area ature Preserve		Presence Evaluations Prepared	Yes No	
"De	grammatic Section minimis" Impact vidual Section 4(*		FHWA Approval date	
Historic Pro Sites el	operties igible and/or liste	d on the NRHP	<u>Presence</u>	<u>Use</u> Yes No	
"De	grammatic Sectio minimis" Impact vidual Section 4(*	Evaluations Prepared	FHWA Approval date	
	oval of the enviro discussed below		lso serves as approval of any S	Section 4f Programmatic a	and/or De minimis
Discuss Prog documentation	arammatic Section on must be sepa action 4(f) evalua	n 4(f) and "de minim rrate Draft and Final ations please refer to	is" Section 4(f) impacts in the r documents. For further discus the "Procedural Manual for the rements of Section 4(f).	sions on Programmatic,	"de minimis" and
Remarks:	from using lar properties inclusignificance, as determined elig	nd from certain prop de public parks, recres determined by the f gible for inclusion in the	partment of Transportation Act of perties unless no feasible and eation areas, and wildlife and wa ederal, state, or local officials wa he NRHP; and archaeological site	prudent alternative exisaterfowl refuges of nationalith jurisdiction thereof; proses that warrant preservations	sts. Section 4(f) al, state, or local operties listed or on in place.
	Section 4(f) res	sources within the 0.5	signed by INDOT on May 7, 2 -mile search radius (E-2). e USFWS Refuge List by State a		
This is pag	e 17 of 24 Proj	ect name:	Intersection Improvemen	nt Date:	July 11, 2018

County	Elkhart	Route	US 6 and SR 13/CR 33	Des. No.	1383238
	are within or adjace	nt to the project	area (www.fws.gov/refuges/refug	nel ocatorMaps/Indiana.h	tml).
	On October 3, 201 within the APE but	7, Green 3 com ecommended n	pleted the HPR, in which they or resources as eligible for the NR	found two previously sur RHP (D-34 to D-35).	veyed resources
Section 6(f)	Involvement		Presence	<u>Use</u> Yes No	
Section 6(f)	Property				
Discuss propo	sed alternatives that	satisfy the requ	irements of Section 6(f). Discuss	any Section 6(f) involve	nent.
Remarks:	Section 6(f) of the lof 6(f) properties (i.e.	and and Water e., properties ac	Conservation Act requires additional control of the Land and Water the	onal coordination for pro er Conservation Fund [L\	ects that convert VCF]).
×	On December 6, 20 6(f) grants within the	017, Metric revie e project area (l-	ewed the LWCF Detailed Listing 12). Therefore, this project will no	of Grants, which did no ot involve any Section 6(f	t list any Section) properties.
SECTION E	= – Air Quality				
Con	formity Status of th	e Project		Yes No	
Is the	e project in an air qu		nent or maintenance area?	X	
	ES, then: s the project in the m	oot current MD(TIP2		
	s the project in the h				
	f the project is NOT	exempt from cor	nformity, then:		950
	Is the project in the				
	Is a hot spot anal	ysis required (C	O/PM)?		
Leve	el of MSAT Analysis i	required?			
Leve	el 1a X Level 1	b Level 2	Level 3 Level 4	Level 5	
Remarks:	Elkhart County is	in attainment fo	r all criteria pollutants as reported	d by IDEM, viewed online	on May 9, 2018
T.OTTIGHT.OT	(http://www.in.gov	/idem/airquality/	files/nonattainment county list.p	df). This project is within	the Metropolitan
	Planning Area of	Michiana Area (Council of Governments (MACOG	6) and was incorporated	by reference into
	the 2018-2021 M/	COG Transpor	tation Improvement Program (TIF is included incorporated by refere	2) through Resolution 28-	STIP in the initial
	version on July 3,	. The project wa 2017 (H-5 to H-	6).	since into the 2010-2021	3111 III the lintar
			15.		
	This project is of a	type qualifying	as a categorical exclusion (Grou	p 1) under 23 CFR 771.1	17(c), or exempt
	Toxics analysis ar		der 40 CFR 93.126. Therefore,	not spot analysis and iv	lobile Source All
	TOXIOS dilaiyolo di	o not roquirou.			
SECTION F	- NOISE				
			at a		1
	one of the second second second		NAVA regulations and INDOT's tra	Ye	s No X
is a noise and	arysis required in acc	ordance with Fr	HWA regulations and INDOT's tra	inic noise policy:	
		NI-	Van I Data		
ES Paview o	of Noise Analysis	No X	Yes / Date	\neg	
LO Keview C		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Remarks:	This is a Type III Procedure (2017), th	project. In acc nis action does r	ordance with 23 CFR 772 and not require a formal noise analysis	the INDOT Traffic No s.	ise Analysis
				gg/ 10	. 25 6 2 22200000
This is page	18 of 24 Project n	ame:	Intersection Improvemen	t Date:	July 11, 2018

		indiana	a Department of Transpo	rtation	
County	Elkhart	Route	US 6 and SR 13/CR 33	Des. No.	1383238
SECTION	G – COMMUNITY I	MPACTS			
Will the pro Will the pro Will the pro Will constru Does the co If No, a	posed action result in posed action result in ction activities impact ommunity have an app re steps being made to	with the local/reg substantial impa substantial impa community ever proved transition o advance the co	ional development patterns for the acts to community cohesion? acts to local tax base or property vants (festivals, fairs, etc.)?	r -	X X X
Remarks:	was reviewed by M (www.indianafairsar the letting date. INI construction activitie as a firm commitme According to their (http://elkcohwy.dre.)	letric on May 9, adfestivals.org). DOT will coordings will be delayent. website, Elkhamhosters.com/	events, such as festivals or fairs. To 2018 and no events were listed. The Syracuse Fall Harvest is usual attention with the City of Syracuse to each or temporarily halted for the fest art. County has an Americans resources/ada/ada-transition-plan/of this project; therefore, this project.	that would be impact lly held in October, whensure no conflicts octival. This stipulation has with Disabilities Act.	ed by this project ich coincides with cur. If necessary, has been included at transition plan destrian walkways
		mporary impacts	s to community cohesion, local tax		5 (0.7)
Indirect an Will the pro		substantial indire	ect or cumulative impacts?	Ye	X
Remarks:	growth rate, or relate environment have be	ed effects air, w been identified v	d that may induce changes in patt vater, ecosystems, or other natural which will result from the incremen vasonably foreseeable future action	l systems. No significa tal impact of the prop	int impacts on the
	All temporary commincreased travel time	nunity inconvenie e, will cease upo	ence associated with construction, on project completion.	such as construction	noise and slightly
Will the pro	ies, emeraency servic	es, religious inst	ncts on health and educational facili titutions, airports, public transportat nce of traffic will affect public faciliti	tion or pedestrian	es No X
Remarks:	temporary pavemer	nt markings, and ntenance of traf	nducted in phases, utilizing a ter d lane closures, as described in the fic may result in slightly increased e.	ne Maintenance of Tra	affic subsection of
	within the 0.5-mile approximately 0.22- and, of the two, on the religious facility	search radius mile northwest e pipeline, owne nor INDOT Offic	signed by INDOT on May 7, 2016 (E-2 and E-6). One religious factor of the project area. Two pipelines ed by Tecumseh Pipeline Compartice of Utilities and Railroads responded beyond temporary impacts associated	ility, Zion Communidation were within the 0.5-miny, intersected the produced to ECLs regardin	ad Cristiana, was hile search radius, ject area. Neither g the facilities. No
	Structure permit wo	uld not be reau	vember 14, 2017, INDOT Office in items of the contractor utilizes and items of the contractor utilizes and items of the contractor of the type.	a temporary or perma	nent structure that
This is not	e 19 of 24 Project r	iame.	Intersection Improvement	Date:	July 11, 20

County	Elkhart	Route	US 6 and SR 13/CR 33	Des. No.	1383238
	and storm sewer lir utility companies. No	nes and are with o disruption of u	ed fiber optic cable, overhead and nin or adjacent to the project limits tility service is anticipated.	s. The designer is co	ordinating with the
	emergency services	s, religious insti jency services	al impacts on health or educatio tutions, airports, public transporta in the area will be contacted an	ation or pedestrian o	r bicycle facilities.
During the Does the p If YES, the		oject were EJ iss alysis?	sues identified?	Ye	s No X X
	any EJ populations loca he project result in adve		oject area? sproportionate impacts to EJ popul	ations?	
Remarks:	This project will n	ot require 0.5 e analysis is not	acre of new permanent right-required.	of-way or any reloc	cations; therefore,
Will the pro Is a Busine Is a Conce Has utility I	ess Information Survey ptual Stage Relocation relocation coordination relocations:	he relocation of (BIS) required? Study (CSRS) r been initiated fo dences: 0	r this project? Businesses:0 Farms	Ye	X X X
Remarks:	Conceptual Stage R	eople, businesse	es, or farms will be required; there are not required. Utility relocation in undertaken by the designer and	may be required. Co.	ordination with the
SECTION	H – HAZARDOUS I	MATERIALS 8	REGULATED SUBSTANCES		
Red Flag Ir Phase I En Phase II Er	s Materials & Regulate nvestigation vironmental Site Asses nvironmental Site Asses ecifications for Remedia	sment (Phase I ssment (Phase I	(Mark all that apply)	cumentation X	
ES Paview	of Investigations		Yes/ Date Yes / May 7, 2018	i I	
	mmary of findings for e	10 01 000 000		I	
Remarks:	In the RFI, dated Ma National Pollutant Di E-8). Due to their o	y 3, 2018 and s scharge Elimina listances from t	igned by INDOT on May 7, 2018, o tion System facility are located wit he project area, no impact from s materials investigations.	hin the 0.5-mile searc	ch radius (E-3 and
	On August 15, 2017 potential hazardous		2018, Metric visually inspected the	project area and did	d not observe any
This is nad	e 20 of 24 Project na	ame:	Intersection Improvement	Date:	July 11, 2018

		Indiana	a Department of Transpo	rtation	
County	Elkhart	Route	US 6 and SR 13/CR 33	Des. No.	1383238
SECTION	I – PERMITS CHEC	KLIST		ş 1	
Permits (m	ark all that apply)		Likely Required		
Ind Nat Rec Pre Oth We	s of Engineers (404/S vidual Permit (IP) ionwide Permit (NWP) gional General Permit (-Construction Notificatier diand Mitigation required am Mitigation required	RGP) on (PCN) d	mit)		
Isol Rul Oth We		d	X		
Nav Lak Oth Miti US Coast O	nstruction in a Floodwa vigable Waterway Perm e Preservation Permit er gation Required Guard Section 9 Bridg ase discuss in the re	e Permit	low)		
Remarks:	Since approximately anticipated, this proje	0.037 acre of ect will likely re	permanent wetland impact and 0.1 quire CWA Sections 401 and 404 F	4 acre of temporary v RGPs.	wetland impact are
	More than 1 acre of I	and will be nev	wly disturbed; therefore, a Rule 5 N	otice of Intent (NOI) w	vill be required.
	On May 17, 2018, IN 32).	IDOT concurre	ed that Sections 401 and 404 RGF	s and a Rule 5 NOI	are anticipated (F-
	In their ECL response the Department of N required for this proje	atural Resourc	ember 21, 2017 and April 12, 2018, ses under regulatory programs adm C-35 to C-36).	IDNR, DFW stated, " ninistered by the Divis	Formal approval of ion of Water is not
SECTION	J – ENVIRONMENT	AL COMMIT	MENTS		
The following commitment	information should be s) and indicating which	provided below are firm and v	w: List all commitments, name of aç which are for further consideration.	gency/organization re The commitments sh	questing the ould be numbered.
Remarks:	contacted ir 2. If any scop Office shall valid. (INDC	nmediately. (II e change take be consulted.)T) Tall Structure t	y right-of-way amounts change, the NDOT) es place, INDOT Fort Wayne Dis A scope change could cause this e permit will be required if the project nt structure that exceeds 200 feet	trict Design and IND environmental docume involves the construc	OT Environmental ent to no longer be tion of a temporary

Intersection Improvement

This is page 21 of 24 Project name:

Date: ___

July 11, 2018

County Elkhart Route US 6 and SR 13/CR 33 Des. No. 1383238

- If a spill occurs or contaminated soils or water are encountered during construction, appropriate personal protective equipment should be utilized. Contaminated materials must be properly characterized, handled, and disposed in accordance with current regulations. IDEM must be notified through the spill line at (888) 233-7745 within 24 hours of discovering of contamination. (INDOT)
- INDOT will coordinate with the City of Syracuse to ensure no conflicts occur with the Syracuse Fall Harvest Festival. If necessary, construction activities will be delayed or temporarily halted for the festival. (INDOT)
- Any fuel or oil spills during construction must be cleaned up promptly, and all soil that comes into contact oil, fuel, or other hazardous material must be removed from the site. (Director of Public Works, Town of Syracuse)
- The project is located within an urbanized area boundary (UAB). Stormwater best management practices should be considered. (INDOT)
- Pursuant to IC-14-21-1, if any archaeological artifacts or human remains are uncovered during construction, demolition, or earthmoving activities, state law (Indiana Code 14-21-1-27 and 29) requires that the discovery be reported to the Department of Natural Resources within two (2) business days. In that event, call (317) 232-1646, and specify also that Miami Tribe of Oklahoma and Forest County Potawatomi Community shall be contacted by INDOT CRO. (IDNR DHPA, Miami Tribe, and Forest County Potawatomi Community)
- The use of cutback asphalt, or asphalt emulsion containing more than seven percent (7%) oil distillate, is prohibited during the months of April through October. (IDEM)
- 10. General AMM 1: Ensure all operators, employees, and contractors working in areas of known or presumed bat habitat are aware of all FHWA, FRA/FTA (Transportation Agencies) environmental commitments, including all applicable AMMs. (USFWS)
- 11. Lighting AMM 1: Direct temporary lighting away from suitable habitat during the active season.
- 12. Lighting AMM 2: When installing new or replacing existing permanent lights, use downward-facing, full cut-off lens lights (with same intensity or less for replacement lighting); or for those transportation agencies using the BUG system developed by the Illuminating Engineering Society, be as close to 0 for all three ratings with a priority of "uplight" of 0 and "backlight" as low as practicable. (USFWS)
- 13. Tree Removal AMM 1: Modify all phases/aspects of the project (e.g., temporary work areas, alignments) to avoid tree removal. (USFWS)
- Tree Removal AMM 2: Apply time of year restrictions for tree removal when bats are not likely to be present, or limit tree removal to 10 or fewer trees per project at any time of year within 100 feet of existing road/ rail surface and outside of documented roosting/foraging habitat or travel corridors; visual emergence survey must be conducted with no bats observed. (USFWS)
- 15. Tree Removal AMM 3: Ensure tree removal is limited to that specified in project plans and ensure that contractors understand clearing limits and how they are marked in the field (e.g., install bright colored flagging/fencing prior to any tree clearing to ensure contractors stay within clearing limits). (USFWS)
- 16. Tree Removal AMM 4: Do not remove documented Indiana bat or NLEB roosts that are still suitable for roosting, or trees within 0.25 miles of roosts, or documented foraging habitat any time of year.
- 17. Install silt fence or other erosion control measures around the perimeter of any wetlands and/or other waterbodies to remain undisturbed at the project site. (IDEM)
- 18. As work progresses, all areas void of protective cover shall be re-vegetated or stabilized, as [will be] described in the plan. Areas that are to be re-vegetated must utilize mulch that is anchored or, under more severe conditions, erosion control blankets. (IDEM)

For Consideration:

- 19. Revegetate all bare and disturbed areas with a mixture of grasses (excluding all varieties of tall fescue), legumes, and native shrub and hardwood tree species as soon as possible upon completion. (IDNR DFW)
- 20. Minimize and contain within the project limits all tree and brush clearing, (IDNR, DFW, USFWS, and IDEM)
- 21. Appropriately designed measures for controlling erosion and sediment must be implemented to prevent sediment from leaving the construction site; maintain these measures until construction is complete and all disturbed areas are stabilized. (IDNR, DFW, USFWS, and IDEM)
- Do not cut any trees suitable for Indiana bat or Northern long-eared bat roosting (greater than 3

		or dead, with loose hanging bark, or with cr			
This is page 22 of 2	24 Project name:	Intersection Improvement	0	Date: _	July 11, 2018
		Form Version: June 2013 Attachment 2			

County _	Elkhart	Route	US 6 and S	SR 13/CR 33	Des	s. No.	1383238
	23. Reasonable	precautions r	DNR, DFW and must be taken tracked onto	to minimize t	fugitive dust emis	sions fr	om construction and hould be minimized.

SECTION K - EARLY COORDINATION

Please list the date coordination was sent and all agencies that were contacted as a part of the development of this Environmental Study. Also, include the date of their response or indicate that no response was received. INDOT and FHWA are automatically considered early coordination participants and should only be listed if a response is received.

Remarks:

Agency Contacted	Date(s) Sent	Response Date(s)	
City of Elkhart EMS	March 15, 2018	No Response	
City of Elkhart Fire Department	March 15, 2018	No Response	
City of Elkhart Police Department	March 15, 2018	No Response	
Elkhart County Board of Commissioners	October 26, 2017, March 15, 2018	No Response	
Elkhart County Emergency Management	March 15, 2018	No Response	
Elkhart County Highway Department	October 26, 2017, March 15, 2018	No Response	
Elkhart County Sheriff	March 15, 2018	No Response	
Elkhart County Surveyor	October 26, 2017, March 15, 2018	No Response	
EPA, Region V Source Water Assessment and Protection Program	October 26, 2017, March 15, 2018	October 30, 2017	
Fairfield School District	March 15, 2018	No Response	
IDEM Environmental Review Letter	October 26, 2017, March 15, 2018	October 26, 2017, March 15, 2018	
IDEM Wellhead Proximity Determinator	October 26, 2017	October 26, 2017	
IDNR DFW	October 26, 2017, March 15, 2018	November 21, 2017 April 12, 2018	
Indiana Geological Survey	October 26, 2017, March 15, 2018	October 30, 2017	
INDOT, Office of Public Involvement	October 26, 2017, March 15, 2018	No Response	
INDOT, Office of Utilities and Railroads	March 15, 2018	No Response	
INDOT, Office of Aviation	October 26, 2017, March 15, 2018	November 14, 2018	
Mayor of Goshen	October 26, 2017, March 15, 2018	No Response	

,				
This is page 23 of 24	Project name:	Intersection Improvement	Date:	July 11, 2018

County	Elkhart	Route	US 6 and SR 13/CR 33	Des. No.	1383238
Oddrity	Limiait	Noute	00 0 and 01 10/01 00	DC3. 110.	1000200

4		
Michiana Area Council of Governments	October 26, 2017, March 15, 2018	No Response
National Parks Service, Midwest Regional Office	October 26, 2017, March 15, 2018	No Response
Natural Resources Conservation Service	October 26, 2017, March 15, 2018	October 26, 2017 March 22, 2018
Syracuse Fire Dept/EMS	March 15, 2018	No Response
Syracuse Police	March 15, 2018	No Response
Town of Syracuse, Street Department	March 15, 2018	No Response
US Department of Housing and Urban Development	October 26, 2017, March 15, 2018	No Response
USACE, Detroit District	October 26, 2017, March 15, 2018	April 10, 2018
USFWS	May 4, 2018	May 8, 2018
Wellhead Protection Coordinator	May 9, 2018	May 14, 2018
Zion Communidad Cristiana, Inc.	March 15, 2018	No Response

